31 Nov 56

Dear Mr. Buntings,

Please excuse being a little slow in answering your letter as I have been away for a week. I wish I could do as you suggest but I am so much behind with other work that I dare not.

Yours sincerely,

John Barrie
13 Old Cavendish St.

June 3, 90

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I do not think I can come.

The conclusion that I've

not equal the Stanley article.

I don't have a sufficiently

prolixic knowledge of Africa.

Yet I believe a thorough impartial

review of the expedition and do

real end. If I had had this

comprehensive publish mine last

month and say what I said

fate that the Congo work

was finally chasm because the

king and the Belgians insisted on it

we would have succeeded. I know
now that this is advertised
in the book. I believe it
Stanley's claim is that he
promoted this king, that
this kingdom was restored, that
the same king that his Bathers gave [?] for $100 by any other route. Thus
§ Stanley was to lead them
was no option. But what would
this country properly have done
had it known this?
I do to sustained
will and shall propose some
more military expeditions for
attack from these things
by mines.

Ever yours truly,

J. M. Barrie
Shalb Wev
Kirieermis
Togzshur, 1833.
13th June 82.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Mr. Bather was
 favored with the
 Though Chris-
 Stanley broke all into some
 his friends here but then
 mepshas (some other parts,
 few minutes) of his 2
 terrains) winner are (have
 though in my. Of the usual
 kind darkness.
 I can hope to find you soon.

Yours very truly,

J. M. Barrie
Strath View
Kriemhild
Jan 13. 91

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I have the Stanley brothers two-thirds done but—I want
more art as I have hoped and I am in the state of mind I
was when I first wrote I.

I am out of their days I can't
describe the way I still my
best plan is to abandon it.
I by 7 do something better for March
month. That and one or
an opportunity I cannot say first
but as I come coming back
I found in the end this
month and have some things
in my head which I like.
and think you might like

no. They are more elaborate

than they could be.

I do this month in a hurry.

I see that my letters D

letter were both and - b -

in New Press, but I suppose

you for them.

Give me help

J. M. Barrie
15 - Old Canadian Shiel.

Canadian Square

May 6

Dear Barrie,

I have been

for the last... months, and...

and by by

Yours truly,

J. M. Barrie

1896
15 old Cambridge St.
Cambridge Square W.

6.2.21.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I enclose two papers on Kipling. I have got back 1. How to write. I hope this address.

Yours truly,

J. M. Barrie
13, 50 Cambridge Street
Cambridge Square

12. 2. 51

Dear the Bunicup,

I suppose I need not ask whether this about poor
Uncle is true. It is very sad,

I am very sorry, but I cannot do more

Yours truly,

J. M. Barrie
Dear Mr. Bunbury,

Many thanks for your letter and its kind expression of those old days. This I shall always remember with pleasure and with gratitude.

I have an idea for a slightly earlier contemporary novel and —
I was, in the company of some friends, and we all had a good time.

John Barrie
Strathmore.

Yours ever,

March 19th, 1895

Dear Sir,

My husband, Mr. F. B., has influenza quite bad,

and so I must not answer

your letter. In these circumstances,

kindly excuse his not sending

anything in the style of a

question, which he would

have otherwise done.
come with pleasure.
I mean to leave you without half Payne.

Yours truly,

Mary Harris

Percy W. Bunting
Bridge House, Dorchester, Wallingford.
Sept. 27th, 1884.

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your kind letter with the cheque enclosed. My article appeared in very good company, I think; and as your September number was much praised in the newspapers, my contribution also came in for a share. The Spectator, I am told, was very complimentary, and I have been lost other friendly criticism, including that of the catholic journals which gave me long review. I should be glad to see an answer from the opposite camp; but they much prefer...
I wonder whether, in the course of a month or so, I might submit for your acceptance a paper on Amiel's *Journal Intime*, which has excited peculiar attention abroad and is really a remarkable and even extraordinary book. A very short account of it was given in the *Contemporary* by Mr. Gabriel Monod, who writes your brilliant reviews of French literature. I am much in that line myself, and have probably read more of the modern French authors than it is at all usual in England. The gist of Mr. Amiel's problem is the relation of religion to the Churches and positive dogma; a subject which he treats, in a kind of religious diary, with astonishing freshness, power, and poetic genius, like a new Ossian. There is nothing conventional in his book; I think here would not be an excess of commonplace in my article. It has been in the making now nearly a year.

Believe me,

Very faithfully yours,

William Barry.