Paris, 1 juin 1885.

Cher Monseur,

Je suis très flatté de la pensée que vous avez eue. Pour le moment, je ne pense me détourner de mon travail principal, qui m’absorbe tout entier, je veux dire de mon Histoire du peuple d’Israël. Croirez à tous mes regrets; car certainement le sujet que vous me proposiez est des plus attrayants.

Veillez croire à
mes sentiments les plus
Distingué
Renan
Cavendish House
Victoria Park
Shrewsbury
16th Oct 89

Dear Mr. Bunce,

I hope you will approve of the suggestion enclosed.

I do not think it necessary to the limits of the space.
P.S. Please see above & direct that the pork be forwarded as above.

Yours very truly,

Isabel Pearce
Dear Mr. Bunting,

Come on Monday at 6.30

Yours truly,

C. J. Rhodes
36a Rosary Gardens
SW
Dec 11 1885

Dear Sir. It is very kind of you to think of me - I have nothing in hand just now but an article as is promised to Macmillan and I am taking a little holiday now. I hope my novel is finished, but if you
will allow me to
write to you again
When I have anything
likely to suit the
Contemporary, I
shall be very glad

Yours very truly
Anne Ritchie

Would you tell
me what the
usual terms are

for articles in
the Contemporary.
One article I hope
to write some day
is about the length
of a nurses day
in a hospital.
Salzburg Rectory  
N. Derby  
15th Aug. 90  

Dear Mr. Bunting,  

Very many thanks for the cheque for £5. 15. 10s.  

You were good enough to send me. I enjoyed my evening with you extremely  
and I am particularly grateful for your advice on the matter  

of theological reading. Yet
is a subject on which it is difficult to get at the best that is known when one is in India. Our South chaplains are outside the great stream of religious thought by law got into a snug backwater of regular monthly pay and no pastoral work; while in missionary work as a rule we quibble on critical inquiry of any sort.

By the way, when I got home the other night I found waiting for me a letter from Dr. Bryce, in reply to a long note I had sent down on the Council, and urging that a push must be made in the House to get some sort of recognition of the selecte primary as an ideal to be worked up to. Bryce says your line of reasoning carries conviction with it to my mind.
rification of the village system
has always seemed to me
the best thing one could propose
as a foundation toward
satisfying the interests of the
people to some extent in
public affairs. Perhaps then
we may hope that some will
take this sort of line in the
debate on the bill next
dession. If he did, the mere
fact of her doing so would have
a great effect in India.

Yours truly,
H. H. Riley
My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your note of 2nd. I have no doubt Dr W. Hunter will be able to clear up the confusion.

The only James Routledge I know anything of in India is a man who used to live...
a sort of small Zoological Park, and dealt largely in animals of various kinds, but I should not have thought the writing of magazine articles was much in his line.

I am very sorry I cannot accept the invitation to an at home on the 15th which Mr. Bunting has been good enough to send me, as we are leaving England for Berchtesgaden in a few days. But when we return, I will call on you again. There are hotels in Indian hotels, which I should like to have a brief talk with you on.

[Signature]

F. H. Ridley
Studley Royal, Ripon.

29th May 1909

My dear Sir Perry,

I must beg you to excuse me if I decline to accept the proposal contained in your letter of 25th April.

There are various reasons which oblige me to do so.

In reply, I wish to say that I do not feel equal to such an undertaking as you suggest. I have some work to do and I am not in a position to attempt it now.

I do not write well, so I find that this attempt is a great deal more

TELEPHONE 14 RIPON.
out of sum than I can afford
at any present exp. I
must deny you. I hold
me excused.

your sincerely

Rifno
Studley Royal
Ripon.
3rd Dec. 1855

My dear Sir, Bunting,

Your letter reached me last night. I have been

This morning in London,

grant about an article

on Burmese affairs. I

have asked him to

write direct to you in

order to same time.

Yours faithfully,

R. J. P.
My dear Mr. Bentinck,

I have been absent from home for the last few days & therefore did not receive your letter of the 16th until my return yesterday afternoon.

I was not able to

June in a position to

write an article about

Burma. I hope, not

entirely informationless.
with his recent transactions

to create the Thronoum of his

in a confidential manner. I am

opposed to connection with him as I know

already stated publicly,

for the moment. I do not want to say

more.

But if you can ac-

quire from an article in

Bunna, I might be able to get you a form

to serve in the future

of the Grant to the Chief,

In Bunna affairs, etc.
If he would you could not harm a better man. If you love there is no mine.

Your faithfully

[Signature]
Sir Beverley,

I should have been much tempted by your offer to receive a paper from me in reply to Lord R[othschild's] Speech, if it were not that I am going to await my self-satisfaction of a speech which I have promised to make at Bolton tomorrow. I am determined in so far as may be necessary to refer that static performance.
will have me nothing to risk about.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Newby Hall.
Ripon.

For I am well aware of the
wide-spread error, ad-
vocated in the country.

Many coloured upon
all sections connected
with India.

I remain

dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Private

Newby Hall.
Ripon. 9th Dec. 1865

Dear Sir,

I am very sorry to have left your letter so long unanswered, but I have been occupied with various kinds of business since my return to England.

Shorn bonnet carefully considered the important words in your letter and thought how
flattered by your wish, and
I should contribute an
article on Indian Aire (contemporary Review)

F. W. M." I consider
that if the
present at all events,
I ought not to do so.

I already find myself
subjected to all
among my readers. My Indian ad-
ministration has in
altogether in abundance.
I must say that I have not a similar answer in communication which I received from the Editors of the Intelligencer Review. If you would at any time be of use to any of your contributors, I would always be happy by giving them any information in my power in Indian questions.
3 Brick Court
27 Mar. 1863

Dear Mr. Hunting,

You have been kind enough to ask me several times to send you our article on the carmine.

My difficulty has always been to
find a subject in
my field suffici-
ently popular to be
attractive. I have a
subject named which
will touch the heart
of many scholars,
practical lawyers,
and officials. The work
in India, with the
very best intentions,
have been through ig-
norance, been cram-
moving. The priestly
cow of the Brahmins
have the wealth
of natives who had
never expected it.
Submitted themselves
to it. If you have
free time. Bust your
eye down the en-
closed short article
you will see the
general line I have
adopted.
literary importance of the new discovery. But science always accepts the greater the practical hardship. I am sure my facts would attract attention both here and India. Now I am not going to trouble you, whose time is so occupied.
write me a letter, but would you be so kind as to put your name on the back of a post card as to whether you would like me to send Yours, or not, an article on this subject.
consideration.

With kind regards,

Yours very truly,

T.W. Chipman
I have just read with much interest your article on Church Reform. It is very
encouraging to find a writer who is committed to
the cause of Church reform and so comprehensive
in his thinking as to justice and compassion.
May I venture to suggest two points which Churchmen would regard as vital to
my scheme of Church reform?

The first is moral consensus regarding, to put it
may not be wrong, and yet, to the eyes
of many, it looks like it. In a way, it
may seem that I am defending the
Church, but that is not my purpose.
I am writing to make it known that,
and only then, can we truly judge
whether it is right or wrong.
Duly, a true view of Christ is his own house, subject, I know to certain provincial and universal rules. It is the last paragraph, page 661, which
conflict with the fundamental principle of Colossians, and it not offended that it seems to confuse
two different things as to necessity and practice.

An even selectivist government is
not the government of the church as such in mind,
the church as such in name. Christ is the head,
commanding by his voice, i.e., to heavenly beings,
and by his voice, i.e., to earthly beings. He,
thus, so, and as the word of Christ; government is mandated, not
Dear Mr. Dorothy,

I have received your letter of the 12th. And am afraid that your friend that went there has not come to me in writ about India.

Yours faithfully,

Nigen