Penna, Fitzgerald

& Carlyle
THE ATHENÆUM.

PALL MALL S.W.

Dear Mr. 

Having a holiday in this subject would be for me new and interesting by some readers. I know T. Carlyle well, and not many weeks before his death was allowed
Blown Sam
Derry Cill ferr
M A FSA

to model his
head. The
Bust is now in
Town Hall
Chelsea. I have
calculates on some recollin
t of the other's I
and which I
should like to
send to you.
Would I hope but
will send.
Carlyle I may say it, was a rare pen part of me. I am in only one living Nancy who has known love as a lily man.
Dear Mr.-

It is strange that I can get no opinion on depressing to my Paper. It is not as if I were an ordinary casual writer - I have a fair reputation.
here are some really interesting talks with Carlyle. When I knew them, they were really concentrated. Believe yours,

P. Fitzgerald
Tuesday

Dear Mr.

You will receive my letter soon.

Last year -

My visits

Curious memories of Carlyle & his
Strange ways.
This was marked
for insertion
at your
or some co-editors
request. I
shortened it.
Since then
I see it is hopeless
especial as I
was told Caley
had it passed
from one co-editor
-to another
it would take
time to trace.
I should be
most obliged
if you would
let me have
it back, as
it is certain
The lost - and it is too good for that - I am a little surprised to tell the dramatic traits I heard IJ.C. I heard described did not appeal to you.
Dear Sir,

I must say frankly that I did not receive in my letter coming to your hands. It
was meant for the super editor, as I may call him, all through you have been most accommodating. I should not have given trouble in case of it.
usual convention essay article or discussion but this was really a special thing with all excuses

Percy Fitzgerald
Address
of Ionian Bank,
Athens, Greece.

Athens, 3.7.91.

Dear Sir,

I have just returned from completing a book covering over nearly two years in Armenia and the Caucasus, and having put together the enclosed which I forward for publication if you find it of sufficient interest. During the time I was in the Armenian country, I was doing special work for the Daily News and Manchester Guardian dealing with daily events.

If you publish the enclosed, I would ask that a proof may be sent to Mr. James Bryce, M.P.
54 Portland Place

W.
To whom I have written, asking him to kindly revise it for me, as he knows of all the matters of which I treat.

I am, Dear Sir,
James Eady

C. Fitzgerald

Percy W. Benting Esq.
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
W. C.

London.
Cambridge,
Decr. 26/89.
J.S. Paton Esq.
My dear Sir,
I must ask your pardon for so long neglecting your very kind letter. In truth it came at a time when I was simply overwhelmed with work, and it got thrust into a corner of my desk and thus the matter slipped from my mind. Not wholly, however, I have in mind the substance of your letter, but failed to realize how the time was passing away.
without my giving you any answer. My reason for not giving an immediate answer was that I did not know exactly what answer to make. This doubt arose from the fact that I was then on the point of making an arrangement with one of our principal publishing houses by which the whole of my time until January 1891 is to be devoted to work for that house. Circumstances have led me to make such an arrangement, and on putting the question I find that I am not at liberty to write for the Contemporary or any other foreign magazine before 1891. By that time it will I fear be rather late for the suggested article on Darwin. This is a disappointment to me, for I prized very highly your kind invitation to write for the Contemporary. I am in hopes, however, that by and by I may succeed in obtaining the leisure to do something for you: 1891 is not so very far off. With sincere regrets, believe me, dear Sir, Faithfully yours, John Tyndall.
Private

Kelverstone Hall, Thetford.

Dear Sir Percy Bunting, I have this moment received your letter. I much appreciate your wish. I am on the Committee of Imperial Defence, which effectively seals my lips and do not open such a subject as your mention is one the Committee may discuss. I think I can write to you freely...
We have a mutual friend in the Editor of the Westminster gazette. (His head is screwed on the right way!) so I say to you from the abundance of the heart, it's dead folly to have programmes! It's dead folly to have programmes! The available superannuated - I will the cannon ammunition - I said in the same grand way - four armoured ships a year but when we first we didn't want 'em we didn't build 'em and saved up, then built unprinted lines, powerful ships. May it be presumed fancy! just fancy! the new ships now building 70,000 long tons - the Dreadnought 21,000! and the super-Dreadnought gun as much beyond the Dreadnought as the Dreadnought beyond a pen-shooter! wait a year...
D'Alby, 6 & Juvénal 6 1903

Cher monsieur Baudry,

Michel joumets, comme avec le Figaro sur l'assiette de la Correspondance Littéraire à l'occasion de mon article. Il en regrettera que le TImé ait oublié de mentionner sans le sont 

un article dans le papier, et pourtant les bonne famille qui lui ous été communiquée, 

bien que le Cercle Ver. en la scène. 

A quand votre prochaine 

arrivée à Paris ?

J'aurais distingué de la 

feuille que vous m'ont 

fait.
M. Marion
P. W. Bunting

8th of the Contemporary Review
40 Old Square, Lincoln's Inn W.C.
The Barlows
Fortnightly, London
Hants
1908

Cher mon Oncle,

Vous trouverez ci-joint l'article.

Je vous envoie par Revue - car celle que préconise pour l'insertion par la Revue. Ne vous préoccuper pas de le remanier à prendre car l'article reçoit un jour.

Vous pourrez donner le ton en courrier ou éditer cette première. Je tiens...
F

C/o Bell & Sons.
Covent Garden.

1885

Dear Sir,

I think I agree with you in regard to the song from Sappho. The Contemporary has sterner work to do than to introduce a taste for the Choric element in literature. It strikes me however you may approve - what I think

Durrell authorize me
To: Percy Rounding

To: Michael Field

Note. Most English readers would prefer it. Perhaps at your leisure, you will kindly look through the enclosed lyrics, retaining those you feel unsuitable for insertion.

Thanking you for your courtesy in giving such prompt attention to my work, & for the December
7

1885

c/o Bell & Son
24 York St.
Consul General.

Dear Sir,

I am deeply sensible of the honor you confer on me by proposing that I should write an article for the Contemporary.

The subject you suggest strongly attracts me, it would give me special pleasure to see it dealt with by an adequate editor. The
Just ethical capacities
of drama; it seems true,
need pointing out; but
you will understand that
as a dramatic poet I
could not undertake the
task, Mr. I fear, can I
offer you anything in prose.
"Verse & nothing else have
I to give you." I enclose
two songs, from a state of
lyrics I am, for your
acceptance, should you
deem them suitable for
insertion in your magazine;
in any case, as a token
of gratitude for your
most courteous suggestion.

faithfully yours,

Michael Field.
Children!
I write now simply to ask you opinion about the Sappho Songs. I hoped to say I have already sent one to the Athenaeum - Wh. May appear next Saturday. If it does not - as I venture to think it is one of the best - I will write to the editor 4 learn his ultimate intentions. If he does not want it, it could stand first in the Que. in four pages. Should you
approve.
faithfully yours,
Michael Field.

to
P. W. Bunting Esq.
To Bell House
24 Yorke Street
Clement Street
1885

Dear Sir,

It was with very great pleasure that I received this morning the proofs of "Two Songs". The latter I have returned by the earliest post to 10 56. Rudgate Hill.

With regard to "Further Measure", as you are kind enough to open some the
Pages of the Contemporary, may I quite frankly ask – I have on hand?

Whatmen's Sappho has led me into an enchanted land... to read it is indeed to feed on 'nearly-dear'. It is inspiring a series of poems of which I am already written. I enclose a specimen...

My purpose was to expand, if I may venture to urge such a word – each fragment of Sappho's into a song, to print the series in a tiny volume to be called "Drops from Agamemnon". But I should very much prefer for the drops to appear first in your pages; a song or two at a time, as you could find room. Should you approve the plan, there would be due to the intervals of silence, of course for would make selection from the verses. I should desire only my very highest work to appear in the Contemporary.
With regard to your proposal concerning further dramatic Scenes, the dramatic work now in progress is not of a character I deem extract; but I think it more than probable that, after the play, I am now writing has been published, I may write a drama on some single thrilling historical incident in 2 acts, which I would submit to you. It might appear in 2 numbers— one act at a time.

But this is decidedly unhealthful.
C/o Bell & Sons
2 York Street
Craen's Garden.

April 6th 1886

Dear Sir,

With this note I forward to you an article about the subject you, several months ago, requested me to treat. At the time you made the suggestion, I told you the simple fact that I had nothing to say, but subsequent reading and thought have given me much. I have taken
all the pains of which I am capable to translate the crabbed terms of philosophy into literature, but have been compelled against my will, to retain some technicalities. The one condition I am obliged to make with regard to the publication of the New Age is that it should not be "censored." It is not long I should imagine it would not occupy more than five pages of The Contemporary.

Sincerely with kind regards,

Michael Field.

P. Buntings Esq.
April 13th, 1886

Mr. Bellman
4, York St.
Cimet Garden

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your am. & B. Parker's note.

I think I have discovered what you wrote about as it new.

There's Miss's representa my position, viz that I have not brought out that the comedy changed in moral out.

Look to really the fundamentals teaching of Christianity, unscared by the escrow

& its first individualistic manifestation. I will do honest all I can to
Amused I thought, am I in France? 1553 on Friday, full up... I thought... to... G. Brabury, 97, full stop. Michael's father, move too... Thank you, until further notice...
No Bell Stuns.
2, York Street
Covant Garden.
April 9, 1886

Dear Sir,

Though a copy
of Brunco will of course
be sent Rice Contempory
for Revew. the Rain interest
for home letter. mi wok
prompts me to ask your
acceptance of Brunco - not as
an editor, but as a friend.

Sincerely yours,
Michael Field
To Bell & Sons.

Dear Sir,

I beg to acknowledge
with thanks, the Cheque
for three Guineas received
this morning.

At present I must not
yield (the temptation of this
is quite strong). In verse, I can
see I have passed my thirtieth
years. I am now enjoying
the ease & mastery of a
Craikman. But with Apollo and the Sun God,
I look back on a labourious past. The necessary discipline
in acquiring a distinctive
characteristic excellence
in these W. I fear, I have
the charm of - for these-
so can anyone, have.
Sincerely thanking you
for the interest you have shown
in my literary work.
Sincerely yours,
Michael Field.
Blackmore Lodge,
Regale.

Sunday eve.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

We have just ripe and ready for press a ballad which we send you by this post. May I rely on your kindness in glancing through it at once, and returning it by return post, that there may be time to get it into some other [September] Magazine? I need not say that we hope it may meet with your approval, and strengthen the
tie between the Contemporary "Michael Field." But the method as original & its style bold, it may not be altered or cut closely; on these points we are stark. A may interest you to learn that this ballad is an attempt to treat peasant life in verse with the same union of realism & idealism with Mr. Frances Miller treated it & cut.

I do not scruple to say that I think it a fine piece of work from which remarks you will rightly infer that I am not the main author.

With regard to prose I have no article to offer you, but an open mind, ready gratefully to consider any themes for articles you may suggest.

I have ample leisure in my Surrey home & plenty of inclination for writing. Just now I am begging from my friends all round a nice little plot [not without tragic touches] for a prose comedy.

Almost any modern life-history will serve as a basis; it strikes me you or Mr. Bunting may be able from your wider experience to suggest a theme.
I suppose you are now about 157 years old, after
returning while yet the century
in Lincres has passed, I
must join Mr. Bunting
will spend a day with
us. With kind regards,
Faithfully yours,
R.H. Bradlee

To
Percy W. Bunting Esq.
Feb 8th, 1887

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I beg to acknowledge with thanks your cheque note to be able to earn a little money by my prose, to me great cause for delight. My poetical work is a trifle, Mr. Xcut off my travelling expenses. But this is how poets are...
Heade in this world! I am so glad you took a look at the Old Couple right and regarding it heresies but it pictures. I think people have taken it for granted their brains over it. Some I knew have quite accepted it; had their reward.

Should you chance to wear any literary critics on it, address me otherwise...

from people whose esteem I should respect. I should be profoundly grateful to you if you could send true to give me the sum of it. On these you may begin to have much to learn.

With kind regards,

[Signature]

Michael Field

To

B. W. Bunting Esq.
Jan. 6th, 04.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am going to make one more attempt to please you. This little effort is the result - in part - of ten days'

sad, though noble, poem.

I am appalled at the sound of forbearance in men for the gift of life and breath.

And I have tried it...
Dear quaint as a poet mag.,

Trusting you will find the very innocence of Eden in this short production. Sam.

Faithfully yours
Michael Field.

P.S. I shall be greatly obliged if you will let me know the fate of my M.S. early next week. M.F.

To Percy Bunting Esq.
Letter to James by Susan

February 12th

Susan Large

Dear Sir,

I wish to inform you that the letter was written before sending you this letter.

I have nothing to add today. Except that Sarah is much better. I think

1884
I do not know how to proceed.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

To

Percy William Bunting
Hôtel des Quatre Fils
Aymon.
Perron Beaumont
Série de 09.
Feb 20, 1884

Sir,
Mr. Sarah Bernhardt
has just completed an article
in the Contemporary, "Some
notes on the penultimate
work of Charles Dickens, especially
Oliver Twist." This essay has
of course been translated into
English since not appear in French.

The paper is very
clear, it holds, interesting
containing as it does, the view
of a great dramatic artist on
concerning the dramatic elements
in Dickens' writings. She has
just dramatized Oliver Twist
for the London Stage at the
Short essay is in some degree an apologia pro opera mea.

De: Sarah Bernhard.

With his commination for
the essay but once make
two stipulations, first that
I will find room for it without
gate in your issue of the 12
November next. Secondly that
you allow him to reprint it
for his own profit. This essay in a
pamphlet form to be sold in
St. Helen's when his drama of
their erstwhile be produced.

If I am permitted to this late in November
but I am still in hopes that
you may find room for her
Critique. I am therefore
acquainted with the tone of
the contemporary know that
this essay will suit you.
Hotel des Quatre Fil,
Lyons. Terson-Bramhae
Susrir et cie. 23 Oct.

Sir,

I received your
telegram last evening, but
as you will be from the
encl. paragraph cut
from the fig. on p. 239, it is impossible to be ready
in time November number
as Madame Sarah is ill
don the Country.

She was quite well
when I saw her last on
Saturday, but when I called
on Monday, after having
sent my letter to you, I
found she had been
taken ill on Saturday
evening, then advised
to his country seat
at Saint James' Is
her doctors. This morning,
figured that she is during
ill, but I hardly believe
this. At all events
I have sent my servant
down to Havre to inquire
I shall know in a
difference after all; as a matter of fact it
is Robertson's claims for
she has the best of
her at Havre! I
never send
it over here the mo-
ment I see it. I
shall myself be in
Paris in ten days
I put up at Long's
in Bond St. I will call
upon you from there.
In the meanwhile
I shall be happy to
Correspond with you.
for will any further information about this matter. Of course it is understood that you will allow her to reprint it in pamphlet form.

May do not mention this to anyone just yet. The paper will lose its effect if much talked about before publication.

Sincerely,

Sam Abbott Stewart

[Signature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bordeaux</th>
<th>Lille 1860</th>
<th>Lille 1863</th>
<th>Roubaix</th>
<th>Est algérien 3 0 0</th>
<th>Ouest algérien 3 0 0</th>
<th>Lione-Gaelma</th>
<th>Présiliens 5 0 0</th>
<th>Est 52-54-55 5 0 0</th>
<th>— 3 0 0</th>
<th>— 3 0 0 nouveau</th>
<th>Ardennes 3 0 0</th>
<th>Grand Ceinture de Paris</th>
<th>Lyon 5 0 0</th>
<th>3 0 0 1855</th>
<th>Bourbonnais 3 0 0</th>
<th>Genève (Lyon à) 1855</th>
<th>— — 1857</th>
<th>Méditerranée 3 0 0</th>
<th>Paris-L.-M. 3 0 0 (fus. A.)</th>
<th>— 1866 3 0 0 (fus. N.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
On a collationné, hier, les rôles de Théodora, à la Porte Saint-Martin, mais toujours sans la présence de Sarah Bernhardt, dont l'état de santé ne laisse pas d'être inquiétant. Elle est atteinte d'une anémie nerveuse très intense, qui lui ôte à la fois le sommeil et l'appétit.

On a dû transporter Mme Sarah Bernhardt à Sainte-Adresse, sur le conseil des médecins qui ont supposé que l'air vif de la mer lui serait favorable et pourrait rapidement amener une réaction heureuse, étant donnée la nature si vivace et si énergique de la grande artiste.
January 6, 1876

My dear Sir,

I am pleased to hear from you again. I have read with interest the letter you wrote about the improvements at the Penitentiary. The changes you described sound promising. However, I am sorry that I am unable to be engaged in a more active role.
Just that I almost lost my

in the limited time of my departure,

I am unable to decline all

the more while I am forced

with these in hand

Your very truly

Edward Jenner
April 4

2, Gower Street. 1887

Dear W. Bunting,

I enclose an article I have just written, the result of a good deal of reading and interviewing, upon our theatre children. I would rather it were in the contemporary than in any other of our magazines.
I think it would then reach a public disposed to take a remarkable view on the subject and with whom therefore the facts collected armed have weight. I have shown him articles by W. Mitchell who has "posted" me upon the case. A great deal of my work as an artist has been done by Mr. Bean. I have written to all the teachers, I have posted a have asked their permission to cite them. With best regards to Mr. Bunting and myself.
Can very truly

M. Fawcett
In For. Prinston Lin. Walthing
Sussex. Sept 29.

Dear Mr. Bruntýng,

Very many thanks for your
package safely received,
also for your kind letter.
I consider it a great privi-
ly to have been allowed t-
help in any small way the great
movement which is going on.

I am returning to London
next Monday (2 February)
and after that I hope I can
be able to attend the meeting

...of the Finance Committee.
As you say we must all stand

from now. I earnestly hope the
trial at the Central Criminal
Court will be conducted with
greater fairness than has been.

Believe me, from very truly,

M. Fawcett
My dear Sir,

I entirely agree with you that an article on minority Representation at the present time would be extremely useful. I am very sorry my time is just now so fully occupied that I cannot possibly undertake to write it myself. Moreover, I have promised if I could write on
the subject to send an article to the 19th Century. I wrote an article on the Reform Bill for the 19th Century in March 1880. I do not think anyone is better qualified to write such an article than Mr. Sebohm.

Believe me,

Yours very truly,

Henry Fawcett.
9 Aug 1916
Ingleborough
Lancaster

My dear Benning

Both your subjects

entertained me. But A.D. (can
in my 70th year) tells me:

and I have made a vow
to my wife not to touch

eccentric subjects for our

holiday months here in this

world. So I can do nothing
at present but will bear you

in mind. Yours sincerely

Yours
15 June 1944

Dear Mr. Bunting,

You have hit on the very difficulty which I felt over the harmonious penal insurance with special penalties for criminal negligence. Such penalties are an essential part of any good law. Can it be managed by applying criminal laws to that offense? The objection...
course it that it is more
difficult to enforce criminal
law — in heavy damages
I feel the insurance fund.

Anyhow it is a difficulty which
must be met

Sincerely yours

Tanner
Dear Mr. Bunting,

Your contributor, Mr. Wolff, has given us a most interesting article on German insurance against old age. Could he not give us another on German insurance against accidents. It would be very much appreciated just now. My own notion is that there is a very strong case for a remedy; but...
Indeed I have written
and meant to ask if you
would accept an article
in this sense. But I
do not wish to write any
thing appearing to be
hostile to the present
Government.

Sincerely yours,

Farrer

Oscar W. Butler, Esq.
24 March 1871

27, BRYANSTON SQUARE.

Dear Mr. Bunty,

Some time ago you said you would like an article on the Water question, and I have felt rather bad in my conscience for writing one under much pressure for the New Review. I can now however strongly recommend you to look at an article of W.F.R. Dickinson, one of our
Council, who is working
with me on this question,
and who is, I think,
more competent to see
it as a whole and to
write fairly and soundly
about it than any one.
I knew: He is
anxious to get his
views before the public.

and I believe you would
send one another well

Sincerely yours,
J H Farmer

I am much pleased to
see that your paper is,
up I wrote about France
is not without results.

Dickens' address is

W T Dickens Esq

Baron Bury

Wandsworth Common
3.11
10 Dec 1890

27, BRYANSTON SQUARE,
W.

Dear Mr. Bunzeb,

Many thanks for the cheque.

I really do not know enough of the Tones to recommend any one. Indeed I am getting too old to know the younger ones.

Some people have asked me if I will republish...
all these articles. I thought we'd shake it
would willing do so,
but the first word on
this point her will you

Sincerely yours

T. E. Farres

Percy W. Bailey, Esq.
13 November 1890

Dear Mr Bunting,

I have had no word or sign from Mr Gladstone. Have not seemed to be interested, but I don't know what he thinks of the articles. Foster or Morley are the two men whose opinion I should care most about. You will know better than I which statesman knows.
or cases most about London; or which were fuller. It does strike me that a strong case is made out in the November & December articles not only for real and general financial reform but a strong case for London against what this Parliament has done. London has as usual been neglected and its own interests have not done justice to it. It is not the Government only but their party & the House which is to blame.

I believe Goschen & Ritchie would have done more for London if they could. But that does do not make it a worse electrifying cry.

From what I can see people have some interest in my first article. I have seen no signs of interest in the
second. But things take
time.

I am not surprised at
what you say about Goethe.
He is always very sensitive
about Cuvier's. It is poor
Cuvier can it a bad way
just now. But I should not
be discouraged.

Sincerely yours

J. H. Larrer
31 Oct 31

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am afraid I cannot help you much. The President of the Board of Trade knows the writer quite thoroughly. So does Mr. Spencer, Deputy Clerk to the Assistant Registrar of London Council. But with all our officials, I would find it difficult to write. Possibly one of them—especially Mr. Brinie, whose reports...
are very good - might put you in the way of some young surgeon.
If I were in town I would ask him - But you can use my name
1 hint.

Sincerely yours,
T. H. Farns
I shall be much obliged for a criticism on my articles. Their dulness is patentons. But I think something may be made out of it for London.
20 October 1890

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I think I have got to the bottom of Lord R. Churchill's point about the balances, so far as the returns enable us to do so and am much obliged to you for calling attention to it.

In the return 378/90 it appears that during the two financial years 1888-9, there had been issued from the Cont. Fund £1,497,000. See from the Financial Statement.
138/90; £ 1,429,000 appears to have been the Exchequer issue for Naval Defence in 1889. 90; and £ 1,430,000 is inserted in the Estimates in the same statement as the sum to be issued in 1890. 91.

Neither in these Returns nor in the Return of Debt 343/90 is there any mention of any debt having been incurred for Naval Defence under the recent Acts: whilst in Defences Return 4½ millions is stated as the sum required by borrowing in 1889. 90.

It seems very strange that there should be so great a liability all at once in this year, and none in preceding years. But Mr. Gresham's Budget Speech gives the explanation. Lee.

Hanover April 17th 1890. p. 70.

It then appears that £ 500,000 has been taken out of the balances for temporary purposes for the War Adm. Office and £ 537,000 for the Adm. and that the whole sum of £ 1,497,000 issued for Naval Defence in 1889. 90 would have to be replaced by borrowing.

I do not myself fully understand the Treasury practice in these matters. But it seems to me to be very strange that a Chancellor of the Exchequer should be able...
by tampering with his balances, to
quasi the go by to ordinary rules
of accounting: to keep out of
sight liabilities which have
really been incurred: and to
make the debt of one year affect
the debt of another.

I am drawn up by the
complexity & unintelligibility of all
these accounts; and Goosens
Defence Acts have rendered them
much darker than they were.

Sincerely yours,

T. F. Farne

P.S. If you like to send this
I am R.C. pray do so.
Dear Mr. Bunting,

Last I should have

indulged Sir R. Churchill.

I think it right to point

out that the Return No.
343. relates only to paper
prior to 31 March 1890.

Whist it favours Return 200/90
relates to Debts incurred
in the present financial year
and would therefore come into
a continuation of Previous return.
But this does not wholly meet my original criticism, since the loans for
Graham has contracted for Defence purposes under the
Imperial Defence Act 1880, and the Imperial Defence
Act 1899, and some part of these loans, most one would think, have
been contracted before 31st March 1890.

But at any rate the fact remains that in 1890, 1
there is 4½ millions of debt contracted which does not appear in the Return of National liabilities.

Please send this on to
Lt. A. Churchill - I pray thank for
Your pains.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
Notes on Lord Randolph

Henderson's letter of 20th October

I

The floating Debt rose from 16 millions in 1889 to 32 millions in 1890 (see Harcourt's Return 343/90) in consequence of the conversion operations. But Mr. Ritchie did not make the mistake of excluding it. He said (Times 17 Oct.) that the debt when the Govt. came into office was £713,454,980, and that on the 31st March 1890 it was £684,954,150. These figures are taken from Sir W. Harcourt's Return. Page 7, Col. 9.
This col. (9) is not the funded debt, but the aggregate liability—after including unfunded debt and allowing for assets.

But then Mr. Ritchie goes on to say that over and above the reduction of the National Debt there was a surplus of £7,154,000. What he meant by this I cannot understand, since surpluses go to the reduction of debt. Mr. Goschen's actual surpluses in these three years amounted to £8,397,609.

Under the old sinking fund practice, and this of course went to help to make the reduction of
Natural Debt of which he
Rutile boasts.
But what Mr. Rutile does
not tell us is even more
important.
He does not tell us that
if they believed their Estimates
they deserved no credit for the
amount of reduction. They contemplated
no surplus - or a small surplus
which in three years would
only have amounted to a mill. out of the actual surplus of £1 million.
and they intended therefore to have
allowed this Gorgonzola reduction of
the new series to reach 2 grana-
to its full extent - in other words
they meant to pay off in three years about 7 millions less than the unexpected prosperity of the country has compelled them to pay.

Another thing which Mr. Ritchie does not tell us is that in 1890 the Government were according to Mr. Leafores's return incurring a debt of £4 ½ millions to be paid out of the Revenue of future years, which, of course, has 1 be dedicated from these reductions which they lay claim. Of this amount 1 ½ millions (£1,497,000) was actually issued from the Consolidated
Fund in 1889-90 to the Naval Defence Fund — (see 379/90 and Financial Statement 138/90) and had been taken from the balances, so that the balance in Col 10 of Sir W Harcourt's Return for 1890 is less by about 1 ½ million than it should be. This is explained in his Grocers' Budget speech of 1890, p. 704 in Harrow. In fact this 1 ½ million was really a debt incurred in 1889-90 and ought surely in Sir W Harcourt's Return to have been noticed. The aggregate in Col. 9 of other liability, and the balance together show an accurate result — but
The real state of the case
would have been more accurate shown
by adding the million & half
& 1/4 to these columns.

The confusion attending the
Goebel's finance is almost worse
than its improvements.

*In R.C. letter*

As regards the second point, it is
certainly one of very great interest.
I am myself on the Board of one
of the Trust Companies (not one of
the newer kind of financing Co.,
but one which publishes its assets
and does no financing). It is quite
tenue that we and other similar companies
must largely in American securities
north & south - and also that
there is at present a great +
shrinkage in market values. But
whether there is any real
 diminution of permanent values - ie
of real returns - I doubt. We
have as yet no failure they
dividends. Some bad concerns there
are - no doubt - and many of the
Finance Companies have over-done it
brought out bad things. But
the present shrinkage is as much
washed in unquestionable securities
such as Illinois & Indiana as in
the more doubtful things.

How far Goschen's Conversion has
led to speculative investments, and
toward the demand for Consols is
the most interesting point in
Sir R's interesting letter, I
find, in talking to some City
friends, that there are many who
agree with him, and who also
disapprove Mr G's conversation as
sharp practice.

It cannot of course be that the
conversion has thrown money upon
the market, because Consols have
been paid off only to a small
extent, and what Mr Gosling
has paid off well he has
borrowed with the other. But
it is very possible that his operation
has destroyed the taste for Consols,
and that the class of investors, who
See a very interesting article on this subject in the Standard of the 15th October.

used to be loyal to Consols, but have been frightened out of their allegiance. I am told that the transactions in Consols are now very few as compared with the number that used to take place before the conversion. This might be easily ascertained if a Return were made for showing the number of transactions in Consols during a given period (say) a year or two months in 1886 or 1887 before conversion was spoken of — and a similar period say in the present year. This would show whether the present effect of the conversion has
been to present or vestest
dealings in Consols. What the
ultimate effect may be when
people have got used to $2\frac{3}{4}$
in lieu of the "blessed
simplicity of 3 per cent", it is
too early to say. I always find
my City friends opinion to be
affected by the moment.
If it should prove that the effect
of the conversion is to shake the
belief in or taste for Consols, it to
make it harder to borrow, the
savings of £1,500,000 a year
will have been dearly purchased.

J P Farrer
House
26 Oct 1896
My dear Li,

Having on the evidence of hand

I have carefully collated

and condensed it.

If a list I saved

in signature - have bred

on briefly as possible.

Aug 8
1887
to let out its significance

drive it home like

a nail into the

hard heart of

none the less...

dry-eat the

fearful accumulation

of our national guilt

in this matter. Stay

advent the article in

June if you can. The

subject is of interest

I may return to it

The facts are I

overwhelming in lotation.

you shall have it

without fail on

Tuesday next.
our
from what

Bava
Farrer
Dear Mr. Bunting

I am at work on the article I promised for a Goodwin's trance. But it is a bigger and more complicated subject than I expected. The relations of Imperial and Local Trance are especially interesting as well as interesting. If I should find it necessary...
into as much as
would fill two articles
can you find space for
them -
Gordon is very
interesting - so much
knowledge and industry
and at the same time
so much sincerity and
purity. Everything is
suggested - nothing complete -
and every thing left in a
swep. The would have been
a first rate permanent
official but he is no
statesman. I he earns up
credit and popularity
in action by boy in words.
But he is not instructed.

Yours very truly
T. T. Farrer.
My dear Mr. Bunting,

I will do my best to send the first article to your Lab. by post 20th. I should have liked to put both finished together, but will try to do what you want.

We must arrange having you here and I shall be much interested in seeing.
the outline of yours scheme.

...It must I think be independent of the Chancellor of the Exchequer. But subject to some public criterion of control.

Absolute maximum rules of investment will be a letter and a return. Some rejection rules may be desired.

I am inclined to think that it ought to be a published condition that if there is a discount for the stock at a price which gives less or much less than 4 1/2% the stock shall be raised.

But of all this more anon.

Sincerely yours,

T. N. Lassar
27 July 1888

My dear Sir,

At the present moment I am occupied with other matters, and have nothing on hand which could be of any use to you. But it is quite possible that I might in the course of the autumn have something I may which you might be willing to accept: and if so I will gladly consult you.

Yours sincerely,

T. H. Farrer
Dear Mr. Bunting,

The unfunded Debt was increased from sixteen millions in 1889 to 32 millions and a quarter in 1890. See Gazette Return just issued No. 343/90. page 7.

But this increase was made for the purpose of the conversion, i.e. to pay off the fortunate recastors who refused to accept Goschen $2\frac{3}{4}$.

Consequently the funded debt was reduced by paying off about 19 millions and a half in money. Page 28. And the funded debt
seems to have been reduced from 607 million to 585 millions. Same return, page 7.
Under these causes I do not think there is much to criticize in the matter of the floating debt. But there is a point in this Return - which, coupled with Lecky's return (200 / 90) I do not understand. By Lecky's return we are spending on Army & Navy this year four million, and a half, which is to be paid out of the revenue of future years. Must not this
be a liability, and one
which goes to reduce the
amount by which debt is being
paid off? But I can find
no mention of this in Sir
W. Harcourt's return which
supports the account of
all liabilities. This is a
point to be inquired into.
Grocers doings have so muddled
accounts that it is difficult to
make out anything.

Sincerely yours,

Percy W. Bunting Esq.
Oct 3/91
Heightsburg, Wimbledon
Park.

Dear Sir,

I have forwarded to Mr. Coulon the corrected proofs of my article on Electrical Surgery. I have told him that I have written to ask you to
Let me suggest another title than the "Electrical Cured Cancer."

I should much prefer either "Cancer & Immune Needle versus Knife."

Willy you let her know whether you approve of one of these?

I have been careful throughout the article to realize no confidence assertions. I feel that the word "cure" might reasonably be challenged.

I am,

Dear Sir,

[Signature]

P. P. Harvey

The Editor of the Contemporary Review.
20th April 1878

My dear Mr. Petley,

I fear after consultation I must oppose this to my resolution. I must

not

but

conscience & many promises of satisfying results induce me to take

the work.

This too will settle the matters for the long


Mr. I have thought
Of my family &
As if studies rather
than for work specially
undertaken. Here
I up to anything
else just now
the thing that cas,
the nearest. My
heart not be an
apology for Carlyle.

Ever yours
C. M. Fairbairn
Airedale College,
Bradford.

28 Aug. 1863

My dear Sir,

I am just come from Scotland and am about to return for another week.

Unhappily I have had much domestic anxiety. Happily it appears now past, a little rest and the holidays.

I have had no
time to think of anything but the most necessary duties. Now it is a first time to commence what I ought to be reviewing. And what I must ask you to do. But I find a man so busy as I am is a person left no means the right sort of person to have charge of so important a work.

I was looking at a paper I sent you, "On the Study of Religions," on which I thought rather long. It is perhaps too much taken up with method for the Review. yet I thought the

...
Dear

Much alter it. Don't shall return to it again.

Sincerely,

Your

R. W. Fiskman
1 October 1883.

Sir, the Accrington.

If I may for recommend the Art. In time, I do have offended. But I have to say in a note: Now I do think up to both being in time in quite about a place. All I read still say may on consideration, be said in less space than recommend occupies. But let me have your judgment.
I shall so far as possible expound and it. Stepping travel I am anxious to reply to Newman & Barry together. I shall you tell me any thing.

1. Whether you want me to be ready for November to appear along with Barry, or 2) for the 2d following Barry's appearance.

These things I want to known as I have a week on hand. I assure the majority personal attacks of Newman's attack makes delay fatal. I 1m sure ever yours

A. W. Fairbank
Nov 11. 09

My dear Benedict,

Enclosed is a note from Mrs. Hatch: no more.

I have just come from a most affecting interview with his widow. Out of the last clergy he said a most cross that he was going to Rochelle for just one week.
Left Church Meeting,
About the very affixed
ing in my hands
and let you like me
do it? I ask for I
like the promising it
not offered to pay
fit tribute to a friend.
Worse lost is too
great to be just more
estimated.

Ask Mr. Budding if
my stay with you
on Monday next to ek
a friend to meet me
at 10 A.M. to come on
Tuesday at Breakfast. Its after
living Cecil Amore was
Dear Friend,

If the review is to be honest, I am long over it.

Sincerely and very truly,

[Signature]

A. W. Kibbly
MANSFIELD COLLEGE.
OXFORD.

April 7, 1900

My dear Benedict,

Schürer is one of the most eminent R. T. scholars in Germany. He is author of the most important work on R. T. Times. Editor of the "Bibl. Literatur". Zöllner a critical, bi-weekly regarded as most competent journal of theological
Criticism in Germany. I fear cannot be using in getting time into contemporary. The Stone friend only is Herma.

His position is somewhat advanced, though he is free from being a negative critic. He will be a distinct gain to leave a discussion of the Thebans questions from time.

I came to give quiet for my second article, but I have come into the midst of trouble. The chances of getting work for me and show more respect.

Let your kind tell Mrs. Bannister that the address of my letter is Mr. Alex. Crawford

27 Victoria Road

Kilchren

2.6.21
With best regards,

cordly,

A. W. Fairbank
MANSFIELD COLLEGE,
OXFORD.

Nov 24 96.

My dear Bennett,

First things can CO
forward that the
publication to conclusion
is possible. Forstrat
not to be removed
the restoration in favor
of a Free Church. Congress
at our Union Meeting
the other name for
mention came to me
Splendid.

I left on 16 Dec
About two weeks ago, I was disappointed. I thought it was enough in it to teach a detailed review. If I could begin another on "X" in Modern Turkish, I would feel disinclined to leave it for any other work, especially as you does not

[Handwritten text]
Dear with 5 of the questions concerning the Church of the Bible which I was meaning to have treated.

Ever yours,

A.M. Taylor

P.S. Sincerely do well in His care. I am very pleased with you here at Dringham.
June 10th 36.

My dear Bunting,

I am very much struck with Miss Cobb's letter. It gives the real nature of the present controversy. It the subject she suggests is one that ought to be discussed in all its various details. But I must leave
that it is a discussion
quite impossible
for me at this moment
I have to think
all shared lend
in case first at this
moment no one
whose name I can
impose. It will thus
be to memorize the
mother herself in
one or more pages?
Of we need a more

responses from me?
I cannot let the question
with more knowledge
of the prize
which in her letter
be accepted by
and Artists, dedicated
by the Material at Leg
Commonwealth. We
used to exercise
a great influence
of the present situation.
We must give
to affect to take & allow
this most pertinent
material in the Codex
and discussed in
four pages.

And regret for
my errors in clitic

--

R. M. 1528

[Signature]
The Royes

Bathgirt, N.B.

Apr. 1, 91

My dear Breton,

 enclosed is a proof of a paper. I have written to Mr. Humphreys to send on the MS. to you at once.

Please note So requests. The wishes paper not to be printed until it appears in the N.S.

2) A proof to be sent here.
5) His MS. to be returned.

If you do not know another of letter connected to this manuscript by the gentlemen who hit the previous one.

Prof. Yeszek, N. A.

Rochester.
Now as to other matters:
I have seen Coates twice
but he is not altogether well.
They think the principal cause is coaching on my part which I think will help to make him willing to join the Congress. I am going to see them in Edinburgh before returning South.

If you receive this before Sunday
please let me know hereupon.

To above: if Coates
22 Zander Road
Edinburgh.

I hope to be in Oxford early
next week.

Your filly
A. M. Richardson

Sehr geehrter Herr College!

Hierdurch übersende ich Ihnen meinen Artikel über das Johannes-Evangelium, mit der Bitte, den Abdruck desselben in Contemporary Review vermitteln zu wollen.


Im ersten Teil konnte ich Einiges kürzer fassen. Der zweite Teil aber hat mehr als den doppelten Umfang wie der Deutsche Vortrag.

Trotzdem hoffe ich, dass meine Arbeit in Druck und Format von Contemporary Review nicht mehr als 20-25 Seiten einnehmen wird, und dass es daher möglich sein wird, sie in einem Heft zum Abdruck zu bringen. Eine
Verteilung auf zwei Reife wäre für den Gesamt-Eindruck sehr fördernd.

Sehr lieb wäre es mir, wenn ich das deutsche Manuskript zurück erhalten könnte, theils wegen der Kontrolle, theils weil ich es zu meinem Privatgebrauch gerne haben möchte. Ich glaube, dass mir die Ausführung einiger Abschnitte recht gut gelungen ist.

Vor dem Druck erhalte ich wohl auch einen Correctur-Abzug.

Wenn Sie die Güte haben wollen, mir mitzuteilen, ob die Erfüllung meiner Wünsche möglich sein wird, so würden Sie mich sehr zu Dank verpflichten.

Ihr ganz ergebenster

E. Schürer.
Oct 20 1890

Banstead Manor,
Newmarket.

My dear Sir,

I have to thank you for so kindly sending me Sir T. Farrer's letters.

The increase of the floating debt

Should be a matter worthy of examination. I believe according to all Gladstonian finance doctrine it is much too large. See Mr. G.'s remarks on the subject.
n 1879-80, it 2. because in
all Ministerial platform speeches
the reduction of the National

debt is made a matter of

statement, the
addition of 12 or 13 million
the floating debt never
being deducted from the gross
reduction in even attended to.

See Mr. Ritchie's speech at Manchester.

Then, the debt is "floating", it is our debt.

Hence consideration will
now give due to the

policy of repressing the debt.

That policy has shown immense
sums of money with less
become quarterly. 2. Multiplication.
up trust companies is an evidence of the desire to invest large sums of laundered base money. These trust companies are becoming more questionable. Concerns of their large developments is a sequence to a consequence of Mr. Gashen's expanding operations. American Mexican railways Argentine securities.
African mining shows
received quantities of money,
formed in the funds. This
has been in their investments
an immense shrinkage of value
which may not be recovered
of the wealth of the nation has
diminished. This is quite
apart from the loss sustained
I apprehend if we broke out
if we break to borrow largely
in those keys on what can
better terms than before the suspending
as it lending public would try
a guard themselves against
a repetition of the somewhat
hand of all though practice
In the present moment mental difficulties, I did not know easily follow here in thisentitie.

\[ \text{Sir W. Harmanra ought to} \]

\[ \text{notice the discrepancy between his return e Mrs. Lefevre's heat} \]

\[ \text{Sir T. Farrar he discovered.} \]

\[ \text{I return upon the last letter you} \]

\[ \text{sent me. the first I saw} \]

\[ \text{the piles up to them be} \]
BANSTEAD MANOR,
NEWMARKET.

will return it to you shortly.

Believe me, Dr.

Kaspar St. John Buns

[Signature]

Owen H. Huntingby Esq.