March 2nd, 183

Dear Sir,

Your note followed me here where I am residing at present. To write a paper on the History of Feminism requires much fuller and more exact information than I possess. I probably know more on the subject than the readers of the 'Contemporary,' but...
certainly for less than could justify me in taking such a task out of the hands of more competent persons.

If you are anxious for a paper on the subject there is a professional man connected with the new University in Ireland and who has written a very able book, whom I would suggest for the purpose as the fittest man I know. I do not name him be-

- Chargé de Haan 1st Read Time
To consult him, but if you desire it I will propose the subject to him on your behalf. In that case you should give him some idea of the available space, and any other information usual in such cases.

Believe me dear Sir,

very faithfully yours,

[Signature]

[Address]
Sir,

I would be very much disposed to write on Australian Federation of which, as chairman of the first select committee appointed on the subject by any Australian parliament and of the last Royal Commission. But I am very busy with studies for a book, which I interrupted longer than was discreet, to write an article commenced on the need for a Journal of the Newcastle Century and to be finished in January.
Scarcely the report and other documents relative to the movement to be adopted in a little volume, a copy of which I will hand over if any committee seem inclined to write upon the subject.

I have never seen Mr. J. B. Seely’s ‘Extension of Surplus’ on any terms of late that I remember.

Alas! me.

Your’s truly,

[Signature]
Cumberland, May
4, 1886

My dear Sir,

Tell me whether you approve this
report? I am thinking of writing an
article on your June 24th speech
"In Committee" on the Hottest Prize
which had not reached me as a signed
indictment when I wrote the last article.

It would probably be 20 pages long, and
incidentally deal with the objections raised by
opponents of the measure, as well as
suggest necessary amendments.

And then I am sure that the bill
will not be read a second time until
after distance I am only a year hence.
and at that time it would be worth
enough not to be published for because
the bill would not go into Committee.
Suppose you a little. Very tell me the latest. Do not wish you to send the long for reason. Never before published an article which I had not written and some many things which would have included in text article. In case I write another proofs are importance to me.

Why did you strike out the name? Where the place it was written? It was intended to be accurate for any event of the talent facts which might appear to the lady. Read 'Conny Oliver Morawski' in Lublin on the ' [. . .]' and 'Joseph Miller' in the same fugure.

Very dilly

Your honor not put your address in your name, in that I send them to your publisher.
My dear Sir,

I have received the cheque in
your trough.

As respects a second article it will be too late to begin one when I have seen the debate on the second reading. I should not get much to write, and though the text was very correctly
framed for the bill, it wanted the final touches without which I am always disappointed with my work.
Instead to write, and publish at some timely moment when it be a chance of being listened to—a plan of our first Constitution on Mr. Gladstone's general lines, which would probably contain the Ministrati in India which would be very useful to them. I mean them.

If the Bills were read a second time and withdrawn in measure above, the whole whole affair in July. There is no case in meeting details till the House of Commons have said upon it.
to the sword's might. I have thought the subject out carefully, and only await the right moment.

My fingers tingle to crimson her

Grudging cheeks and impudent lips

Sibilant, but I do not wish to

Open the doors of being listened

To in a manner of engaging as

I

Very truly yours,

Harry Duffy
St Martin Laigue
Alpes Maritimes
25th July 1866

My dear Sir,

I am busy on the article, but I wish to wait for the latest news. I will despatch it to you on Monday the 19th by which it ought to reach you on Wednesday evening the 21st or Thursday morning the 22nd.

As I cannot hope to see proofs, I will thank you to have it read as carefully as the last was, and particularly...
wish the printer to send me proofs the moment it is ready that I might telegraph any correction in case of necessity. I mean to keep it as close to ten pages as I can, but I am not able to estimate it very closely yet, while it is in process of being written.

Very Faithfully yours

[Signature]

S. W. Stoddard
Villa Margarita  
Nice  
April 26th  
1892

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Do not trouble yourself about the republication of the Carlyle papers. I have accepted a proposal of Sampson Low & Co. to have the republication for three years which will give the little volume an unusually good chance of making its way in America and elsewhere.

What you say of the Labor vote in the House of Commons is one of many illustrations of the fact that our leaders know nothing of the warnings which greater Britain supplies.

One man one vote has been before the democratic parliament of Victoria for more than an entire generation without becoming law. Within the
Last few months it has been rejected by the Legislative Assembly in New South Wales, and Legislative Council in Victoria.

Smashing the House of Lords seems the easiest task to some deluded Radicals, but in America where there is manhood suffrage and the upper house has no hereditary rank, wealth or prestige, thirty years have spent without success in trying to bring it to submission.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Marguerite
Paca
Jan 31st, 1897

Dear Mr. Bunting,

One of my sons from Australia has just arrived on a short visit, and as I shall be much engaged for a time it would be well to send as soon as possible the review of Part III, and the proof of Part II of the Carlyle papers that I may finish the work satisfactorily.

I shall not discuss your note on the Cash question; it was humiliating enough to discuss it once. But the necessary consequences of it need trouble neither of us, you have no lack of contributors and will never miss one. And for my part I will feel it a relief to escape from so troublesome and prolix a task as writing for a monthly review has proved. You do not I think later cordially to the
alternative I proposed to supplement inadequate payment, and therefore we will say no more about it. Very faithfully yours.

[Signatures]
Villa Marguerite
Nice
Jan 1892

Dear Mr. Bunting,

If one sends a brief to a barrister with an inadequate fee, the barrister returns it; if we consult a London physician and tender him less than the fee he expects, he is careful to inform us of his mistakes. Is there any good reason why a man of letters should not follow these examples? I have never written for money and in truth never gave a thought to the scale of payment for review articles till you volunteered your on the receipt of the first of the Carlyle papers to "increase the honorarium" turned my attention to the subject. I have several times written the articles in the Contemporary Review which the critics pronounced to be the best.
the number, and I find that I have been paid at the
rate of less than a penny a line. It was
undoubtedly not a wonderful thing that you should propose to
increase the., honorarium. But by your cheque I find you
have not increased it; on the contrary you
have diminished it by paying for £3.3 pages
as if they were 30. Why so? Is a
linked with remarkable letters by Carlyle, and
containing, such a report of his conversation as
has not before appeared less valuable than my
ordinary incursions. Is the article different
from what you supposed it to be when you made
your proposition? The critical press have been emphatic
in their rejection of it. The chief of them, the
Spectator, says it is not only the best, paper of the
number, but the best in any of the reviews
for January, that it contains the veritable
language of Carlyle, and will be a permanent
addition to English literature. Right I, it allow
a public company who own a review to
pay me for these articles at the rate paid to the
man who published dreadful accidents to the penny
press. A couple of weeks ago a well-known contributor
to the nineteenth century who visited me here to let me
he was paid but guineas a page for his articles
and more than once had received £50 for
paper little over twenty pages.

I desire to keep faith with you and my
readers, and I therefore send you the concluding
paper of the Carlyle series (that it may be put
into type as soon as practicable) without asking
the decision of this cash question. For shall
I debate it any more, for it is not of the slightest
practical importance to me, but it must get
settled now once for all in whatever way
you think proper.

It may of course be that the profit of
the Review do not admit of adequate payment
of contributors. In that case there are other
compensations you can make me which I prefer
to money. I am far from London and can no
few publishers; you are in London and know, or can know any you please. I wish to try out the Carlyle paper in a handsome little volume when they are complete and would be glad to sell an edition of them at a fair price to a respectable publisher with all rights for two or three years. I would not sell the copyright as I believe it will be a permanent book as a true picture of Carlyle in his dressing gown and slippers. This will put you to some trouble, but you do not know I think, what inordinate trouble these papers cost me. The letters had to be copied by an amanuensis, and a young Russian was the only man I could get to do it in Nice; they had to be revised and re-revised several times to stand against his necessary errors, a task which with my impaired sight could only be repaid by a great and acknowledged success.

I am going to London in June to arrange for a People's Edition of my historical books, and I want a page of the Contemporary Review's
Advertisements heat from time to advertise them.
How many times in the year can you give me a page in lieu of an increased honorarium?
The revision of the III paper, and the IV
of the fourth and last, go to you by this
box in a registered parcel.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Marquenie
Sunday
1891

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am greatly disturbed and disappointed by your note this morning proposing to cut off the Preface on Carlyle's Conversations before the Correspondence begins. It will entirely destroy the effect of it. I had just prepared Part II with immense trouble for my eyes are again very weak, and I revised Part I, which I understand was not to appear till January, sending them to you today. I wired at once as you asked me to do my objection to the
proposed alteration and if it has been made it will destroy my interest in the scope they cost me a great deal of trouble were calculated I think to make a remarkable impression and I took more pains with them than anything is worth at my time of life.

I do not at all understand why you altered the arrangement suggested for such sufficient reasons by yourself and to which I assented of only sparing the series with the new year.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Marguerite
Nice
Nov 13th

1891

Dear Mr. Bunting,

It will perhaps be better for the reasons you state to begin the Carlyle papers in January. I never sent you any thing of which I had such a strong conviction that it would be well received by a wide public.

I will send you tomorrow a revise of the payment of members article. I am not at all delighted with the conjugations you propose to give me. If you had named them originally I fear I would not have been one of the company. Could you not get Mr. Bryce to write on the subject, and as the other voice ought to be heard on the subject Mr. Dickey?
question is not at all pressing as nothing can be done in the next session of parliament and it would be better to have a good batch even in February than a middling one in December. The question ought to be treated chiefly by men who have no personal but only a public interest in it...
Villa Marguerite
Nice
Nov 9th
1891

Dear Mr. Huntig,

I have sent to the printer a revised of the Carlyle paper with some additional letters which had been accidentally omitted from their right place.

Pray look over the article and consider whether you ought not to send to the literary journal some announcement of the rich Carlyle materials which the series will contain.

Et pele Heremlem

I have received the proof of the payment of member article, but you have not sent me proofs of
The other articles on the subject which would enable me to understand your design. Mine was intended to be one of a batch, and to appear last or among the last in the batch. This is the only way in which I can consent to its appearing. Published by itself it would be ridiculous as it only touches one corner of the question. I had retain the proof till I hear further.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Ville Marguerite
Nice
October 19th
1891

Dear M' Hunting,

I spent my holidays in the mountains preparing for publication Conversations and Correspondence With Thomas Carlyle of which I told you last year. I travelled with him for six weeks in Ireland in 1849. In his account of that excursion (My Irish Journey Sampson Low & Co.) he repeatedly describes how I made him talk. In fact he talked on anything I suggested and I suggested new men, books, and events as were of most interest to me.

It will make him or perhaps three articles for as the correspondence was copied by one hand and the conversation by another.
I cannot measure the materials accurately, but I do not think I have published anything in the Contemporary so likely to excite public interest. There are nearly a hundred letters out of which I have made judicious selections covering a wide variety of subjects. I would prefer to publish when parliament is not sitting, and could send the first paper so as to reach the printer's hands by the 1st November.

The note you forwarded to Mr. Schmudek seems to have produced some effect. Sir William Harcourt has since proposed at Glasgow the identical policy I suggested, but its effect will be seriously diminished by disclosing it beforehand.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
I have

Wednesday evening

1891

Dear Mr. Hunting,

As I was finishing my article a telegram arrived at the New York Herald Office here stating that the negotiations with Mr. Russell had in the end entirely failed. If this be so my article will be of no use. It was written on the supposition that they had substantially succeeded and it does not admit of being altered to harmonize with the new circumstances.
As it is possible the telegram may be erroneous. I send a little more of it ready for the press. There are about 6 or 8 Mr. S pages to be revised and copied in order to complete it. Tomorrow if the negotiations have succeeded, I will send these pages to you otherwise it would be of course useless.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Masquerite
Dec 10th

1890

Dear Mr. Bunling,

The time has not come when I could write with any advantage on the Irish conflict, because though I am disgusted with Parnell and all his works, I am dissatisfied with Mr. Gladstone. He has been as loud about the butchery at Mitchellstown as upon the atrocities in Bulgaria, but he proposes to leave the system which produced it in full force. While his Irish parliament was harrassing in Dublin, some future Balfour, or indeed Balfour himself, might order new Mitchellstowns or new Dippavies.

Suppose after exposing the Bulgarian atrocities, he had proposed to leave the Turks in full control of that state, what would you have thought of the wisdom and justice of such policy? and what would Bulgarians have thought?
And with the Land Question it is rather worse. He will not give the Irish Parliament the power of dealing with the question, and cannot settle it himself because Mr. Labouchere (who seems to be the real leader of the Liberal Party) will not allow him, why was not the Land Purchase Bill of the present Government accepted and the difficulty got out of the way?

Nothing would give me more satisfaction than to see Parnell as he really is to the Irish people, if I knew what was to succeed his downfall. Had Mr. Gladstone given a prompt and frank answer to the questions sent him by the Irish Party, had he said that after Mitchelstown and Tipperary it was impossible to refuse the control of the police to the Irish Parliament, and that as respects the Land Question if the Imperial parliament did not settle it beforehand it must be left to the Irish parliament for to leave it to an Imperial parliament in which the Irish members were reduced to thirty was what he could not venture to propose — had he said this, I would have thrown myself immediately into the fray.

Certainly the question may be as you say not the form of Home Rule, but whether there is to be any Home Rule at present; but though it would be a stunning blow to me to go down to my grave without seeing Home Rule accomplished, I would rather do so and deprecate the question to future generation than accept the baraque of it. I have had in the Hawarden Conversation that Conversation rests you will say on Mr. Parnell's authority. Very true, and I would be quite ready to believe it if we were told what was said. But when the interest of my native country is at stake I cannot be content with mysteries and circumstums.
Perhaps before another month we will have light in the darkness, and then I will willingly write.

A Catholic clergyman who was a close friend of Mr. Justice Hagan requests me to ask you permission that he should copy from the Contemporary Review with due acknowledgment into a little periodical which he edits, the last paper the judge wrote. I hope you will see no objection.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]

If Mr. S will not give
some Rulers a definite measure
to struggle for the day of election it
be seriously compromised.
Dear Mr. Bunting,

Up to this time the latest news here about the Irish conflict telegraphed is that the deputation to Gladstone produced no result. There will be no telegrams posted tomorrow and as the postmen get a holiday on Saturday, Sunday evening the times of Saturday will not be delivered until Monday morning.

If anything were settled either way I would begin to write immediately but while nothing is settled it is impossible that I write my letter totally accurate and
inapplicable by the time the CR is published
and this would render us both ridiculous
unless a result be arrived at in London
today which I would receive on Monday
I must give up the idea of writing at
present. It is only someone on the spot
with the latest information in hand who
can write usefully on a current and
fluctuating question. I think you will
agree with me in this.

Very faithfully yours

[Signature]
Villa Marguerite
Dec 15th

1890

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I can think of nothing else but the Irish difficulty, and I am not
indisposed to write a short article upon it—say of a dozen pages. May
let me know the latest day on which the
M.S. would be in time to reach you
with the understanding that I am
to get a proof for decision without
which I will never again publish
anything.

And pray remember if I am to
undertake this work that what I
Write will not necessarily be your opinion but mine. I dare say we are at one in thinking that Parnell has rendered himself impossible as a public man not only by the Divorce Court business but by his shameful violation of confidence respecting the Howarden Conference. But I am equally clear that his revelation has rendered it necessary for Mr Gladstone to specify his scheme of Home Rule. He says himself that the plan which Parnell attributes to him would be shameful treachery to Ireland and I quite agree with him. Next to go on any longer in the dark would be to ruin the Irish Cause. I can only begin to write
Vitor Reguimate
Rice
Feb 9th
1890

My dear Mr. Bunting,

I have received your note and cheque. As respects the articles on responsible government in Australia — these must be at least two perhaps three, my sight is the only difficulty. But I have employed a man to read me the State Papers, Minutes of Cabinet, and other documents which it is necessary to consult, and I will try hard for this. Letters and juveniles method will enable me to do the business

If you have got any of Mr. A. Eden's books on Parliamentary practice and government in colonies, pray send them to me. They must
have been sent you for review.

I intend to bring these articles out in a volume after some time. You have been always perfectly punctual and generous as to my King's articles after they have appeared in the Review but I wish for a general understanding that I shall be always at liberty to use them when I see occasion. They are written for public ends and are produced with such difficulty in my present condition that the payment for them is scarcely worth consideration.

I am glad to see the journals consider your last number a good one. I was much struck with Mr. Hill's article.

Kindest regards to Mr. Hunting.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Marguerite
Riez
April 3rd
1890

Dear Mr. Bunling,

The article is too long and I will cut it down to twenty five pages. But it is impossible to avoid having a second part on the same subject. First because the story of Victoria's first responsible government can't be told, as it is there mainly I propose to describe the working of it, and secondly because there are some important disclosures which I got from unpublished Cabinet papers of Mr. Childers who was a minister in Melbourne before he became a minister in London. I can now survey the whole field better.
Then I could last month and I perceive that it will require five articles instead of three.
How colonies get responsible government.
Two articles.

How they had responsible government.
Two articles.

What lessons these transactions teach men at home.
One article.

If these be too many for the Review, say so without the least hesitation and we will drop the subject, and cancel the article in type.

I will not feel annoyed but relieved from a heavy burden if the task is put off to some other period but you may be assured the question can never be dealt with more briefly. Mr. Bosket's articles on a similar subject ran through a year I think in the Fortnightly Review.

If you determine to go on, this is what I propose to do. I will ask you to postpone the first article from May to June and I will then undertake to furnish one every second month, so that the series be completed to hit the first in June, the second in August, the third in October, the fourth in December, and the last in February.

I will not trouble the printer with endless proofs of the articles till the time is done with you may number but remember it is not to be published till June.

I am going to Wiesbaden this day month.
to consult an oculist there, but I will take the necessary books and papers with me and do a little work every day, until the articles are completed. Very faithfully yours.

Evan Deffy
Villa Marnagite  
Nile  
Dec 26  
1889

Dear Mr. Bentley,

I have made a great effort to finish and send you the article on Federation in order that it might be printed as soon as possible, and let proofs sent to me. Pray remember two proofs.

I will never again print an article which I have not had an opportunity of revising; it is too vexatious.

I know you will have some difficulties with printers at this season but please let me have the proofs as soon as practicable.

The compliments of the season to Mr. Bentley.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Margravite
Dec 15th

1889

Dear Mr. Bunting,

You are greatly pressed with business. I know too much to indeed that I do not understand your not writing.

You do not tell me whether the Carlyle conversations is among the projects you approve of. They would run to two or three articles at least, provided it first were caught public attention in the way I hope it would.

Neither have you told me whether you are willing to consider benevolently a paper a friend of mine who was a writer in the Baltic諢opography is preparing on Davie and his times. approyse of volumes the last Davieian Which are hardly to appear almost simultaneously. And provided you
do not like it whether you will enquire the subject to some one of your own selection. I have assistance of course that I could be at a stand still, but I have not yet discovered any method by which Dr. S. and Parliament any papers can be scrutinised by deputy without enormous labor.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
Villa Marguerite
Nice
Dec 16th

My dear Sir Bunting,

Though my eyes are very little better I am unwilling to send you further apologies, and I will try to do what you ask. I will send you an article on Imperial and Australian Federation, early next month so that I may get a proof which is indispensably to my comfort. I will follow it as speedily as I can with an article on Responsible Government with a democratic franchise, but the latter requires great research in Parliamentary Reports and State papers to secure accuracy, and I have long shrank from it with my impaired sight.

I have been meditating another paper and want to ask you whether it would probably do if it for the C.R. — Conversations and Correspondence with Thomas Carlyle. You will see by his Irish Jour
that I was his travelling companion, and I was
intimate with him for upwards of thirty years.
He talked cheerfully on any subject I suggested,
and with his knowledge and talent, I made
rules of his dicta. They ever every man, and every
subject of any importance that interested me, and
would be likely to interest the world. I have decided about
pleasant reading.

Mr. Macaulay's letters recently published. It may
be that such an article would suit one of the
Anglo-American magazines better than the C.P.
And now I want you to do something for me. I
sent to Noyes that last week the Dr. S. of a Memoir
of Thomas Paine's, and I have just a collection of his
topics published in the Cornell Series which
will appear next month.

He is the fountain head of Irish nationality
as it has preached and practiced, in recent years, and
he was my dearest friend in the world.
A literary friend of mine is writing a paper on
Paine and his days, which will have mine or less
With regard to these two duties. I want you to consider this paper for the C.R. if it is possible to publish it speedily, and if it is not, to put the same business into the hands of some person selected by yourself who will make Thomas Davis known to English readers.

As you ask about my health, I may let you know that it is very satisfactory except for the state of my eyes, and the weather here is so comparatively mild that I can bear it very well.

Kindest regards to Mr. Bunting.

Very faithfully yours,

J. Duffy
Villa Marguerite
Nice
October 9th
1889

Dear Mr. Bunbury,

The ship by which my daughter arrived from Australia was
several days late, and it is only today
I have had an opportunity of
writing to you.

I am most anxious to write the
article on Australian Democracy but
there are two impediments which prevent
my doing it at the moment. In the
first place, my eyes are still suffering
which would render it very difficult to
examine papers and authorities.
and in the first I am bringing out in January a memoir of Dr. Thomas Davis, the best man and the honestest politician I have ever known. But if I keep you waiting, I will endeavor to make amends by making the article as good as I can. The minute I am able I will put the article in hand, but it will not be very soon. Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
6th December 88

Villa Marguerite,

Nice.

Dear Mr. Hunting,

Fortune has dealt me an unlucky stroke. During three months in the High Alps when I projected literary work enough for a long leisure, I found my left eye suddenly become blind, and the doctor whom I consulted has absolutely prohibited from reading and writing. For eight weeks I have not been able to read at all, and only
If you have not yet seen Mr. Raffan’s speech I am sure you will enjoy it very much. I am afraid it is a little too long and I would prefer it if it could be shortened.

I am writing by dictation. The materials for two or three Australian articles are under my hand but they need an examination of dates, facts, and documents which I can make for me. The doctor promises me a recovery but cannot fix a period, and meantime I am helpless, and need more patience than I can command.

As soon as I am myself again I will fulfill my promise to you. I am glad to see you are having excellent and telling articles.
I am under a great obligation to you for your kindness, and my old
late Princely benefactors, and pray God may ever kind support your
true heart, as it now is, accustoms us

I remain, my dear Sir,

Charles Stally

P.S. I am in good health, and hope to see you on my return.

my great comforter, and my own

Sir Charles Stally

P.S. I am in good health, and hope to see you on my return.
Put that his his remarks statement
of not quench me off of
his are accord contented that
I & write a reply.
May with kindly observe that I
think only with matters of which
there can be little or any doubt.

The attitude of the Catholics
towards the Union which some
of them seem disposed by the

promise of emancipation into
supporting of I think he trusted
separately.

I am going away on Wednesday
at Longtown but I hope to be
back again in England on the
19th Oct. My address in Dublin
is 14 Blackhall St. and
of course the earliest communi-
cation written to me or from me.
must be sent to a care from home.
I shall be very grateful if you do with the U.S. whatever supports itself. I am kind in different. As you are good enough to look at it if I give myself the pleasure of lunar it entirely in your hands. You especially having your other book that I shall be away for some reason. Remember me kindly to lady Beatty.
Dec. 6th. June

Dear Mr. Hunting,

The difficulty in the way of my writing an article about the contest with Rome is that I have written a book about it two years ago—"The League of North and South" Chapman & Hall, in which I have said everything I have to say on the subject. I would feel restrained from using the same materials or referring.
to any one look. Nobody else August, I think. I should
would be under this restraint not have any difficulty in
and it would be very much writing myself but that my
better that the subject was opinions against Roman in-
dealt with by some outsider:ference in Irish affairs are
who had the Resolutions of the as well known in Ireland as
Bishops and the Members and Sir W. Lawrance upon Totalita-
that book before him. The book s.
who can be bought at Pinkie's li-
rary for 4/6.
If you do not know anyone in one task, I will find
fit for this task, I will find you a writer but the article
not be ready sooner than
recently have used rude and

Disrespectful language which was written necessary nor prudent. There is no chance at all of my going to London till next year.

Very Faithfully yours,

Evan Duffy

P.S. I would much rather you selected a writer yourself. He need not necessarily be an Irishman.
Dine 24 May 1888

Dear Mr. Brunting,

The foreign army have Rice in May or June.

And when your list reaches me I am in the middle of packing up to go to San Martin Lantosque. Where I propose to pass the last month. I will not arrive there, however, till about the end of June.

I am very tired after having written a letter. My library.
and I hope this will find you happy and well. I trust you will write me a little letter soon. I have a little news to send you, and when you return to Paris I shall be happy to see you. My respects to your friends in London.

The letter to which you refer is now in my possession. I shall be glad to hear from you again soon. I hope you are all well.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
My dear Mr. Hunting,

I would rather you kept the article over for another month; I do not think that it would divide well. The object for which it is written should be lost. I fear if the beginning and end were not brought into immediate relation.

The printer has sent one only one proof instead of two, and has not sent the Ms. Mr. Editor is much to blame as it
impressed upon him so strongly the necessity of sending me two.
I could have sent back the proof corrected to-day, if I had
not a second to keep a record of the corrections upon.
I have made plain the quotation, pages 849.
As I see you put the additions to the names of other contrib-
utors, pray announce this article in the Contents as by Sir C. Gavan
Duffy, R.C.P. G., and perhaps this occasion it would be prudent,
Dear Mr. Bunting,

I send you the Australian article early that after returning the proofs, you get a revised copy. It is odious to me to publish without revision. Pray direct the printer to send me the two proofs as well as the original. Two proofs are necessary in order to keep a record of the corrections made.
and I ascertain easily from the review that they have been carried out.

When I have leisure I will begin the article on Responsible Government with a Democratic Franchise but I will not be in a hurry to publish it, as I want the attention of statesmen and politicians to the serious questions it raises.

I hope to be in London in May and then or before I propose to publish the

"Fair Constitution" in a pamphlet and elicit opinion upon it.

Kindest regards to Mr. Bunting.

Very Faithfully yours

[Signature]

P.S. Do please direct the printer to lose the time in sending me the two proofs.

The article goes by this post in a registered parcel.
Villa Francinelli
Dec. 25. Oct

My dear Mr. Bunting,

When I told you that your correspondent forwarded my proposal of neutralizing the colonies, I intended to send you the report that you might judge for yourself. I have been very busy, and only find time to do so now. The report which was drawn by me as Chairman...
The Royal Commission was signed by the foremost men in the Colony; three of them had been or were to be the suggestors of Responsible Go-

Law Officers of the Crown, three permanent with Democratic Speakers and three Prime ministers. You will probably be surprised to see that many votes on Australia, and it was discussed in the time, when I came home seven years and otherwise attracted business. Cassell & Co. made me a very tempting offer to write an article on Australian affairs. I am thinking of writing a book on Australia, but I declined because all my mind was given up to Irish affairs.
I am disposed now to whip
the cream of my Australian
meditations. If such an
article will suit you in Decem-
ber or January, please tell me,
and for which month you
would prefer it?

Kindest regards &best esteem
Very faithfully yours

I. Steven Duffy
Shelbourne Hotel
Dublin July 22
1887

My dear Mr. Renting,

I have written an article which I have been meditating
in a year, on a fair and satis-
fying Constitution for Ireland.
I have had the advantage of
consulting some representative
men in Ireland, and I am
in hopes that it will, at any rate contribute to solve
the difficulty.
As I would like it to appear
while I am in England, I would
send it to you (as soon as the July
number is out of the presses)
and let you sit up.

And with such a company
there are too many books I must ask
you - that no one shall
see a proof like it is revised
and that you will give me a
dozen copies to send to so
many public men whose
speech would strengthen its
chance of success.
I will make it as short as I can, but it will reach the
length of a whole which I published
in the Nineteenth Century a
number of years ago—considerably
larger than any which we will
contemporary. But I can promise
that it will be much read.

If you approve I may take
me the earliest date at which
the number will take it up?

It would be ready in the September
number, immediately after the
rising of the moon.
Villa Francinielli

3rd April 1871

My dear Sir,

Your telegram reached me four days late, having been addressed to St. Martin Lantosque, an Alpine village where no one lives in the winter except two villagers.

Your idea is an excellent one, but to be carried out the writer needs to have Bazzarri under his hand, and to near the British Museum unless it were written with exact knowledge of
The circumstances out of which each Act arose, it would be worthless in fact to be as well done as it ought nowhere. The history of the period needs one man to collect the facts carefully, and another to state them effectively. I hope you will find someone in London to do it; and even if it be not in time for the present controversy, it would be a permanent contribution to political history.

If I were the editor of the Contemporary Review I would spend £100 on the project; half of it on the necessary inquiries, made by an industrious fog who would gather the materials from parliamentary papers, &c. &c.

For as far as I know, they are collected orary pamphlets, and thousands debated. You know better than I do what men are fit and available for the two branches of the work which can only be effectually done in London. I feel so strongly on the present controversy that I am extremely sorry that I am unable to be of service in the way you desire it. Believe me my dear sir, very faithfully yours,

T. Sower Duffy
To Mr. Martin Lucretius
July 23, 1886

My dear Sir,

There are two or three corrections which I will thank you to make in my article.

1. On the sentence regarding the Red River and the loss of a seat, please read this note: 'The article was written before the South African election. Ed.'

2. On the sentence about the shepherds, 'a shepherd boy' before the army of Samuel, please correct it by inserting the name of the leader in a different role. I have not a Bible at hand.
3. In the paragraph describing Lord Hastings' relation to the
first abatement, insert as near
suitable point, "No sooner a good
ministry think the chief question is
gather than an agreement is a political
one, and certainly the noble leaguers
have substantial grounds for holding
this opinion."

Please send me a return as
early as practicable. William Lee

V. F. W. Lee

[Signature]
Binswood,
Withington,
Manchester.

My dear ['s],

Yes, it is time I wrote something for you. I fear I shall not have time to write again. Too late to write for next month, but if it is agreeable, I will try sending in two months' time.

If you are very busy, I shall not be glad to have it. And I shall probably
Take up an estimate
in such questions suggested
by Bryce's labor.
A calculated subject,
with free treatment, with
her recent developments
his 'cancer' another Ribbon
in a Democratic race.

Very truly yours,

New Brunswick.

Percy W. Bunching Esq.

7th May 1887.
Manchester 14 May 1887

Dear Sir,

The idea I should have taken on the subject first that could have been to infer on ambitious to make laws for Ireland public policy we have always pursued there was precisely as regards education, the primary requirement of policy which we have so strongly pleaded with secularisation in the idea of...
The danger of delaying this much purely mechanical or political life. If you liked this I could see materials for it, but I would rather not pledge myself for my particular method in advance, my time being much occupied, and my health since serious illness last autumn, not first-rate. But I would put my notice in early if I could further.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Signature]
Manchester 12 Feb. 1888

My dear sir,

You will be surprised to hear from me again so soon, but the truth is that I have felt seriously to work on Froide and see my way to complete it in time to let me have it in London by the morning post of Monday the 20th. This is a short notice,
but perhaps that date will do. You may entirely rely upon it.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Signature]

Percy W. Bunting
Binswood,
Walthamstow, London,
Aug. 16, 1859

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Thanks for the cheque, a receipt for which is on the other side. I also thank you for your thanks, sincerely trusting that they convey approval.

Let me just say that I am now free from the restrictions of office hours, and can work on at anything uninterromptedly. Sincerely yours,

Henry Drumclay.
Received from W. Percy Hunting, Esq. on behalf of Contemporary Review Twenty-five Pounds. 

Henry Dunckley

Aug. 16, 1859.
Withington, Manchester,
April 30th 1890.

My dear Sir,

As I happen to be writing in the same series as Mr. Forster, I should hardly like to undertake a review of his life of Beaumarchais.

Very truly yours,

Henry Dunckley.

Percy Wm. Bunting Esq.
Dec. 6th
1893

9, Egerton Road,
Fallowfield,
Manchester.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

The question of the House of Lords is one of great difficulty and I am afraid that I hardly see my way through it. Reform as you say is dangerous. It would strengthen the Lords, and this is not what is wanted. Abolition is impossible without a revolution. Then the third, the limitation of the veto—what does that come to? A
present the Lords would not reject a Bill sent up a second time if in the meantime there had been a dissolution, that is no Bill of the first in post, such as we have in view. If there is to be a veto at all - that is a power of rejecting a Bill sent up from the Commons - can it be more limited than this? If the Lords are to be bound to pass a Bill sent up to them the second time by the same Parliament, the only use of their function -

- that is enabling an appeal to be made to the nation, would seem to be at an end, and they might just as well be required to pass all Bills sent up to them. I should like to know your views on this point. I quite agree with you that any article on the subject should be tentative, introductory, and suggestive rather than dogmatic and this is the line which would be most agreeable to me. But I am rather pulled up at that third point. Have you a word to offer? Our party have been
fed a stimulating diet, and will hardly like to be told that they cannot kick the house into pieces, though they will have to listen to reason.

I have been ill of the influence in the last three weeks, and go tomorrow for a few days at the seaside. I like the end of next week.

My address will be 1/3 Mrs. Just, Myrtle Bank, Grange, near Carnforth. Perhaps it may hope to have a line.

very truly yours,

Henry Duncley.
Paris,
Aug. 23rd 1892,

Dear Sir,

Received the proof sheet of my article yesterday and return them to you with as little delay as possible. As you will see I am in Paris, so they had to be forwarded to me. I quite approved of all the corrections but the facts to which you have put "query" are according to my own experience correct.

(1) As to the calfs of "Gouit d'Ancen" worn by the wives and daughters of rich farmers, during a residence of eleven months in Normandy, I have seen many of these that have often been told by Normans residents in their part of France that many of them were worth 20. (2) My remark on the harvest of 1888 are also correct. Verified them this morning by referring to back numbers of the "Journal de l'Economiste." One reads (juillet-sept. 1889, p. 487) that the corn harvest in 1888 was only 89 millions de hectolites, instead of the...
average which is of 110, x that the weight of the bollite was only 73. while instead of the average which is 77. Further in 1888 the average price of the corn in France was 12 3/4 the quarter that is to say 121/4 above the English price. Abo in Sept. 1888 I was in London and read in the Daily Telegraph information sent by the English Council in France that owing to the failure of the harvest the loss to the agriculturist would be £80,000,000. This affects the town population very little but in the country causes great misery there is not sufficient work for the labourers of the farmer is unable to pay his rent without borrowing. I could give you many more facts bearing on this subject, but will not trespass on your time hoping that what I have said will prove that I am not mistaken in my information.

(3) I heard hundred of times the expression "le messieurs père" a term of respect used by the country people in Normandy.

(4) Being a government official on leave I do not wish my name to appear in the Log, but have no objection to the initials as I have put them.

As I am travelling, I shall have no settled address for some weeks but if you have any further communication to me it will always reach be forwarded from 1 E. T. Marks Pdl. N. Hungary.
Freman.

Yours faithfully,

Emile Guillaume Depong
121, S. Mark's Rd.
N. Hensingt. 
Sea House
May 7 1872.

Dear Sir,

I send you an essay entitled "Country Life in France" which I hope you may consider fit for publication in the "Contemporary Review". As it deals with the condition of the rural population, I think it may be of some interest in view of the discussions which have lately taken place on the subject, especially as my information is drawn from personal experience.

I am a Frenchman residing at present in England. For various reasons, I do not wish my name to appear in connection
with the Essay if published, but as I believe you do not accept contributions from anonymous writers, I sign my name here only and not to the article. Should the article not meet with your approval, I beg that it may be returned to me, for which I enclose stamps. Believe me.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Signature]

M. Depero
26. BRUTON STREET,
BERKELEY SQUARE, W.


Sir,

I regret that I have been unable to answer your letter earlier.

I am sorry that I cannot comply with your request that I should write a paper.
upon the Turf, especially in connection with the question of betting. It is a subject upon which I do not feel qualified to give an authoritative opinion, though I do not hesitate to tell you that I consider gambling in any form to be foolish. As the world is generally supposed to consist of fools, I imagine that gambling can never be suppressed.

I am, Sir,

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
7 Dec' 86

My dear Mr. Bunting,

A friend of mine, Mrs. John Bunliffe, has asked me to write you about a paper on "George Eliot's" earlier life which was forwarded in September to the Editor of the "Contemporary" and has not since been heard of. It is by Mrs. Barclay whom Mrs. Bunliffe knows, and knows to be a reliable informer, who was a friend
and correspondent of Miss Evans before the latter came to London. I believe she has many letters which may see the light one day. Meanwhile she has put into the press a work referred to what she is enabled to publish all her old friends and Mrs. C. assures me that you need not hesitate all giving her reminiscences room.

The recent appearance of some past material in the American

issue of Mr. Lives' Life of his wife probably offers a favourable opportunity for renewing the subject on this side.

You understand that Mr. Barclay is not personally known to me. But this is this roundabout channel she wishes your attention directed to her paper - which, if you do not care to use it, she will possibly send somewhere else.

Believe me to be,

Yours very truly

Oswald Dykes