New York, Aug. 8, 1889.

Dear Mr. Bunting:

It occurs to me to improve a few idle minutes here at Mr. Seleris's desk in the Century rooms by writing you a line. I was disappointed not to see you again before sailing, but I left my farewell with Mrs. Bunting.

I wanted in particular to suggest to you that four new states of the West are now holding constitutional conventions and making their initial organic instruments, and that the time would seem rather opportune than otherwise for the use of my article.
on the Western State Legislatures. Indeed, it fear the article may lose some of its pertinence if it heed much beyond the approaching completion of the admission of these new States. I'm sure you'll pardon my liberty in mentioning the matter and understand that I submit cheerfully to the Editorial judgment and the numerous exigencies that arise from month to month. But I do want especially, for several reasons, to have that article printed and seen in the West now while Constitution-making is before the public. My address is The Tribune, Minneapolis. My voyage was a very pleasant one. Come over and see us!!

Sincerely yours: Albert Shaw.
March 23, 1892.

Dear Mr. Bunting:

It was not until March 7th that your letter enclosing proofs of General Booth's article and of the Spurgeon article came to my hands, and these articles were actually appearing in the March Contemporary. At that time, of course, the April periodicals in this country were not only made up but most of them had gone to press. Even the weekly religious papers could hardly by any possibility have made a place for these articles and brought them out, until after the Contemporary had appeared in this country. I thought that the Booth article would be accepted by one of the daily papers; and I therefore took the trouble to send it to several, but it was not taken. Possibly I asked a little too much money for it. I knew that there had been so much in the American religious press and daily papers on Spurgeon that about the only chance I had for the article was to send it to the Churchman, the principal weekly organ of the Episcopal church, thinking they might be willing to use it in view of its having been written by a Church of England
Bishop. I told them that they were welcome to use it and pay what they thought they could afford under the circumstances. I have just now received from them a check for $10. Inasmuch as the Booth negotiations took some time and trouble, I send you a check for 32 shillings and keep the eight shillings difference to pay for my messenger boys and correspondence at this end. I could have placed the Booth article, I am pretty sure, to some slight advantage if it had come in time, or if I had had an early notification that it was coming. Over here our people make a good deal of advance preparation, and it is not easy to do anything with matter for syndicate that does not arrive here until after the articles are actually published in England. I am sorry to send you such a slight check, but at least something is better than nothing, and we may possibly have better luck next time.

Sincerely yours,

Albert Shaw

P.S. Please give my best regards to the members of Jesus
family. I have been a chronic sufferer from the Grippe since I came back from England, but am at last feeling like myself again. Can you have the Contempo-

tary sent to me regularly from the publication office in exchange with the American Review of Reviews?
William Percy Bunting, Esq.,
Editor Contemporary Review,
11 Endsleigh Gardens, N.W.,

Dear Mr. Bunting:

Mr. Page, editor of the Forum, accepts your proposition and wishes to use the Bryce article in the July Forum, with the understanding that it will also be used in the July Contemporary on the basis of a payment by Mr. Page of 20 pounds. I suppose he will hand me the money when he uses the article. If you are willing, I shall deduct from the 20 pounds some seven or eight dollars to reimburse me for my personal expenditure in cabling with regard to the other article some months ago which we had hoped could be syndicated with the Forum but which finally could not be so used on account of Mr. Bryce's claim to a larger sum than the Forum was willing to pay. I said nothing about the matter at the time, intending to deduct the expense from some future placing of an article for you.

I have just ventured to my office after
being confined in my room a whole week with the grip. My health is not very robust this spring, but I am making a persistent and determined struggle to regain it fully.

With kindest regards to Mrs. Bunting and the young people and to Mrs. Amos and her family, I am, as ever,

Faithfully yours,

Albert Shaw.
Wm. Percy Bunting, Esq.,
Editor Contemporary Review,
London.

My Dear Mr. Bunting:

I think you were absent in Switzerland when some days ago I received cablegrams informing me that proofs were just being sent of the article on the Pope's Policy and the one on the recent heat wave. Although no month was mentioned in the cablegram I assumed of course that the article was to be published in the November number. I took this for granted because it was already nearing the end of September and all our American October magazines were even then printed and nearly all of them were exposed for sale on the news-stands. At length, two or three days ago, I received a letter informing me that these articles were appearing in your October number, which would be in the hands of the English newsdealers on September 28th. The proofs of the articles have only just now reached me—Monday, October 3d. You will see of course that nothing can be done here with the articles. It would be pretty difficult if not altogether impossible to
arrange at this time for the publication of any article in a November American magazine, for some of the November numbers have already gone to press, while others are so far advanced that no change of plans could be made except in case of an emergency. The October Contemporary of course will be on sale in this country, under the imprint of the Leonard Scott Company, about October 15th or 18th. So you will readily perceive that no publisher would be willing to use these articles in a November number. I am afraid we shall not be able to arrange for the publication of your articles on this side except in cases where you have secured an article that you are willing to hold in reserve until an arrangement can be made to use it here. Our American publishers, as a rule, aim to be on the news-stands a number of days before the first of the month, and unless we can have several weeks fair warning it is not worth while to try to do anything. Thus, if I were to receive proofs from you before November 1st of an article that you proposed to publish in your January or February number, the chances are against my being able to place it here. Of course the
present case involved a manifest impossibility of simultaneous publication, inasmuch as the proofs did not arrive until the magazine had been printed in London for nearly a week.

Mr. Stead wrote me that he liked my article in the Contemporary very much, but that he thought it was a trifle impudent at certain points. I plead guilty, of course, for I really intended the whole article as a sort of long-faced American joke. Nevertheless I think the article was upon the whole a true one, and that it at least contains some food for thought for your so-called Unionist politicians.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
July 29, 1892.

P. W. Bunting, Esq.,
11 Endsleigh Gardens W.,

Dear Mr. Bunting:

Some weeks ago you asked me to write about Home Rule and British imperial politics from the point of view of an American onlooker. My journey to the West to attend the National party conventions, and subsequently my bereavement in the death of my mother, have prevented a prompt compliance with your suggestion, but this week I have written the article, and I send it herewith.

You will see that I have avoided all minor and technical questions and have simply endeavored in a straightforward way to show the nature and strength of our American federal system, familiarity with which is the simple and the sole reason why all Americans are so emphatically favorable to the idea of Home Rule for Ireland.

I may have taken undue liberties in speaking my mind, about your glorious British Empire as at present organized, but inasmuch
as you asked for an American opinion I have thought it my duty to be perfectly frank.

We are in the midst of a period of most sweltering heat, the thermometer day after day approaching one hundred degrees Fahrenheit in the shade. Fortunately I personally like hot weather better than cold.

I hope my article will reach you in time to be usable.

With kind remembrances to all the members of your family, I am

Sincerely yours,

P.S.--I have headed my article "An American View of Home Rule and Federation", but you will of course take any liberties you choose with the title.
May 11, 1892.

Wm. Percy Bunting, Esq.
11 Endleigh Gardens, N.W.

Dear Mr. Bunting:

I communicated with the editor of the Forum immediately upon receiving your cablegram. He had kept his June number open until the proofs came and had sent the matter to the printers. Your subsequent instruction to use the article in July, after having notified us that it would be used in June, of course was somewhat embarrassing to Mr. Page, (the editor of the Forum). I supposed of course when you wrote me asking me to place the article here that it was simply a matter of dealing with the Contemporary. Your last cablegram to the effect that the Forum must pay Mr. Bryce 60 pounds besides paying an unspecified sum to the Contemporary, of course put an entirely different complexion upon the whole matter. Mr. Page has decided that he does not wish to use the article at all, and it would be quite useless to attempt to make any other American use of it.

Of course everybody has meant well in
this matter, and Mr. Page cannot be blamed in any way for his subsequent decision that he cannot take the article.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Albert Shaw
Dear Mr. Bunting:

I am sending you a cablegram today asking you to send copy or proofs of the Bryce article immediately, and also asking you in what number you intend to use the article. Immediately upon receiving your letter a day or so ago, I looked over the ground and decided that the best place to publish an article on this side would be in the Forum. I accordingly communicated with the editor, who is a friend of mine, and I find that he will be glad to use the article if he may have the proofs in time, to use in his June number, but would of course need them quite early in May, but I do not suppose you intend to bring the article out before the July number. He suggests to me that it would seem to him equitable that he should pay half the sum that you pay to Mr. Bryce, thus sharing the expense and reducing your outlay by one-half. That would seem to me to be the really equitable plan, although you would know best. If you prefer not to say expressly how much you pay
Mr. Bryce I should like to have you simply name the price you would be willing to receive from the Forum as a basis for my conclusion of an arrangement with them. You could of course give me a price really determined by what you pay without saying anything about your actual arrangement with our friend Professor Bryce.

The Forum is incomparably the best place in this country for the article to appear. I hope that you will decide not to bring it out so soon in England that it would be impossible to arrange for it here. In any case I hope you will have the article put in type and send me the proofs immediately, or else have a typewritten copy sent over. The great trouble has been in the case of proofs sent to me by Dr. Lunn that they get to me at practically the same time that his completed magazine reaches all its readers over here, and of course any American publication attempting to bring out the articles would be from one week to one month too late.

Sincerely yours,

William Percy Bunting, Esq.,
11 Endsleigh Gardens, N.W.,
July 25th

Dear Mr. Bentley,

I am quite glad you asked me what will I think, but I think it is a
supraject introduction to the Zendan articles, I have
thought it best to make the introduction, and the articles
plainly narrate.

Mr. Saliny, Editor of the
An Nahlah, who came to
see me about the Salamyings
affairs, assured me about it
is plain ignorance on the part of English officials, which proves that Zulfiqar Pasha is in any way guilty towards England. More than that he says it is felt by the National Party in Egypt as a monstrous grievance, and stains upon the high character which England has hitherto held for justice, and a man of Zulfiqar's position and influence should be arbitrarily exiled without trial, and that his detention impedes the settlement of Egyptian affairs by the foreness it creates.

This is no doubt that we are principally ignorant of the internal politics of the countries we under-

omy sincerely Yours
Flora J. Shaw
Jan 19th 1890

Little Parkhurst,
Abinger Common,
Surrey.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I don't think I can have explained myself clearly in my first note. It is precisely because I am getting first-hand information from Dr. W. Robinson, who cannot untangle himself because he is as you know an admiring the government that I propose to send you an article on Switzerland. At the same time through one quarter of course to be in possession of the latest information. It is not
quite so much an article of information as an article of argument that is wanted. The question is whether France's plan is to be kept upon direct British rule or sedition in the Transvaal - until lately a new development of a small partition of the country. The Roux de Warte is on his way to England and will present his report in about three weeks. The everybody will begin to talk about it and the magazines will write. The Fortnightly will take the whole fringe line and I have written counterproposals should you take the other. I would merely like to point the case from Robinson point of view. If I were going to write for you I would talk to the media and I should talk the media and then him thoroughly first - with him thoroughly first - and say it would be different. He does agree if he agreed. He does agree.
this is of course private. He cannot do anything of the sort otherwise than informally.

Yr. Very sincerely,

[Signature]
29appearing Square W.
3rd July 1891.

Dear W. Bunting

Does the Londonderry ever
meddle with music? My reason for asking
you is that ever since I found that all
the Bayreuth tickets were gone, I have
felt that I must go there at all hazard.
Ralph Van Dyck engages to find seats for
me. I now want an opinion in the shape
of a magazine article for spending the
money, which I cannot afford, as I stand
committed to a trip to Venice as well.

However, I would rather stay at home than
repeat the usual Bayreuth article, with
illustrations, portraits etc. What I want to do
is to deal at large with the lesson of
Bayreuth for England, to compare Lawn
Garden etc. If I find that I have anything
of this sort to say when I come back,
would you care to have an article?

I need not start until the 15th August;
but as Van Dyck is waiting for my reply to
his offer I should be glad to know as
soon as possible:

Yours faithfully

G. Bernard Shaw

Percy Bunting Jnr.
11 Redleigh Gardens
N.W.
29 Fitzroy Square.
11th February 1896.

My dear Building,

Bless my soul, you will have to pay me a lot more than
five guineas if you will accept the article as a gratuitous
contribution. The article is seven thousand words long. At
eleven guineas, that is £1.15s. per thousand, which is what
an ordinary journalist gets from a London daily for unsigned
review work of the realest kind. I am expected to do this
fraternally laborious, slow work, in which solid disquisitions
on the heaviest subjects, from political economy to classical
music, come out as if they were the airiest jeux d'esprit;
and I assure you that after twenty years hammering at it, I
cannot take £300 a year. At £1.15s. a thousand I should not
take £250. My regular terms for newspaper work are 25 per
to the thousand words, with a minimum of £5. The Chronicle pays
me that with melancholy resignation: the American and Australian
papers pay it eagerly, with a liberal interpretation. If you
feel incredulous when I say that it is the hardest earned
money on the press at present, all I can say is, try to produce
the same effect yourself, and see what it will cost you.
I am laborious, even to produce a piddling specimen like "Socialis-
ism for Millionaires"

As it is altogether my fault for not warning you that
my terms were above the average, I should very willingly take
your cheque and say nothing if it were not that I have found
out that the one thing I must not do is to make differential
rates in favor of any particular paper or magazine. Editors
who want me to write for them, and who know what I get from
the Saturday Review (£12 for nine months work) positively
count the words in my longer articles and prove to me that I
am taking less from the Saturday than they are offering me.
On the other hand I do not like to ask you to pay £30 when you
calculated on till through my omission to settle terms before-
hand, especially as a review of the standing of the Contem-
porary is probably worth much more to me than I am worth to it.
I really do not care a rap about the money, and make this re-
monstrance very much against the grain; only there is some-
thing fundamentally unfriendly in having a grievance and not
acting with it.

Yours sincerely,

G. Bernard Shaw.
Topeka, Kansas, May 3, 1899.

Mr. P. M. Bunting,
Editor Contempoary Review,
London.

Dear Sir,

I thank you heartily for your note enclosed with Dr. Paton's and regret that I am not able to read you the article you kindly ask for. The pressure of church work upon me is so strong that I cannot take time to do any more writing at present than I have already promised.

Very truly yours,

Charles M. Sheldon.
Sherbrooke (Sherbrooke)
Warlingham Station
Surrey

Dear Sir,

I have said my say about lowering the franchise and have no inclination to return to an utterly helpless argument on which I have said all I have to say long ago.

Very truly yours,
Sherbrooke
Dear Sir,

I do not know that I have any right to recall myself to your remembrance, but, whether or no, I hope you may be disposed to do me the favour I am about to ask of you. I am requested to introduce to the English public a lecture delivered by the Conte Napoleone Collalto, a young Italian litterateur of great promise and the most liberal sentiments, for the Association for the Instruction of Women patronised by the Queen at Rome, under the title "La Donna nella Famiglia e nella Società." It is now published with a most encomiastic Preface by the well-known Angelo de Gubernatis and has received much attention in Italy. It has also received the honour of Mr. Gladstone’s approbation. What I wish to ask is whether you...
would consent to publish a translation of it in your Review. This would make it most satis-
factorily known and would quite fulfill the aspirations of my friend. This section makes part of a very important movement for the advance of women in Italy, and the views are such as I think you would approve of.

If you are good enough to entertain the idea I will send you the section and a portion at least of the translation.

I beg to remain your faithfully,
Isabella Thore
Delent 3
1885.

My dear Sir,

May I ask you a few questions about the article "What, Vertebrates" I read last week? I want to ask you if you could do the Accademi of
Taking me back home there -

Whether you can use it in the May Contemporary.

The whole matter is coming to the front with their - it will be of vital importance to have their article in a May Magazine.

Then when you do so.
Dear Mr. Bunting,

I am writing to correct an error in my previous letter. I was one article short. I suggested: "Liberation in Personal Journalism."

But it is all right!

Yours sincerely,
Clement Shorter.
It is uninteresting, and

Lansdowne,
Chymeston.

Feb 14, 1882

Dear Sir,

I am much gratified by your kind letter and very thankful that in your opinion my book is likely to have the effect you describe. I fear you overestimate my powers of future work. I am very constantly occupied.
in business matters and
just as present as
causing me more than
warm anxiety; and
I do not think I shall
be able to do anything
in the way of literary work
for some months. If I
to write any thing I will
communicate to you.
I sent a short paper
to the 19th Century, a
continuation of Mr. Louis
Greys paper in January
"The Agnostic in Church"
"Time is the Agnostic. The
Statement," it is possible
that in my opinion in the
March number.
I did once happen to see a
paper I wrote on the subject
To Professor Knight

I am, as I think, not only I, but I hope I have done all I could for the good of your work. With thanks,

Very sincerely,

Henry Thewlis

Percy B. Baring, Esq.
Alexandra House
Exmouth
April 25, 88

My dear Sir,

I fear I cannot undertake any office at present; I am looking at a little philosophical Sale which I find very
difficult and I fear, before my powers and I cannot do any thing else at present.

I do not think I could find enough thing upon Jean Paul

to fill a respectable article. Carlyle

had him very thoroughly. Indeed those literary articles of Carlyles will live. Think,

then much else that that overestimated man wrote is forgotten
My dear Sir,
Yours very truly,
Henry Phythian

O.S.
Jean Paul must be read to be appreciated, now for instance, give any idea of the charm of the Autobiograph.
Freshwater
Isle of Wight
May 6, 1884

My dear Sir,

We have been a few days in London for the Academy opening, and are spending a week or so here for quiet.

I am engaged at present on a second
part of that mark, and cannot think any thing else till this is done. I do not wish to take up reviewing staff. I shall not have written the Maurice article but for the urgent request I got.

Maurice and because it was such a delight to me to say any thing about his father, and such an honour to have my name associated with his if only for a moment. I owe him so much and his influence upon me twenty years ago was so much for good.
I do not know enough of Heine to know whether I should have any thing to say about him; at any rate at present I can do nothing.

Yours sincerely,

Henry Thoreau

Aug. 14, Loo at my Est.
Lansdowne, Edgbaston.

Aug 25th. 1886

My dear Sari,

I have only seen the proofs of two chapters of the Dean's Life of R.P. Newman. But I am quite sure that he has done every
thing that can be said about him of whom remarkably little detail has come down to us. Therefore, could not undertake want an article up to Bishop. I have sometimes thought that I should like to write one on St. Stevens & church near Charles the Second's time. But I could not engage to do it at present. Would it happen that I saw me way to do so during the next few months.
I will certainly let you have it: but I should think that I shall find time.

Yours sincerely,

C. H. Wathorne

Percy Hall Baring Esq.

To learn anything forward to the Board look with great interest.
May 26, 1889

Dear Mr. Tanning,

I am sorry that I cannot undertake to write upon Wordsworth, I have just had to decline a paper for Atalanta for I really have nothing more to say upon Wordsworth and I think the Society never showed men appreciation of
I was very much delighted with the [Rebus: Knaps] always told me that it was well worth printing, and I think that some passages are comparable to anything else in the world.

I am not a critic or reviewer. Have not seen the "Life," is it out?

I am very sincere.

Percy W. Rutherford

Henry Hardman