Dear Mr. Hunting

(Urbanity, Lepe)

Mr. Garrett Fisher will have told you that I have failed to
get the papers ready in time for the month.

I am very sorry. I have really worked myself quite ill on
it—but I have at least got it into plan, I hope to send it.
All of which will still accept.

It has been a great service to me to try to cooperate with you. I have always considered you an admirable collaborator. It is not his fault. I have also committed some errors, and I have failed also. I think about

The time may come when I may be of more or a lesser use to dreamers. Shall I begin to turn at something, as my various attempts to fit my "Befehlszooch" paper-bags into the real life, some improvement, if I am not and fortunate in this beginning to become such a competent collaborator with them.
With unwar, what will believe me. Some shame & confusion! (face) Believe me.

Dear Sir:

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Reader.
Sat. 14 June
1893

Dear Sir,

With great interest I have read the proofs of Romanes & Hartog, with the hand-written MS. of Cavell—(three enclosures).

Romanes is evidently continuing the old discussion, so also Hartog... I think this discussion had better go on as long as you think expedient.
Symposium be drafted on fresh lines.

These I have been working at,
but at great disadvantage, now
today, when I had hoped to get it.

I am to try out, as to
have to go off for the Sunday to
the County, or than return to
College areas =

Cattell: paper i nd
bad at all, in fact quite clear

I regret. I think you might
do much worse than accept of.

Hence my plan was:
be to open the symposium in
the # number, to refer to
the preceding papers in it, of

course very briefly. And to
begin the symposium with
these would not differentiat-

it from the preceding one.
You might try an editorial
lie this: I remember
was at the close of these
in effect
paper, that you propose what
necessary closing the Weismann
deaths, you think of having a
symposium in form of brief expression
of American contributions to
embattled from
naturalists, not of the Weismann
school, which the Weismann
be welcomed to
What will then be like the
part of cities? 

Faithful Yours, H. Godd
Dear Sir,

I refer to welcoming you.

Suggestion re Symposium — at the

put up off till October

for until I get on my present

personal work over — teaching

building here — in Edinburgh

change of residence, settlement in new

above, then a short holiday in

August summer meeting off, and I

can’t do adequate justice to it.
I am accumulating material of
for the Boston Review, & other, to which I've
written. I have Cunningham's
other paper by me =
Cunningham is a little
anxious to have his paper
printed, I wrote y'day myself
that if I were too busy he
might talk while matter off
(of sub-editing Symposium for you)
off my hands—since you
are willing to wait till Oct,
I have made a
fair amount of progress having
I have replied that the wait
be necessary—
If he wishes to print
his paper (since bearing
essentially on the past calen-
dary) might do so some
after. I prefer to keep it
however—
I do still think there shd
be no harm in your indicating
that a symposium is to take
place—but of course that
do not preclude this.

Your very truly,

Y.

Mr. Spencer has sent me
a bundle of letters from different
Correspondents bearing on his
controversy, I am helping him
in the symposium & to help later.
Address - University Hall Edinburgh -

Int from 29 April to 17 July inclusive. Univ Coll. Dundee

24 HYDE PARK MANSIONS

17/4/3

Dea Sir,

[Handwritten text]

I have talked over the planning out
matters of the Evolution Symposium
with Reddell and Scott Elliot, shall
do the rest when I get to Edinburgh
of Dundee.

Do I understand that you
wish the discussion to be opened in
the first number, or the best
of first group of papers to be in
you hands in time for July? I
fancy the latter will admit of things
being better done, but if necessary I'll try the former. Kindly instruct me how to reach
me at what date & each month this must reach you—

2) I incline here from M. Lavoisier, who as I said wishes his name
(if possible of nationality) kept quite a secret. I said also the
rough draft of translation by my wife, of which the first few pages
have been copied out clean fo

press. As you will note he has
devotional spirit of the British student
who is supposed to be the author of the letter
at least so far as I know him. It

There are many others as at
least a distinctly French character
about the style, which would
have to be put aside of-

I don't venture to think
you will be likely to take it at
my own without change, but
I am quite willing to try to make these, if you will return the bits with suggestions. I got it a good few months ago—but waited until we should meet, as I always knew we should do some day.

(3) Do you wish me to write an article on the contemporary Renaissance in France? I suppose it will do in autumn? The other subjects I am full of are the educational utopia of my Summer meeting with—Edwin and the rebuilding of the stone town, had better be done before it is written about. Yours etc., A. Hedder.
Dear Sir,

Mr. Haber Spencer writes to me, asking me to put myself in communication with you as to the Symposium on Natural Selection which he hopes you may be able to organise. I shall be very glad if I can be of any assistance in the matter, I think it might be
better — if you can spare a few minutes — if I were to call on you, as so vast a subject is not easily discussed in correspondence between persons who have never met. Our mutual friend Dr. Paton of Nottingham indeed desired me to call on you long ago — but I have not cared to trouble you as I am sure you are busy, although I leave London this day week for the south, but cannot call almost my afternoon — an option 1 times, would have been useful, and I have several engagements.

Believe me, dear Sir,

Your faithfully

F. Geddes
W.B.

The lecture subject of a Course of Lectures I have lately been delivering at Royal Institution melodies in lect.,

the criticism I have been mally

of that self — i.e. true to far as the mechanical standpoint can see - but deficient beyond, i.e. when we look at aggrandizements as growing.
Dear W. Bunting,

Herewith M.S. of an article on Louis Pasteur. If you wish the third section enlarged to include reference to recent work of Pasteur's school and fuller treatment of his legacy, would you be kind enough to wire us and another page will be posted on Tuesday.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

P. 10.
I have had to have the assistance of Thomson a little bit, but as he is author of "Gram Bacteria," the article in Chamber's joint author with Pasteur, himself, of their article "Hydrophobia," you will not I am sure disapprove of this.
University College. Dundee.

5th June. 1896.

Dear Mr Bunting,

Thanks for your letter which I have waited to discuss with Arthur Thomson before replying. I shall really have some leisure in July, and shall honestly tackle the question you are kind enough to propose, so as to be able to submit something for the Contemporary to which I should really like to write.

Thomson and I could still arrange a series of articles such as you desire, and bring the more thinking biologists from both sides of the Border and beyond. Thus Lloyd Morgan of Bristol, Delage of Paris, Bateson of Cambridge would all write well on questions really interesting, while in Edinburgh besides Thomson and myself we have Scott Elliot (the botanist who has lately returned from many African explorations), Miss Newbigin who would write well on colour in animals and plants, and at least one more, George Sandeman, who is thinking out the Philosophy and Metaphysics of Biology, and one or two who are doing good work in other directions.

I hope therefore to write you carefully in the latter half of July, but in the meantime I shall be glad to have another line.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Dear Sir Percy,

I am back in town again for a term here, so hope to call on Lady Hunting this time.

May I send you a paper on the Farm Animals Exhibits?

How current? It is my sense of earth anything but I think I have something very about this. If so, what space
my name at Ellin's?
Yours as usu.
Maggie
Sehr geehrter Herr!

Durch die freundliche Vermittlung der Herren Tshetsch und seine Mitwirkung habe ich Ihre 
1. Theol. Jahresberichte, nicht Band VI, in Erinnerung vorliegender 
Revue

Ich wäre Ihnen zu großem Dank verbunden, wenn 
Sie den Theol. Jahresbericht, einer genaigen 
Besprechung in Ihrer Review unterziehen 
der 3. Theol. Jahresber. sehr oft erwähnt (Seite 141, 1919, 
59, 69, 265, 265, 269, 349, 349, 350, 355, 230) 
Vorabdruckserfolg


Gottfried Richard Herzog
Verlag
The Editor of The Contemporary Review,
case of Messrs. Faber & Co.,
London E.C.
56, Budge Gate, Kell
Oakhurst, Hamps  
22nd Nov 1887

Dear Sir,

The article on Darwin's life will be sent to your address at Lincoln Inn before 12 o'clock tomorrow. I do not remember that address exactly, and shall therefore take the risk of being in time with you in the morning to send it. I am indebted to you.

Yours truly,

W. Mcalpine

P.S. Rushing
28 Januar'y St S.W.
17 Nov 1887

Dear Sir,

I have received your note of 16th August. Since you called yesterday I have further considered the subject and am now more than ever willing to undertake the article on Darwin's life for The Century Review.

I will commit to write it only on two conditions
1st. That the length of the Article should be left to my judgment. 2nd. I have seen the book, and in my case should not exceed from ten to fifteen pages.

2nd. That the correspondence to be paid for it by your publisher. Hence to Thirty Shillings.

Your very time,

Alter Gildie

Percy Cr- Bunting Esq.
11 Endleigh Gardens
Corrie by Braehead, Arva
14th August 1883

Sir,

Your letter of 10th inst has been forwarded to me here. I regret that it
will not be in my power to serve you as you propose
by supplying notes of Prof By.

in Geology for the Cont.
temporary Review.

Your faithfully,

Rob Geithie

P S Bunting
1883 May 8
1885 May 9
1887 April
1887 November
1888 January 8
1888 January 9
1889 January
1889 October
1890 December 3
1890 December 27
1891 April
1892 Re Eliz. Condé of Moby's, depeted
1892 Re Chrev. Lord Selkirk, stinging anonymous
1893 April Re paper stab for Backer, published by a 7 cent., offer an anchor. Secret Police, Russian Tot for three hundred.
Shapling May 21. 83

Dear Sir,

In reply to your favour of the 18 inst. I am quite ready to admit that having given up my official position, I am perfectly free to write as I like. I was in fact so before, for university professors are not subject to any censorship for their writings nor to be imprisoned by a superior authority, if only they take care not to come in collision with the law. I was speaking of the indirect censorship of the government professors, exercising a terrorism of which you have fortunately no idea living in a country where there is no such thing. This press written by paid scribblers is always ready to denounce and to slander any public man, who seems to have escaped momentarily from the government and it is impossible for a man who respects himself to unmask such fellows. Another point is, that writing anonymously I would be much more free to give valuable information, which I get from high
quarters, being for instance since my University term on intimate terms with our Prince Imperial, whilst when writing with my signature I must of course be careful to avoid anything which might appear indiscreet.

As you, however, say that the want of a signature would be an almost insuperable difficulty, I am quite ready to write with it, I shall only be obliged to be more reserved on delicate points. I think it would be best to wait for the end of the session of the Reichstag and I will then try to give you an article on the situation in Germany which I hope will not be unworthy of your esteemed Review. I shall remain here till about the 20 June and will not omit to give you here my further address.

I am truly yours

Jeffker.
Berlin 29 March 35.

My dear Sir,

I regret to say that my inquiries as to the possibility of getting precise information on the finances of the Catholic Church have proved thoroughly unsuccessful. As regards Germany I have spoken here with a kind host, probably the most influential person among German Catholics. He tells me that he was perfectly ignorant about the matter and had in vain attempted to get information about the financial conditions of the Church in the difficult countries really war, where with the oppression of the State seculars, it became necessary to provide for the clergy by private means. But all his inquiries have been met by polite refusals, most of the old property of the Church was sold.
large, the acquisition of real property was under State control and what was the
sum in personal property at the command of the clergy and the congregations was entirely
unknown, probably only the bishop and each chief of the resp. order knew how
much was disposed of in means he disposed. I have received
a similar answer from a high official of
the Austrian minister of the interior, to know
which the Catholic Church belongs, he says
that he is pretty conversant with the
matter, but that it is perfectly impossible
for him to communicate such details, as
would be essential to this task and all out-
iders are simply thrown upon what they
can get from bookellers. In France it may
be somewhat different, as the legacy duty
raised in that country requires minute in
formation of the ecclesiastical property and
in Italy the secularisation must have placed
all necessary information at the command of
the government.

Regarding therefore that I cannot be useful
to you in this question I would ask you
whether you would be inclined to publish
an article on the internal policy and forma-
tion of Germany since 1871. I would be
more free to say curious things, if I could
write anonymously, but I would not in
this upon it.

Please to give me your answer together with
your dispositions for the article or letter.

Thought, I should be back at Rome by the
1 April.

Yours truly

Jefferys
Sir,

In reply to your note I will only say, that I was aware of my article being longer than usual, but it was the consequence, that I had to revise not 6, but 9 months. However, as you have no space, I have tried to conform to your wishes and make the following excisions:

- p. 13 I have suppressed the quotations.
- p. 15-16 I have removed the whole contents of the ecclesiastical bill.
- I have kept only the first page on the exhibition, suppressing p. 18, 19, 20.
- Finally, I have cut away all the notices on literature.

The article will be thus reduced to about 16 pages, but I plead for maintaining the notice on the English pictures, which will be of interest to English readers.

Yours sincerely,

Jefferson
Dear Sir,

I return my best thanks for your note of Apr. 2. and the enclosed cheque. I reply that I have read Sir Ch. Dilke’s articles. The two first on Rupee and Germany are very good, those on Russia and Austria very unsatisfactory. Sir Charles in taking the paper strength of Austria as the real one comes to a much exaggerated valuation of its power. I have good reasons to believe, that with the exception of Asia, the power of Austria is very hollow and that she would be beaten in a great European war most decisively. again Sir Charles underestimates the vitality of Austria. I think I could easily prove that by a comprehensive state.

 yours very truly

Jeffchen
My dear Sir,

Your observations are perfectly well founded and I have acted upon them. I introduced a short silence on the Gare visit to Berlin, which could not be frozen when I wrote and a passage on the Crown Prince and his son. This is a very delicate matter for me. I have been partly educated with the Crown Prince and am therefore on most intimate terms with the Imperial family. His death will be for me a great personal loss, but it is very difficult to speak about his son as yet, it
is utterly unfounded that he is coarse to his wife. They are most happy, but it is unfortunately true that he is on very shrewed terms with his mother, which is as much her fault as his. Such things cannot be disguised in public; what I have said, I can answer for your truly.

[Signature]
Hamburg-Ohlendorf

1888

Dear Sir,

I had just dispatched yesterday my article when I today receive your letter. As regards the state of the Emperor I know from good medical authority, that he may linger still for months, although of course a fatal issue is not excluded, so that it probably would be the same in July as in June and the delays of an article of actual significance always involve the possible necessity of re-copying part of it. On the other hand I am not in a position to judge how far the present state of France is justifying the honor to claim priority for his article, though I should think that the present ‘gâchis’ is very likely to last. I have
You must leave the decision to you and you will best be able to give it after having perused my article.

Yours truly

Jefferson.
Segnhaus. Dated 18th Nov. 1888.

My dear Sir,

Your last letter met very ill as you will have seen by my wife’s answer to your telegram which I hope has reached you. I was myself unable till now to write and am only slowly recovering. As regards the reasons which prevented the publishing of my last review, I can quite understand that you were disinclined to publish an article which you thought might prove stale, but I am at some difficulty of forming an accurate idea what you expected from this article. A review of the contemporary life of Germany during the last six months would not pass over the most important event the death of the Emperor William with a few words. I was obliged to give a condensed view of the passage together with my judgment and I do not think that my sketch repeats what was said by
daily papers. The life so as I had known the
Empire personally very well and was able to
write from such a knowledge. I have been pretty
much the same with my former articles as
well as with those of my colleagues who write
on French or Italian affairs. I am not often
in the position to reveal state secrets. I can
only try to form a comprehensive view of
the principal facts which have occurred during
the period on which I write, together with an
independent judgment. I trust that this will
satisfy you for the future as it has done
for the past.

Yours truly

Jefferson
Dear Sir,

I thank you for your cordial letter of the 11., but at present I am too weak to write anything. The sufferings of my long imprisonment and a violent attack of diarrhoea about Christmas have reduced me to a state of exhaustion, that I am scarcely able to hold a pen. The physician afterwards told me he had not expected that I could survive the night of the 22/23.

Besides I have not the documents of my arrest, which were merely kept secret to me, till on the 4. I was refused to correspond freely with my counsel, and on the morning of the 5th, the Inspector of the prison suddenly entered my cell and told me I was free; at the same time three officials rushed in, packed up my clothes and half an hour later I was in the carriage to the railway station.
Only 5 days afterward I got the decree of the Supreme Court, which resolved that all proceedings against me were to be stopped, as no proof of any guilt could be found against me and that I was to be liberated immediately.

My physician finds me still too weak to travel but at the end of this month I hope to go to a more genial climate. I am often overwhelmed by telegrams, letters, demands for biographical notices, photographs, offers to publish pamphlets from all parts of Europe, but I remain chained to the whole warfare in the press. I have not written or inspired a single line. When my health will be restored you will hear from me, at present I am unable to write more.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
My dear Sir,

I am now slowly recovering, and I think I could write you within the next weeks a paper that might interest your public and be a fitting sequel to the famous Diemarch dynasty, namely the German Bep... unrolling the secret springs, by which it is directed. But I would be obliged to take extraordinary precautions that my authorship may not be discovered, for else the persecutions would begin anew and I have had quite enough of it. Therefore I thought I would give an English turn to the article, writing as an Englishman who has long sojourned in Germany and publishing it not anonymously, but under an English name, such as John Bradshaw or whatever you would think fit. By a long experience I know the German press thoroughly, but in my review on German affairs, which I signed, I could never say what I knew, there are horror so many curious feelings in the subject, and I think it would excite great
interested. If you agree to this proposition and the
above named conditions, I think I could send you the
paper in the beginning of March for the April number.
I need not say that I have read with the greatest
interest the "Diss. Dynastie", it has created an immense
sensation and no German paper has dared to give extracts
from it. I wonder therefore the author for the details
about me, which are quite exact, but the story of the
cannibal is just the reverse, it was Machen in the
undertaker who could not get it in and the text for Herpman, now
assistant to Hanemann introduced it. Besides I think
the author did not do good service to the Chappe F. by
saying that she wanted to govern through her husband
and the last page recommending her to set up a
salon d'opposition is very embarrassing for her future
position in Germany.

If circumstances permit, I shall make a visit
to London in summer, Messrs Sampson Low are
going to publish a translation of my "prose
sketches" which appeared a year ago out dread
minutely English subjects. The British Empire,
Lord Palmerston, Prince Albert etc.

Yours truly

[signature] J. G. von Heffzen
Dear Sir,

When in spring I wrote that I should prefer to delay
the article in the German press, I was well advised
for shortly afterwards occurred the case of the suppres-
sion of the Volkszeitung and various other incidents.

which deserved to be mentioned. I have now rewritten
the whole article and send it herewith registered, but
I must repeat certain conditions.

It would not do to publish it merely anonymous.
ly, when the article on the Dinarode dynasty appea-
red some government papers advanced that I might
have written it. Then I could deny that I had any
thing to do with it. In the present case I could
not and as the article will certainly excite sensa-
tion in Germany, the papers will grasp at random and
might fix upon me, besides the government spies
in London will be busy to discover the authorship.

It must therefore be published pseudonymously
or put them on a false track. I have given it a
starched garb and if you will introduce any changes
in order to strengthen that impression I agree at
once to them. Besides I fully rely on your assurance
that no one but you will know of the authorship.
for it would set loose again the whole pack of the government press upon me. If anything transpired of the origin of the article.

I hope it will arrive in time for the August number and I beg to send me the proof sheets at the above address. If however time should press we might dispense with my revision and if some slight misprints in names proper should occur it would not be a misfortune. I need no separate copies of the article as I shall give it to no one; perhaps it would also better if you would forward the proofs yourself and not by your printer. I think I shall remain here till this month in any case letters will reach me by the above address.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Geoffrey
Percy W. Breeding Esq.

Private and personal.
Bellevue, Vienna... July 17, 1889

Dear Sir: Of the two ways you propose I decidedly like best the latter one, namely to put the first the paper into the head of an English writer, so without altering the matter would it give it a very English style and sign his name. For me the principal thing is to be main unknown and to make known to English public opinion by so an esteemed organ as the contemporary, the expression which poisons the public spirit in Germany; and I am sure that the article will cause great sensation and will at the same time give a good poke of thumbs. So please choose this way but let me have a proof sheet, as there is time enough now and a new important item has sprung up in the late Waldersee-affair, which I wish to insert your family.

C. D. Fechhelm
Percy William Hunter, Esq.
11 Ingleigh Gardens
London, N. W.
Aug. 1 1889

19, Argyll Road.
Kensington, W.

Dear Mr. Bunthyn,

This I've read carefully, & I'm very sorry to tell you that I can do nothing with it. There is a great deal of particular knowledge in it & I can't pretend to copy it word for word as my own; it much of it...
most intensely pursuits in
hearing, oh. I'm some
seeing about father's
thing. I don't know it
is all right enough. it
sounded a thing? that is
a variety of details known.
Oh, newficht. and only he

as kind of a person, or
as someone familiarly
under this country.

Your reply

F. Remond
7, Norham Gardens,
Oxford.

10 Oct. 88

My dear Sir,

Accept my best thanks for the honour.

Could you not see some one to write a very decided article about the Emperor's Diary? The publication may have been very indiscreet, though we do not know that yet. But what is then in the Diary to justify such a
People who knew anything about their method found nothing new in the Diary, certainly no dangerous secrets. If there is any danger, it will come from the profession. Boscore is too great for such small games. You probably know Giffen. I have known him for years as a most cautious man. I cannot help thinking therefore that he had some reason for publishing the Diary, nor do I see why such selection should have been so from the Gifford lectures for Glasgow. I could easily find a man to write a firm and serious article.

Yours truly

F. MacWhirter
My dear Sir,

When writing to you last I could do so only in a hurry, receiving the proof in question only Aug. 21, while the article should appear on Sept. 1. I therefore now take the liberty of explaining more fully. First as I said I did not think the moment opportune, immediately after the visit of the Emperor, but there were other reasons. In your letter of July 15, which is before me, you said "I think the best way will be to have no name at all, or else to give the paper into the hands of a known English writer, and without altering the matter could give a very English style and sign his name. The letter would take the most complete disguise, as I am sure you will agree, that it can be accomplished." I decided to follow the latter the last all at once, as I wrote to you, but the proof gave me such English signature, you proposed simply
to sign. A former resident in Germany, at
the same time introducing some changes, apart
which I had no objection whatever. Now having
waited time for reflection by asking not to
publish the article just now, I found it tran-
sitionally with your alterations to a foreign
friend. This advice was the following: I
strongly advise you not to publish it at all, for
through the form is perfectly English, any-
one conversant with the matter will come to
the conclusion, that a paper having such an
intimate knowledge of all the details of
the subject, can only have been written by a
German and at the least has been translated.

Besides as far as I can judge, the interest it
would excite in England, would be only a paper
in Germany, whilst it would make a terible row, the
attached papers and the Berlin prep office would
make guesses as to the author. Your name
would probably be put forward, as your
former connection with the Contemporary which
is known and how already (provided before your
 preach angry commentaries of the government prep
and you could not honestly deny the part you
ook in it, as you were able to do, then the
article on the Bismarck dynasty was put in your
account."

I must confess that my friend is right, but if
therefore I must abandon the publishing of the ar-
ticle at all, I think that I can offer you a com-
pensation. Count Rugheim has just now published
a new series of his Memoirs "London, Jesuit
and Kadova which are of the highest interest
also for the English public; but his communica-
tions are incomplete and having spoken to that
whole drama as minister in Berlin. I could in
reviewing the book give much supplementary in-
formation on those events, which have changed the
face of Europe. And I could of course on that
account publish such an article only among
mossly and its content would not necessarily
lead to guesses on the authorship, like that on
the prep. I could furnish the article at any
time and if you would send me a telegram
saying simply "yes" I think I could promise
it for the course of next week. The book will
likely be translated into English, but could not
appear before some months, therefore it would
be an advantage to give as early as possible
a review of it in the Contemporary.

yours truly

E. Grschens
Dear Sir,

Returning yesterday I found another proof for corre.
ing of my article, which I suppose was sent with
the manuscript only for safety’s sake, and is of
me use now, as the article must be printed. You
will have already received the proof, sent by the
Hotel des Ambassadeurs, which I returned to Mr.

diesel very day and I should be glad if as I
asked by my last card, if you could send me a
few separate copies of the article.

Gleichen

München, Oct. 27.
3 Seitzstrasse

Yours Truly, J
Bellevue. Krienslingen. Canton Thurgau
Switzerland. June 22. 90

Dear Sir,

I herewith send you the article on the economical condition of July and I would ask you to inform me as soon as possible, whether you will print in the Aug. just number. I think there would be chance of a translation of it into Italian, if it thus comes at the right time. As to the correcting of the proofs I think that I shall leave this place about July 10 and some days afterwards shall be back at Munich. 

Yours truly

Jefferson.
Belleme, Westminster, July 1. 90.

Carin Thunen.

Dear Sir,

Waiting for your answer about the Italian article, I have to inform you that I shall remain here till about the 25th, so that the proof will meet me still here. I forgot to mention, that as you have declined the article on the change of Government in Germany, I have given it to the Fortnightly Review and that I rely upon your absolute discretion as to the authorship yours truly

[Signature]
Darm - Baden  Ville Yalente Apr. 14

1893

Dear Sir,

You will recollect that in the process on the murder of Mr. Belches, Bulgarian minister of finance, some documents about the Russian policy in Rumania and Bulgaria were produced, stolen by the drogman of the Russian legation at Bukarest and transmitted to the Third Bulgarian government, excited general attention, Russia did all to procure the extradition of this man Jacobson and then by a circular declared the documents to be forged, which deceived everyone. Now a Mr. de' onoff at Sofia has published all the documents.
of which Jacobson gave possession under the title "Documents secrets de la politique Russe en Orient 1881-90" which shows that Russia from the beginning pursued the plan to bring Bulgaria, with Poland, the evacuation imposed by the treaty of Berlin, under her absolute dominion. This collection would furnish excellent materials for an article "Secret policy of Russia in the East."

Would you be inclined to publish such an article, which would be the more appeal, as Russia prepares her encroachment in Asia occupying the Pamirs and annexing anew a large bit of Khwarazm? The Czar's advisors have confidentially said as much during his visit at Berlin.

It would be of course too late for theプレイ for the play would end in good time for the June A.
Dr. Geffcken

1883. Rar. A article to read

1885. proposes article to read. Internal situation of Germany since 1871

1887. April acknowledgments during

1887. Cultures down an article referred to

1889. Mr. refer to having been partly educated with Crown Prince. His intimate knowledge of Imperial family. Reference to new Crown Prince. His hostilities to his brother.

1888. May. refer to article just dispatched

1888. July. The illness of a beloved relative

He knowledge of State Secrets
1889 Jan. His illness still incapacitated him, refer to his "process" imprisonment release.

1889 Feb.

Ready to write a "fitting sequel to the famous Bironax dynasty" must take extraordinary precautions to remain anonymous. Publish it as by an Epithet "John Bradshaw". Have by criticism his details. "The Bironax Dynasty" wonder "wherefrom the author got his details about me."
Dr Geffen

1889 July 20.
accept [illegible] proposal to
put [illegible] articles in hands of
an English author and have [illegible] sign his name. "Too late. The
principal thing is to remain
unknown."

August. F. Greenwood replies
"To father. To article.
October 2. Dr Geffen withdraws [illegible] article of substitutes. Cour Rival d'ère.
October 27. Dr Geffen seeks for
separate copies for our use. Has
left most at Hôtel des Ambassadeurs.

In Turin.

1890 June. [Illegible] article on
 economical condition of Italy.
1890 July:

I have sent an article on "Changoy Government infmation," to London, as it has declined it.

1893 April:

I have sent an article on "Secret policy of Russia in the East," based on Jacobsohn papers recently published at Sophia. It would be ready for June number but must be anonymous.
Count Vitzhum's latest novel

family friend to Italy

hostility to France. Vasten Sadowa

anti-Bavarian move. Denmark

Austro in its new power

they had W. T. Stead

Imprimatu

I have been asked for a reply to your circular note enclosing a cheque for £12.12. — if the Article is "lawyers' work". If so, I did not amide myself at all to accept any remuneration, nor had I any idea where I might be asked in your instance to write for Article. It was for me a work of love, and I should henceforward be glad to have any claim to payment. I was prepared to have all charges in this matter be. But on receiving your note I was prepared to seek an exception in my case, as in urging the disadvantage to you also. As I have not received any payment for this article, I shall decide to publish it, and publish it, as I advance of the cause the article advocates. I trust you will receive in your
can only be made a chose at some
future time. I may be able to contribute
something better to the undertaking."

I am sure you have the unfortunate
visit in mind. It will be a matter of the
End of the Empire now. This should
be a matter of importance to England. The key
correspondence to these years of this came conclu-
sion. You and me send word. The more
presence of moderate English shall at that
squadron at Durazzo, Salonic and Gallipoli
would help away to vocabulary, Salton the
Christian cause to organise humanity, into
independent States at the ward off all
such dangers, as the Egypt I think you should
remain true in any proper reason.

Signed me Robert Your servant