Read 32 of the Army Index 28th March 1909.

Dear Sir,

I shall be greatly obliged if you will give space in an early number of your valuable magazine to the enclosed "Rejoinder" by "Redan Officer".

The history of the article is as follows:

Noticing that in the "Intelligence Review" there had been a series of articles regarding our military status
amongst the great powers, and believing that these (some
were ambiguous) were written
by men who knew little or nothing
about India on the 3rd September
last I furnished to the Editor
of that bi-weekly an article
headed “The Eastern question
as a decadent problem”.
I told the Editor that the author
was Mr. John Hamiliton,
Assistant Adjutant General
for British India, and that
I would appeal to him
if he could afford that power
the protection which he had
already extended to me.

Writers on this subject by letting
the article appear for signed.
I thought this was desirable,
but because Sir Charles
Hamilton was unwilling to bear
the responsibility of what he wrote,
but because being an old friend
and that an article with his
name would probably be
attributed to me, and might
be used to mislead others,
although they had my general
acquiescence
was not prepared
specifically, I endorsed
The Editor kept the article
until the 1st February, and then
published half-past with a
"Rep. by Col. Maurice. It is obvious from the copy that the entire article had been made over to Col. Maurice to deal with. This seems to be a search for treatment, but what I discern most of is that the half which the Editor struck out contains the principal lesson on which the arguments in the policy advocated are based.

I think you will agree with me that an article on any important subject should not be mutilated to this extent without the writer being
consulted. Under, however, 
that you may judge of this 
 matter for yourself, I send 
 a copy of the original article.

It seems to me desirable 
that the Central or 
 Indian Side of our Imperial 
 Policy, as well as the duties 
 of this side, should be 
 thoroughly considered. And 
 that I am scarcely less anxious 
 than "Indian Office" men, 
 that the "Reprisals" should 
 be published.

Yours ever,

Hamilton
[Colonel Van Hamilton's brother]
I just received your letter and enclosures and shall be greatly obliged if you will let him know whether you will publish the "Report of"
the hearing for conviction.
Mr. Hamilton will telegraph me. Please and

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

To the Editor of the [Newspaper]

St. Louis.
28th April 1875:

Dear Sir,

I am very much obliged for your hints and article in *The Contemporary Review*. I would like to write it, but I really have no time at present.

Believe me yours very truly,

[Signature]
Grove Park,
Kingsbury,
Middlesex.

3 July 1895

Dear Mr.,

I have to acknowledge your letter of the 29. Ult. in reply by burglary.

I much regret that owing to the many calls I have upon

my time, I am unable to meet your request
that I hold a conversation with
you immediately.
I am, dear sir,
your faithfully,

Rogers
t. Nov. 89

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I do not think it necessary to reply to Mr. Mackenzie's article. As far as I can see there is nothing in it that he has not already said over and over again in his contribution.
to Newspapers, Reviews, &c.

- Parks, &c. His somewhat
ponderous "Antiquity Africa."

Of course I do not concern
in the fairness or accuracy
of his historical review of
the past. But whether I
did or did not hold different
opinions at different times,
& if I did Whitten the
surrounding circumstances

had not also changed, or
now points of no practical
importance, and matters
of ancient history in
respect of which the
general public are wholly
indifferent.

In his remarks on
present a future policy
the subjects on which he
treats are disposed of
In the present, there is nothing new to be gained by further discussion on this. The Charter has been signed and sealed. The Railway to Epping and the Telegraph to Blackheath have been determined on. The Office of the Governor a High Court have been again united.
In, at all events, another term of six years. The Home Government have decided to accept payment for the debt loan from the Cape Colony (a gratuitous proceeding) and have determined that the country between the Cape Colony...
and the Lambs, shall not, as recommended by Mr. Mackenzie, be taken from under the High Court; a place under a Governor in direct communication with Downing Street. The Home Office having come to definite decisions on these points, there is, as I have said, nothing to be gained by further discussion on them for the present. They must be allowed a fair trial.

The only question amongst these still open referred to by Mr. Mackenzie is that of the future of Swaziland.
Incidentally alluded to in the last note at the end of the article - This is a matter of great importance upon which I hold a strong opinion at variance with that of Mr. Mackenzie, but I am not sure that it would be proper for me to write in my own name upon it whilst Sir T. de Vinton is engaged in enquiring into it. 

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
13 Nov. '89

Dear W. Hunting,

I send in two printed journal notes which I have received from Mr. John L. Worrin, which will explain itself. You will see in this wish to reply.
to W. Mackenzie--Would you care to have an article from him, if so for which month, & what is the latest date you can give him to if for December number of The Contemporary?

W. Murrinian is very clever, an admirable writer. He was Minister for Lands & Works in Sir John Moltini's Administration from '73 to '78, & again in Sir Thomas Wood's Government from '81 to '84.
He knows South Africa as well as any man living, so would give you an attractive answer to Mr. Malmesbury, if you would care for one. Yours sincerely,

Herbert Archibald

(later Lord Roehampton)
2nd August 1890

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I don't see my way to writing at present. My hands are very full of work, & I want to get away for a little holiday the moment I can shake myself free.

Please see note of 27th July for still
have seen Dicx's Article
on Rhodes in the Monthly
Nineteenth Century,
I should think would
scarcely care immediately
for a second edition.

Very Truly,

Percy W. Bunting
To Mr. Huntly

I was very much at a loss what to answer to your letter of 2nd March, I am only to say that I have sent "O. A. fader". The advice I gave you is I think unwise

Yours,

E. Robinson

to Catherine thus. That.
Dear Miss Wadding

I hope my being with you has not been so unkind as you promise. He
in the morning and do so
and think to come if I do
so then I should be glad to
receive you or anyone else there. The weather is now so bad that
promises 14 P.M.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
May I put in here
the in a short article —
"When Spanish sailors?"

12 12 pm.
Dear Mr. Dunlop,

I am writing to inform you that the necessary arrangements have been made for the departure of Mr. Escott on the 18th of June. The journey will take place by train and I am enclosing a copy of my letter to the chaplain of the station whereby I am sure the arrangements will be made for Mr. Escott's departure from here.

I will see to it personally.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
I enclose the entire letter which I have written.

If you can read it, I enclose it for your perusal and for my own peace of mind.

Yours,

[Signature]

Aug 13

1883
61 Eaton Place
Feb 5 1857

My dear Sir,

Receipt your cheque and am very much obliged. I hope that the House of Commons and the Admiralty will both do their best to ensure that true economy without which no improvements are possible is not the order of the day. Your faithful

R. Thorne, Robinson
Selma Park
Ayrshire
25 Aug 1885

My dear Sir,

I'm afraid I am the man to write the paper you want. But if, on reflection, I am compelled to decline, I will suggest one who in my mind is the man you are looking for. I remain

[Signature]

Roper
Late Simply, I have
United Service Club,
Pall Mall, S.W.

Sir,

I have thoughts on the paper that
may be evidence of this
enquiry, namely, I have
discussed the matter with
myself. Now untiably I
have been bish a
important paper, I
real only

Yours very truly,
How wrote — for the
Jreb. Wnt read as
before current calam
I'm hur the New
rest of civilised labour
noting

I advice for Dally to
the abest and
most candid of the whm
beal clary — himself

once a barrister: Mr
fandom of an eminent
judg. The Rev'd E
Halley Hart. There
Smelford. He has an
eminent judicial mind —
has a way of perceive
things — thinking them oul
& preparing them with a
permanic clary on my
fore. Thms he is
interested in the matter, for he has evidence upon it. I hope you can secure him for my felicitation myself on having helped you.

Faithfully,

A. W. Ross

[Signature]
Selsdon Park R 27 Aug. 1869

Clerk

My dear Sir,

I have been thinking about your proposal. Two things I suppose, may be taken for granted. The names of your contributors appear with their signatures, and you might have the paper in question by October or November.
at the latest, I cannot even conceive myself unwilling to
concede my own contribution by the publication of my
name, on reflection. I am just quite clear that it would
be desirable for one who may have to debate this
question in Cornwal in Parliament, also perhaps
to express it with his

Clergy. I commit myself publicly to print to
a formal rejection of its
contents, and a judgment on
its merits. It might be
heightened, or at least
prejudiced, by errors
judgment.

Then I am on the point of
leaving home for Baltimore.
at the Shenandoah Springs in Virginia. The first vol.
of the report is now printed; I
probably the second will not
be ready till after the recess.

As I do not expect to be
home again until the 13th
of December, I do not see how I can possibly
finish it. When I am
once back, the writers work

A. W. Roffey
Mr. Hunting, you are incorrect than I derive for kindness of Mr. Untert Halte. It is because I have had a respect for him that I do not want upon any sawdust into it.
Selsdon Park, Croydon
10 Sep 1809.

My dear Sir,

Mr. Southey finds he will not be able to write that paper, named for me to digest, which you proposed it. This may save you trouble.

Tough luck that I have never the
When I visited Manchester two or three days ago, she not only saw no objection to my receiving the report, but strongly pressed me to do so.

However on my returning London for it, I found that it was at 10 p.m. and not to be had.

As I leave Liverpool tomorrow for Hull and my only chance of setting it done was at the leisure of a sea voyage, it seems as if indicative that I
had been left in
hands.
Sincerely yours,
A. W. Roffen
Dear Sir Downing

Enclosed is the copy I send. I think it is the part which I read in my Glasgow speech, the struggle to Scotland freedom from the supremacy of the Princes council in England would be at some time suitable.

Yours faithfully,

James E. Shanklin
Cap., Dec. 1

1889

dear Mr. Bunting,

Your note came today. The receipt is on the other side. It is a little late, but I have been away recovering.

Perhaps we should do better here without instruments. It is much more to be desired that we should be chiefly of our representation in Parliament. This has led to infinite number of vile jobs.

I have a feeling however that the funds of the building may be well used to develop some branches of learning, in which there is not an adequate demand. I mean with us. Of course they are best to employ. But, if Mr. A. asked me to join the movement of course I


I must, and I think him, but I might do so, and it will so fortunately happen that the whole movement was useless without me. I think I am as well off in England, less busy perhaps, and I have some

...to a box containing, and some personal incidents, nothing at my own costs & charges, and do it with. I have this reward, the I

my mechanics are paid in this manner, without acknowledgment, the success who

met nothing down, to keep this

some faithfully

James E. Monk, M.D.
Recived of Pay D. Buxhig 65. the sum of ten pounds in consideration of an article in the Dr. Goode of the Cardiologists.

Received.

2/10
1890

[Paragraph begins]

Regarding the bunting, it is true that it is of vivid interest, but the accompanying recent origin of the idea, along with the entirely practical character of the compensation, is the most important fact of the paper. It was intended, unlike the others, to be the actual basis of Mr. Smith's lecture on the subject in the Industrial and Agricultural Society. It made me quite sad to see it passed over in silence.

[Signature]
My views in this case should be in harmony with the views of the Bulte family. The tradition of a continuity of policy is so intimately connected with Governments, that I doubt whether an effort will succeed it.

I am, sir, yours truly,

James S. Bulte

[Signature]
Oxford Aug. 31.

[Addressed to Burnaby]

This is no subject on which I communicate

and that unfortunately, what it is the life

which political wolves and economic horses

inhibit on. More useful is on the red lines.

In my present condition, I am afraid I was

unable to do it. I did not, however,

for me, the communication is by far

more useful. Of the two sides, the attitude

on the subject is relatively unimportant, and that

all else stands in highly readable. But all

was of course, in such to adequately

in writing. He has honored some time above

medieval theology.

Yours faithfully,

James S. Harbinger.

I have set a whole book of the essays of mine

behind formally as lectures. I always find

some lurking on current topics.
My dear Sir,

By this post I send you a copy of an article entitled “The Rights of Common Sense,” which is a reply to Mr. Mill’s “Rights of Reason” in the current number of the Fortnightly Review.

Alas, I suppose, to the illness of Mr. Scott, the editing of the Fortnightly is falling into a remitted state. My first criticism of Mr. Mill’s essay was first sent.
solved for three months, and then
his reply was postponed to me.
This kind of thing is most
unsatisfactory in the case of an
argumentative debate, as it is im-
possible for the readers to carry the
points at issue in their memories
for such long periods.

Now, as you are the only
editor I have met with who
seems able to foresee his work a
month or two ahead (Mr. Lubbock
may publish an article of mine in type
for 4 months), I venture to
ask whether you would be able
to publish the present article in
anything like reasonable time. As
stated at its commencement, there
is an additional reason for bringing
it out in the Fortnightly instead
of in the Fortnightly, viz.: that
you were good enough to publish
my Rede Lecture in it.

If you think that any
parts of the present article seem
to me too hard, I will modify or
suppress them; but before you say
so, it might be well to read
Mr. Lubbock's essay in the current issue
of the Fortnightly.

The sequel to the present article
I am now engaged in writing.
This I feel pretty sure you will like, and, as it enter on completely
new ground, there need be no
hurry to publish. If you should
decide not, I will send you the
article so far as it is written.

In the event of your
wishing me to publish these
articles elsewhere, I should like to
hear from you soon, so that I
may still have time to try to
set the first one out next month.

Yours very faithfully,

S. J. Romme,
18 Cornwall Terrace, Regent Park, May 10th, 1858

Dear Mr. Bentinck,

By this post I send you the article on Darwin & his critics. You will see that at least it is sufficiently "Scienti-.
fic." But, although on this account it may appear heavy to the general reader, more intelligent people, if I must take you, will be glad to have the contents of which it treats somewhat more clearly presented than they have hitherto been. It has cost me a good deal of trouble to get
them. Just intelligibly in a short space, and I hope you will think that I have in some measure succeeded.

Perhaps I may let you know that I undertake to write the article at the suggestion of M. F. Darwin, who wants to have them written straightened out. We both think it probable that the Duke of Albany & Mr. Burton are one and the same person. But it seems to me best not to be too far in this supposition, as you will

reasonably understand on reading the first part of the essay, where some of the remarks would be otherwise of 1st rate against other than an anonymous writer.

Might we not arrange to see more of each other than heretofore? If you come out, perhaps you would sometimes give me the pleasure of your company here, and, whether or not, might my wife call on M. Darwin?

Yours very truly,

G. J. Romanes
The Alms House
St. Aldate's
Oxford
May 15th, 1900

Dear Mr. Beamish,

The very appreciative note which accompanied the cheque for £14 just received, encourage me to send you the additional memos of my course of lectures on "Before and after Shakespeare."

You will see that it deals with the separate extreme aspects of the time, and therefore that its subject matter is chiefly of historical interest. But as such I think its interest is very great, and therefore I took
a good deal of trouble in working it up for this condensed statement.

If you accept the essay (which I would modify in print, so as to resemble the lecture form), the only stipulation I should make would be that you publish it soon enough not to delay the appearance of my book in November - where the essay would be reproduced in its present form.

If you do not accept it, please return it at once or, at least, so that I may have an opportunity of sending it to one of the other magazines.

Its title might be "A Writer as a Naturalist".

I may add that I shall not have to trouble you again with any MSS for a long time, as I shall be occupied with sending my book for the press as well as to other works.
If you accept, I should like three duplicate proofs as soon as possible, in order to send to some of my friends who are more proficient students of Christology, in case they should raise any questions which might modify my judgment on particular points.

Yours very sincerely,

Geo. J. Robinson.
ENGLISH ROOMS,
FUNCHAL. Madeira
Feb. 18th 1843

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I should much like to offer some remarks on Mr. Herbert Spencer's essay in this month's Contempory. As I saw it is continued in next months, I would have my article ready for printing in time for our April number, if you could send me an advance proof of Mr. Spencer's article for March.
In that event, I should have
my article edited by a typist
writer, and should not need a
proof of it.

The subject in one to which
I have given my best attention
for the last 20 years, and believe
that I know the more extant
literature of it better than any-
body else. Therefore I could con-
tribute some matter of impor-
tance.

But if W. Wallace should
wish to answer W. Spencer, I
would probably give him the
preference, and might not care
to have articles from us both. In that case, please write me to that effect as soon as you can let me know. My address here is Dickens' New Hotel.

My health is slowly improving, and I hope to return to London early in April.

Yours very truly,

J. J. Romans
New Hotel - Munich
March 5th 93

Dear Mr. Bruning,

I received your letter yesterday. I have promised to return, to the effect that I would send the MSS of my article as soon as the departure of my train permitted. I am leaving Munich on a ship, which will arrive in England on Wednesday, and will bring my last letter to you. I was delayed a whole week by the steamer leaving too early. You received my letter a week later than I expected, and my suggestion about making admission
proof of Specens article for this
month consequently unless, as matters
now stand, I shall have to wait
till Wednesday next before I can
see this article, and then till the
Wednesday after that before I can
post my MS. But this ought to
bring the latter into your hands by
the Monday following, which will probably
still be in time for appearing in
our April issue. It will be very
close, as you will see, so as to dispense with
the expense of sending me proofs.
I will address it to the Bentham Office.
You may see or modify
as you see fit.

Yours very truly,
F. J. Romare
94 St. Aldate’s, Oxford.
May 27th, 1892.

Percy Bunting Esq.
10 Old Square,
Lincoln’s Inn Fields,
London.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Would you care to receive an article from me on the History of the Theory of Evolution from the earliest times to the present date? I have prepared an essay on the subject which will be delivered as an address to a small college in Bangor next July. If published as an article it ought to appear in the August number of the Contemporary.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
Dear Mr. Bunting,

My husband is not in a position to work. Please do not keep the horse which you kindly promised in the Contemplation as it is a long time before he can do any work. Thank you very much.

Yours truly,

E. Deane
My dear Sir Percy,

Could you come in at 10 Chesterfield Street, Monday, between 4 and 5? It would be a real pleasure to catch a glimpse of you.

Yours truly,

Theodore Roosevelt
10, CHESTERFIELD STREET
LONDON W1

May 8th, 1943

Dear Sir,

The cost for collection at 10, Chesterfield Street, London, W1, has
now been fixed at £9 9s. 6d. for a complete set of cards.

Yours,

[Signature]

[Address]

[Return Address]
Manchester Oct 20. 86

My dear Sir,

I am sorry to say that I find myself unable to undertake to write an article on the subject you name, or indeed on any other, just now as I have already more to do than I can conveniently get through. Thanking you for your offer I am yours truly,

A. J. Roseau

P.S. Bunting Esq
June 15th. 84.

Dear Sir,
I am very sorry I cannot write the article you wish me to, as I am already overdone with work both present & prospective. Nor can I at the moment think of anybody likely to be able to do so.

Yrs trly,
R.C. Browne.

Percy H. Bunting Esq.
10 Manchester Square
S.W. Nov 5. 41

Dear Bunting,

I am sorry that I too much decline your kind offer as I am up to the ears in work of one sort or another & at the end of this month I am going to Egypt. No one can do the thing better, if he will, than our Secretary H. L. Smith. You better ask him.

Yours truly,

Henry G. Rowe

Permit Bunting Eq.
June 13
1883

Dear Sir,

May excuse my not having answered your letter before, but I have been backing up my efforts at two offices, a home business had to make a hurried visit to Scotland from which I only returned this morning.

I am very sensible of the flattering
If you expect, but I am afraid that I cannot do what you wish. My leisure is well occupied for some time to come, and I have no facility for writing. I am sure you will understand this.

I am the more surprised under the circumstances that yours is not the only proposal of the kind which I have received since I
May 19, 1884.

The Burdans,
Epsom.

Dear Sir,

Many thanks for your kind letter and its enclosure.

I am afraid I have no opportunity of doing what you wish. Nor, with the exception of Professor Sedley's book here, have I followed the recent literature on the subject. But I am afraid the whole matter is a long way from a definite or even an eminently step.

Believe me yours.

Ramsay
Aug 9, 1884

Lansdowne House, Berkeley Square, W.

My dear Sir,

What with speeches and hospitality & correspondence I shall not have time to write a spontaneous line for a while. I am sure I need not enlarge on this dearful subject.

But I am flattered by your wish to have an article from me.

Yours truly,

Ruskin
Jan 8. 1892
Mentmore,
Leighton Buzzard.

My dear Sir Bunting,

Thus I have nothing to say about Pitt or any other conceivable person or subject.

Rugby

Rosebery
June 14, 1892

38, Berkeley Square W.

My dear Mr. Bunting,

I am quite at a loss to say what to say in the prospective lecture of the house, as I am writing on a note, but in another, if I remember it, in Shakespeare's last, I have the very words to express this sort of thing. Very much yours,

[Signature]
May 15, 1891

The Durdans,
Epsom.

Dear Mr. Burbig,

It is very kind of you to think of me. But I do not wish that I could of my own free will leave at present.

Yours,

[Signature]
15, March, 1894.

38, BERKELEY SQUARE.
W.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am very much obliged for your congratulations. With regard to the telescope, I have received one or two other proposals of the same character, but I have the gravest doubts.
as to whether such a collection could possess the slightest interest, and therefore I have discarded them.

Believe me,

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
38, BERKELEY SQUARE.

27th Feb. 97

My dear Mr. Punting,

There is, this afternoon,

reason, that I have

already printed, as a

pamphlet, all the letters

I wrote, in the collection

that is about to be published,

to which I have prefixed

a preface. This pamphlet
is in the British Museum.
I shall therefore be to
some extent, more unwilling myself, if I renewed her
visit. For

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

Rossebey