May 12

Dear Sir,

I am sending you an article for the Contemporary on "The Position of the Irish Tenant" to answer to such statements on that subject as we have constandy been hear from the Walford, Land Galibry, and the Dill, and others. Mr. Herten of the Home Rule League has informed me that you will be kind enough to take it. I hope you will find it worthy of the Magazine.

P. W. Dunlop Esq

Very faithfully,

G. Clancy
Draper, Co. Down
July 13th 1889

My dear sir,

May I assure you I am not having time answered your kind letter enclosed cheque for £15-15-0 in payment of my article in The Contemporary. The cheque is a liberal one and altogether I feel much indebted to you for your kindness.

With many thanks for your kindness, yours faithfully,

[Handwritten Signature]

Mary W. Bruntz Esq.
53 Butt and Baggot Street, Dublin
Oct. 14th, 1857

My dear Sir,

This is to introduce to you Mr. Charles W. McManus, an Irish
Civil servant and an Ulster Mil-
man now on furlough. He has, I
understand, an article to show
you while you may be able to
use in the Contemporary. I should
be very glad of any courtesy you
can extend him. Yours faithfully,

Percy W. Bunting Esq.

Mary McManus
11 Old Sack, Limerick
16, Cavendish Square W. 28 April 23

Dear Mr. Morley,

I regret that I cannot say that your letter of the 3rd March has come to my attention. Please be informed that I am a busy physician that the immediate claims of my work take precedence over all other claims. Moreover, the family life, that proceeds over fifty letters a day, that I am frequently summoned to distant parts of the country, that I am presiding over three of my lectures, that no consequences of that
These things and of the
complications entanglement
therein, with irregularities
which may last my
correspondence is often
brought to a standstill. There
came to be before me as
there are now over three
hundred unanswered
letters.

I was born to decline the
pleasure with any request
coming from you. I was

a little while to see if
work would baffle a letter
would be set on the table.
I once longed the
paper on the Alcove
question which is in my
letters and which I
agree with you in these

ed.

Unfortunately however the
burden of unavoidable
work is greater than ever;
but this and a dear letter
unable to give a space for

Must to the Undertaking.

Laten me having the

Owe you to comply with

For respect I shall only

So prudence that may

Owe to put my expenses

Believe me

Munnings

Michael
Dear Mr Bunting,

Very many thanks for the cheque you have sent me, receipt for which I enclose. Mr Bennett has so riveted the attention of readers this month that I have suffered, as you say. But Mr Morley told me he had read with great interest a substantial agreement what I had written, & several other persons have been kind enough to express a similar view. There is a certain paradox about Bismarck & his work: on one side most of what he did seems almost inevitable, while on the other it is to be condemned on grounds of morality & liberty. I am, however, convinced that a great struggle is coming in Germany between the principles of Bismarck & those of democracy. It seems strange, coming just after an article, to ask you if you will take another. But the point is that I read a paper on the question of the House of Lords to the Rainbow Circle which meets at Mr Stapley's, & though there was a good deal of disagreement as to specific points made in the paper, there was also a unanimous desire that it should be published as my last paper read there will be published next March in the Political Science Quarterly in New York. I take a line which you might not agree with, for I have never believed in the bona fides of the Liberal attacks on the House of Lords, nor do I believe that the so-called masses care two straws about the subject. The English people, I maintain, have no theoretic belief in equality—less than almost any people in the civilized world & they will never attack the House of Lords unless on the ground of a substantial grievance where the H. of L. manifestly stands in the way. The H. of L. has a good deal of tact, & it rarely does that. I do not think anything of their throwing out the last Home Rule bill, for they knew the country did not care for it. When the country is bent on having anything, the H. of L. will, in my judgment give way. However, I advocate the limitation of the veto as the most practicable proposal there is, after demolishing the other proposals that have been put forward from time to time. I should amend & slightly enlarge the paper if you chose to take it in view of the fact that the Liberal party will certainly from now to the general election dwell on this question perhaps more than on any other. Wishing you a very happy new year,

I am, dear Mr Bunting,

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

35 Cheyne Court, Chelsea, S.W.
Jan 10, 1899.
Dear Mr Bunting,

In reply to your kind letter, I did not think of the article as appearing till the March or April number. I should also like to make a fair copy, altering here & there, & eliminating some merely personal & local allusions intelligible to my friends of the Rainbow Circle, but not fitted for the general public. As soon as I have done this, I will send the MS on to you. Whether you will agree with its general line I do not know, but I think you will find the argument interesting & in some respects original. It is quite evident, I think, that the Liberals can never come into power till they have in some way made up their minds on this question, & yet I see no sign of any conclusion beyond vague denunciation which has been going on for years without doing the House of Lords the slightest harm.

I am, yours very truly,

William Blanke

55 Cheyne Court, Chelsea, S.W.
January 13, 1899