May 14, 1883

My dear Sir,

I feel much obliged by the opportunity you offer me of writing on the subject of the Oxford movement in the Contemporary Review, but I do not avail myself of it, because at present my Apologia...
sells very fairly and in it I have said all I had to say on the ecclesiastical theory on which, as far as I am concerned, that movement was founded.

Sir W. Palmer, who is a more thoroughly well-read man than I am, wrote a work in two volumes on Anglicanism about the year 1839 - which is now in course of republication, which agrees with me in the main, goes nearer, as I think, to the Church of Rome than I did. I was glad to hear of its republication for this reason.

I take this opportunity of saying, what I forgot to say when you were so good
as to call on me here, that for some past years I have found myself engaged, if I did write anything now, I should its acceptance from the conductor of the Nineteenth Century Review. It is so little probable, considering my age, and so much against any expectation of mine, that I should be carrying such a purpose out, that it did not come across my mind during
March 6, 1885

My dear Sir,

I have not forgotten your kind offer to give me a place in the Contemporary Review for my works on Dr. Fairbairn’s case. I did not wish to publish them but before sending them to you, I thought I would ask some non-Catholic friends whether I should publish them or not (as far as I was concerned) the controversy.
They were decided in their judgment that I should content myself with the protest which appeared in October in the Contemporary Review. Thanking you for the interest you showed in the matter, I have determined not to avail myself of it, and I have had some copies struck off for my own use only. I send you one of them, but not as to the Editor.

I am, My dear Sir,
Very truly yours,
John H. (and), Newman

I hope you will be able to use this. My prayer is losing their power of writing.
Nov. 5, 1885

Dear Sir,

I propose to publish my article in the Contemporary of last October as a pamphlet next January. I shall give notice on the Title page that it comes from the Contemporary Review. I trust you will consider three months a sufficient interval from the date of its original appearance.

Very truly yours,

The Editor of the Contemporary Review.

John H. Newman.
Dec 10, 1885

My dear Sir,

I thank you for your offer, and would gladly accept myself of it, if I intended to continue my remarks on Principal Fairbairn. I do not see the need, and I am too busy, to do so. What I intend to do is to append a few notes to my article in the Contemporary
When published as a pamphlet, of October, which would only fill a page or two. If in the event they grow in bulk, which is not likely, then I must take my chance whether in some future number of the 'contemporary' you could admit them conveniently.

I am, My dear Sir,

Yours very faithfull,

John H. (and) Newman

P. W. Bunting Esq.
To Percy Wm. Bunting (?), Esq.

NORWOOD VILLA,
15, ARUNDEL CRESCENT,
WESTON SUPER MARE.

My dear Sir,

It is strange that anyone should have reported that I have any thoughts of publishing in my brother’s life time any reminiscences of him. I am sure that he would despise me for it. I have seen him before seeing him like it. It cannot be, and it is a thing that I would on no account undertake, even if I thought I had things intrinsically worthy of being made public. The chief matter that perhaps I may tell you is that in by long he was so prematurely old that I was at the same school with him. I cannot remember seeing him play at any game—neither rules, nor cricket, nor football, nor trapball nor...
and leaping, other running, not boating, nor long-boat, or swimming
or other skipping, in all of which one boys abound.
and flagging.
in all of which, except cricket, I took zealous

part. To this excess of study I impute the
shortsightliness which he alone of our family
had. His whole mind was premature.

I am sorry that I cannot gratify you, since
you would have desired me to make the contin-

uency my vehicle to the public.

If I outlive my brother, being 4 years
and 3 months his junior, I should, in his
defence or in my mother’s defence, wish to

reply to any thing which might then be published
injurious to either. My friend Mr. Stone
Swanwick is persuaded that what she wrote

"injurious myths" are already afloat,
which would come out in print at his death.

Under her pressure I committed to writing
(and transmitted to her, as my junior by ten
years) an accurate account of certain affairs,
which have been misrepresented; but, for
reasons of her own, I will understand, she
sent the document back to me, which, if I
die before my brother, will come into my executor’s
hand. It strikes me as possible, that some
from talk of my friend Mr. Swanwick may have
given rise to the report which has deceived

you.

I am almost ashamed to say, that though
I correctly read your name in a formal kind
communication, I deceivingly thought

the letter was written by Mr. Michael
Stokes at his address; but I want no further public notice.
The matter is not worth correcting.

Very truly yours

F.W. Newman
NORWOOD VILLA,
15 ARUNDEL CRESCENT,
WESTON SUPER MARE.

Nov 7/84

To the Editor of the Contemporary Review

Dear Sir,

As one of the Vice Presidents of Dr. A. R. Wallace's Society for restoring the land to the State, I entreat of you to allow me a short article in your Review. My object is solely to give circulation to important thought.

I regard only two points in Dr. Wallace's scheme to be cardinal. We, who honor him as leader, desire the end at which he aims—the entire, final, speedy abolition of the landlords' power; but we are not wedded to his details. I should write freely from my own point of view, regarding early socialism a preeminent object of desire; and basing everything on this lines.

I could write my short article in a single day, and if you deign, can be patient to await your time.

Respectfully yours,

F. W. Newman
15 Arundel Crescent  
Weston Super Mare  
Oct 9/83

Dear Sir,

By this post I sent you my MS  
of Virgilian Translation.  

Please to return it registered in P.O  
as it is sent.  

Yours faithfully
T. W. Newman

To P. W. Hoarding Esq.
To the Editor of the Contemporary Review

Sir, As my introduction to you I plead that the late Editor Mr. Alexander Strachan introduced himself to me by a complimentary letter and inserted several articles from my press.

I beg to inform you that many years back as an amateur and translator, I began a translation of the first book of the Iliad, with no intention of continuing, but in order to try how it might be rendered into a style brief, clear, and vigorous, elastic, euphonious, and as literal as any one need desire. I laid this by, and from time to time corrected, especially to meet the criticism of a friend. I stopped short of the entire book by 100 lines of the original, seeing nothing gained by proceeding further.
It now consists of 778 Strohm. lines, which I suppose is not too much for a single article in your C. R.

Of course it is not suited for a book, yet (if well executed) it will have an interest and a utility.

I cannot expect you to assume it is well executed or to accept it blind-fold. To write it all out for your free judgment is somewhat troublesome, 

But if you will dictate to me what parts of this MS book to send you as specimens — selecting the

most beautiful or the most difficult — this

might be enough to determine your judgment

in accepting or rejecting.

I am Respectfully your

F. W. Newman

Emeritus Professor of

Univ. Coll. London.
To the Editor of C.R.,

Alexander Strahan Esq.

Private and Confidential

Dear Sir,

I cannot forget that in a very complimentary letter you introduced yourself to me and asked me to write for your Review.

And that on each successive occasion when I wrote, you accepted my writing not only politely, but sometimes warmly.

I have abstained from writing since an article from my pen appeared in April 1880, in which I touched on

left my argument (in my own estimate) incomplete, through fear of crowding on your space,

and wished you to follow it up. Since then, I

reasons again and again have wished to write, from reasons which I may fearfully call patriotic; yet I
I have hod a very fine opportunity to study the whole. It is best frankly to

tell you any reason in hope that

you kindly hear the truth, which I tell in perfect
kindness.

Never in my life have I bargained with an Editor
about money. I have always accepted what was paid
me, without any previous stipulation. I have never rejected
small pay from an Editor who said he could not afford
labor. I have often written freely (in one case a lump
payment for a series of articles only) when an Editor pleaded
that his periodical could not command large sales.

You paid me at a higher scale than I received for
"Frezer." I have heard of more than one writer who
left off writing for "Frezer," because the pay
was not good. I continued to write (I think I have
created 64 articles from my pen in "Frezer") and never
thought of discontinuing on a precarious ground. And yet

I have to confess that it is solely on precarious ground
that I have (quietly, unobtrusively, if I may say, in convenience)
..continued to write for you. I hope you will forgive my
frankly explaining why.

I cannot bear to have to owe a dollar; nor can
I bear to expect money, or even to have payment indefinitely
delayed, without explanation or without apology.

If I am frankly told — "We mis-calculated our sales;

we promised too much for authorship; and book is
"temporarily empty" — we fear we must inflict the
"scale of payment!" or even, we have had to compensate
as well others, if cannot pay or we had unwise
"promised. We intend to promote my disappointment in

future." Any such explanation or apologies
plead for non-payment I could accept with serenity.

But I have heard in other quarters of authors who have
met the same discouraging treatment as I have — not
that I heard this came to the end. It aggrieved
me much, that after my application had only drawn

\\footnote{One who neither explains nor apologizes reasons to himself the

right of replying his conduct very discouraging.}
who professed ignorance,
out-taste reply from clerks, I was fairly paid
without a word in M's, or a word personally addressed
to me, or signed by any body.

It has been reported to me that some writers of high
prestige—except of your outrageous hang, empty your
treaury, and desire you to fulfill your engagement
with those who do not push you hard. Whether to
believe or disbelieve this, I do not know. I would
rather not believe that you qualify the greedy at the
expense of the modest. I would prefer to believe that
the public cannot read more than a certain amount,
and that the severe competition of these reviews make it
hard or impossible to keep up your scale of payments.

Any plea of poverty is respectable and tolerable; but
to force your writers to do you & them to evade explanations
is to the intolerable.

Nevertheless, I confess, under the present aspect of the
times, which seem to me merely for being of Revolution,
I wish to write for you; especially first, an article on
Imperialism. It would not flatter you, please our
richerclasses, of any political party. I am

Deva to your faithfully

Francis W. Newman
15 Averard Crescent
Weston super Mare
Dec 15/85

To Percy W Bunting Esq

Dear Sir, I have completed a short article on the Universal Glat, contesting the doctrine of James Mill & Macaulay, who by general argument scoffed down the possibility. I do not think any one has duly gone into the question which even now preys on us. If I send you my MS, may I count on the favour of your looking at it, and if you cannot insert it in the Contemporary, returning it to me?

Yours sincerely,
J W Newman
To Percy W. Bunting Esq.

Dear Sir,

I thank you for taking the trouble to read my paper and for returning it. For forty years I have seen the position of landlords to be iniquitous; but have seen no alternative, therefore have been paralysed. So I put it with all the educated. They demand to know what we propose to substitute for landlord's power, before they will move against it.

Not to the half educated. Talk to them of the 300 years' Tithe.

and they reply. "The longer the injustice has lasted, the worse it is the less it deserves mercy; why? the landlords gave them clear title to their rights!"

I fear your logic drives towards a quarrel between Rich and Poor, which Dr. Arnold called the most dangerous form of civil contest.

Sincerely yours,

T. H. Newman

Nov 20/84
Dear W. Burnet,

I am very much honoured by your kind request that I should write in the Contemporary on this Cable. I should willingly have made the attempt, but I am going for a short rest to Holland to meet my friend Mr. van den Maarten, therefore I am compelled to say no.

Thanking you very much for your kindness,

Yours sincerely,

W. Robertson Nicoll.
St. Paul's House,
Warwick Square, London, E.C.

April 19, 1909.
Hampstead.

Dear Sir Percy Bunting,

I have done my best in the time I had, but I think it comes to about 5000 or 6000 words. I have a good deal that is new in it, but it may be treated as accurate. I would have put in more if I had dared, but I did not like to bother Walter Banton in his present circumstances. If you wish anything left out, it is all right. I should like a proof if possible.

Yours sincerely,
W. Robertson Nicoll
CLENROY,
HIGHLAND ROAD,
UPPER NORWOOD,
LONDON, S.E.

Nov. 1.

My dear Sir,

The circumstances are these. When the B. Q. B was discontinued then it was necessary to start a monthly review on the same line as their own, but if they connected the two it would be a great success. In considering this I thought a half crown stamping like the Calendar. But Nonconformist might do it. I would like it if they took all the risk and gained the
idea of asking for subsidies. This they agree to do but as the B & W did not fancy it then we felt we must ask or allow consent to use the title of his connection. at first he frankly agreed but after he declared on the ground that Mr. Rogers was to start a first a monthly celebrity Review. On this news he is agreed to publish with me a edition the British Weekly on these lines not Nonconformists should not.


clean the representation in the higher walks of pseudive literature. He to & appear this with he it is I mean very doubtful if it will succeed.

new lines you will see the I have only to do with de allen new if the he has whelp to do now or he he is no obligation on other side with me - so that so far as I am concerned you are mar.

full use of I will you the at & not success. You cannot I for make the controversy Nonconformist organ he.
perhaps you can give them a hearing.

The Copyist is to die in Dec if I hear he is London

Auckland is to also - so are the

Nonconformist will be abolished

unhesitating in the higher clasp

and send me - who seem un

fortunate at present.

Excess quiet hast

yours sincerely

W.R. Harrell
Dear Mr. Bunbury,

Many thanks for your kind letter. I have been making a list of my books, but I'm not sure I will ever get round to writing a few dozen notes on a visit by candlelight. I'll try to do so, and will send you a copy of the draft on my issue.
Eden Lodge,
Newbattle Terrace,
Edinburgh.

3rd Oct. 1889

Dear Sir,

I should be very glad to do an article on your plan. I have just been requested to take part in starting a morning newspaper in Partly on that principle which I think...
The first thing I do first in finishing
I demand to actually looking at
the subject on the practical side.

Yours faithfully

January 15th 1893

Orchardleigh

Dear Sir,

I have never been to the museum of natural history, and am calling to ask if there be any room for my book. I cannot say how pleased I am to publish it. I should like to have you see it, which I thought you would.
With many thanks to you
and to Mrs. F. W. MacMurray

Henry Newbolt

newbolt
Pon, 10. November 1883

My dear Sir,

After having heard this morning the lecture of Professor Bender I cannot recommend him as writer to Little, in your review and must decline a further mediation between him and the editors of the Contemporary. I shall send you his speech as soon as it is printed, perhaps this evening or to-morrow, so that you may judge yourself. You should oblige me if you could forward it to Mr. Tatton, as soon as you have done with it.

Although I have read the book of Mr. Lebom, I have not been
able to examine all his learned researches and shall not have time for a complete study of his book in the two next months. I doubt many of his results, but I should not like to give a public judgment upon the book in the next time.

I am, my dear Sir,

Yours very truly

Ervin Varre.
Bonn, 10. November 1883

Beschwerter Freund!


Eine in EinfäLt bekannter, herzlich
nagende Sensibilität für die Tatstache zu gewinnen und nicht leicht sein.
Männer von hoher Stellung scheiden in Deutschland nicht in Revolutions
Mitleid kommen Sie sich an Ihre
leeren Kostbarkeiten halten werden. Es
scheint mir, dass es leichter
und in kurzer Zeit eine Aussage und
Sie dir sinnlose Schreiben könnte.
Im Schrift des Buchs von Mr. Leibniz habe ich schon an Ihnen
Bemühung gemacht, dass ich dich
letztens zugehabt habe die Schrift in den
nächsten zwei Monaten mit der
Gründlichkeit zu studieren, die sie
verdient. Ich habe das Buch auf
merksam gelesen und hege Zweifel
gegen manche Auffassungen, die Mr. Le-
ibniz als das Ergebnis seiner Arbeiten
angeführt, an ihnen möchte ich
mich nicht gern öffentlich aus sprechen.
ich, bis ich die letzten Arbeiten se
Arbeit von Mr. Leibniz habe verfolgt
kennen.
Mit den besten Grüßen bin ich

aufrechtig empfohlen.
Ervin Nette.