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1916
2 October. Wednesday. London Correspondent. 20 daily news on authorship a little staggered but as a device it seems fair to his manner of style of the East re-affirms statement that a week or two before public did he intimate to Bulwer desire to withhold name.

"Newspaper Day. In Gladstone's statement that journal in question has no authority for attributing article to her quack pensioner as second f

Editorial of two columns dead like article its supposed on him and its subject whoever wrote who article it represents in G's news.

3 October. Thursday. London Correspondent. In the above statement he not a denial of authorship how did the secret leak.
(con.)

out? "That is a battle for us" The Times "likely to break
off."

October Saturday - Italian Press refuses to
accept G's authorship but publishes London Telegraph
attributing it to him "The Attack"

October 7 - "The Triple Alliance" is heading
for Berlin. Lebeau published.

October 8 - Contemporary Review article in Vienna is
attributed by Sir de Rothschild - anticipated. Lord Salisbury
wishes to save nobody. The
article attributed to G.

October 9 - London Con. agree to refer to the subject.
Bedford gets an "incitement to European
war."

October 10 - Daily News attacks G. in 1858 speech.

Edinburgh Review
30 October, Wednesday

Lad. Col. & Ishbili al Qulbi sent to the British by W. E. G. broke both legs, & 30s.

31 October, Thursday

Mr. C. the C. Rcc.

Desir'd by some Ishbili that they have given anyone authority to disclose identity & write.
DAILY NEWS. 1 October 1889

Editorial. 1st October. 1889.

"The C - R - will rank, take its first place in public attention before be
by virtue of the article in The Triple Alliance -
Italy's place in it will be still more
It is vain to pretend to keep
a secret when it is revealed in every
page of the review.

Editorial. 12th October 1889.

The C - R - has the most complete
profoundest defiance ever yet
queen to The Triple Alliance -

...the following few days' notice -
I am conscious that it is poor work apologizing after an accident of this kind, but for what it is worth.

I cannot retell which is correct. Revert to the wish to express our sincere regret. Further, if there is anything whatever which any of us can do to mitigate unpleasant consequences, we shall be the prudent — anybody else, who handles only too often to do it.

You will have seen Messrs. Banks have taken it to unlaunder as a great contravention in yealst of any fate it occurs, KM. X and myself that perhaps
that had better remain as it stands.

Yours in,

Ying Wei Penley.
10, Downing Street,
Whitehall.

1884

Dear Mrs. Bulks,

It is a real treat to reflect on this most excellent article. Really, the first time I had I had understood the Whittaker Question. There has been so much about it.
I am pleased to tell my mind that it hurts because I knew that there was less than some moral puzzle, so those who had not been delighted. I was delighted the writer would be today when he finds his tempestuous delight actually fulfilled in the P.M.'s head. Later they had felt capable of aspiring with any body in being everybody. I saw the article of my Letter 4 and I was so much delighted as I was which is saying a great deal - he does not care...
being quoted as thinking the article costs first rate.

Many thanks for letting

me see it. I could

not help correcting a

few miscasts.

S. C. B.
38, Westbourne Terrace,
W. 3. Oct. 1889

My dear Bunting,

I am indeed sorry that your letter of the 30th has been delayed. I only reached it today. Being addressed to me simply Harlemer, it was delivered by the post to Frederick Harrison, living at Harlemer, who is a solicitor gentleman,
Why Ovidianos?

Which means ariket, a
trivial, common, a term of
contempt. Ovid is the figure
for Nobody in particular.

I do not know who may
have written Ovidianos,
but I do know that he
repeated as diplomatic
speak only known facts to
Mr. G. Lord St. John, who
Mr. G. himself told me in the
same words recently. Also the
knee stone panel, at Christie's
came from in 1870?

who makes frequent tours
in the provinces. Indeed
on one he forwarded it to
my house, Blackdown
College, which I left last
Monday, I do come here.
I am sorry that I have
made another arrangement
in the great flight.

Yours sincerely

Frederic Harrison.
To the Editor,

The Liverpool Daily Post,

Sir: My attention has been called to a paragraph in your issue of Thursday last, in which your London Correspondent speaks of the authorship of the first article in the current number of the Contemporary Review. I must ask you to say that the statement so far as it refers to myself is entirely inaccurate.

Yours obediently,

(signed) Percy William Bunting.
Copy of autograph letter from W.E. Gladstone to A.R. Mitchell
Hammerin. Oct 6th 1870

My dear Sir,

You have done me very great honour by sending me your translation from the Zaid Arabic; and I have profited greatly this morning by reading it in your Preface to it, and understand through particularly in the outer court of the temple of Philology. I am sensible of the extraordinary interest attaching to the Zaid.

... certain religion, grateful... There is like you give us... such aid in understanding it... I was led to mention it and refer to some authorities...
the purpose of throwing light upon the question whether the belief in a future life gained a foothold and the lapse of time. Only in the case of Greece have I any knowledge of the quellen, and there I think that both the doctrine and religion generally as an influence lost greatly throughout the ancient classical ages. Some small presumption appears to exist in behalf of the belief that in Persia also in the age 76 (some may take them)
The future life, the retribution with which it was there combined, was a similar downward process. I hope I have not stated this too boldly, or used my arts to conceal my ignorance. Accept my best thanks for your kindness. Perhaps, if I am able to visit Oxford, you will allow me to profit by your conversation, and more than let me remain faithful to you

W.E. Gladstone

L.A. Wells [Eng. (810)]
19, Norham Road,
Oxford.

Oct 21st 91

To the Editor of the
Contemporary Review

My dear Sir,

A proof of
Mr Gladstone's article

(an extract from a note from
him to me) I venture to
ask you to look over
the accompanying article.

I am written
since your last at your
suggestion, I believe, and
you kept it somewhere

We corresponded about it; I
think, my colleague Summerson giving you
some notice.
at last on my account. Turn it out the friendly remark that you had given it a great deal of consideration but on the whole don't think you could give it a place. Now the subject is up, Mentin is excited.
To my intimate friend to whom I introduced the subject of Brookhaven.
Who cites my XXXI
S. B. E. Head Brother of the
in Banffshire

Earl correspondingly
in Gladstone in recent
months nineteenth
Century.

At any
te I am the you
will like to repeat.
You mention in the
point even if you do
not see you any to print.
There is nowhere in
print any such summary.
I delivered it and
lecture here after
receiving it by shortening
it at your suggestion.
(I had) a good deal of
attention & I'm holding
conferences on it (his term
stage he's been coming
also your way here).

Yours very truly,

D. H. Mills.
Cornell University.

Dear Mr. Burton:

Your's including a cheque for the Oct. article finds me here at Cornell where I am spending a short time giving a course of lectures. Thanks for the enclosure. I shall endeavor to obtain the information you want about the Hume man. It will not be difficult for me to get the
I have always been fond of the family and I am sure you and Mrs. Arms and the young people in America will be very glad to receive me among them. I am happy to hear you are coming to New York. Your kind regards to Mrs. Arms and the family.

I shall be very happy to see you in London with the Misses. Your contrivances for facts, I am sure, will make a considerable sensation. Is it really Mr. Gladstone this time? I am invited to give a short series of lectures at the Johns Hopkins University after leaving Cornell, and to visit Michigan University for the same purpose on my way home. So that I am becoming something of a semi-metaphysical philosopher.
Mr Nicol is still away but we have now heard from the Leonard Stock Company and subjoin the following particulars.

They are the publishers of the American editions of the contemporary other English reviews. The private intimation was sent to them in response to a request in a letter dated November 14, 1889, for any such information which would be treated confidentially. In reply to our telegram their immediate answer was:

"Subdue letter written upon misapprehension of your intentions."

The mail just arrived also brings the two following letters:

\[ \text{Gentlemen,} \]

I was more than surprised and mortified yesterday on learning for the first time, upon receipt of your cable, of the letter to the New York Tribune.

My eldest son is in charge of our New York office and he is exceedingly cautious and well informed on literary matters, I am at a loss to account for his stupidity in the present instance — I can offer no other excuse or explanation — it was a shameful breach of your confidence, and fully realize the unpleasant position it places you in with the risk of jeopardizing the relations existing between yourselves and Mr Gladstone.

I trust however the fact that you were in no way responsible for the letter may be sufficient to convince him you should not suffer or be held responsible for the act of our employee. With renewed expressions of regret and sorrow, I am with respect,

Very truly Yours,

Samuel F. Ferris.
Gentlemen,

I have your cable of the 14th inst. I very much regret our letter to the Tribune was written. We caused you annoyance. Your letter of 21st September is as follows:

"We refer you herewith complete proof of our copy of "The Contemporary Review", October, 1895, in which the article on "The Triple Alliance" was signed by Gladstone. He has no objection to the authorship being queried, but on account of the style in which the article is written, he prefers to have it published in his name. On its receipt it was sent here to our Treasurer, remained in his possession."

When the publication of the article in England the cable dispatches published here indicated that it had been written by Gladstone, it was not until our copies had been issued that a discussion arose here over the article, the writer hoping to increase a demand for the number containing it. The letter to the Tribune upon its own authority without consultation with anyone, under the impression that your letter stated that Gladstone had no objection to being known as the author, whereas he now finds the letter states "signed".

I am very much chagrined over the matter, but see no way to remedy it, but will gladly issue any notice you may carry out any suggestion you may be pleased to make in regard to it.

Yours very truly,

Leonard Scott & Co.

(S) J.R. Foster, Sec.

From the above it will be seen that the very unfortunate occurrence was entirely due to a breach of confidence on the part of the Leonard Scott Co. for which they apologize as above.
I have read Prof. D'Arcy's searching and interesting article, with the main contents of which I subject to correction from Lord Acton's angle.

In one point however, I differ from both. I see nothing wonderful in what is called the four division. It was natural that Pitt in his position should cast about for new hopes and means, should despair of dynasties, and that the war of Spain as the country which had large states had been least in the war, and that greatly from the provisional formation of the country the most of popular spirit left her. I do not clearly understand, but he said Spain would rise, but that it was the most likely to rise.

I do not remember the exact year of his death. But I remember very well that he confidently anticipated as imminent events
On union of Italy, the emancipation of
the subject races in Turkey, and the aboli-
tion of slavery. The more virtuous men
were in Pitt's administration, supporting
him to have reached it.

As regards Prof. Dr's reference to me, I think
that in all the early part of my political life
the memory of Pitt was absolutely buried,
under the tombs of Trencher Land沂府
and more revived until the publication
of Lord Stanhope's *Life of Pitt*. Then came
the great changes in fiscal, legal & administrative
which brought about a view of his great
financial genius.

I once had some connection at a Caleb
invitation with the Duke of Wellington
about Spain. It is true, war was that of
one who much admire of the Spaniards.
He said, they are always talking about the
*Juin d'onore*, and Mao he told them My
own friend Oron was to do their duty.

Consequently in their papers they succeed
The opinion that the Peninsular War,
destroyed Napoleon. This regards as
Aug 19, 1896

an interrogative and nasal
expression.

The man whose opinion on the mo-
icence of this prophecy will have
been forgotten having had his weights
(among them whom I have intimately
known) was Rev. Abraham. His son
Rev. Hannum, who has a decided his-
torical faculty, was so intimate with
all and Abioben's ideas of neo-lilanis
that when examining into this matter
I would ask him whether he had known
any light upon it.

And the easy how-drawn distinction
between the existence of a event and the
existence of a nation would have been en-
tirely to his account and quite within
the bounds of likelihood. During the
American civil war and by the per-
severance of the South had prevented Col-
den said to me: "the South cannot
succeed: they have not got a people.
At their back.
They write articles very well, that is, on an interesting subject, and
may "draw" Lord Acton,

Minister

My heart is by yours

My best wishes.
My dear Sir,

I have actually in hand something which will hope correspond in a good degree with the suggestion you have kindly made and I remain

Yours faithfully

Gladstone

C. W. Buntingen
Hawarden Castle,
Chester.

Dec. 21, 45

Dear Mr. Bunsing.

The result of Mr. Dillon on Armenia require no condemnation from me. If they did it would be honestly done. And with respect to your design, I pray you hasten to joice in any way plan which will not make them the oppressing distress of the Armenians and freeing the European people to commit the deep disgrace which every day continued upon this question. I am ever and ever. Remain

Most faithfully yours.

W. Gladstone.
18 Park Lane
March 20, 1851

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I have had
through the interesting and
important article which you
were kind enough to leave
with me.

The subject is one on which
I have (as you may see) already
gone to the extreme point to
which I am justified in going,
and I do not think that as
matters now stand I can
with propriety touch it further.
I should have been glad if
the paper had more fully set out
the financial situation, as it is
but that has given urgency
to the case generally.
But I hope it may
attract attention from many
who have not yet perceived how
promptly reality has become a danger
to itself and even to Europe.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
熟知盟友

The Triple Alliance (Italy)

Place it:

Faithful friends to Italy. Refer to Le hostilities

France. Take into plea that Italy could not

swell desire to leave from which a hostile

ally are to spring. Her place not to alliaan.

17th Oct 1889
? by a diplomat

? Date

? Ven. 1 October, Liverpool D. Poet

& by 30 Oct.
FROM OUR LONDON CORRESPONDENT.

[LONDON, TUESDAY NIGHT.

When the article on the Triple Alliance appeared in the *Contemporary Review*, I shared and expressed the general belief that there must be some mistake in attributing it to the pen of Mr. Gladstone. The next day brought me from an authoritative source a private intimation that there was no doubt of the accuracy of the statement in the *Daily News*. This assurance receives confirmation from an unexpected quarter. The American agents of Messrs. Isbister and Co., publishers of the *Contemporary*, have written a letter in which they categorically state that the article was written by the right hon. gentleman. Messrs. Isbister’s agents add—‘Mr. Gladstone has no objection to its being generally known that he is the author of it, but has
preferred to have it appear under a *nom de plume*.” This is truly incomprehensible. One could, though with difficulty, understand Mr. Gladstone writing such an article and desiring to preserve his anonymity, but that he should in such an important case assume a *nom de plume* and then give his publishers full leave to publish his identity passes comprehension. Hitherto, as nothing in the shape of an authorised acknowledgment of Mr. Gladstone’s responsibility for the work has appeared, there has been some difficulty on the part of Ministers in approaching the subject. But after this open avowal on the part of the publishers of the magazine nothing stands in the way of comment, and it is probable that on Lord Mayor’s Day the Premier may have something to say. Foreign affairs always occupy a considerable place in the speech of the Premier at the Mansion House. On the 9th of November last year, the greater part of Lord Salisbury’s address was devoted to an indirect reply to a speech delivered a few days earlier by Lord Randolph Churchill, then in a condition of relapse towards revolt. On Saturday week, the Premier, reviewing the state of affairs on the Continent, is not likely to forget Mr.
Baharden Castle,
Chester.

Oct 26. 84.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I was unaccountable for your note. But—yes will be from me enclosed that—
the son has broken out again—
I hope that a distinct—
and full
addiction can be given; for
otherwise
The mistreatment and the
breach of confidence would
be outrageous. Believe me
Your faithfully yours

[Signature]
Dear Sir Bertie,

I transmit to you by this post in a registered packet an article which I intend to publish without my name under the title “The triple alliance, and Italy’s place in it!”

It is anonymous not because I am disposed to shrink from anything it contains, but simply...

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Bawarden Castle,
Chester.
...and solely because it is within the nature of a decision to the Great Powers of Europe, and to Italy in particular, such as it would be unbecoming on my part to deliver in person.

I do not doubt that the Authorship would be guessed, perhaps with confidence, but what I look to is having it to press whether independently or in a periodical with full notice upon its being kept secret so far as the Editor, and his Worthlessness are concerned.

You will of course cherish your independent judgment upon it, but I am sure you will recognize in my sending it a proof of my desire to maintain in any instance where I properly can my connection with your Review, as to which I decline with pleasure that it seems to carry all the signs of prosperity.

I remain here until Early in November. Very faithfully yours,

Wladstone

Paris, Hotel Bizard, Sept. 5, 39

Mrs. Wladstone wrote me a brief letter; but I do not know enough to write upon Amnesia.
My dear Sir,

He Dicy, having experienced a change of thought and some analogous to that of Goldwin Smith, is one of the last now alive with whom I should think of entering into literary controversy; and I, which I am not, free for the purpose.

Yours very faithfully,

W. Bunting Jr.
my dear Sir,

I send to you herewith for the
Contemporary Review an article on
Mr. Horne's late which is accepted
and I hope to insert in time for your February
Number.

To follow up some similar articles
in the Nineteenth Century
I feel that it is addressed to a limited
public and I should, with the usual icy
be in this case cut down to 1.

For the next following Number, it may
be in my power to offer you a fragment
Irish affairs. Yours very faithfully

W. B. Yeats

To the Editor,
Contemp. Review
Dollis, June 12, 39

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for the check.

Thus far, I hear no word of approximation on Saturday.

We have all gone to bed in the evening of a lovely state of things, and
to revel in large astronomical

unanswerable curiosity.

I have perfect confidence in the character of Dr. Dale and

must learn with the liveliest

pleasure that he now lives here.
Dr. Pinkerton,

Things are drawing near a crisis. Thus far, one fact has been, almost wholly, that of selfish aggrandizement.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Handwritten note, possibly a name or address]
Tollis Hill NW
May 12, 1887

My dear sir,

I now reach the end of the ‘Great Olympic Rebellion.’ The matter of it is very new and its value is measured by the labour it has cost me. Would stand at a historic point, perhaps, were it called made by its merits.

As it is to appear in your Review, I should be glad to
in it in the next number, and this will obviate any appearance of clashing with the Nineteenth Century.

I shall not think him much to correct in the proofs, but a little shortening might be possible. Northeast

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

P. W. Bunbury
Dollis Hill
N. W.
May 10. 87

My dear Sir,

My Son Aubert has spoken to me about your Contemporary Review: and I feel it to be meant to write a note to you either this day or tomorrow.

You know my regard for you, and my obligations to you. In conclu-
Review I am publishing the paper in the "Olympian" but have not time and I am afraid that Mr. Allston may not appear before them in alternate months. I mean to work on distinct themes parallel branches of the subject of the Olympian religion. I have now ready a paper on the curious legend of the First Man of the Head which I call "The great Olympian"

...to send it on the same footing to you...
Koweitn
Oct 4, 86

My dear Sir,

I have not been on information such as would enable me to write on Bul- 
garia: nor have I any reason to know that any action is expected either 
to come or to assist the 
government. But it ob- 
sum Mandelbuth present 
appares again in your
Mr. Cover of the Century.
Dear Mr. Cover,
I remain,
Very truly yours,

P. W. Bunting

Mr. Jackstone

P. W. Bunting
Naples, Feb 1, 1858

My dear Mr. Brough.

No, I don't feel that I could express it.

Gladstone first and for six years it will be difficult to find one who can - he is so transparent and so complicated.

Priest

Mr. Gladstone
private

My dear Mr. Bunting,

I hasten to acknowledge the receipt of your note of April the 13th. I am very much flattered by the suggestion which it contains, but I am sorry to say it is quite out of my power to undertake the task you refer to. Though of course, like everyone else, I have always been a great admirer of Mr. Gladstone’s genius, and have been connected with his Government in many
capacities, the fact of my having lived out of England almost continuously for nearly thirty years has removed me so completely from all personal contact with Mr Gladstone that I should not be at all fitted to give anything approaching to an adequate idea of his great career. Moreover I am grievously to think that I was altogether out of sympathy with his policy. In reference to his more recent land legislation for Ireland and his efforts to dissolve the Union. This circumstance, as I am sure you will understand, would naturally add to my difficulties in complying with your request, even if in other respects I feel more competent to do so.

Believe me,
yours sincerely
Dufferin and Ava
Many thanks. I think a need
some preliminary minute
a knowledge on any fact that
he had been prominently involved
Tying speech [sic] of Frank, which
as you will understand is far
from being uninitally the case.

Yours faithfully

Wm. June 16, 37
- Buntingby
  Lincoln
  LI
  London
  W.C.
Mr. work has reached
me but has not globen
read. It is up to you
question could not run be
firm. It seems as several
difficulties answered
perfectly
Mk. 11:85.
P. W. Newby
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London
Dear Mr. B., as well I say of the Eastern Question (chiefly you may have observed in different letters) is of occasion not by arrangement I fear that this disable me from meeting your wishes with yours faithfully

London
Apr. 8, 97
POST CARD
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.

I. W. Buntions & Co.
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
W.C.
Dear McC.,

I regret that we are on a subject contrary to our past understanding. Acting on the principle of impartiality, I believe the reason for the stoppage is against the state of our relations. The question of the demission should be conducted by me, as an Englishman, as a politician. These being our only reasons which would obligate me to conclude in the same direction. I believe we will all agree with you.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]

[Date: 16.9.3]
P. W. Buntingby
11 Ednaleigh Gardens
London W.
Dear Mr. B. Very many thanks.
I quite agree it is best to let sleeping dogs lie until more is known. Gratefully yours, 3/89
P. W. Hunting Esq
15 Tavistock Street
Bond
London
W.C.
Dear Mr. Bunting Merry

Yours 1. for draft, 2. for note. It is all I could divine

Yours faithfully

400.08
I, [illegible]

P. W. Bunting
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London W.C.
Dear Mr. B, as I understand the matter to be at present, I have the best word I can send to do so I entirely agree that it is best to stay the course.

Many thanks for informing me. Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Nov 28, 89.
P.W. Bunting Esq
10 Old Square
Lincoln Inn
London E.C.
Dear sir B. I think your plan excellent but I am entirely disabled by my engagements from taking part in it. The American volume has been sent to me. I should very like to part from it specially but if you should desire the loan of it you will be most welcome. Yours faithfully
J. G. D. 11. 89
P. W. Buntingby
17 Endleigh Gardens
N. W.
Dear Mr. B. I write to you
receipt maybe generally
what no No. 83 herein view.
approaching the subject of
the 0.7 I cannot without some
notice of the remark on the article
by Dr. From. Un舒服fully

Alphonse
7. 8. 90.
P. W. Bunting Esq
11 Endleigh Gardens
Mr
Dear Mr. Torp,

I have heard that Mr. C. has many complaints about the church and clergy. I think it would be certainly right to bring the case of Mr. C. upon the minister for his consideration. It would be a right means to do it, and his conduct in such matters would be the concern of the subscription.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

July 14, 88
P.W. Bunting Esq.
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
W.C.
Thank you for the other article. You will readily believe that I have read it with much concern.

Sincerely,

May 24, 07.
P. W. Bunting Eyg
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London W.C.
I am afraid there is little chance of my being able to write on the interesting work you name. But by the time we meet in Bham I hope to be in a condition to give you a final answer if you think fit to wait which I would most venture to advise. Yours faithfully

Mylanston

0.12.88
Postcard

The address only to be written on this side.

Occupy Bunting Ey

Lucy's Rue

London
Dear Mr. B. I am truly glad that I can only repeat to you what I have already told him this morning. I have no other news to communicate. I am wholly disabled from wanting adequate knowledge. Yours faithfully,

Wyethville

Aug 12, 1890.
Dear Mr. Burnett,

I am very sorry that I have no special knowledge in the subject of my last Sunday's examination. I am therefore not at all in a condition to comply with your flattering suggestion. Yours very faithfully,

[Signature]

May 12, 1940
P.M. Bruttingly
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London W.C.
Dear Sir No. 85. For a freeman, a subject large and noble, far and near, impossible to be satisfied.

J. H. T. Jan 6, 91.
POST CARD
THE ADDRESS ONLY TO BE WRITTEN ON THIS SIDE.

P. W. Burnett Esq
11 Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London W.C.
Dear Mr. Bunbury, I pray accept my thanks. I will not discuss the fineness of the paper. The financial case is watched. The most interesting fact is the equilibrium. I think it may be a good thing that the discussion should be kept alive. Yours faithfully,

Alfred Druce

May 27, 91