Dear Sir,

Please acquaint me of your proposal. I have been much occupied. Unfortunately, there are no subjects in my mind of present who would be available. But if anything should turn up, upon which I could say
anything to the point, as for example, new developments in any subject in which I am specially interested, I will bear your invitation in mind.

With many thanks,

Yours faithfully,

A. L. Dobson

P.S. I think I have not seen in the Contemporary since 1889.
My dear Sir,

I shall be happy to write the article for the sum you name, and will give my best attention to it as soon as I receive the sketches.

Perhaps when you send them, you will kindly name the date when the copy must be sent in, to be in time for the October number?

In haste,

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]

45 Eaton Rise
Islington, W
July 16, 1889
8 Eyrebroch Place,
Glasgow.

2 July 89

My Dear Sir,

It is a real pain to me to refuse your request. But my appointment to a chair in the New College involves a very great deal of work—so much, that I cannot for a long time undertake any additional
The subject you propose is a most attractive one, but must be handled with care and delicacy. What precisely do you recommend? 

Yours sincerely,

Marcus S. O. O
Dear Sir,

Prof. Geffken is a distinguished writer and a first-rate scholar in questions of European and German Politics, international law, colonial affairs, social matters, relation between Church and State. I don’t believe that he would like to write on general literature, in the way, in which Monod writes on French literature. I don’t know whether he pays sufficient attention to the study of historical literature and the development of historical research in Germany, to be capable of writing a substantial review.
of this important branch of literature, perhaps he would.

Mr. Geffken is a sincere, believing Christian of old and a warm friend and member of the Lutheran Church, but as far as I can judge, without the least suspicion of mind or even the shadow of intolerance. I may designate him as a liberal-conservative. Since my last letter to you I have endeavored to procure better information respecting the religious and controversial views and opinions of Dr. Susey, than I had; but I have not yet received from England some of his works, which are not within my reach. I have read a remarkably

article on Susey in the preceding number of the Quarterly Review; it has convinced me that, not to lay myself open to more attack and reproach, I must read much more of his publications and wait for the approval forthcoming work of his biographer. Actually besides the literary labors, with an agreement entered into with a publisher, under imperative, I am in a state of regular siege laid by a crew of quacks, who has arrived from England to require my assistance to the publication of a theological work. From it help writing every day, and I had lost reputation and more leisure.

Believe me, yours truly,

J. Geßlinger
University.
St. Andrews, N.B.
Dec 16th 87

Sir,

Two articles of mine on the Position and Influence of women in Ancient Greece were published in the 32nd and 34th volumes of the Contemporary Review. I promised Mr. Strahan to write other articles on the Position of women in Rome and in the Christian Church during the Ante-Nicene period. I have now finished these articles—two on the Position of
women in Ancient Rome, and one on the Position of Women in the Ancient Church. I beg to offer them to you for the Contemporary, as the previous articles were published there. I resolved with the intention of the refusal to publish them separately, and I shall bespeak the same favour with you, if you see your way to accept them. The articles are based on a thorough study of the sources and contain many points now to scholars & general readers. I have made them easy readable, having reserved all side-discussions and interclusions of disputed passages for the book.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,

James Donaldson.
Thea Church College
Glasgow, 4th March 1870

To the Editor of the
Contemporary Review

Dear Sir,

Last month you in-
ported a well-written ar-
ticle by my friend Re-
form Driver in favour
of a new rather popu-
lar view in Old Testa-
ment Criticism.

I do not know how
for you care I go into the-

logical discussion. But as to
many of your Christian
readers these views are
very distasteful, and as
they believe that even
his learning and ability
merely present a series
of improved assumptions
to say the least against
them. I am sure it
would be gratifying to
have a reply, my fair
Periform Green Prince.

Your more be namus and
admits to be a freeman
worthy of his stock.

I am,
with much respect,
yours faithfully
George C. M. Douglas

(This is the Principal of
the Church College Glasgow
— When Drummond & Bruce
and Cambie went — They was
on the Pension Committee.
Better tell him, I suppose, you
have a reply in hand.)
Hotel Britannique, Ave: Victoria
Paris: France Nov. 4, 1880

Wm. Burton Esq:

Dear Sir: I have just received through the kindness of Miss Stephens of Bridport your respected note requesting me to write an article on the progress of the recently emancipated people in America. I have not come abroad to represent the Colored people of America, but I shall be happy to lend you the article requested at some time prior to the month of April next so that should I be able to be in London during the Clay Meeting it will serve as an introduction as well as be of service to the cause of the people deserving the sympathy of good men and women throughout the world.

Truly yours,
Frederick Douglass