CHESILS,
CHRISTCHURCH ROAD,
HAMPSTEAD.

Jan 30, 1909

My dear Sir Gray,

"The Month" the Joint Organ has an article on me so scurrilous that I am forced to assume that a ground of action for libel could be made out. But he finds a more effective plan would be to write an article laying bare the malignity of the method. It is not a personal question, but the deadly way the R.C. Church has of crushing its critics by novel
assassination, Mal Ormano's public attention?

Would you accept an article for the Contemporary on "The Jesuit" in which I could put the facts before the public?

Yours with kind regards

R. J. Norton
Chislehampton

Apr. 16, 1889.

My dear W. Bunting,

I was disappointed not to see you, because I feel very much as you do, that we ought to face more candidly 
Huxley's attack. I thought Wace was inadequate to his Bishop of Peterborough contemptible.

- Do you not think that you
might get from half a dozen representative Christians a terse personal answer to the Agnostics position? If men like Hughes or Morrow and Talbot or Scott Holland on the other, were just to seek down why, in spite of this attack, they hold fast to the faith that is in them, the concurrent testimony of many minds would furnish, I think, a better answer than the laboured apologies which run so readily into mere personalities and arguments ad hominem.

After all, nothing that Hurley says touches the Gospel as Hughes is preaching it in his "Social XYZ" and it would be a real service to the Agnostics to show them that they are simply assailers on work, never touching our central position. I wish the Contemporary w.
take up the defence on some such cases as these. I dare say you
shrank from turning a Review of so broad a character into a
distinct organ of Christian apologists, but when the XIXth Cent. hands
itself over to the assailants, some
one or some organ must be raised
up to resist.

Yours very sincerely,

R. J. Horton.
Lyndhurst Road Church,
HAMPSTEAD, N.W.

Pastors
R. F. HORTON, M.A., D.D.
EDWARD SHILLITO, M.A.

March 26—1913

My dear Scott liggett,

I send you the article. I reckon three pages is your printed page. Don't if it is too long and in the slips I will entail it. Indeed I quite want to prune it a little.

Yours very truly,

R. F. Horton
My dear Mrs Bunting,

I have read the article I think is the ten pages, without any serious loss. I trust you like it. Please give my kindest remembrances to Lady Bunting.

Yours very truly,

R. F. Horton

Although Dr Horton has struck out so much of his minister as the whole article is so bright and unessential, yet the testimony in the Church of England is a great mistake.
May 16th 1890

Dear Sir,

My thanks for yr. letter. I have not written as fully as I could there now appear...
a chance of my
being able to do
so little later.
Under the cir-
stances there
for I think it
best to press
on without
indulgence
and to keep back
the article till I
can go into greater
detail.

P. W. Buntingshop

Truly,
S. J. Gamieres-Thorsky
3 June 1890

W.

May 16th 1890

Dear Sir,

The receipt of my article in type shows me that I am taking your good nature to a very great extent.
in nothing you to allow me to delay
its appearance for
a little; but matter
have progressed so
much within the
past few days
that it is imperative
for me to hold back
for the present. I
would really be
defeating the
effectiveness of its
publication by
proceeding at this
so I must rely
on your kind
acquiescence in my
With very sincere apologies for this trespassing;

Yours truly,

H. W. Bunting Esq.
Z. Lovcr Sr
W.
May 15th 1890

Dear Sir,

I have just come into possession of some new facts this morning which suggest the desirability...
I have just finished my article for a month or two. When I shall probably be able to make it of additional interest. Any matters are so
situated. I write to ask you to let me with both the Misses for the present in the hope that I may be allowed to submit it to you when brought.
up to date

your truly

W. Lamphere, Hon.

P. Bunting, Esq.
3 York St
M.
May 14th

Dear Sir,

I am hoping that the fact of my not having heard from you is an indication that you have
determined to use my article as the time however is running very short I am forced to write and ask if you will let me hear definitely from you tomorrow

With regret for they haven't you. 

Ed. Landfried 40th So.  

P. W. Bunt, Esq.
Friday 9 May 1890

Dear Mr.

My thanks for the 48 letters. I send you the MSS herewith.
will hope to see
you tomorrow at
12.
---
Ed. and fried. Hau
---
R. W. Huntingdon
Dear Sir,

I have written an article on the Parnell Commission in connection with the Peisott.
Letters which I wish...

like to offer for

The June issue of

the 'Contemporary'

If you would

care to go into the matter with me,

perhaps you will

20 favor as to give me an interview, as they are one of two

matters I would like to explain

when submitting the Mss.
article shows attract attention.

The Sota

Contemporary Review
13 Vicarage Gate, W.8. April 16, 1918

Dear Madam,

Please forgive two further questions as to my "E. J. Mignot" paper:
1. When does the (Contemporary) Year—1st or 15th of month?
2. Will you confer on me the right of translation into French?

I have to write and tell the Archbishop's French friends, as to
Both these points at once, if poss. - they could do some cab: substantial good, I believe, if they were able to translate as proper. With apologies for going so much trouble.

Yours faithfully,

(Baron) F. von Hülz.
May 3, 1914.

13, Vicarage Gate.
Kensington.

W. 8

Dear Madam,

Thank you for the 20 pulls of my 'Mignot' article duly received, and for the cheque value £6.10, directly to hand from yourself. I am well pleased with all this, that you have so kindly not neglected me.

I transmitted the French translation rights, as given me by yourself, to the
Good Louis Lacquer,
Director de Grand Séminaire, 
Aix, Tarn, France.

I told him I was not at liberty yet to publish the whole or part in a French translation, or publish an abstract of description of the article yet, finally I do nothing, and when I do, not other than doing anything since any one wanting to take any steps in the matter for France would be referred to him.

I thought this the simplest arrangement seeing that Mr. Lacquer was the close friend and death-bed attendant of the late Archbishop, and that on the sax out there, he would know exactly what it would be best and wiser to do.

I am indeed glad to appear alongside of Mr. Nicolas Mac. Nickol's admirable Indian paper.

Yours truly,
F. von Hügel.

Of course I have the honor to write for you again, I must see to my name appearing as Baron F. v. Hügel. This because my dear brother Baron A. von Hügel was...
2 Courthouse St.

Intrepid

Lewes, Delaware

April 7th

Dear H.

Would an article
upon a well written, be acceptable for the next issue of the Genevieve of the Contemporary Review?

For helpful reasons

T.J. Hope

R.H. Edith

Contemporary Review.