Ostracund
14 June 1884

Dear Sir,

I am much honoured in asking me to write a paper in reply to the futility to which it has appeared in the Nineteenth Century has lent a fictitious importance.

If I were not actually in harness, I would accept your proposal with the
greatest pleasure but I have been obliged to pass a self-denying ordinance in the present against all writing nor of a purely official kind.

My good friend Mr. Knowles shows his admirable knowledge of the Colonial and really heroic ignorance on the subject of India.

which prevails so widely when he selects such a farce as Mr. Seymore Key's to an advocate diabolæ. His Satanic Majesty should really have been represented by some one more distinguished in the profession.

Indians are bad Article writers, they go to work as it might be quite reasonable to go to work in eternity but in a style wholly inappropriate to time.
Even as measured by the geologist, I know no one whom I could recommend to you — Sir Henry Maine and Sir Evelyn Baring being both I should fear unable to write at present.

How about Sir James Stephen than whom no one wields a more powerful claymore

Believe me, dear Sir,

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

[Postscript: Conant Duff]
I congratulate you on the interest of the Articles in the Contemporary. Your two Articles give us too many opinions and too few new facts. Your "Contemporary Records" are particularly valuable and I think you might with advantage have even more articles of that kind.

Grant Duff
12 Sept., 1887

Dear dear Sir,

There is so much work of one kind or another here that I have not been able to write anything since I arrived. I have literally not been able even to finish a half-written paper upon a German subject which I had brought with me from Europe. If I am able to do so, you certainly shall have the
As you evidently take
a great deal of interest in Indian
matters, I am sending you in a
mail or two a long official
paper which I am just completing,
and a review, namely, of the first
half of my survey of the
outlying districts of the
Presidency. From that you
will see what are the sorts of things
that the people are actually asking
from their rulers in what spirit
the Government meets their
requests.

Opinion in England is
constantly misled by people
mistaking the babble of the
Presidency letters for the opinion
of the people, and the native
press is just as useless as it
can be. That is the side of our
affairs in which we have succeeded
least in this country. There
is absolutely no opinion expressed
otherwise than by such direct appeals
to Government as I shall consider
on in the paper I mention above
that is of the slightest help to us
in governing the country.

The Anglo Indian Press
is as bad as the Press of the very
smaller Colonies, altogether unlike
that of the larger ones.

Baker's ccc

P.S. I am not quite clear about your signature.
So address 'The Editor' &c.
My dear Sir,

I send you the document of which I spoke when communicating with you some months ago.

If you will read the first two and the last half-dozen paragraphs you will see the drift of it. I have
published it for local reasons but you will see that it has a secondary use in supplying, an account to much loose writing about India and its people.

Madras is but a portion of India, still it is a very large province, and so far as the education of the natives is concerned, the most advanced of our provinces.

By knowing accurately what its people are asking from their rulers together with what their rulers have done and are doing in consequence of their requests a good deal of misgirt is to be obtained.

Yours ever

Very sincerely yours

[Signature]
My dear Sir,

I am directed to remark that it is scarcely possible to make a record of work otherwise than cheerful is extremely true but I do not think that the picture is too rose-colored if one reserve is made. The picture is true in that it sets forth with a photographic exactitude.
What the atrocities part of the populations through which I passed in the eight journies described are at present, or more exactly were in 1882-83 acting from their rulers.

Far be it from me to guarantee that they may not come to ask very different things at course of time by certain bad influences which I see around me prevail over better ones as they are  not 100% likely to do when we remember, that on the side of the bad influences is that hatred of the Conqueror to the conquered which must exist in every conquered country and which it is idle to say has not always existed here. It does not show itself as it did within armed resistance and when it does take the form of armed resistance some time next century will do so under conditions entirely different from any
that we have seen before,
but that the hatred is
there as man is very
blind can doubt, and its
existence explains some phenomena
which look at first sight agreeable
such as the excellent Lord Ripon's
great popularity with the Natives.

One remembers the terrible
Persian proverb: "Why do men love
their grand-children? Because they
are the enemies of their enemies!"

The Natives who cheered Lord Ripon
believed most unjustly that he
was the craving of their enemies, and the maniacal folly of many Europeans in blaming them for the harmless Albert Still and on other things which were not quite harmless but wholly bona fide added colour to their foolish belief.

I should like them to convey to your mind that while South India as it is itself presents to any wise, sagacious
accidents I flout and 
agrit, in apostolate 
right, I am only cheerful 
so to speak with limited 
liability. My reports to my 
friends and to my official 
supervisors are roseate tho 
mother before one nor the 
other as I venture to toy 
roseate speculations about 
India. Far indeed from 
that. I look sadly into 
the distance and strain 
my eyes for something to 
give me confidence which 
I do not find. Still the 
experiment we are trying 
is a very honest one. And, 
nothing in history being 
quite like it, it may turn 
out better than I expect. 
Meanwhile all the kind of 
improvements that I care 
about must do some good 
to somebody. Even if the 
whole of our connection is 
...
India is remembered in the year 2000 as the most magnificent scrape into which and out of which any nation ever got.

As for Mr. Hagg, it is rather sad to see so good a man supporting such a thoughtless proposal. No man living has seen so much as I have of House y Commons Committees about India and of the Indian Council. The latter body has got its defects.
And plenty of them. Not you remember Clough's words? I just from memory) "Luther was mad, but God God what was Ignatius?"

Wishing me

Very sincerely your

M. Cartwright
My dear Sir,

Long ago I promised, when I had ceased to be an official, I could speak simply as Mr. Grant Duff, to give you for publication an article upon 'India'.

A convenient opportunity seems to be afforded by a paper, the first, as I understand, of two, which Mr. Samuel Smith has con-
tribute to your pages.

Most of the people who criticize our Indian system are either so frightfully ignorant or of such very questionable antecedents that one is justified in being very unwilling to have one's name connected with them in any kind of way.

W. Smith, if his acc.

subject about which he writes is only superficial, is a man of high character, who has taken pains to try to know something about it, and I think a really useful article, a couple of articles, could be written by clinging close to his statements, repeating to him almost paragraph by paragraph.

If you like this idea, I shall be delighted to send you a reply to him before
I have, indica, I you are en-
tirely at liberty to publish
it as soon as you know that
I have put off the proceeding.
I calculate on W. Smith's
second article being published
speedily; otherwise, I might
have difficulty in replying
to it till the spring, as I shall
be moving about a great
deal in all probability be-
tween the time when I leave
Madras to my arrival in
England. I am already
throwing together my reply
to his first paper.
Believe me
very truly yours

W. [Signature]
My dear Sir,

I have yours of July 29th, which has crossed one of mine.

You may reckon on having a very full reply to Mr. Smith's two articles from me.
unforeseen accidents permitting. Indeed the said articles are both finished and ready for press, with the exception of one page of manuscript which will have to be put in, answering a point which it was shown me this morning I had overlooked.

They will both be sent off just before I leave this country, which I propose doing in the very last days of November, so that they could appear in your papers in January and...
February respectively
Believe me very truly yours

A. (Signature)

X. The first one reach you in the early days of December, the second by mail after.
My dear Sir,

Merewith I send by parcel post the 2 articles about which we have corresponded.

I hope it will be convenient to you to publish them in January 1st and February respectively.

The manuscript is, as you will see, in a
copper plate hand. The only mistakes which a printer, who gave the least care, could possibly make, would be with the corrections and additions which I have here and there introduced.

As, however, I shall be wandering about for some time to come, it it will consequently not be in my power to correct the proofs, I shall feel extremely obliged if you will get the corrector of the press to give very special attention to the proofs & to the revise. If he does so, there cannot,
I think, be any mistakes worth mentioning. If, when you receive these, you would need three words to me addressed to c/o The British Consul Port Said to say that the articles have come in good safety; it would be a kindness, & I shall in all probability receive it your note. Believe me, my truly yours.
April 30th, 187....

York House, Twickenham.

My dear Sir,

Mr. Lewis Morris's information was as accurate as becomes a poet, but still not strictly accurate.

The Orleans Club has ceased to exist, its now the property of [unreadable].

This place is my home, where I shall be very much delighted some day to receive you, if you will come down to dine & sleep.
Just for the present however we are transferring ourselves for a few weeks to London, 1. Deanery Street, Mayfair.

The author of the Epic of Hum, compounded his account of my whereabouts very freely, but the explanation probably is that the Orleans Club used to be Orleans House, the property of the Due D'Annalle, while this was the property of his nephew the Comte de Paris, from whom I bought it a few years before I went to

Indies.

Believe me

very sincerely yours,

[Signature]

P.S. Delighted to see you some morning at 1. Deanery Street.
May 12, 1887.

York House,
Twickenham.

My dear Sir,

I have to thank you for the draft which arrived safely. I shall be very glad to see you when you call.

I am

Yrs. very truly

[Signature]
1st June 1899

My dear Sir,

Very many thanks for the kind note which has reached me here.

Believe me,

Yours sincerely,

M. E. Grant Duff
Sir,

I have to thank you for your letter. I fear the notion which flatters me, but which I much decline. I have no literary skills, and have and have thought.
little about the details
of the Chancery Infernal
Institute.

Would not some
member of the Committee
review over by hand
hunches, and who

have been present at the
discussions on the matter
be able to assist you.

Your etc.,

G. W. Bunting, Esq.
Mr.,

I cannot very properly

very flattering — But I think
not write a good review,

and there are several reasons
why I should not like to do so.

But I am much obliged
to you for having thought of me.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
August 25

19, ARGYLL ROAD.
KENSINGTON, W.

Dear Sir,

I am much honored by your invitation. As I cannot resist, however, I hereby like to say yes to it; I
am not in the midst of a meeting, or a small business, or an
unusual legend it is a good
week-end. Lady on perhaps,
you will please me to remind
you of your letter. I am
going to write to you on this.
I take note of your letter
long than I have scope for
a daily journal ; it
polishes me up my only
spirit.
March 18, 1890

19, Argyll Road,
Kensington, W.

Dear Mr. Drumhig,

Unfortunately, I was interrupted in writing this while, and could not take it up again till Sunday. At the end it is hasty and unsatisfactory, but here I can put it right within the space of 15 or 10 lines.

The Imperial character, so far as it comes out here, is very plain—almost a Knastor communication, of course. The Chancellor’s extreme demand of freedom of speech is not averted to persons it undersold—(much) to make it picture credible! Yet you may rely upon it if it is true—on
information of no best) - that was was
just such a scene between two men
as it has described - in February - before
the Reprint appeared. Of course I had, a
more here no verbal details; but that
Emmerich has it out with his Emperor
Then, on that occasion knew up well
his offices. On my memory to go on some
(in the matter, half way we knew
as in appearance since, more in
convenience. - my expectation was that
the letter was completed when the
Hope Common was gone for it.
work: a week later. Perhaps.

So then, while this is an imaginary
connection, it is. I love for reason
to believe, a substantially accurate account
of what has passed between the two men:
I represent the position pretty exactly. And
it is because I have some "information" of
the matter that I do not want to put my
name to the whole, oh otherwise I should not
have no painted objection to.

Yours truly J. Brerewood

Please telegraph to your earliest convenience
if for any reason you do not want -
14 Apple Road
Kensington
Jan 20 1870

Dear Mr. Bunty,

Do you think you could give me space to erect myself in an unwholesome air? I think so, as I was told? Perhaps join my circle—
The Clerk of the Century in England—
where he was formed: filled me with consternation on the.progress of pastes, the career of which (in brevity)
and the pulmonary system—
science of the democratic idea—.
exposition of its country from to place as the First Power -
its Helen majority of empires abroad -
its even in among those monstrous even lone remnants of There's (pension any rule) - the
nearing end of the Queen reign among all this. You see.
I think I can promise you a letter out of my way speed,
if you care for it out of

have

this wh. I have not hastily sketched out in this letter.

Yours my love,
F. Remond

have

will you give me a line as soon as you can?

Between ourselves, I sent my note
The matter is with Bay, I'll be told
me they had engaged at the first
of its Convention, no details - some
to its ministrations & its sale. Please
as not upset this
4 Devonshire Terrace, Westmore
March 26

1894

Dear Mr. Bunting,

In the Fortnight of the current month there is an astonishing paper in the current weekly "The Good News" by Mr. Havel Allen. I enclose you have read it. I hope you have read it. If you have, do not understan another word of it. I wish its contents afford me no relief and comfort, and its stupidity animating.
no more than I do. This is too much of this sort of sensationist stuff. And I should like very much to raise a voice against it - this article particularly. It seems to me little left than abhorrence.

Let us take a short creed from me on the subject for our next Contemporary?

Do you not he a long article - 10 pages or the outside. Some protest there ought to be; a & my air would he to make it as effective & unspoken as possible, considering how much reserve the subject imposes.

If you will let me know, I will above address, soon. I shall be much obliged to you.

Very truly,
F. Glenwood
Glenower, Britany Road.
St. Leonard on Sea
Nov. 1
?
1896

Dear Sir Bruntig,

Give a glance at the article you took from me from your June number. I hope you see how much the present developments in foreign affairs, our relations with the continental powers at this moment, were anticipated here. Against the general current of opinion at that time, I shall be very glad indeed if you can take an article from the same hand on what has
my appearance of being a general "scrooge" upon tyland, - not to
fish is the less appropriate nor more
famidelle name of coalitioin. lond
Sy was never have turned upon
the policy continued from low
Rowley, as "not expressed of no
remember" (very indecent, etc.)
has he not felt that the county
has got into a very ugly position.

I am thinking of casting what
I have to say into a narrative
form, to some extent: begin
perhaps, with a painted conversation
with the shade of biggs, on the
fence at st. hedgeon one summer
night.

If you will oblige me very much
of you will give me a word on
arrive to this as soon as you
convenience serves.

F. deauvo
7 Dec. 1886

THE OWENS COLLEGE,
MANCHESTER,

My dear Bunting,

Within these few days has been published a somewhat elaborate history of Owens College. The book is not issued "by authority," but it another has had free access to official sources of information, and he anticipates that it will at least be found of considerable local interest. Beyond this, however, we
I venture to hope that the volume will have a certain claim on the general public; seeing it (Owen, Colby) is but 35 years behind it, may be regarded as its pattern, on which the present University College, which has come complete into existence in at least 10 or 12 years, has all been made or been modelled.

I am therefore sending a copy of the volume to the leading London Journals, and I hope you will let me know that one has gone to the Office of the Contempo-

...ary. It occurs to me, however, not long...

...late an interest in the book as an Act...