

START

MICROFILM COLLECTION OF MANUSCRIPTS ON CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGY

FORMERLY: MICROFILM COLLECTION OF MANUSCRIPTS ON
AMERICAN INDIAN CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGY
AND
MICROFILM COLLECTIONS OF MANUSCRIPTS
ON THE MIDDLE AMERICAN CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGY

Series: LXI

No: 321

Photographed by:

Department of Photoduplication - The Joseph Regenstein Library
University of Chicago - Chicago, Ill. 60637

REDUCTION RATIO:

12

Snap, Click, Clank, Bang

"Whango"

A Transcription of Extraneous
Sounds from the First Protocol
Tape of the Natural History of an
Interview

by

Hartmut B. Mokros

MICROFILM COLLECTION

OF

MANUSCRIPTS

ON

CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGY

No. 321

Series LXI

University of Chicago Library
Chicago, Illinois

December 15, 1977

Snap, Click, Clank, Bang
"Whango"

"A transcription of extraneous sounds from the
first protocol tape of the Natural History of
an Interview"

A
A very careful identification and notation of "extraneous" sounds —
a careful listing of creaks — a judicious series of suggestions

Hartmut B. Mokros
Anthropology 577
Fall Quarter, 1977

as to interpretation — an
evaluated first attempt!

may I incorporate this paper into
the microfiche series?

OK
[of course, please!]

[E MCMCA 321 (Serie LXI)] [NH-577/77]

Erving Goffman has suggested that one of the short-comings of research in face-to-face interaction is that the emphasis on paralinguistics and kinesics has failed to incorporate the social situation which bounds the behavior of the participants. Stretching Goffman's point a bit, it is not merely the social situation which has received inadequate attention, but the total environment from which data is extracted for analysis. In order to be capable of defining the gestures of encounter, the situational relationship of behavior with environment must also be defined. (Goffman, 1964)

Norman McQuown has stressed the necessity for "total accountability--everything on the tape must be categorized analytically and adequately rendered by the symbolology," in the transcription of vocal activity, if the microanalytic study of linguistic behavior is to prove fruitful. (McQuown, 1957) McQuown has placed similar stress on the need for total accountability of the body motion material.

Taking cues from both authors, I undertook the transcription of non-human sounds, which I have chosen to call extraneous sounds as opposed to noise, from the first protocol tape of the Natural History of an Interview. (McQuown, 1971) Tape one contained a wide range of extraneous sounds which peppered the entire interview. This interview, the focus of the above citation, has been the subject of considerable collaborative analysis, both on a macro- and micro- level, in terms of body motion, paralinguistic, and linguistic content. The aim of this collective research, grand in scope, was to arrive at a first approximation of "the foundations of a general

theory of the structure of communicative behavior...." (Ibid., p. 5) Although the interview materials, of this pioneering effort, were subjected to an unprecedented degree of expert analysis, little attention was paid to environmental considerations. The transcription of extraneous sound for a portion of this material should suggest one aspect of the environment which seems to merit consideration, both descriptive and analytic.

Natural history method, as opposed to external variable research, approaches the object of study with no overt theorization. Theoretical postulates are assumed to reveal themselves from the data, rather than being overlaid on the data. The implementation of natural history method, also referred to as context analysis, as opposed to content analysis, has been expounded upon by Albert Schefflin. The basic assumptions, as outlined by Schefflin, suggest that 1) communication has a regularity and structure of discernable units; 2) that there are fixed cultural conventions which govern the organization of the structural units; 3) that such structural units are again components of still larger units of differing hierarchical levels; 4) that although there is a range of social applicability of communicational components, culturally determined laws limit the possibilities; 5) that all members of a group are familiar with the sophistication of the communicational system in which they operate; 6) and, that the communicative systems of any type of group, can be abstracted by context analysis. (Schefflin, 1971, p. 400)

Similarly, Bateson approaches the study of "the communicational stream as a sequence of contexts, both of learning and learning to learn." (McQuown, 1971, p. 38) In his introductory chapter to the Natural History of an Interview, Bateson sees the key question of the research

as being, "the extent to which there is a mutual relationship of 'context' between kinesic and linguistic elements." (Ibid., p. 18)

The aims of natural history approach to communicational research are quite specific; to understand the system of communication in holistic terms, and to discern structures, regularities of interaction, both in terms of the conventions which regulate an encounter, and the strategies available to the interactants within the encounter. Goffman, among many, has seen fit to define the parameters of communicational systems by examining transgressions from the systems as reflective of the boundaries. What Goffman offers from intuition and insight, the NHI collaboration sought to understand what constituted violations of normative communicational behavior, communicational pathologies, through the microanalysis of the elements of communication.

It is the additional implicit assumption, that nothing is meaningless, that everything which takes place within an interaction is potentially loaded with, and decipherable in terms of cultural determinates, which further suggests broadening the scope of investigation to include the situational, environmental impact. Within the frame of context analysis, this present study attempts to broaden the scope of meaningful elements which should be subjected to close scrutiny. In order to faithfully apply McQuown's dictum of "total accountability", the presence of extraneous sound during an interview can not be ignored, but should instead be assumed to have a potential relationship with the interaction studied.

Extraneous Sound vs Noise

In the emerging field of face-to-face interaction, no studies familiar to the author, have systematically dealt with the relationship of extraneous sound and interaction. A recent work by Irwin Altman, entitled The Environment and Social Behavior (1975), proposes to deal with "the physical environment in face-to-face interaction and how [actors] actually use the environment to shape social interaction with others. Thus we will approach the relationship between environment and behavior at a micro level of analysis." (pp. 2-3) Yet, the only attention Altman allots to environmental sound is the citing of one study dealing with the negative affect of high intensity noise on performance. (Glass & Singer, 1972)

Researchers from various disciplines have, however, dealt with environmental sound in theoretical terms, both as noise and stimulus. Of particular interest is Baumrin's (1974) recent suggestion that the categorical perception phenomenon, previously considered unique to speech alone, may indeed relate to other acoustical stimuli. Habituation, the waning of response activity, occurring with repeated exposure to a given stimulus (Harris, 1943), is of theoretical relevance here also. As is the complementary mechanism of habituation (in the perception hypothesis), negative perception, which states that noxious stimuli, such as unpleasant sounds are abated by some preparatory signal. (Eisenberg, 1976) In addition, Glanzer's (1953) research on stimulus satiation theory, suggests that the exposure rate to external stimuli may result in modified response behavior.

Karl Kryter's review of the literature, which primarily concerns itself with the attenuation of noise, in The Effects of Noise on Man (1970), provides extensive treatments of speech communication in noise, and environmental noise. Kryter defines noise as signals which bear no information, whose intensities typically vary randomly over time. And although he qualifies this definition, that "as far as man's auditory system is concerned, there is no distinction to be made between sound and so-called noise," the very use of such a pejorative label indicates a covert theoretical stand, influencing researchers to disregard a more refined accounting of the relationship of sound and communication, other than as interference and hinderance. The studies Kryter reviews, with respect to communication and noise, rest on the premise that "a major function of the auditory system is the analysis of acoustical sounds, so that wanted information bearing components in a sound wave can be discriminated or separated from the unwanted or noisy parts." These studies detail what and how noise interferes with perception, but do not suggest what alterations in response might result.

To classify environmental sound as noise seems highly unsatisfactory, since the implication is either, that the noise is of such frequency as to be a hinderance to communication, masking speech acts, or that it is screened out by the auditory system. Such an either/or approach reduces the psychological component of interaction to a mechanical level, and implies a fairly static view of individual integration and resourcefulness within the immediate environment.

In using the label extraneous sound, I have intended the meaning to represent those sounds external, non-vocalizations, yet audible within the interactional context.

Included in the transcription, and comprising a significant proportion of such sounds, are those sounds created by the actor's manipulations of artifacts, although it proved impossible to correlate such sounds with specific manipulations or actions due to the quality of the sound film and camera angles.

Extraneous sounds transcribed are therefore of two basic types; those created by the actors within the interaction, and those sounds over which they had no control, the truly extraneous sounds. By no means am I proposing a stimulus-response model for the interpretation of extraneous sound, but instead view both types of extraneous sounds as having a potential, tactical impact within the interaction, ranging from cueing, signaling, and reference, to hinderance and masking.

Method

The transcription was made from the first protocol tape of the Natural History of an Interview. Extraneous sounds were transcribed for the entire text accompanying this tape, but did not include the first part of the tape for which no text existed. An attempt was made at synchronizing the transcribed sounds with various scenes from the sound film, in order to note any peculiar body motion features, and also to isolate artifacts and actions which might have produced certain sounds. The quality of the film, as well as the manner in which the film was made, forced the abandonment of this idea.

My first step involved repeated listenings to the tape in order to identify the range of sounds involved, and note any glaring interactional deviations accompanying

those sounds. I then transcribed the sounds ten times, coordinating them with the text, as well as with any co-occurrences, making separate transcriptions each time in order to have some check on accuracy and replicability. This proved to be a valid approach, resulting in a more refined and systematic transcription as I went along.

Once I felt confident that I had recorded, and located all of the sounds on the tape, I collated my various transcriptions, noting any discrepancies, and set down a master transcription. At this point, I went through the tape three additional times, clearing up any discrepancies which still existed, while at the same time more accurately defining the nature and location of each sound, as well as editing previously recorded co-occurrences.

My last step was to make a final copy of the transcription, again listening to the tape in order to determine if there existed any lacunae or exaggerations.

Transcription Categories

1. Sounds

The various sounds identified in the transcription were given labels which suggested themselves, and therefore should be seen as cultural stereotypes, not unlike those one might find in a comic strip. I assume that other listeners to the tape would make similar identifications, but this has not been tested. Nevertheless, this method still seems as valid as any in codifying these sounds. In some case no label suggested itself, as with the train, train whistle, steps, and shuffle, thus these terms were used to label the sound. It is interesting to note that

"in categorical perception, the boundaries for perceptual discrimination and the boundaries for labeling are more or less equivalent; whereas, in continuous perception, the perceptual boundaries seem to be more sharply demarcated than the labeling boundaries." (Eisenberg, 1976, p. 223) Discrete sounds were easily labeled, while continuous sounds did not facilitate easy labeling.

One quite obvious problem, which should be pointed out, is that at least some of the sounds might be the product of recording machinery feedback, or an imperfect tape, and may not have been environmentally present.

2. Location

a. Machine

This index refers to the tracking numbers found on most tape decks. Although such a system can not be claimed to have replicable accuracy, it nevertheless does reveal the relative location of the sounds identified, their spacing, as well as providing organization.

b. Text

This index refers to the page numbers, with sentences separated by a decimal point, from the verbal transcript of the Natural History of an Interview, available with the tape. Thus 22.4 refers to the 22nd page, fourth line of the transcript. Pages 1-104 were transcribed, these coinciding with the first protocol tape.

c. External/Internal

This category differentiates those sounds originating from within the house, from those sounds produced outside of the house.

d. Pause/Lexical

The function of this category was to isolate specific sounds with the word or pause with which it co-occurred. This locator was used for all discrete sounds, and in order to fix the parameters of continuous sounds. In some cases, pause/lexical location was noted within stretches of continuous sound in order to mark distinctive features. In addition, discrete sounds, when possible, were located in terms of their relative position vis-a-vis the locator by placing a ∇ at the point where the sound was first detectable.

3. Description

a. Singular/Continuous

This category distinguished between discrete and non-discrete sounds. A sound of short duration, such as a click, was labelled with an 's' for singular, while a sustained sound was labelled with a 'c' for continuous. In certain cases, with more than one discrete sound in series, an 's' was still employed, however, a parenthesis was placed around the sound label to indicate serial sounds. e

b. Intensity

The basic unit of sound intensity is the decibel. However, such an absolute measure was not applied, and instead, a relative measurement, contrasting sounds, was recorded on a scale from 1-4, soft to loud respectively. Normal conversational level was seen to be between 2-3. In the case of continuous sounds, the rating was initially applied vis-a-vis all sounds, but subsequently, throughout the duration of that sound, relative to the continuous sound itself, in order to indicate variance.

c. Pitch

Pitch was also rated on a 1-4 scale, from low to high. In this case, an attempt was made to determine the pitch of a sound relative to all other sounds.

d. Extent

The aim of this category was to more accurately distinguish the discrete or continuous life of the sound. In acoustic studies this is referred to as damping, the decrease in amplitude of a sound due to energy loss. Here again, a scale of 1-4 for used, with 1 referring to slowly decaying sounds, and 4 to quickly decaying sounds.

4. Elicitation

The use of the term elicitation may be presumptuous, but as I went through the tape, I became increasingly con-

vinced that there was a causal, or integral relationship between certain sounds and certain events within the interaction.

a. No Response/Compliment/Disrupt e

Blank spaces in this column indicate that no overt response was noticed. Complimentary sounds were those which seemed not to hinder the interaction, yet played a part within the interaction. While disruptive sounds were those which masked the possibility of verbal interaction, verbal auditing, or seemed to produce confusion on the part of the speaker. From a psychological viewpoint, it seems difficult to really distinguish between what is complimentary and what is distractive, however, Disruptive sounds could after all have a very positive timing for a speaker whose thoughts and intentions had become confused. e

b. Underline/Punctuate e

Underline referred to the occurrence of those sounds which co-occured with stressed words, and therefore gave the impression of adding additional emphasis. Punctuate referred to sounds which seemed either to produce or enhance the speaker's punctuation.

c. Turn Taking

Duncan (1977, 1974) has described interactional signals which indicate that the speaker wishes to relinquish his speaking turn, or which, when produced by the auditor, indicate that the auditor would like a

speaking turn, under the label of turn taking. Complimentary to the turn taking system are those utterances or gestures, by which the auditor reassures the speaker of the auditor's attention, indicating that the speaker may continue. Yngve (1970) has called these utterances or gestures back-channels.

This category is quite speculative, and seems applicable to only those sounds created by the actors (p. 6). In order to claim with any degree of confidence that such sounds may indeed play a role within the hierarchies of turn taking cues and back-channels, correlation of such sounds with body motion features would first be necessary. Nevertheless, sounds which coincided with changes of turn, or fell within pauses and seemed to have a back-channel quality, were recorded. D→G indicates a change in turn from Doris to Gregory, while D→D indicates a pause in Doris's delivery, and then resumption of her turn after the sound.

d. Lexical/Pause

This category referred to words or pauses which seemed unique in their relationship with the sound they followed. In the case of a pause, an abrupt break in the speaker's delivery immediately following a sound seems to indicate a loss of concentration. In some cases, sounds seemed to supply specific referents for lexical choices.

e. Comments

A final category for comments contains remarks appropriate to a further understanding of the contextual situation in which the sound occurred.

Discussion

At one point, in Doris Lessing's The Golden Notebook, Anna, the author of the notebooks, decides to meticulously record all the events and experiences of a given day, after which she wonders, "if the fact that I chose to be very conscious of everything that happened yesterday changed the shape of the day." In transcribing the extraneous sounds from the protocol tape, I afterward also wondered whether or not the detailed attention which I had paid to these phenomena, had indeed deluded me into suggesting a significance which could really not be justified. It seemed, as if, by assuming the potential meaningful nature of everything which comprised the interactional environment, that I had already confused my methodology and introduced notions of intention and causality, before any adequate appraisal of the data.

Many shortcomings and caveats seem apparent. Certainly, no such study of extraneous sound is adequate if the data is merely transcribed from a sound tape. Furthermore, it is difficult to determine which sounds actually were audible to the interactants, from those which might have been the product of a faulty tape or of recording equipment feed-back. Also, the descriptive qualities of the sounds are by no means adequately defined or rated in the transcription. The 'elicitations', as has already been noted, are speculative hunches at best. One further problem which deserves mention, is that there may well have been extraneous sounds, apparent to the interactants, which were not transcribed.

What may be concluded, however, is that the occurrence of extraneous sounds within the interaction studied, was quite pervasive. Of the 717 'machine frames' transcribed, 364 contained some form of extraneous sound. Twenty-seven different types of sound were identified and categorized within four broad classes. Further analysis of these sounds, in relation to the film, would allow a more refined and sophisticated categorization. Some further categories of analysis should suggest themselves from an examination of the transcription.

External continuous sounds, train, airplane-drone, and TV, all had quite apparent impact on the interaction. In each of the three train scenes, Doris momentarily hesitates and seems distracted upon first hearing the train's approach, but quickly shifts to attempting to say as much as possible before the train drowned her out, particularly in the first and third scenes. (19-30, 140-158) In each of the three cases, Doris attempts to resume her delivery at the height of the train's distraction, more so in the second (58-72) than first, and third than second.

In three of the five airplane scenes, the most noticeable ones, Doris' choice of words seems influenced by the extraneous sounds' climax. In the first scene, the drone initially causes confusion in Doris' speech, followed by a reference to "rough time". (181-188) The second drone scene has Doris saying "ears up" and "eyes up". (338-353) At the drone's greatest intensity in the third scene, Doris offers "simmered down to a dull roar." (392-417) The climax of the fourth scene seems to distract Doris, resulting in very choppy speech. While the final scene does not seem to have any noticeable effect, (613-660)

Although this final drone scene is the most prolonged, it is also the least intrusive.

The initial television scene is quite distracting. (470-485). It begins with Doris' remark, "I don't want to be interrupted," and concludes with Gregory's aside, "Damn that machine," just as Doris turns down the volume. From this point on the television is noticeable from time to time throughout the remainder of the tape, however, the volume has been greatly reduced. Still, the sound of the television seems to make Brucie's presence felt, as exemplified by continual references of resentment by Doris of her son. } ?!

Internal discrete sounds seemed to have the most interesting relationship to the interaction, possibly because these sounds were the product of the participants' own actions (intentions) and therefore not reacted to primarily as sound, and/or because they were unexpected, causing a startle reaction. Six snapping sounds (39-46), which might be the product of Brucie's gun, frame one of Doris' deliveries. Three of the snaps come at key, stressed, points in the delivery; at "understand", "communicate", and "communication". Assuming Brucie is making these sounds, what is he trying to say? That Doris does not understand him, that there are problems in their communication? Gregory follows this scene by immediately asking, out of context with the content of Doris' words, about "the history of this whole ... problem ... with Bruce."

Another series of snaps, different in quality from the earlier ones, coincide with the toy airplane scene. (114-120) The snaps create distraction (or co-occur with

other distracting features), and almost seem to represent Brucie's calls for attention. Doris' reference to the "mechanical" nature of the problem, follows the first five snaps, of again six total snaps. Billy vocalizes before and after the snapping, but not during.

At one point an extraneous sound seems to be a statement in reaction to Doris' reference to her husband as a "doormat". (189) A loud bang follows.(door slam?)
 { Is this possibly an expression of Brucie's outrage at his mother's unkind reference to his father? At still another
 { point, a tearing sound (418) follows Doris' description of
 { how the neighbors "handle the kids like playthings."
 Two loud clinks (beer mug?) (367) coincide with Brucie's interruption of Doris and Gregory's conversation, as if
 { to indicate to Brucie that he has stepped in out of turn.

Many of the internal discrete sounds occur where one would predict to find turn taking cues or back channels. In addition, there are several incidences where these sounds coincide with punctuational points in the speaker's delivery, or on stressed words. Before speculating any further on the relationship of these sounds to the interaction, we should first discover who is producing these sounds. Whether the sound is the speaker's effort to add redundant emphasis, or the addressed listener responding, or the product someone else's reaction to a dyadic conversation in which they are not directly involved, seems impossible to determine without a synchronized body motion transcription. Nevertheless, such speculations can not a priori be discounted on the basis of this transcription.

Internal continuous sounds, in general seemed to have a disruptive effect on the interaction, confusing the speaker by drawing his or her attention away from the content of the conversation. This distraction and confusion, more probably results from the observable action of which these sounds are the product. Yet again, the sounds themselves can not be ignored since they do have a signalling or attention drawing quality.

External discrete sounds, quite low in intensity, occurred on only two occasions, excluding the train whistles, having no notable impact.

It seems safe to conclude that extraneous sounds can not be viewed as noise alone, hindering conversation, but must also be seen as potentially dynamic/psychological triggering elements within interaction. Although this paper has not suggested any clear definitions of how extraneous sounds are elemental to an interaction, it has been shown that extraneous sounds are pervasive elements of the interactional environment, and therefore deserve attention if we are to fully understand the complexity of human communication. It simply does not seem coincidental that Doris would use a word like "friction" (337) immediately following a scratching sound.

Types of Extraneous Sounds on NHI Tape #1ExternalDiscrete

honk
beep

Continuous

train
train whistle
airplane-drone

Oppiter effect?

InternalDiscrete

click
clink
clunk
clank
tap
thump
plop
clap
steps
thud
scratch
bang (slam)
snap
door opening

Continuous

crackle
shuffle
rattle
rubbing
steps
tearing
crushing
TV

References

- Altman, I. The Environment and Social Behavior. 1975.
- Baumrin, J. M. "Perception of the duration of a silent interval in non-speech stimuli: A test of the motor theory of speech perception." Journal of Speech and Research. 1974 (17). Pp. 294-309.
- Duncan, S. D. "Some signals and rules for taking speaking turns in conversations." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1972 (23). Pp. 283-292.
- Duncan, S. D. & Fiske, D. Face-to-Face Interaction. 1977.
- Duncan, S. D. & Niederhe, G. "On signalling that it's your turn to speak." Journal of Experimental Social Psychology. 1974 (10). Pp. ~~234-247~~.
- Eisenberg, R. B. Auditory Competence in Early Life. 1976.
- Glanzer, M. "Stimulation satiation: An explanation of spontaneous alteration and related phenomenon." Psychological Review. 1953 (60). Pp. 257-268.
- Goffman, E. "The neglected situation." American Anthropologist. 1964 (66). Pp. 133-136.
- Harris, J. D. "Habituated response decrement in the intact organism." Psychological Bulletin. 1943 (40). Pp. 385-422.
- Kaye, G. W. C. "The measurement of noise." Annual Report: The Smithsonian Institution. 1932.
- Kryter, K. The Effects of Noise on Man. 1970.
- Mahl, G. F. "People talking when they can't hear their voices." in Siegman, A. W. & B. Pope, eds. Studies in Dyadic Communication. 1972.
- McQuown, N. A. "Linguistic transcription and specification of psychiatric interview materials." Psychiatry. 1957 (20). Pp. 79-86.
- McQuown, N. A., ed. The Natural History of an Interview. 1971.

Schefflin, A. E. "Natural history method in psychotherapy: Communicational research." in Maher & Pearson eds. Creative Developments in Psychotherapy. 1971. Pp. 393-422.

Yngve, V. H. "On getting a word in edgewise." Papers from the Sixth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society. 1970. Pp. 567-577.

COMMENTS	PAUSE LEXICAL DOING	TURN TAKING	NO RESPONSE UNDERLINE COMPLIMENT PUNCTUATE DISRUPT	EXTENT			PITCH			INTENSITY			SINGULAR CONTINUOUS C C S	SOUND T SNAP T CLICK	PAUSE LEXICAL D. O. O.	EXTERNAL INTERNAL		MACHINE	TEXT
				1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3				E	I		
			U															71	13.1
																		72	13.1
																		73	
																		74	
																		75	
																		76	
																		77	
																		78	
																		79	
																		80	
																		81	
																		82	
																		83	
																		84	
																		85	
																		86	
																		87	
NOT DORIS' USUAL	P I		D	3	2	2	S	SNAP	P. V.	I								88	15.6
																		89	16.1
																		90	
																		91	
																		92	
																		93	
																		94	
																		95	
																		96	
D'I didnt like this you... '17 4	G m-hm'		U	C	3	4	2	S	(CLUNK) CLUNK	P	SAYING	I						97	17.6
																		98	
																		99	
																		100	
PILLOW SCENE		D->B	D	2	2	3	S	(FOOTST) PLDP	P	I								101	19.2
																		102	
																		103	
DORIS CONFUSED	P		U	C	2 4	2 3	2 4	S S	STEPS (CLUNK) CLUNK	P	UH THINK	I I						104	19.6
																		105	20.1

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN	UNDERLINE	NO RESPONSE		EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
	LEXICAL			TAKING	PUNCTUATE									
													106	
						2	2	1	S	HOPE	P	E	107	
BILLY BEGINS													108	
MAKING BUZZING													109	
SOUNDS-AIRPLANE													110	
SCENE													111	
BILLY DOES NOT													112	
VOCALIZE DURING													113	21.6
SCENE				P	D	3	3	1	S	SNAP	P	I	114	22.1
					D	3	3	1	S	SNAP	P	I	115	22.1
													116	
					D	3	3	1	S	SNAP		I	117	
					D	3	3	1	S	SNAP		I	118	
													119	
						3	3	2	S	SNAP	'UK P	I	120	22.5
BILLY VOCALIZING						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	121	22.6
(BUZZING)						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	122	
						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	123	
													124	
													125	
													126	
						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	127	
G "How sure						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	128	
you sexed.."						2	3	1	C	RATTLE		I	129	
													130	
DORIS CHANGES						1	3	1	S	CLICK	'poor'		131	25.1
SUBJECT FROM													132	
POOR TO FINE													133	
													134	
													135	
													136	
													137	
													138	
													139	
						2	3	1	C	TRAIN WHISTLE	'problem'	E	140	26.6

D MECHANICAL

D → D

what's honey?

24.3

G "How sure you sexed.."

P

DORIS CHANGES SUBJECT FROM POOR TO FINE

P

COMMENTS	PAUSE		UNDELINE PUNCTURE	NO RESPONSE COMPLIMENT DISRUPT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE LEXICAL	EXTERNAL INTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
	LEXICAL	TURN TAKING											
DORIS INITIALLY HESITATES					2	3	1	C	TW		E	141	
THEY RUSHES SPEECH					2	3	2	C	TW		E	142	
					2	3	2	C	TW		E	143	
					2	3	2	C	TW		E	144	
					2	3	2	C	TW		E	145	
28.2 ^{turn} D					4	3 4	3	S	CLUMP CLINE ^D these		I	146	28.1
BILLY WHIOPS					2	2	2	S	PLDP ^D stages		I	147	28.2
			D		2	3	3	C	TW	P	E	148	
D he tends to be 'the only chance'			D		2	3	4	C	TW		E	149	28.4
DORIS TRIES TALKING THROUGH-OUT			D		2	3	4	C	TW	D	E	150	
			D		1	2	4	C	T	'not' ^D	E	151	28.5
			D		1	2	4	C	T	P	E	152	
BILLY YELLING DURING SWAPS (5,4)			D		1	2	3	C	T	P	E	153	
			D		1	4	2	C	T ^S SWAP	'He's'	E	154	28.5
					1	2	3	C	T		E	155	
					1	2	2	C	T		E	156	
					1	1	1	C	T		E	157	
					1	1	1	C	T		E	158	
											E	159	
											E	160	
DORIS DISTRACTS QUESTIONING →	P		D		2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE	^P is ^V	I	161	30.2
	HAPPY ^D _{ANSWER}				2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	162	
					2	2	3	C	SHUFFLE		I	163	30.5
												164	
D He doesn't want to be 'b' there'					3	2	2	S	CLAP	^D doesn't	I	165	30.6
					2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	166	
												167	
D might be a 'this' before 'erates' (MASKED)			P		1	3	1	S	CLONK	P	I	168	31.3
												169	
												170	
												171	
sentence broken		G→D	U	C	3	3	4	S	CLONK	^P suspect	I	172	32.3
								C	SHUFFLE		I	173	
DORIS FLUSTERED	P	D→D							SHUFFLE (STEPS)		I	174	
									SNAP	^D much ^V	I	175	32.5

9

COMMENTS

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN	NO RESPONSE	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT	
	LEXICAL								TAKING	UNDERLINE			COMPLIMENT
											211		
											212		
											213		
											214		
											215		
											216		
											217		
											218		
'the' is blurred by clunk	P	→	C/D	3	2	4	S	CLUNK	6 'svom'	I	219	39.6	
											220		
											221		
											222		
											223		
											224		
											225		
											226		
											227		
	There's	6→G ✓	P	C	2	2 3	1 2	S	ALWAYS CLUNK	5 together P	I	228	40.5
											229		
											230		
											231		
											232		
											233		
											234		
											235		
											236		
											237		
											238		
on/off switch like sound 2 ster. 'reppans' (G)	U			2	4 ₂	3	S	CLICK CLICK	6 report		239	42.2	
	P			2	3 ₄	3	S	CLICK CLICK	P		240	42.2	
											241		
	G→D			2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P 'Ahh'		242	42.4	
				2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE			243		
				2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE			244		
				2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE			245		

DOORS C DEFUSED

10

COMMENTS	PAUSE LEXICAL	TURN TAKING	NO RESPONSE UNDERLINE COMPLIMENT PUNCTUATE DISRUPT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSIFY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE LEXICAL	EXTERNAL INTERNAL	MACHINE TEXT
											316
											317
											318
											319
											320
											321
START AFTER CLUNK		D->D	C	3	3	1	S	CLUNK P 'red'	I		322 55.2
											323
											324
											325
											326
D. GOES TO DOOR E should be				3	1	2	S	STEPS P 'rocks'	I		327 56.2
'Johanns gonne throw rocks down for Michael'				2	1	1	C	STEPS P 'whats?'	I		328
				2	1	1	C	STEPS P 'whats?'	I		329 56.4
				4	3	3	S	CLICK P	I		330
				4	2	1	C	SHUFFLE P 'That's'	I		331
											332 56.4
											333
											334
G. STARTS RIGHT AFTER CLICKS LIGHTING CIGARETTE?		D->G	C	2	3	4 ₃	S	CLICK P I	I		335 57.1
				2	4	1	C	SCRATCH P I	I		336
				2	4	2	C	SCRATCH DRONE P 'there'	I		337 57.2
				1	2	3	C	DRONE	E		338
			D	1	2	3	C	DRONE	E		339 57.3
THIS MIGHT BE AN AIRPLANE OVER HEADS				1	2	2	C	DRONE	E		340
				1	2	3	C	DRONE	E		341
				1	1	3	C	DRONE	E		342
				1	2	3	C	DRONE	E		343
				1	1	3	C	DRONE	E		344
				1	1	3	C	DRONE	E		345
				1	1	2	C	DRONE	E		346 58.5
				1	1	2	C	DRONE	E		347
				1	1	2	C	DRONE	E		348 59.1
				1	1	1	C	DRONE	E		349
				1	1	2	C	DRONE	E		350

R
memory

G
Whats?

D
Friction

D
uh uh

D
ears up

D
eyes up

COMMENTS

COMMENTS	PAUSE LEXICAL	TORN TALKING	UNDERLINE PUNCTURE	NO RESPONSE COMPLIMENT DISRUPT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE LEXICAL	EXTERNAL INTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
					4	2	2	C	STEPS	P	I	386	
					4	2	3	C	STEPS	'Put'	I	397	64.6
					2	2	1	C	SHUFFLE			388	
					2	2	1	C	SHUFFLE			389	
												390	
												391	
					2	3	1	C	SHUFFLE AIRPLANE	'seven'	E I	392	65.5
					1	1	1	C	AIRPLANE		E	393	
					2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	394	
					1	2	1	C	AIRPLANE		E	395	
					2	3	1	C	SHUFFLE AIRPLANE	'battles'	I E	396	66.4
					2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE AIRPLANE		I E	397	
					1	2	2	C	A		E	398	
					1	2	2	C	A		E	399	
					1	2	2	C	A		E	400	
					1	2	3	C	A		E	401	
		D → D			1	2	3	C	A	P	E	402	67.3
					1	2	3	C	A	'at'	E I	403	
					1	2	3	C	A		E	404	
					1	1	4	C	A		E	405	
					1	1	4	C	A		E	406	67.5
					1	1	3	C	A		E	407	67.6
					1	2	3	C	A		E	408	
					1	2	3	C	A		E	409	
					1	2	3	C	A		E	410	
					1	1	2	C	A		E	411	
					1	1	2	C	A		E	412	
					1	1	1	C	A		E	413	
					1	1	1	C	A		E	414	
					1	3	1	C	A		E I	415	
									A		E	416	
									A		E	417	
					1	3	3	S	TRAINING SOUND	'playthings'	I	418	69.2
					3	3	2	S	THUMP	'do'	I	419	69.3
												420	

P
simmered
'dullness'

C
C
C

DORIS SLOWS
DOWN
CHANGES
SUBJECT

D
handle kids like
'playthings'

P

U

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN TAKING	NO RESPONSE UNDEFINITE COMPLIMENT PUNCTUATE DISRUPT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL INTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT	
	LEXICAL								LEXICAL				
												456	
												457	
												458	
												459	
												460	
												461	
												462	
												463	
												464	
												465	
												466	
				1	1	1	c	SHUFFLE				467	
DORIS' SPEECH				3	2	1	s	THUD (CLAM)		Company		468	74.5
BROKEN 'Dole'												469	75.1
Want to be interrupted			D	1	3	3	c	TU		When		470	75.2
			D	1	3	3	c	TU				471	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU				472	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU				473	
BILLY ASKS MANS			D	1	3	3	c	TU				474	
HELP FIND			D	1	3	3	c	TU				475	
MILKEY MOUSE			D	1	3	3	c	TU				476	
DORIS RAISES			D	1	3	3	c	TU				477	76.2
VOICE			D	1	3	3	c	TU				478	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU				479	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU				480	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU	P			481	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU	P			482	
			D	1	3	3	c	TU	P			483	
GREGORY →			D	1	3	3	c	TU				484	76.5
'down that machine'				1	3	2	c	TU				485	
				1	2	2	c	TU	P			486	
DORIS TALKING				1	2	2	c	SHUFFLE	P			487	
TO BILLY				1	3	1	c	TU				488	
				1	2	3	s	SCRATCH	P			489	
				4	34	2	s	CLICK CLICK	P			490	

D→B

'Obvious'

'down that
machine'

COMMENTS	LEXICAL	TURN TAKING	AS RESPONSE		EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE LEXICAL	EXTERNAL INTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
			UNDERLINE	COMPLIMENT									
					1	2	1	C	SHUFFLE	Ny22		491	76.6
					1	2	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	492	
					1	2	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	493	
DORIS IS STILL					3	3	3	S	CLUMP		I	494	
TALKING WITH					1	3	3	C	SHUFFLE		I	495	
? 1LL4					1	3	3	C	SHUFFLE		I	496	
					1	2	1	C	SHUFFLE TU	P	I	497	
					1	2	1	C	SHUFFLE TU	P	I	498	
					4	2	2	C	STEPS	P	I	499	
					4	2	2	C	STEPS	one'D	I	500	77.6
?TV →					4	2	2	C	STEPS		I	501	78.1
												502	
												503	
												504	
												505	
												506	
												507	
												508	
												509	
												510	
												511	
												512	
												513	
												514	
												515	
												516	
												517	
												518	
												519	
												520	
												521	
												522	
										#		523	80.2
		D>D			1	2	1	C	DRONE	trying'	E	524	
					1	2	1	C	DRONE		E	525	
					1	2	1	C	DRONE		E	525	

COMMENTS

TURN	NO RESPONSE	UNDERLINE	COMPLIMENT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	INTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
														561
														562
														563
														564
														565
														566
														567
														568
														569
														570
														571
														572
														573
														574
														575
														576
														577
														578
														579
														580
														581
														582
														583
														584
														585
														586
														587
														588
														589
														590
														591
														592
														593
														594
														595

561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595

COMMENTS	TURN	UNDERLINE	NO RESPONSE	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
	LEXICAL	TAKING	PUNCTUATE						COMPLAIN	LEXICAL		
											596	
											597	
											598	
											599	
											600	
											601	
											602	
											603	
				4	2	1	S	THUMP	'objectively'	I	604	90.4
									'Puh'		605	
									'he'	I	606	90.4
				1	3	1	C	TU		I	607	
				1	3	1	C	TU		I	608	
				1	3	1	C	TU		I	609	
				1	3	2	C	TU		I	610	
				1	3	2	C	TU		I	611	
				1	3	2	C	TU		I	612	
				1	3	2	C	TU		I	613	
				1	3	2	C	DRONE		I	614	
				1	3	2	C	TV		I	615	
				1	3	2	C	DRONE		I	616	
				1	3	2	C	TV		I	617	
				1	3	2	C	DRONE		I	618	
				1	3	2	C	TV		I	619	
				1	3	2	C	DRONE		I	620	
				1	3	2	C	TV		I	621	
				1	1	3	C	DRONE		E	621	
				1	1	3	C	DRONE	'That's'	E	622	92.5
				1	1	3	C	DRONE	'No'	E	623	92.6
				1	1	3	C	DRONE		E	624	
				1	1	2	C	DRONE		E	625	
				1	1	2	C	DRONE		E	626	
				1	1	3	C	DRONE		E	627	
				1	1	3	C	DRONE		E	628	
				1	2	2	C	DRONE		E	629	
				1	2	2	C	DRONE		E	630	

DRONE DOES NOT SEEM TO HAVE ANY NOTICEABLE AFFECT DORIS SWITCHES FROM BILLY TO OTHER FAMILY

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN	UNDERLINE	NO RESPONSE	COMPLIMENT	EXTENT	PITCH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
	LEXICAL													
						1	2	3	C	DRIVE		E		631
						1	2	3	C	DRIVE		E		632
						1	2	3	C	DRIVE		E		633
						1	3	3	C	DRIVE		E		634
						1	3	3	C	DRIVE		E		635
						2	2	3	C	DRIVE		E		636
						2	2	2	C	DRIVE		E		637
						1	1	2	C	DRIVE		E		638
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		639
						1	3	1	C	DRIVE		E		640
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		641
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		642
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		643
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		644
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		645
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		646
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		647
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		648
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		649
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		650
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		651
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		652
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		653
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		654
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		655
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		656
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		657
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		658
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		659
						1	1	1	C	DRIVE		E		660
						2	3	2	C	CRUSH	uh	I		661 97.7
						2	3	2	C	CRUSH		I		662
						4	3	2	C	CRUSH		I		663
						4	3	1	C	CRUSH		I		664
						4	3	1	C	CRUSH	well	I		665 98.2

DORIS SOMEWHAT CONFUSED (DEFENSIVE)

P D
in general

P

COMMENTS

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN	UNDERLINE	NO RESERVE	COMPLIMENT	EXTENT	PITLH	INTENSITY	SINGULAR	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT
	LEXICAL	TAKING	PUNCTUATE	DISRUPT	CONTINUOUS				LEXICAL		INTERNAL			
						4	3	1	C	CRUSH		I	666	
													667	
													668	
													669	
	P		V			4	2	1	S	SNAP	'sleep'	I	670	98.5
													671	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	672	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	673	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	674	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	675	
													676	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	677	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	678	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	679	
						4	4	1	S	CLICK	'the'	I	680	99.4
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	681	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	682	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	683	
						1	3	1	C	TU		I	684	
	P					4	3	2	C	SHUFFLE	'sort of'	I	685	99.6
						4	3	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	686	
						1	4	3	1	C	SHUFFLE	I	687	
						1	4	3	2	C	SHUFFLE	I	688	
						1	4	3	2	C	SHUFFLE	I	689	100.3
						2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	690	
						2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	691	
						2	3	2	C	SHUFFLE		I	692	
						3	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P	I	693	
						4	3	2	C	SHUFFLE	P	I	694	
						2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	'BUT OH'	I	695	100.4
						2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P	I	696	
						2	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P	I	697	
						4	4	3	C	SHUFFLE	P	I	698	
													699	100.5
													700	

DORIS
DISTRACED

DORIS
DISTRACED

"I" 100

COMMENTS	PAUSE	TURN	NO RESPONSE			INTENSITY	SINGULAR CONTINUOUS	SOUND	PAUSE	EXTERNAL	MACHINE	TEXT	
	LEXICAL	TAKING	UNDERLINE	COMPLIMENT	DISRUPT				EXTENT	PITCH			LEXICAL
											701		
											702		
						1	2	1	C	TV	laugh ^{af}	I	703 101.2
						1	3	1	C	TV	P	I	704
						1	3	1	C	TV	P	I	705
	P	D→D				2	4	3	S	CLANK	are ^v uh	I	706 101.3
													707
													708
GOES TO DOOR	excuse ^d	me				3	2	2	S	DOOR OPEN?	better ^d	I?	709 101.4
NEIGHBOR HAS											P		710
COME OVER											P		711
FOR BEER.											P		712
											P		713
											P		714
						1	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P		715
						1	2	2	C	SHUFFLE	P		716
						1	3	3	S	DOOR OPEN	HULL ^p		717 101.5
													718
													719
													720
													721
													722
													723
													724
													725
													726
													727
													728
													729
													730
													731
													732
													733
													734
													735

TAPE ENDS

END
of film
"Please Rewind"

Microfilmed by:

University of Chicago

Joseph Regenstein Library

Department of Photoduplication

Chicago, Illinois 60637

MF. COLL. MSS. CULTURAL ANTHROP.

Series. LXI _____

No. 321