My dear Mr. Burley,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your note of the 1st, but I am sorry to say I have so much business on hand of one kind and another, that I am not contemplating at present any task so agreeable as the one to which you refer.

Yours sincerely,

Ryehams and Ava
3 Carlton Gardens
Glasgow. April 17, 1875.

Dear Sir,

Allow me to thank you most heartily for your generous permission & reply to Dr. Watson's critique. I have not ventured to reply to any of the criticisms upon "Richard Laws," and I think perhaps I ought to adhere to the rule in this case also. For about a year after my book was published I was wandering in Central Africa, beyond the reach of newspapers; and since coming back
I have allowed things to take their course. I thank you, nevertheless, most sincerely for your care.

I remain

[Signature]

Henry Drummond.
3 Park Circus, Glasgow.


My dear Sir,

I am very sorry that pressure of work makes it impossible for me to undertake the small service you are kind enough to ask.

Such an article —

JW at first would cause

to most valuable and the
materials for it, I presume are abundant. The progress here is substantial, and it's due to some conservation. I am very sorry to be unable to make the attempt.

[Signature]

Henry Hammond.
Christ Church.
Oxford.

Mar. 29

My dear Sir Percy,

Dr. Stephen Langton, late Reader in Theology, is a very competent theologian. He has written an article in 'Adler' in the name of the Duke, which I have seen. I which I think was possibly from some other source, and is not suitable for the Caroline Review. A similar expedition has been necessary in his 

1 Adler for the East 30
4 years. I have known much light on the geography of the ancient city, for the position of the walls, streets, temples, etc., etc. These various inscriptions, Dr. Langdon has himself edited some of the building inscriptions of the Later Red kings; but no general account of the most recent discoveries has appeared in English. The door includes in his article translations of some verbal hymns belonging to the same period, some of which have not been translated before. These are three places in the walls, one of the city, another walls, the two others (small ones) of temples, in palaces: why would I wish, from word-cuts, I would not, I could think, cause much expense a difficulty. Existing plans of ancient Babylon in a very imaginative English, are found on one of
opposite, made some 50 years ago. I now wish to publish it.

I think that the article would be of interest to many readers. If parts of it

and I send it you for Technical.

be sent to doubt or modify or

with them. Would you be willing

for him to submit the article

to you?

Believe me,

Your sincerely,

Dr. Langdon's ancestors, S.R. Steele,

and connected with defeat. He the first

and most of his life in America

(some Americans) perhaps with you my

advice, will not be corrected. The

printers). During the last few years, he

had made) under the best compositor-

(pist Kohler, Thureau-Dangin in Paris,

Zimmerman in Leipzig, and others.
Dear Mr. Burton,

I cannot ascertain what the "Roll of Joshua" alluded to is; but I am no doubt that it is, as you surmise, a medieval production, containing legends — Haggada's Midrash's, as they are termed — based upon the O.T., combined with matter derived from Talmidic.

the contents of the roll, as summarised (and endorsed with)
are anything but calculated
to inspire confidence! The
roll might, perhaps, contain
something of interest as a "mid-
rash," but nothing which
as serious history.

Deliver me
yours very sincerely

S. R. F. ion.
Jan. 21

My dear Sir,

I am sorry to have been unable to send you anything; but hitherto all my spare time has been occupied with revision. I have just returned from a meeting of 10 days. Through we can see now that three more will complete the work, I shall save...
to attend another, as soon
asTerm is over. I may find
that I have some free leisure
during this Term; but cannot
got speak definitely. You
will recollect that I offered
you something which would
tune filled the gap. (which
I venture to think would have
been well suited) to the Review.
The time has gone by for
publishing that now (at
least in such a form): if,

Therefore, you are anxious
for an article soon. I hope
you will not consider your
self bound to me. But
will come out (or another
winter. It is not for me
to suggest to names: but
there is no one. I am sure
who could do so for you what
I mean. I wish better (in the
Rev. T. K. Cheyne (Teddington,
Cheltenham). I am obliged of
course, to think first of
my academic work. (for the
present] in the revision; I expected certainly, when I
left work, it came too
more leisure towards the end of the year. But was
disappointed.

I have not yet noticed the
Dean of Peterborough's pa-
per, which you spoke about
(unless, indeed, it should be
in the January number).

Believe me
Your very faithfully

S. H. Tyrrell
My dear Sir,

I have been considering your letter, and have decided to

write a note in the meantime. I am

at present occupied with work,

and I think it would be for some little time,

so that in my case I could not undertake it immediately.

Are you sure that the subject

of the letter is not better left

alone? I am sure that it

remains where it is.
I will communicate with you. I may have more leisure for considering it in the course of the summer.

Yours very sincerely,

S. R. Driscoll.

It seems to come from as a witness against or the Dean: I agree in much that he says. No facts there seem to me to be points where the same principles which he has admitted, involve, or permit conclusions which he does not apparently draw himself. I will hear your proposal in mind: if a suitable occasion for unity on the subject comes, it offers itself.
Ch. Ch.
Feb. 5
1892

To dear Sir,

I am much obliged to you for the cheque. It is difficult to tell a man that he is incorrect in terms that will be perfectly acceptable to him; but I am sorry that Principal Carew should think my manner deferential in comparison. It is rather a pity if you might so too, but you did not appear a note to the proof; I can not concerne, but I had some idea what was perfectly legitimate in this view. I was not sensible.
being 'injured', no. I write in hate.

It should be remembered that the expression comes entirely from his side. In my article two years ago I made no reference to him; and the note in my (blind) (p. 164) is perfectly respectful. But he refuses to me to write as though he were invited, but more notice has not been taken of what he said said. The just when this is examined, it is found, while marked & great arrogance to the most superficial person, while ordinarily commonplace, he makes insinuations which I feel to be extremely unjust; there are parts of the article (of 899-901) in which I consider he has dealt with me in an unfair manner, simply, for instance, in a way which the ordinary reader cannot possibly check, but my statements are false. I maintain that an examinee of them, 'Adler as East', would have known the material foundation (p. 899, 901 ff.). It was this part of the act, and more than any other, which prompted me to reply. I, 900 a.m. be in-suitable, but I realize an unfair species of 'famous ability'. (sent to 'captions' arguments. And he
stake my view, so imperfectly as to create an impression in the mind of the casual reader of your Review. a prejudice against it (against myself at the same time) & withholding facts, which are essential to it, I want which it cannot be properly appreciated. What I said at the beginning is true: I could hardly not have written this article at all: but the minimum you & I, through your Review, to mislead news about myself, seemed to make it desirable that I should do so.

Believe me,
your sincerely,

I fear I cannot add S. R. Dyer's present entelecyes the other project you have in mind.
Jen. 4. 1891.

My Dear Sir,

I am so much occupied at present with other work—especially with the completion of my _Introduction_ to the O.T._—that I cannot entertain the idea of undertaking anything in addition.

I wish that you could induce W. A. Smith— if indeed you have not done so already—to write...
an article on the President's recent book on the U.S. He would do it uncommonly well; I very probably (unless his health stands in the way) would not be unwilling to undertake it.

Dehurie me

Yours sincerely

S. R. Drake
38 Drayton Rd.
Kings Heath.
Birmingham

25. 6. 09.

Dear Sir,

I beg to enclose for your consideration an article entitled "William Morris and W. H. Hope". As you are, of course, aware, W. Hope's book on Morris has come in for severe handiwork by many critics, and, in my opinion, unjustly so. I trust that this particular circumstance, together with whatever intrinsic value the essay may possess, will enable you to use it for publication in The Contemporary. Should you consider any alteration in detail necessary, I should be happy to meet...
Your requirements as far as
lay in any power.

Faithfully yours,

John.

The Editor,
The Contemporary Review.
38 Drayton Rd.
Kings Heath
Birmingham

9.8.05

Dear Sir,

I enclose a poem entitled 'The Lady Lama'
which I trust you may be able to accept.

Faithfully yours,

John [signature]

The Editor,
The Contemporary Review.
Winstead,
Temple Road.

Rathmines, Dublin.
Feb 13. 1883.

Dear Sir,

I am much obliged to you for your kind invitation. Though ceasing to have the C. R. to the first affection for the C. R., to the second number of which I contributed one of my earliest printed articles, I have been working on it for the last few years with a view to a study of foettre with the aid of all the recent literature. I think English of the subject must attack foettre anew, so much has appeared since the life of Joyce was written. Dunster's life of
Jocurie is shortly published; by
Macmillan will perhaps begin the
same.
From what I have worked at present,
if general interest could be separated
and made into articles for a review
one or more than one, if you
choose:
e.g. (1) G.'s friendship in age with
Marmaduke van Williams, & his best-
father, Brown.
(2) J. and Spinoza.
(3) G. & the French Revolution (i.e.-
including the little known dramas
Drei Aufgaben, Der Bingu General,
Drei Aufgaben, & The Natural
Daughter).
(4) Jocurie as a lyrical work
(though chronologically).
(Any of these or such as these to be, afterwards, reprinted in my Study of Poetry)
of miscellaneous subjects,
I will add a list from my note book
from which you may pick—do not
therefore be alarmed at its length?
1. Sally Prunugaffe (elected last year to
a chair in the Academy) partly written
in French or Latin.
2. André Chénier (most recently published).
3. Shakespeare in France
(though partly spoiled)
4. Shakespeare in Germany (one of the
earliest known works)
5. A Predecessor of Shakespeare (Robert
Greene who interests me much—
a great love, not lost, & reprinted
ever sought. DISTANT has reprinted
8 vols. of his Prose works).
6. The first coming letters to Carlyle &
Emerson.
7. English Elegiac Poetry (from the Early
English 'The Pearl' downward).
8. Optimism & Pessimism in Poetry
Only vaguely concerned with the
German &v Shakespeare, whom I know pretty well,
represent's Pessimism.
I must ask you
to forgive my over-liberal exposure of my wares—some only shadowy wares as yet.

Faithfully yours,

E. Dowden.
Dear Sir,

I owe you an apology for not having fulfilled my promise to send you my article on "fo ther in the French Revolution" some time since the unexpected completion of certain negotiations between an English & American publishing house threw an immediate pressure of work on me in preparing a text of Shakespeare for an American fellow-labourer in a projected international edition. I have...
not yet worked far enough into this large undertaking to turn aside. But it interests me far less now than my work at Goethe, and I write to ask you not to consider me unfaithful, rather to be assured that as soon as I can carve out some vacant time I will set to on the work I am most anxious to get at.

Very truly yours,

Edward Dowden
Winstead,
Temple Road,
Rathmines.
Dublin.
Dec 16. 1883.

My dear Sir,

I am to blame, but my history for several months has been in brief the effort to get through an edition of Shakespeare, or rather two editions, one of which when well forward underwent a temporary collision in consequence of disagreement between American and English publishing houses. This part, a reading much work consequent on an invitation from Sir Percy Sha'd Shelley to undertake the examination of the papers in their possession with a view to a life of the poet, which is now my main concern.

Consequently my work
on Goethe has been pushed away for a time.

Last Monday I lectured to a good audience at, in, or before (which is the right word?) the Birmingham Midland Institute on "Shakespeare's Portraits of Women." It was only reported in the briefest abstract.

I could get this ready & let you see it at once, or, give you an article on "Wilhelm Meister." In any case I shall probably send my Birmingham Lecture to some periodical, if you might as well see it first. It is not inconvenience to me, if you did not accept it.

The "Wilhelm Meister" is an attempt to put the English reader at the right point of view for understanding what the point of strange work. My point of view in the "literaturgeschichte des achtzehnten Jahrhunderts," so that it is not new to a German student, but I have never seen this - I believe the only English critic expressing by an English critic. Very truly yours,

Edward Bowsher
Winstead,

Temple Road,

Rathmines,

Dublin —

June 21, 1864.

My dear Sir,

I have written the short article of which I spoke to you, calling it "Shelley & Prince Haminatoff." It gives an account of some little discoveries made since I have been engaged on a life of Shelley by the invitation of Sir Percy & Lady Shelley & with access to all the family papers. Some references in Shelley's & Mary's unpublished Diaries enabled me to identify an article in the 'Critical Review' for Dec 1814 as Shelley's. It deals with a romance by Shelley's friend & biographer T. J. Hogg called "The Memoirs of Prince Alexy Haminatoff." This has completely
of any work by Shelley, & have not
yet been noticed by any student.
I have ascertained from Mr. Rossetti
Mr. Garnett & Mr. Burton Forman
that my little finds were unknown
to them. I rashly allowed Mr.
Forman to include a mention of
them (excepting HarinastoFF) in
a Shelley Bibliography which he is
preparing, & as I am unwilling
to revoke the permission given to
him, I am anxious that my
article should appear before his
Bibliography. I am unwilling to
ask you to give me a place in
the C.R. a second time following
close upon the first. But I wish
to give you the choice of accepting
or declining the article, with the
condition of its appearing within the course of a few months from the present time. My MS — much of it being intended for small type — will occupy about 12 or 14 lb.

Very truly yours,

Edward Drude.

I will send the MS. as soon as I hear that there is a chance of your coming to have it.
Temple Road.
Rathmines, Dublin.
March 7, 1885.

My dear Sir,

I have not been, as you know, in the least impatient for the appearance of my article on Shakespeare's women, but I think if it does not appear about the same time as Lady Martin's防护—coming book on the same subject, the right time will have slipped by. Should you find it at all inconvenient to make room for it, kindly let me have a copy. I will use it elsewhere. Very truly,

[Signature]
WINSTEAD,
TEMPLE ROAD,
RATHMINES.

Dublin.

Sept 27, 1884.

My dear sir,

Thank you for the Cheque. Blessed are editors who know that Bisisdat
qui cito dat! I enclose receipt.

Only a besotted specialist
could differ from you about my article
about such worm-like grubbing in
the bit of literary history
federal. Still the bit of literary history
must be new recorded had I
must be set it down, so I trusted to the

I was too modest – didn't announce
that I had unearthed some ten pages by
which I had sufficiently cleared, so I
Shelley sufficiently cleared, so I
imagine it wasn't every reader who
imagined it wasn't every reader who
wouldn't contributing an early piece of writing
by Shelley.
WINSTEAD,  
TEMPLE ROAD,  
RATHMINES.  

April 21, 1885.

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your cheque.  I found me in bed with a febrile chill, or I should have acknowledged it sooner. I send a receipt on the fourth page of this sheet. The cure (if I were more familiar with you I should call it the Bunbury cure) for removing its superfluous fat did not remove the article. Even I didn't miss my fine things, but the public can share one's fine things with cynical or stolid indifference. I shall like to send you something soon, and in a day or two I will tell you my subject. I am well three half my Shelley, but I see Mr. Hardy Jefferson is
ahead. It may be necessary
for me to show at once how
little authentic any telling
of the story of Shelley's life
must be written by me who
has not had access to the
family documents.

Very truly yours

Edward S. S. S. Sanden.
Winstead,
Temple Road,
Rathmines.

Dublin, March 18th 1886.

My dear Sir,

You kindly asked me long since to send you something for the Con-
tent. I posted today a letter which I call The Inter-
pretation of Literature, a little treatise which the article explains, in
which the article explains, in which the reader, having read some of
the difficulties of understanding great writers, will
understand some of the ways of trying to feel
as they mean. And I have never
the Hundred Best Books
in the discussion, so that it may have
Some assistance in the present moment. I could shorten it a little, but not much. Should you like to have it if be able to find room for it before long? I shall be glad. But if you find it necessary to hold it over for many months I shall ask you to let me have it back, as I think it would appear to moth.
Winstead,
Temple Road,
Rathmines.

DUBLIN.

May 12, 1886.

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your prompt cheque. I am very glad you liked my article, that it is liked by other readers.

I send a receipt on the other side of this sheet.

I shall not forget your kind invitation to let you know when I have something else on hand.

Very truly yours,

Edward Darwin.

I look forward with much
interest to Prof. Max Muller's
address on Goethe. Unluckily
I shall not be present when it is delivered but
its appearance in the
Révue will be almost
simultaneous.
June 4, 1888.

My dear Sir,

I have been in bed with an attack of bronchitis, or I should sooner have answered your kind invitation.

A little while ago an old Trinity College friend, Mr. R. Harris, asked me to help him with the text of a lecture, as he was to give a lecture on Goethe's lectures on mine, of which one of
I fear this month it is to be followed by one or two articles, and while these are occupying me I cannot venture to undertake anything else. May I write to you then I can offer an article — I will assume that I may do so — Very truly,

E. Borden.
Temple Road  
Dublin  
Dec 19, 1888.  

My dear S—,  

I have so long delayed to answer your note, thinking that I might be able to accept your kind invitation to write it at the same time and send you an article. But I have not succeeded. I write only a little, for my friend, Verschoyle, whom I think you know, asks to see that little.
If I have not for a good while had a chance to write anything for Revett's outside the articles which he has asked me to show him, I have then handed over to Mr Harris for the forthcoming.

If it were in my power...

You may be assured that I should like to contribute to the Content any, in the 2nd number of which my first long article appeared in 1866.

Yours truly yours,

E. Dovden
WINSTEAD,
TEMPLE ROAD,
RATHMINES.  

May 30. 1887.

My dear Sir,

I have just got your telegram. I should like much to review the life of Wordsworth & I believe shall have to do so in The Academy in a brief way; but it is important for me to have any review article ready in time for July. I am preparing an address for the fretthe Society & an Indian Civil Service Examination.
lies a little way ahead.
If you like, when I
have put my books from
the Academy + read them,
I will write to say whether
they are suggestive. But if
you wish that the life
Wordsworth should be
reviewed in July, so
not in any way feel
bound to me for it.
I cannot say whether the
book will suggest new
things to say, as I have
already published long
articles on Wordsworth's
Poem Books (in The
Authorship long ago) +
on Wordsworth's Text (in
The Contemporary), so that
I could not write at all
unless I could keep off
my former tracks. Very truly,
E.D. Wordsden.
Winston.
TEMPLE ROAD.
RATHMINES.

JUNE 15, 1889.

Dear Sir,

I bought Knight's book for it did not come to me from the Academy. It is a compendium of interesting documents rather than a biography. It would afford materials for an interesting review, but I believe that I shall be off for a holiday early next week, so I do not wish to tie myself by any engagements.

Yours truly,

E. Bowden.
WINSTEAD,
TEMPLE ROAD,
RATHMINES.

Dublin,
Aug 20. 1889.

My dear sir,
I have not been able to write anything since you last heard from me. I leave home again the day after tomorrow, for how long I cannot be quite sure. But I fear for such a time as this the article you kindly asked me to write will not allow me to write it. I have done nothing with my pen since June, although articles I have written in the spring have appeared since then, or still be unpublished. Whenever I am able to send you an article I will write to ask your permission. Very truly,
Edward ..
Winston Temple Road
Rathmines, Dublin

Sept 20, 1851.

My dear Sir,

I am just getting back, after a little spell of idleness to my work.

Your letter is so kind that I now want to explain how I stand as regards the Review. When after a long cessation from Review-writing, I began again to contribute, Mr. Harris wrote to say that I would send him everything I wrote, suitable for the larger reviews, he would accept it without delay. This was a very
pleasant proposal, except that it narrowed the number of readers, it might in the end detach me from other means of publication than the Contemporay. I had no expectation that it would be an arrangement that could last long. As I thought could last long, as I thought that it could not always be convenient to Mr. Harris or my friend Mr. Verschyle to give a place without delay to what I wrote. But I did not write very often, and what I sent was always printed as speedily as I could desire. I expressed more than once to Mr. Verschyle my fear that I should thus quite detach myself from the Contemporay in which I have a regard of long standing. But I always yielded to his representation of the most kind treatment I received. Up to the present Mr. Harris seems desirous that I should continue to write for him on the same understanding. And while he is so friendly and so ready to give an immediate place to articles which are often of no immediate interest with respect to the present moment, I feel that I should be acting ungenerously towards him if I
were to alter the arrangement.
And yet I cannot see how he finds a place for me amid the pressure of other writers - and I still constantly anticipate that he will lay aside some article of mine on the shelf, in which case I should come as a Prodigal Son to seek a place in that Review in No. 2, of which I wrote my first long article.

Your kind letter has drawn forth rather a long reply, but I wish you to know exactly my present position.

Very truly yours,
Edward Dowden.

Percy W. Bunting Esq.
June 8, 1896.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Yes - I am now free from my engagements to the ... counterpoint.

But I fear I cannot at present undertake the article you ... year, in the meantime I have undertaken to go for a while to America. I can only
try to secure leisure to write an article by working at first constantly at my little book, but I hope to be able to do this, so I will write to you whenever I see my way to anything likely to interest you. Very truly yours,

Edward Dowden.

Percy W. Bunyard Eng.
May 31, 1892.

My dear Sir,

Thank you for looking through my article so soon. I am much pleased that you like it, and pleased to hear I shall reappear in the Contemporary Review.

Very truly yours,

E. Bowden.
July 9, 1899

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your cheque for £18 + your kind word about my article. I send a receipt.

At some future time, after the friendly reception of my Puritans, I may try my luck with the Contemp. again.

Very truly yours,

Edward Dowden.
April 3, 1908

Highfield House,
Highfield Road, Rathgar,
Dublin.

Dear Sir,

One line to reassure you as to
my Goethe article. - It will be
with you by this day week (the 10th)
at latest. - Probably earlier

Very truly yours,

Edward Dowden
July 30, 1908

Dear Sir Percy,

Thank you for sending me the letter—I think Mr. Parson is right when he suspects that I was smiling. But right or wrong the derivation which connects boudoir with bower & the idea of sulkings had sufficient scope to permit the smile.

An Austrian gentleman—Paul Jansèg of Vienna—thinks of issuing some translations from my article in an article of his own.
in a Vienna bi-monthly called Fragerist. Unless I hear from you to the contrary I shall assume that he may do this.

Very truly yours,

E. Bowden.

I am still in the wilds of Kerry, but moving on to other uncivilized regions.
May 22, 1909

My dear Sir, Percy Bunting,

I should leap at your kind proposal of an article on Meredith for July if I were able—knit my college work in on me, and my knowledge of Meredith would need to be revived, and I do not believe I could achieve what I desire. It is with a little regret that I have to admit this.

Very truly yours,

E. Arundell.
Sept 17, 1905

My dear Sir Percy,

you kindly asked me some time ago to send you an article for the "Contemporary."

I think I could write something about it in good time for the November number in a controversy to which Bradley & Sidney Lee have lately contributed — on the question whether the man Shakespeare is discovered behind the dramatist. Sidney Lee says, "No." Bradley says —

I should go back to Walter Raleigh, Gildon, Smollet, Leslie Stephen & then come to Bradley & Lee, whereas I think —
under the basis of controversy by considering Scott as discoverable in the Waverly Novels, Goethe, Schiller, Grillparzer; my own belief being that by present methods, something of division, a good deal can be found out in all these cases which can be treated by collecting the life with the works. Would you care for this? Or would you like better an article on the Austrian dramatist? I still often acted in German States (Grillparzer). About whom the only English book was unpublished two years ago— I have at hand excellent transcripts (unpublished). The chief plays from which I could freely quote—

Very truly yours,

Edward Dowden.
Nov. 30, 1910

Dear Sir Percy Bunting,

Our - Trinity College,
Dublin - Lecturer in History,
Miss Constance Mapwell,
proposes to submit to you
a paper on Social Utopias
and asks for an introduction to
you - She is a person of
remarkable ability as a
lecturer, and is a contributor
at the English Historical Review.
I have not read her paper
but - if the subject happens
to suit you - I anticipate
that it will prove useful.
Considering - please dont
think of acknowledging this note—
I have myself been greatly hindered from
work by insomnia.

Very truly yours,

E. Bowden.