22, HYDE PARK GATE, S.W.

21.6.93

Dear Sir,

I am too old to allow to your using my estate when your health fails. As a short time today I was returned to. It was so full of bad misfortunes that I should wish to see a writer. They were pretty close to my hasty writing as I do not want to add to
would be wanted.

The same cause led to some obscurities, I fear, in which I could remove or diminish if you would not mind my altering a few sentences more radically than I have done. But I don't want much written.

Yours truly

[Signature]
22, HYDE PARK GATE,
S.W.

3. 11. 94

My dear Sir,

I am very sorry that I cannot help you in regard to Troude.

My chief reason is that I am at present almost overwhelmed by the task of writing my brother's life. Troude would be, moreover, a specially delicate subject for me. He was very kind to me personally & one of my brother's best friends, & I have the...
highest admiration for his literary qualities. But there were points about his character and writings which I could never understand; and to speak briefly and in a friendly way, it yet with due discrimination would be too difficult for me that I certainly cannot even attempt it now.

Yours very truly

J. Steptoe
My dear Sir,

I am sorry to say that I am not quite well enough to undertake such work as you kindly propose. He heartening news was too much for me. I have
in addition to duty of helping
some lectures in Cambridge -
when the university begins to
be published. I may hope for
temperate kindness. We have
not got our machinery to
work. But I hope I
must make another attempt
for the summer holidays. Stephen
My dear Sir,

I am much obliged by your note.

Sri A. Stephen's address is

Sri A. S. G. C. M. G.

Sydney
New South Wales

Will you kindly send the copies of the article to him direct? I have no doubt
your P.S. till now. I have been seriously ill, though I am recovering, I do not feel able to make any promises at present. I have some talks on land & don't know whether I shall lose any superfluous strength. If I see my way later to write anything suitable, I will communicate with you. I should be very glad to be a contributor if I can contribute to anything.
that he 100 will be quite
enough.
I hope that they will reach
him in time, as he is 89
or 90 years old and
it is when I last heard from
him —

Many thanks for your
kind attention.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

(see note)
22. HYDE PARK GATE, S.W.
28 Apr 191

Dear Sir,

Many thanks for your prompt reply—hope you do good as to receive the proofs. The proof of Sir Alfred’s article come in due time.

Yours faithfully,

T. Hesler
Dear Sir,

My cousin Sir Alfred Stephen, formerly Chief Justice of New South Wales, who is now nearly 90, a kind of Patriarch in the Colony, has taken up the question of Divorce, he has recently sent me a
paper in which he replies
some of M. Gladstone's
arguments upon the subject.
He makes me beg it
publication in some English
magazine. If there is any
chance of your taking it
for the 'Contemporary.' I

Should be very glad to lend
the me for your consideration

G? True

Leslie Stephen
My dear Sir,

On reflection, I do not feel able to write an article about it now. It is not necessary to go into my reasons. I will only apologize for not having sent a more decided reply at once.

Yours sincerely, Stephen
Dear Sir,

Thanks for your note about my lecture at Toyahue Hall. I was compelled by my health to abstain from all needless work and, though now better, I have to be till my confidence. The lecture was therefore very
sloppy written: and I could
not put it into a fit
not for publication without
taking more trouble than
I felt equal to at present
- perhaps more than the
thing is worth. I will
look at it again in a few
days & if it seems worth
while, I will write again. More
probably I fear, it will go into the
wastepaper basket. Yours sincerely
L Stephen
My dear Sir,

It would be impossible for me to write about Tackyray or the recently published letters. There are several reasons which I cannot now explain.

I am longing to see my head above water.
Some time to do to be able to write an occasional article, but I have been ill & am so acutely embittered in discoversy that I cannot think of anything else till after the summer at any rate.

Yours very truly,

Stephen
May 2, 1891

32, DE VERE GARDENS W.

My dear Sir,

If you kind be a

drum loud what I must b

happy to write for the Contemporary

even. My times are 50

years for an article of 100 pp


J. Stepan.
May 6, 1883

32, De Vere Gardens W.

My time is so much occupied that I am unable at present to write upon the subject I urge to you. I am faith yours, P. W. Bunting, Esq.
6 St. Kilda's Rd
Harrow on the Hill

March 28/1892.

Dear Sir,

Encouraged by my friend Mr. Ph. Wicksteed, I take the liberty of offering you for the "Contemporary Review" my article on "The Purchasing Power of Wages."

This article (originally written as a lecture) is a sort of very concentrated research.
and rather fragmentary résumé of a somewhat large
book on the variations
in the standard of comfort
of English wage-earners,
on which I have
been engaged for some
years, and which I
hope to publish (in
English) early next year.

After Easter I am going
to deliver a course of
eight lectures on the
subject at University
Hall.

The subject is, as you are
aware of, a new one
to treat of for the economic

historian. Hence the
novel interest that
is attached to the
general results and
ideas derived from its
study.

If my English has too
strong a foreign flavour
(I am a Swede, having
lived for some five years
in England), Mrs. Wickshead
is kind enough to offer
to revise it. I should
however like to know
if the subject and its
Treatment suit your
Review, before I trouble
so well occupied a man
as Mr. Wickshead.
The diagrams would considerably heighten the interest of the article. They are photographic reproductions of large coloured lecturing charts. The photograph of chart II is a little faint, but the only print I have for the moment. I have got the negatives and can procure better prints any day if required.

I am, dear Sir,
faithfully yours

Gustave Steffen

P. W. Bunting Esq.
Editor of the "Contemporary Review"
Sydney N. S. Ws
12th Aug 1891.

Sir,

I need hardly
say, that — the common
sight of the other supporter of
our N. S. N. Divorce
our N. S. M. Divorce
measure — I
was greatly gratified by
the appearance of my
appearance in Divorce law.

It has excited naturally
much attention here, and
in the sister Colonies &
been the subject of many
comments in the Newpro-
per Press. But the
My Divorce Article is in pamphlet form, in this Colony. The circulation would be gratuitous. I hope reprint could be put the printing could be done or taken place even or take place, under the support of as much as possible, as well as favor early as well as favor the application reply to this application earlier than earlier. 

December met. I am faithfully yours,

Alfred Stephen.

I ask the great favor of you, therefore, to allow me to reprint my

The Editor
Contemporary Review. 0/0, Mass.: Isbister & London. Co.
Sir Alfred Stephen presents his compliments and cordial thanks to Messrs. J. B. & C. for their courtesy in sending him copies of the reprint of his Article in the "Contemporary."

24 College St. Sydney
24 August 1891
Dear Mr. Bunting,

I wonder if you will approve of an article sent by this post as suitable for the Contemporary Review. If you do, I shall be much gratified. I am standing for a chair of history at Glasgow University, and hoped to have had it ready in time for publication in some June magazine as a review of June, as to influence the electors, possibly, in my favour. But the fates were against me, and I could not get it done in reasonable time. Nevertheless the contents in the article are true, and whether it is published or not in time to help my chances at Glasgow does not matter to any one save myself. I hope you will like the article, as it contains the result of much thought and much study of foreign historical schools.

Believe me,

Yours,

A. Thome Stephens.

24 Hm. 144.
Personal
8 rue Lebon
Paris-Ternes
Paris, Jan. 31, '85

To The Editor of The Contemporary Review.

My dear Sir,

I am preparing an article to be entitled “Fenianism of the Old School & Fenianism of the New,” in which I propose making several revelations regarding the national movement which I had supreme charge of in 1865 and the preceding years, and other revelations on the present dynamite conspiracy. The purport of the article will be to show the difference that exists between the Fenian’s properly so called and the dynamitards who have usurped the name of Fenianism; and to suggest the only truly reasonable solution of existing Anglo-Irish difficulties. If your fancy the idea of such a contribution for the Contemporary Review, I should be only too happy to forward you the MS. for trial.

Awaiting the favor of an early reply, I am

my dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

James Stephens.