Dear Mr.,

Thank you very much for your letter. I will rewrite your article, and try to make such a balanced output as artistic as possible. Daily journalism gets one into the way of speaking too crossly. I am very busy lecturing and writing just at present, but I shall hope to send you...
The reserve was entered by the end of next week, I am faithfully,

Geo. C. Spencer.
Oct 91

4 2 New Street
Whitechapel

1891

dear Sir,

I am venturing to send you, under cover of this letter, a joint article on a subject which is at present of great interest to educationalists.

The higher education of the working classes I have been engaged in during the past two years for the Oxford Extension Society, and have therefore acquired considerable experience of the working of University Teachings.
I have also become convinced of the complete uselessness of University Extension as a means of educating the English workman, & the need for some new effort.

This article is an endeavor to put these ideas before the public.

I enclose a copy of testimonials as a means of acquainting you with my record.

I am at present engaged during the session as Parliamentary representative ("Observer") of the Pall Mall Gazette, a lecturer when free. But I remain for the P. M. in all the year round in one or two, & an article in the "New Leader," paper. "The Bookman."  

Yours faithfully, 

[Signature]
October 7th, 1891

Dear Mr. [Name],

TOYNBEE HALL,
28, COMMERCIAL STREET,
E.

[Signature]
April 9, 1894

My dear Sir, it is most kind of you to ask me to write an article on Brahms, but I really have not the time! I am sorry.

There is one man who would write a
far better article
on this subject than
I could, namely,

W. H. Hadow M.D. mas B.
Fellow & Tutor of
Worcester Coll.
Oxford.

He has made modern
composers his special
study & has "all about
Brahms" at his fingertips.
No doubt you

know the two books
on modern composers
which Hadow published
at Seeleys. He is a
complete master of
the subject and his
literary style is
admirable.

With many thanks
I am yours truly

John Stainer
W. E. Hoyt
26 Bedford Ave.
6 CLAREMONT GARDENS,
GLASGOW.

1 May, 1890

Dear Sir,

Thanks for your kind letter.

It is singular that for a year or more something like what you suggest has been in my mind. My primary idea was an exposition of a new system of Apologetics which has for some time been much discussed in Germany and is now fully...
expounded by Frank of Erlangen. But, as a kind of background to this, a discussion would be necessary of how far the current Apologetic has become obsolete.

I was thinking of a small book; but, to begin with, I might try an article. Unfortunately, I am a very busy man, and I fear I may not be able to submit it anything quite soon.

Yr. most.

James Stalker

Nevy Wm. Bunting Esq.
Failand House.
Long Ashton,
Nr Bristol.

File Stanley (here)
27 Sep 1863

Dear Mr. Bunbury,

The subject you

proposed to me is not but

an interesting one... But

I cannot promise to

an article upon it.
Perhaps you have been able to find some one who can understand it.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Fry

P. H. Bunce Esq.
3rd Sep 1890

Dear Mr. Bunting,

The vacumeric already just manufactured are very fine. If from 6 middle points I think that I ought to have one that I do not feel very...
Vocatimi at present for beautiful day & house

I hope you will like

Elly when the rod

Other "Alexander" and

are just now more in my mind.

The weather has been very

P. to Burleigh Esq.
File: Stanley (wire)

9 July 1890

1, Palace Houses,
Bayswater Hill, W.

Dear W. Bunlief,

Yours with much regret to hear that in your illness you have not only reached the last stage with consequence of it, but have not received any arrival.

I believe that you did not receive this letter from me before now. I have not yet read his letters but I am not sufficiently precautionary.
with his proceedings than forming more than a provision of the air by means of heat at present. The browse or cabin to take up the subject, I mean. Therefore ask you to employ some other pen.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Edward W. Burnley Esq.
Stanley (in re)
10 Fry
Peters
J. Rose Trout
Samuel
Smith, R. B.
Dear Mr. Bunting. The evening before I received your letter I had a long talk with Mr. Peters. At first he seemed disinclined to write anything which he thought might anticipate his book, but, when I told him that what was wanted was a "corroborative" to Stanley, he at once jumped at the idea and said he would write an article entitled "Stanley and Emin" with the greatest of pleasure in order to present Emin's case as told to him largely by Emin himself.
This article he was to begin at once. I will see him to-day and tell him to go ahead.

Kindly let me know on what date the article should reach you. Dr. Peter proposes to write it in English and wishes to have it corrected in point of language & put into shape afterwards.

I remain

Yours very truly,

George Saunders.
Dr. Carl Peters.

Berlin N.W.
Albertstr. 17. I.C.
5. 14. October 1896

Sir Wm. Bunting Esq.
Editor, "Contemporary Review."
11 Old Square
Lincoln’s Inn
London W.C.

I beg to forward the article on Stanley and Emin for publication in the "Contemporary Review" under the terms that were agreed between Mr. Sander and me.

Please let me have the proofs if time permits that I may...
revise them.

I am sir

very sincerely yours

[Signature]

Carl Peters.
Dear Mr. Dantey,

Do not jump in the blocks, but you very kindly promised to lend my MSS on Sunday, and I must say I am very willing to lend them, as well as look over the blocks as soon as I can. I am in no great haste, of course, as it is not portable — of course it is not portable — I must see what is portable. I must see what is portable. I must see what is portable. I must see what is portable. I must see what is portable.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

[Address]

[Date]
but every day makes a difference.

It is the intention of the committee of public health to request assistance from the public, under its arrangements for public opinion, to assist in carrying out the work of the association.

I hope you will be able to take it.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

[Date]
Feb. 21/91
THE KNOLL.
HARROW-ON-THE-HILL.

Dear Mr. Buntin,

Would you kindly cast your eye over Dr. Le Neve's letter and consider whether you can conveniently insert some such "Note" as I suggest at the end of the "Contemporary" for April. I think he has some regret to explain on the one point with which...
it deals with, if so, I should be glad to receive such accounts as are possible to him, and put the responsibility in the suppression of the truth so far as it is incumbent on the right shoulders of the main Relief Committee.

Yours very sincerely,

[Signature]
THE KNOLL,
HARROW-ON-THE-HILL.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

Mr. T. Field, Head Master of Kings School, has suggested that I should write to you about the proposed building for the school. He is interested in promoting the project. Please let me know if you are interested in seeing the plans. I would be happy to discuss the matter with you.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Handwritten date: March 15, 1891]
Dear Miss Constance,

I can almost cry to think of Papa trying to make a strong moral impression upon all of his children. Can any other man do that?

Just my best,

Mommy Ruth

As you will hear from Fred,
I shall go with you to the Williamette Water Station. For the sake of Williamette Education, let Williamette lose education—It's Williamette's loss.
Rockbeare House,
near Exeter.

15 July 1810

Dear Sir,

Then I set about the task of writing out the article I undertook to prepare for you. I found myself obliged, in order to state matters clearly, to go into such details as would infringe the letter of my contract with Mr. Stanley. While feeling near to write in defence of myself and comrades, to expose the unjust attack of Mr. Stanley, I find the same hampered by the original condition of my hands, and tied in such a manner that I am not able even to write the article in the form I prepared. I regret exceedingly that there is no need to write a
writing paper, I have telegraphed at once my decision, in order to in consequence for as little as possible.

The disappointment, too, is intense.

I feel that the advantage his Stanley has, that he is much more expeditious, it would be difficult for him to obtain judgment against me at law, but knowing that he will in truth take proceedings against me, it will cause me an endless amount of trouble. I find that I must resign myself to being his judge and condemned for a little while longer in order to avoid losing the opportunity of clearing myself at an early date.

Trusting under the circumstances, you will forgive my apparent vacillation.

Sincerely yours,

J. W. Reynolds
Dear Sir,

I received your letter yesterday morning but was unable briefly at once, as the matter required careful consideration. I should most gladly accept your invitation to write about Stanley's book, if I could point out countless inaccuracies or misstatements, in fact. This is an opportunity I would willingly embrace but some somewhat hampered by imperative conditions that are likely to prevent me from writing into the free done I desire. Having received intense aggravation through Stanley's treatment of my
report of his outrageously unjust accusations made against the officers of the Reap Fund. I am quite ready to prepare an article but it might not be such as you require. Could you let me know what from you would the paper assume regardless what he had been satisfied with one that would set out clearly Stanley's errors of judgment & misrepresentation of fact - to say nothing of the glaring contradictions contained in his varying statements - yet without disclosing the details of the true circumstances of the matter, I believe I could write a readable paper for your magazine on such lines.

As I do not know how long an article you require I am unable to say whether I could get it ready in time for the next number, but I would do my best to hasten it on hearing from you, as I presume you would prefer it at once. Thank you for your letter.

Believe me.

Your truly,

[Signature]

J. Rose Troup
Having spent some years in London where he was attached to the museum work under the formal direction of Cardinal Manning. He, in fact, was full of sympathy with the Irish, above all else, he knew he appreciated the same sense of identity to which he was known. Mr. Perseco's personal opinions were concealed. He was the head of the Catholic hierarchy at Westminster and Dublin, he had best to make believe that the Perseco mission was certain to tend in an advantageously public Irish cause.

It was not the selection of the Pope that the mission should end at all. So far as can be ascertained the original idea was to grow Monsignor Perseco into a kind of irregular nuncio, whose immediate primary duty was to investigate the affairs of Ireland, but when that investigation was completed he was no able to leave the United Kingdom. He might if he pleased leave Ireland only on embassies that he took up abroad in England and Scotland.
April 23rd. 1910

Sir Percy Bunting,
11. Endsleigh Gardens,
N.W.

My dear Sir Percy,

I had a very interesting two hours and a half with Lord Hugh Cecil last night, and found him in a very hopeful state of mind from our point of view. He does not think the Peers will refuse to admit the 400, and he thinks that the immediate result of that would be the abolition of the House of Lords and the creation of a new Senate, which he is quite prepared for. He entirely agrees with our contention that the Liberals must have a fair chance of a majority in the House of Lords as it is to be reconstituted, and he would cordially welcome any effort made to avert a violent collision. It was a most interesting talk. I will tell you more about it when we meet.

I am,

Yours sincerely,

W.T. Stead
April 22nd, 1910

Sit Dear Sir,

I have a very interesting two hours and a half with

I hope you will receive a point of view. I hope not think this

beauty will tense to shrink the 1000 and be shrink that this

immediate tant of this woman and the position of the Home or

I have the position of a new sense, which in duty

permission to the authority with the connection.

that the position is too a test sense of a matter to the

more or less so as to do not recognize my doing

result in the ability to work a whole collection.

It was a most interesting talk. I will tell you more shortly

Your's sincerely,

William
Sir Percy Bunting,
11, Endsleigh Gardens,
N.W.

Dear Sir Percy,

Do you know whether you could let me have any back numbers of the January "Contemporary" at a reduced price? I can get them at Smith & Sons or from the "Times Library second hand, but if you have any unsold copies I might as well buy them from you as from the other people. I want about half a dozen.

By the bye I never told you the real origin of that article on the revival of the Slavs which you published for me under my name. It was really written automatically, partly by my hand and partly by that of another medium, and the control claimed to be none other than that of Catherine the Great. Of course, I did not mention this, but it may interest you more especially as she is very anxious to write another one on the future of Russia.

I am,

Yours sincerely,

W.T. Stead
The question of patriotism

The word "patriotism" is often used in discussions about the role of the individual in society. It is a concept that has been debated throughout history, with different interpretations and applications. In contemporary society, patriotism is often associated with national pride and loyalty to one's country. However, the concept of patriotism is not without its critics and is often subject to interpretation.

On the one hand, patriotism can be seen as a positive force that promotes unity and national identity. It can encourage individuals to work towards the common good and support their country's interests. On the other hand, patriotism can also be seen as a negative force that can lead to nationalism, discrimination, and aggression.

In recent years, there has been a growing concern about the rise of nationalism and the erosion of democratic values. This has prompted many to question the role of patriotism in modern society and whether it is still relevant.

In conclusion, the concept of patriotism is complex and multifaceted. It is a concept that requires careful consideration and debate. As society evolves, so too must our understanding of patriotism and its place in our lives.
May 9th. 1910

Sir percy Bunting,
11 Endsleigh Gardens,
N.W.

Dear Sir percy,

I have got an idea about an article since you were here. What do you think of having an article concerning the political ideas of the King's tutor! Canon Dalton was two years with the King, went round with him and his brother in the Bacchante, and there were two thick volumes published professing to be the Journal of the Princes in their journey round the world, consisting of letters, which they wrote obviously under Dalton's direction, which covered a great many questions on Colonial and Imperial politics. Besides these there are several hundred pages in which Dalton, who was officially described as the governor and was really the tutor of the Princes, sets forth his own political ideas, which no doubt he did his utmost to impress upon our present King. The interesting thing is that Dalton was a tremendous pro-German, and was very strongly infavour of making Germany the heir of the Ottoman Empire. I was reading up this book the other day, and I think I shall write the article for somebody. Naturally, therefore, I would like you to have it, if you think it would be worth while. I shall dispose of it somewhere else, in case you do not care for it. But I think it would be somewhat off the ordinary lines, and as there are occasional but not many glimpses of Prince George as a human personality in the book it might be worth while.

I am, 

Yours sincerely,

W. T. Stead
Sir Percy Bunting,
11, Endsleigh Gardens,
N.W.

Dear Sir Percy Bunting,

I think you and I have reason to congratulate ourselves a little upon having tardily roused Sir Edward Grey up to realise the significance of the speech which Mr. Taft made just twelve months ago. When the "Contemporary Review" came out I sent it to Lord Morley who was then acting as locum-tenens for Sir Edward Grey at the Foreign Office. He read it and then spoke to Nicolson about it. Nicolson said he had already read it. "Well", said Morley what is the answer to it?" Nicolson did not give a very clear reply, and Morley went on to say "the question is how are we to answer the American proposal?" "Oh", said Nicolson, "we have telegraphed that we will give it our sympathetic consideration, but any proposals must receive very careful examination". "Why", said Morley, "did you add that last part. Is it not obvious enough, and by putting it in it looks as if your sympathetic consideration was very qualified. But are you prepared to accept the American proposal in the sense of Stead's article". "Oh", said Morley, "I do not think that you can expect us to do that". After this Morley had dinner with Grey and talked the matter over, and I think that we may fairly conclude that Grey's astounding Declaration was the result of Morley's representations.

Of course, all this is very private, but I thought you would like to know the share which the Contemporary Review has probably had in bringing about the new departure. I am afraid that Grey has plunged once more somewhat recklessly, and that he will recoil just as he did on the question of armaments.

I do not know whether you would care to have anything written about it for the next number of the Contemporary. If you do let me know.

I am,

Yours sincerely,

W. J. Stead
Dear Mr. Smith,

I am writing to inform you of the recent changes at the company. As you may know, we have decided to restructure our departments to better align with our overall goals and strategies.

The new structure will be effective immediately and will bring about several changes in the way we operate. You will be moving to the new department, and I have no doubt that your skills and experience will be invaluable in this new role.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns. I look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
August 8th. 1911.

Miss Bunting,
11, Endsleigh Gardens,
N.W.

My dear Miss Bunting,

I was shocked and grieved to learn when I was at Vienna that your dear father had been summoned home. I saw him just before I went and he seemed so well and hearty. It will give me the greatest pleasure to respond to your kind invitation to send you some words about him. I will let you have them in due course.

I am,

Yours sincerely,

W.T. Stead
Dear Mr. Bunting,

I had a long talk with Albert Shaw about the Catholic Church in America last night. I have to see Albert Paley, a member of the Hungarian Parliament about the Magyar then of the pope this morning. I must therefore reserve the case of the H. S. till tomorrow morning when you shall have a ‘dead letter’.

I feel rather disappointed not being able to see you the other way. But I have done my best. I must ask you to read for the last 20 lines till tomorrow morning.

Yours sincerely,

W. T. Stead
Cambridge House, Wimbledon.
June 9, 1906.

Dear Mr. Bunbury -

It just occurs to me that possibly a short article upon Mrs. Butler & the Federation might be in season for the Contemporary for July. I do not press it at all because I have any amount of work in hand, but in case you want it, I am willing to write it if you think I could do it. Moxon-Tietje are publishing a 100 page monograph on the subject from my pen, it being the first chapter of my unpublishable book. I will see you at the N.I.V. at tomorrow afternoon. Then you can tell me.

Famously yours,

Percy W. Dunbar Ely.

W. T. Stead
Dear Mr. Bunbury,

Those seem refreshing and what
you have been, holding up Government by
Jowonsen. Scary my former journal under
influence of a c., then in how is this here
as an editor.

The only way I were in your place I could
a poem, which I had a contribution for a
poem whose nobility was due to an incident
which occurred in the 17th of January, that
the telling value of that article would be
materially greater if published or tell
then March 1.

Hates bring the intrinsic value of the
article of anonymous, because the
same next year as now. Each to value some moments, depends chiefly upon its being written in quick. By a man who wrote me at an address in a newspaper.

I think there is no known linear that I should apologize for writing on it, were it not that I hardly mentioned your name in a way I think is the commonermo to seme years,

that is too, or is too the telling story. is to pretend in which while the author circumstances in relation with it a little formal one. have to advance with that potentially well to give up much. I think now that

Remember this. This may done much and nothing. Or anyone other. I am perfectly willing to make way for anyone. But I am convinced the true way is to submit now.
Dear Mr. Stead,

I am sorry I have delayed answering your note; I have been very busy and besides I found it necessary to consult not only our own publisher, but Wegan Paul's.

I find the view very strongly held and must say that I concurred in it myself that such a publication as I understand Mr. Newnes and yourself to intend could not be acquiesced in.

I need not assure you how sorry I am personally to raise any objection to an enterprise of
yours but it seems to me and others that this new monthly would not
be a mere review or notice in which
extracts would be incidental but a journal whose
reason d’être it would be copy
and supersede the expensive monthly.

Very Sincerely

(R.W.B.)
23 Thorncliffe Road
Oxford.
29 May 1921

Dear Miss Bruning,

I am venturing to address you, thus personally as Mr G. P. Goed, has given me permission to use his name as an introduction. I have recently been entrusted with the very interesting task of writing the life of G. P. Stead and I have had placed in my hands all the biographical material possessed by his family. This is coming to me in instalments—
Dear Mr. Hetherington, for so many years our Head's index, is sorting it out for me.

A recent installment includes a bundle of letters from your father and mother, for the most part dating from the Regalia and Nineteenth Century. I wonder whether you have in your possession or Heads' replies or any other letters of mine or documents of any kind which would be useful to me and which you could lend me?

I would propose to submit to you later, with your approval, a type written copy of these.
portions of my book in which I shall be using any use of the correspondences.
Possibly you yourself may be able to help me with a few lines of reminiscence of some episode in Mr. Head's career not generally known. I should be obliged to you if you could.

Yours affectionately,

Frederic Wight.
23 Thorncliffe Road
Oxford
July 12 1921

Dear Miss Bunting,

Many thanks for your letter, and the two bundles of your Stead's stc. I am particularly glad to have your own memory of that Black Forest trip and the astonished old lady. If either you or any member of your family would ever recall any other amusing incidents of the kind they will be very welcome to me. Perhaps that better suits you my Club address as I shall be leaving Oxford soon. Letters will always reach me if addressed to me at the R. Automobile Club Lake Road

With many thanks,

Yours sincerely,

Frederic Wright
23 Thorncliffe Road
Oxford
3 June 1921

Dear Miss Bunting,

Very many thanks for your kind reply to my letter. I am very much obliged to you.

It is very good of you to go into the matter so thoroughly.

Looking forward to hearing further from you at your leisure.

Yours sincerely,

Frederick Wyle