Dear Sir —

Please forgive me, if owing to a pressing middle of work for an important institution, I have neglected to reply to your letter. Of course I should be very much gratified to write anything for the Contemporary. Might I send in the title & abstract of an article in a few days & the thing itself in about a fortnight? Please excuse the apparent abruptness of this, which is due to the chaos above described.

Yours, with thanks & faithfully,

[Signature]
Dear Sir Percy Bunting,

I am so sorry that your letter has lacked all return and has even missed our address. We have been all this summer a sort of Gypsy—without a caravan. I am considerably attracted by your idea of an article on the tragic quality of true religion. I believe I could do it well enough. But I ought to warn you that if I do it will amount to an incidental attack on Christian Science. Christianity is founded on pain which is founded on flesh. Christian Science denies both flesh and pain. If you don't want an attack on this particular theory, I had better write about something else...

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

The White Cottage
Harrow Road
Maidenhead

1909
My dear Sir,

You asked me to send you my lecture on the law that my brother and I, at 8 30, at the request of a committee, to which he [Bill and] criticism altered (the time). There was a good attendance.

The lecture was by Archbishop Chalmers, hosted by Archbishop Chalmers, who presided over the meeting. At Archbishop Chalmers proposed a meeting of...

...and a successful resolution. It was: although the main point of view cannot be attached to this; it may have been something...

Yours ever, yours, your

South Ely
Oxford
June 5, 1874.
all to judge of the lecture, unless I were to read it again. The ancient, and in
which my own abruptness, and pondering, if not immediate present, fills
up.
If supplements, I think, would be better, or an
Nay; an abstract, (to show connection).—
Pap. I rule a common rhythm, from. Spiritual
mechanics cannot be amended by spiritual content.
The lecture, sympathetic, in its difficulty and
bust of practical charm and f of all who have to
feel their own way into 'Reality !'
M'cKenzie seemed, because the distinctly defined
lifity are not taken. (Take pity must be confessed.)

2. Summary: 6 are an 'advanced order.'
Here words are thrown by others. Mr. Steele, in
just citation, says 'as under an established
plan there is formed in Praguerle (1).
Came hnowledge of criticism's fat, from
shaped by lot of pressum's of h.t.
Wilde's own, mine. Touched a fancy to it.
the expression, an indecision, to set to
set to distinctly. It an analysis certain trend,
but let them who led them learning their intellectual basis not forget. They should then urge to results what have been, as an honest authorizing, as not many schemes by women. It will be, at least, from our friends, or those with whom they are, to draw, say a word in an affair. Do you, in thought, have a fair for a garder? This own winds level in different directions. Let us assume born, but he must be ours when he remains. Dr. Stack's Introductions (1790). Dr. Stack is not a true disciple in criticism. Stack is not a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism. He is a true disciple in criticism.

But are these results? I know he will that of great many to this on. I saw the letter of German very comprehensible. I can say my paper to be English. But it is international; and will criticism. doing away in this language, if Dr. Falsky. We can go back to this language. President of its Congress? I think, to be honest. Presidents of it Congress? I think, to be honest. Presidents of it Congress? I think, to be honest.
as Michael was ready to come down.

Act two: I write down, nothing short.

A Bellman, I suppose. Why shall I ask for any details? In the end of all, if I am interested.

But let the song be done, I am not satisfied.

in some dream out, can you satisfy?

— I have not read much of Bellman. If

I met a bell, it was bell, and

a kind one to be heard by them who are not

fascinated with previous tunes, out of all, it has

fascination with previous tunes, out of all, it has

fascination with previous tunes, out of all, it has

fascination with previous tunes.

In seeking to attract better, I

am.

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?

Why do I not divide it simply according?
I remind you that in December you have
sent me a letter about your having suffered from
the result of our... Paper at University...?...
(May move to new venue to study at Oxford.)

Churchill (Summer). Objecting
Schools. Propensity to... Mrs. Wood. ...=... 2

What sort of... you will want to consider? If
not a... must be. But if you
believe it must be, it must. But if you
consider it must not be. But if you
consider it must not be.

development of... C. 1. 2. reception.

C. N. I. end it not a sort of inter-

Schnier / supra-receptor.

...}

Read you mind regarding our 6th paper carefully! 6
in an exclamation
as let me know what you think. .

& written in your handwriting. Is your mind clear of its main features, 

& written in your handwriting. Is your mind clear of its main features, 

Praise in him you will get you later suggests! 

Yours of ten

F. K. Cheyne
Dear Mr. Bunting,

Having this in the Contemplative frame some weeks ago, I think it

annoyed to be made about it without any cathedral, I think

hastily you might be interested to see it under another. Being

longly you might be interested to see it under another. Being

triumphed, and ever since, 6 Rochester, for three months, it

triumphed, and ever since, 6 Rochester, for three months, it

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am
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triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am
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triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am

triumphed. I will not make here and now of this. I am
My dear Sir,

Mr. F. P. Badham, whom I have known for years as an original and indefatigable student of New Testament criticism, can now be told his degree at Oxford, has asked me for an introduction to you. I think that he has something to say, and I am to ask for her any assistance he may offer that friendly considera-

The Presbytery
Ashfield
Aug. 19, 1878
rat in what you are about to pin to the work of rising through out Iraq's soldiers.

I am, my dearest,

Yours very truly,

J. K. Cheyne.
Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am sorry to hear you met at Mansfield College, but I hope I may still remain in your recollection. I want to offer you for the "Contemporary Review" an article on Babylonian Affinities of Hebrew Tradition, which will be available for an or soon or it has been delivered as a

[Inferred]

I am to lecture at Oxford on the 6th or 7th of May. It will take about an hour in delivering, but can possibly be shortened a little.
1. (Compound)

Then he went to the

place where the

country

laid in the

form of

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.

Then let it be

that the

country

is the

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.

Then let it be

that the

country

is the

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.

Then let it be

that the

country

is the

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.

Then let it be

that the

country

is the

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.

Then let it be

that the

country

is the

materiality

that it is. It is

true. It is true.
Dear Sir,

In your letter of Jan. 9 you suggest
reading an article on the introduction of
Dr. Testament for the Church's Mission.
I decided to take a little time to consider it.
I wish now to ask whether, instead of such
an article, a lecture on "The present state of
knowledge with regard to the Old Testament
writing, the accuracy of a practical comment,
writing, and the certainty of a practical com-
mentary", might still be of help to the Church & Circuit "until
minister of the Church & Circuit. " Until
my departure."

In.accepted? At what point of time should
be accepted? What is the point of time that
London Royal Geographical Society, I have promised
to deliver an address on this subject, as in
"with
for the lecture at the British Museum on June 4, as the
least event of the dedication," as it
least event of the dedication. Then I have said that in
mother's invitation letter.

At our next address I shall partially deal with many points affecting our educational system now prevalent in the Church from time to time on our consideration. The Testament we also be specially referred to.

The address will be a practical one, but I do not mean this in the usual sense. It is only from a man of the world that I shall pin it, or I do not consider anything I think from the book of study to be

misunderstood, what an able man to assist you in what you think it false.

Yours faithfully,

J. K. Cheyne.
Chandernagore

72 Upper Circular Road
Calcutta

26 February 1883.

Dear Sir,

I thank you heartily for the honor you have done me by asking me to write for so able and influential a periodical as the "Contemporary Review," and I wish I could promptly accede to your kind request. I regret, however, that in the present state of my health I am hardly equal to the task. As soon as I feel a little stronger I shall begin to write, and I hope I may send you something which will not be unacceptable to the English public.

Trusting you will not mind the delay and thanking you again for your kind request.

I am

Yours Truly

[Signature]
Kilcreoich Lodge
Scottish Liberal Club
Edinburgh.

31st Dec 1865

Dear Sir,

I hope one day
from home for some little
time. Perhaps after these
whether I am like again to live
I am common punctilious
without any kind, after the letter here I could not carry answer your question.

Sincerely,
H. C. E. Childers

P.S. While doing so.
Gawthorpe Hall,
Burnley, Lancashire.

Pural.
19th Dec 1853.

Sir,

I am flattered by the compliment you pay me in asking me to answer Sir Skelton’s untimely article.

But I hold very strongly the opinion that Cabinet, Secrecy, ought not to write within periodical Press on political or semi-political questions.
I expected that when I made, in April last, a complete statement of the finances of recent administrations, I was to be replied to, with

Howard Commons, by Sir A. Northcote, and it would appear your reply to come 9 months afterwards in a shape so alarming as to render your rejoinder from me. I

Hugh C. E. Childers

Old Bunting Ey
May 23, 1891

My dear Sir,

May I venture to remind you of an article

Wh. I sent you several

months ago, which you

were good enough to say

when I had the pleasure

of meeting you at T. Darwin's

table—that you hoped to

be able to write in
the contemporary, I do so mainly or almost en. 

ing because I am really anxious that the points taken in it against the modern clerical opprobrium 

should be kept before the public, & I cannot but think that the letter I 

sent to Long & your friends, & an anonymous communication 

but today — has stimulated

in the Juines, rather deeper 

tests there in a public where is ready to have its attention 

called to the subject.

It would now be long to 

show that the recent re-

search is showing more & 

more that the old tradition 

branch from this: The Reforma-

tion are far nearer to 

the facts than the modern

H.C. Re-reading of them: Am 

is the communion the key 

to the reformation clergy — the 

independence to spread evil or 

division, & the
different times than Reformation
in England but in Scotland, then
Zwinglian & then Calvinistic
can all now be cleared & laid
broad. & F. Garstec has
shown clearly that the repri
of Edward VI & both were
tide the Same heaving but
the one the German Reform
liberally - Sam

Faithfully yours
from W. Chris.
Cowley House,
Oxford.
Dec 10 1890

Dear Mr. Fairbairn,

I send you the paper on. I have been
intervened from sending
before. I fear it is there
long. I think this
last few happen bringing in
Palmer's visit to the Russian
Church are important as
illustrating the position.
I have taken up on that
Hope anything may be
any thing that you have
but cannot be real
anything at all.

Yours very truly

W. Child.