16, Elvaston Place,
Queen's Gate, S.W.

June 20th. 1887.

Dear Mr. Bryant,

I find it will be impossible for me to undertake any literary work during the present session. If at the close of the term, I have leisure I shall feel it my duty to communicate with you.

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]

P.S. Mr. Hunting.
Private

16, Elvaston Place,
Queen's Gate, S.W.

Jan 21st 90.

Dear Mr. Hunting,

I am "a dark horse" on the Education question at the moment. There is great anxiety and dismay at the National Society and a sort of hounding on the part of some of our friends. I must wait and let the Government...
I am sure you will see
the necessity for reticence
at present. Anything more
satisfactory and full
can hardly be imagined. And
I look forward to a period
of trouble and conflict.

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]
April 24, 1888

I take the liberty of forwarding you an article on the relation of rent paid to wages, a question to which my attention has been specially directed during my investigations into wages in different industries. If not acceptable, will you do me the kindness to return the paper yours sincerely,

J. S. L. Harris
Professor of Economics

The above letter is to Mr. Potter, Editor of the Contemporary Review.
SAVAGE CLUB,
6 & 7, ADELPHI TERRACE,
STRAND. W.C.

October 21st, 1891

Dear Sir,

Relaying on your understanding to accept an article for the Contemporary in lieu of the £25 you so kindly advanced me, I drew the money from my friend Long who was away at the time. The bill is here; I have practically written upon paper and may be put in the fire. It was dishonest and I believe it had been intended in advance.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
"NED’S CHUM,"
A Comedy Drama in Three Acts, by
DAVID CHRISTIE MURRAY
Produced under the Management and Stage Direction of Mr. Fred Stanmaur.

Globe Theatre,
West End
London,
1891

Dear Mr. Cantor,

Here is the article—a little note—
for my publisher, and I hope so I see
and I had to say. I shall be quite
pleased to write up the differences
I once wrote at my time. In the
meanwhile I will call at the office and
get through the proof sheets at any time
for my name. Many thanks for
writing so kindly, and many were as the
back of these.

Yours very truly,

David Christie Murray

[Handwritten note:]

[Signature]

Mr. Cantor.
March 13, 95

Dear Sir,

I have just returned from a holiday abroad, taken under Doctor's orders, and your kind letter reaches me a few hours after my arrival.

Considering the great pressure of work now upon me, and the short time necessary at your disposal, I hesitated whether it was possible for me to comply with your kind request.

I consider the question now
at issue, however, to be one of such grave importance to all owners of literary property that I have not to gather a few paragraphs which I now send to you.

If you consider them not worth of insertion (which may well be the case) I beg you, as a favour, to say so in hesitatingly and to throw them aside.

Believe me, dear Sir

Yours faithfully

John Murray

To Dear W. Bunting

P.S. If you decide on inserting my remarks, can you allow me to see a proof?
Nov 13/84

From F.W.H. Myers,
Leckhampton House,
Cambridge.

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your prompt a

notification. I shall communicate

for Mr. Hodgson's article on

Mr. Hodgson's friend before he starts

for India.

As to the article on Manchester. I

difficult, but I defend my division

with the fact that the material in

article (the division in a somewhat different form)

has to appear in our Proceedings, so it

is sometimes (as we have found in h-

case of this article) hard to get it

first into Review before it appears

in our Proceedings.
II But I should much prefer to make 2 articles of it, especially as the subject is so new that a good deal of scientific introduction, like that in the printed paper at Dr. You, is likely helping towards the reader's judgment as to either the telepathic or non-

phantasmalistic interpretation.

If we take 2 articles, then the second paper, with some modification &

abridgment, might be the first. But in that case it might appear in Dec. or an earlier in January.

For the next part of our Proceed, it might appear in Dec.: As I might

let it delayed till middle of Jan.

of I'm sure that Dr. You will print the paper in Jan.
I should be glad to know as soon as convenient what you decide—
Our Proceedy is not yet well known in the book trade. I do not all my
hedges. I don't think that it will inspire
the contempt of the papers. The paper appeared a
fortnight late in the Proceedy. We have
done this kind of thing with papers in
fortnightly a XIX Century without its
big objects.
Judging from the correspondence I have had at
the talk on the Hans in Lait, which
which are certainly by Raphael and

Jnn Hrancy
H. Truesdell
Jan 1, 1885

Yours,

Thank you for your kind note.

Kewick! I send you my article. I have written it from beginning to end, as it is
not much more interesting, I trust, than was the proof of a somewhat
similar paper as I sent you before.

I have also been over it very carefully
with a medical and a psychological
friend, & I do not think that it is per
fectly accurate, though it contains
a good deal of novel speculation.

Dr. Richet has announced an article
on just the same subject in the Revue
Philosophers', or it is quite possible that his writings may appear in Feb. or March. I should add that, as the investigation is now just at an early stage, I hope you will send me your paper in Feb. - in the hope not for your own sake, not because I am in favor of Psychical Research, but for the sake of the Royal Society. I think that we ought to publish our papers at once, and track them back in order to get a place in a Review.

I think that the advantage of publication in a first-class Review is important.

If you do not have to purchase them for the Society, they might lead to colleagues to publish their papers in future.

The article will, I think, take 14 or 15 pages.

I wish to receive 2 proofs as soon as convenient, as I want to make myself familiar with the matter and with the facts. I will then send them back to you immediately.

With kind regards,

[Signature]
By Darwin

I enclose herewith

(1) A syllabus of my proposed article on Collective Hallucinations.

(2) Four slips from proof of a book on 'Phantoms of the brain,' etc., with handy appear in June. These slips contain certain narratives similar to the syllabus, I mean to be introduced into the article.

I trust that these enclosures will enable you to say definitely whether you would like the article on not; as I think it likely that your doubts, if any, would rest on the nature of the subject, rather than on the special literary expression which...
I should give it. Being a very busy man, I think I'd like to be satisfied on this point before writing out the article in full.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]