Westbourne R Volts.
13 April 89

Dear Sir,

I now forward the last of Seyd's Paper on Irish History, with many regards for the same, but I have spoiled it since I wrote last. I saw the paper, which are not very clearly written up in the E.S., and half the argument is somewhat longer than I may sound you. I have never to conclude my business, but will make certain for the event.
Thank you ladies at
very faithfully,
I. Styles

Perry W. Bailey
83. Westbourne Pk Rd.
London W.
2 November 88.

Sir,
I venture after much hesitation to offer you the accompanying MS.

It is a third of what its author is about to issue and in the controversy to which it is a contribution, the "first declaratum is a true inter pretation of the old familiar..."
Had I seen the line of thought which I attempt to develop here, developed elsewhere, the MS. would long ago have become waste paper.

But the argument still remains without an advocate. And instead of destroying what I have written, I make so bold as to ask you, if by a glance at the first page or two with tell you whether to read further. I have stamped and addressed a return card

faithfully yours,

S. T. Coleridge
Park Street
Greenwich
20 October 89.

Dear Sir,

May I ask you to send a MS which I sent by registered mail in the Spring at your request in No. 2 of a paper on the 'Logic of Science.' I was asked by the 'British Association' if I would contribute a paper on 'The Theory of Light.' I have a brief outline that I hope you may have time to read in giving it to my attention.

Very faithfully,

[Signature]
61 Belgrave Rd
W
26 March 89

Dear Sir,

I don't know if the opening lines of No. 2 are all I have just read, as I have just
received them. The rest I will send forward in two or three days.

My mind has been given to write a few lines to the effect that No. 1 and
some of the No. 2 drafts would not suit my taste. Indeed, I forget exactly
where I leave the reader. But I hope the scope of No. 2 is here
I have tried to indicate here (though my figure is confused and marred) the extent of the power which the Union is supposed to afford. It hangs on the balance of forces which must maintain it. If this is the case, I think of No. 2 to No. 1.

I have a card from you expressing disappointment. I shall therefore write to assume that you continue to assume that the same seems to you agreeable and please you. I hope you can receive me in a few days. Please be assured of my loyalty.

Mr. Anson, Sir,
If I had kept this in the hope of making progress with my paper. But an evening engagement has prevented me from doing so. I should have kept you waiting too long, had it not been for it.
Dear Sir,

To give you a specimen of Lowell's prolific humour I send in confidence the copy of a note I got from him in 1857, when I was Clerk of the Superior Court in Boston. The "two Johns" are John Holmes, brother of the Professor, and John Bartlett, of the Dictionary of Popular Quotations. (both living). "My dear Underwood - come early and come often. J'ai tout arrangé : les deux Jeanys y seront de bonne heure, et nous en ferons une vraie nuit de vacances. Votre billet tout cordial qu'il était, et plein de bonté à mon regard, m'a vraiment réchauffé le cœur. Vous trouverez un lit chez nous, et retournerez à la cour supérieure de bon matin y portant un mal de tête des meilleurs, si le vieux P. W. is ailing. Envy
Bourbon(*) of the hours tarder n’est pas

rents de force. "Venite, dunque a-

che ora di piacere e sarate il benven-

uto"

Affectuomly Yours

(*Kentucky Whiskey)  J. R. L.

Equally in confidence I tell you that the

sum which the old trums of a father paid

at his son’s creditors was near £14,000.

After what I have written, I hope you

will look over the notes to prepare by Rev. *

Thomas Wilber. They are delicious. And

there is no doubt that the father of the book

was the original of the character.

I am afraid the desire to be brief huts my style.

Some witterer-snapes in a Boston newspaper said

that it "had been raggedly written."

Yours very Truly

J. R. Underwood
United States Consulate

Glasgow, May 5, 1888

Dear Sir,

I have received yours of the 3rd with cheque for which accept my thanks.

The N. E. Awakening has been under my hands as a lecture for many months, and was the result of a long compression. When I concluded to print it as an essay I turned it over many times. I cannot say how many, but enough to make every point as familiar to me as the alphabet. Every time I have gone through it I have looked for weak or unimportant sentences. And, lately, I went through it twice more in proof. I am absolutely unable to cut it down any more. But I think that any attempt to shorten it now will take away some important statement, argument or inference.

I pray you to consider that I have done my utmost, if I earnestly hope it will be allowed to stand.

Yours very truly,

Francis H. Underwood

P.S. I lately wrote to Dr. Nicol upon my view of the secret of excessive pruning upon style. I have some while in the form of grace of an article as well as in regard to its substantial merits.

Percy W. Bunting, Esq.
I am about to publish this work, and as you will note it is of considerable importance and expense being published in ten volumes at £6. I am aware that as a rule you do not publish reviews of books. It occurs to me that one may be of sufficient importance to form an exception.

If you will advise me on the subject, I shall be happy to forward the proof, copy. I hardly care to do so without getting your permission.

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]

T. Fisher Unwin
Ilmestoff Nov. 4. 1866

My dear Sir,

It is very kind of you to have thought of me, and I wish I could serve the good cause of the Church in Wales by doing what you ask. But even if I had the knowledge I have not the time necessary for such work. I must you will think.
If one were both able and willing.

Very sincerely yours.

G. Vaughan
CURRAGH CHASE,
ADARE, Ireland
Feb 1, 1896

Dear Sir,
I am sending to you by this post a paper consisting of my recollections of Cardinal Manning (written while I was during the April of this year) or the chance of its being suitable to the contemporary history. It is not known to have been one of his earliest nor most intimate friends. It makes a mark.
Fond thoughts of you to-day, my dear Verie,

and hope this note will find you well.

I am much interested in the work of Cardinal Newman and his influence on literature. I have occasion to refer to him in my book on "Theologia Mystica," which is being published shortly.

May I ask you to keep me informed of any new developments in this field?

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
Carragh Chase
Adare, Ireland
Oct. 15, 1891

My dear Sir,

My brother, Sir Stephen
? de Vere, has written what to
me seems a very able letter,

I have asked him to let me
forward it to you. In case you

W. de Vere to the Contemporary Review, "He

much more telling on Public

Opinion in England if it were

published than if it were.
I shall write on that subject as Introduced; because after that some people will be thinking of little except the details of that bill. These, of course, will be the subject of a separate article; but what he writes to draw public attention to is no matter of detail, but that while the great principle. Ireland continues in her present condition, attention to Ireland— Members must grapple with whom are Revolutionary, to give her with numerous new centres of agitation cannot fail to keep on the cause of Jacobinism.

I see no safeguards being used, and he writes to have that his name should not be signed to the paper. I think, always, always attacked to papers in the C.R., I would probably write under the name of "An Old Liberal."

I will now send on to you by telling you how far your early conclusions whether the paper would suit the C.R. I (of the paper would suit it) by returning it, if not, by announcing to me without delay of delay, again very truly,

Aubrey de Vere.

The Editor of the Contemporary Review.
Dear Sir,

The enclosed, respecting Pope Celestine, whose career is recognized, alike by friends and foe, to constitute one of the chief turning points of Medieval History, was written by me this evening. I trust it would constitute a fit contribution to the "Contemporary Review," and, were it not for the three or four weeks' wait here before it shall be ready to place it at your disposal, should such an acceptable offer be made, you will oblige.
If the poem should be published, it should be sent to me for more careful correction, before being forwarded to the printer. The introductory prose should be omitted if necessary, though it may prove of use.

Me. S. Gray, London.

Please let me know that you have received the enclosed letter with which I send you the notes and the proof of the poem. I am yours sincerely,

Ansonclevere.
Pray be good enough to let me know whether the enclosed has reached you safely, and by what date you expect the writing to come - directly to my brother.

Carragh Chase,
Adare, Ireland.
Oct 22 1884.

Dear Sir,

My brother, Sir Stephen de Veres, has asked me to make arrangements for the publication of an Essay which he has just written, in one of our first-class periodicals. I should be glad if it could appear in the one edited by you, if suitable to it. My brother represented this county (Stradbally) during several Parliaments at a time, when Irish members of Parliament were

...without themselves in the political question of permanent and universal...
merely local & temporary interest.

and his long experience as a live

jurist, he has given him access

to large sources of information res-

pecting the question which he has dis-

cussed in the enclosed paper.

If you should find that paper

suited for publication, could you

therefore forward a proof

of it (two copies) to mine addressed to

Sir Stephen E. de Vere Plant,

Monar, Boyle, Ireland.

In case you think not suitable it,

you would greatly oblige me if you

would kindly return the MS. to

me with the same address.

I have the honour to remain

Yours truly,

Audrey de Vere

To the Editor of the Contemporary Review
Carragh Chase
Aderne
Nov. 13, 1884

Dear Sir,

I should be sorry to hurry in any degree your decision as to the publication or non-publication of the essay on Walter by my brother, Sir Stephen Beers, which I sent you some time ago, thinking that you might regard it as suitable to the "Contemporary Review." In case however you should not wish to publish it, you will much oblige me by letting me have your decision. In any case I should be glad of your opinion. I have reached you safely.

Yours truly,

Audrey de Vere

The Editor of the Contemporary Review
Dear Sir,

It has struck me that the enhanced
home might be acceptable as a
contribution to the "contemporary" W.A.G.
As its theme, and the relations of
scientific discovery to religion,
is at present attracting much
attention. Would you publish it with
your usual care? If you should
not accept it, may I beg of
you to let me have back the U.S.
without useless loss of time.

Yours truly,

Aubrey de Vere

The Editor of the Country Diary.
Corkagh Chase
Adare, Ireland
May 26, 1889

My dear Sir,

I return to you the poem on Copernicus. It only reached me yesterday, though dated the 17th. It ought to have reached me six days earlier, if forwarded when dated.

I thought on reading the poem in proof your suggestion about the title, as an excellent, & have acted on it, as you wish.

The poem seems to me strengthened by the suggestions. You will send me a copy of the no. containing it. I am glad that you like the poem.

I should hope that the theme however little I may have done justice to it, may interest thoughtful reader.

Yours very truly,

Audrey Dobie

The Editor of the Contemporary Review.
16 March 82

Dear Sir,

I send back to Mr. Selden the proofs corrected. I have rep. send them.

As you probably know a Division took place in our Parliament on the foreign question. For this reason I have written a short Postscriptum and enclose it to you.
If you think it can
relevant, you may
add it to the article
as a P.S. or as a
note at page 17.
You will leave it
out, if you do not
want it. The article
was written before
the conclusion which
has not given new
light, and has
not substantially
changed matters.

I write the Publishers
thinking you could
possibly want a word
on the last events.

Believe me,
yours truly,
P. Nilan.

Vallani
My dear Sir,

I received the twenty quire you sent me for the article in the Cambridge and your kind letter. I thank you very much for all. When you see Prof. Bryce, remember me to his successor with kindest respect.

Believe me, dear Sir, 
your duty,

P. Villars

I enclose a note that my wife sends to you.
93, Via Pitti
1st May 1883

Dear Sir,

Is there any chance of an opening in your magazine for a paper of mine on one of the way objects of Venetian life, a description of little visited islands, references to local literature & legends? The MS. is entitled "Summer on the Lagoon." I of course I do not...
venture to send it for inspection before hearing from you on that subject, at least, may not be unsuitable.

With compliments, believing in the merit of Traly

Linda Villari

Post script
Dear Sir,

I should feel extremely obliged if you could favour me with an early copy of the article on Italy and France which I understand is to appear in the next number of the contemporary series, and of which I am anxious of publishing an extract in the Journal des Débats.

Hoping you may find it convenient to post this request, and trusting you will oblige,

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

London, July 27, 1891

42 Markham Sq.

S. W.
My dear Sir,

I am much flattered at your asking me to contribute any article to the "Contemporary Review." I was only able to comply with your request last winter, but my health has been so far from good during the last 2 years that almost pre...
A of Commons is to recover its character in the estima-
tion of the public. If -
reforming its procedure as first by ad-
mitting of any impediment of its members, obstacles -
by all public business -
defeating any measure of public advantage -
The constitution -
committees are little cal-
accelerat to dispose
in conference in the
inquiries formed or the
are with the view to
partisan purpose of
the particular interest of
the members who compose
you indeed pour
one charge that is obvi-
measures have not
but through their fail
steps or when inquiries are not complete, at
the end of one session,
that they should be
proceeded with, in the
following session, com-
pletely, at the point
which they had already
advanced. It was
wished that more time
had been spent on
labour, might have
resulted, by better
retrospect, in these 3 other
sessions.
Respectfully,—Should it be
Stewart continue to
open, I should judge
from what he has fallen
from what his talk
from what was different
in the present procedure
of Parliament,—Rowros
as far as a very large help

...no one but it is
easy to induce to
accept. Because it was
that I was not the power
have not the power
or the time to render
any return in the
matter myself.

...the same for the
complaint of pro-
posing it to me.
I remain yours truly,

C. P. Villiers

[Signature]

[Note: The handwriting is legible enough to be transcribed accurately.]
8. Ebury Street.
S.W.

Oct 16. 91.

My dear Brunton,

I am afraid
I cannot manage
Saturday as I shall
be out of town. I
will let you know
when I shall be
back, but very thin
in England is very
short & I leave again for India soon.
I am very sorry to hear you have been unwell, I hope the chance abroad has done you good.
I had a letter from Mr. Patton in which he said something about my objecting £100 into the
Contemporary. I should be very glad to do that or even more if it will assist in any way. Will you please let me know how the matter stands. Whether £100 will be of any service, I suppose the competition between the magazines is very severe, but your
certainly manage not only to break up but to improve your standard of excellence. The court is to my mind is now quite the best of the monthly evening.

Yours sincerely,

Claude Vincent
Madras
Sept 13/86

My dear Bruning,

I feared I was

scarcely doing the

subject justice when

I wrote on the "Life

of Thought in India," but

as an official, especially

as one who does not hold

the official official view.
on many points. I felt
my view very hampered
as well.
I think I will give you a
readable article if I
knew I could put a
"con-" to it or that it
wld be published
without a license.
Let me hear from you
about this.
I am glad that Pearl
buff is replying to us.
Samuel Smith. Washington
by day is sure to be
worth reading. He is
leaving us in a month
or so. I have not seen
much of him since my
return. He is not easy
to get on with officially,
or even on the best of
terms.
So poor Erritt has come
mad. Did you know
him? a very pleasant?
I hear fellow. Was it Harris was succeeded him, I hear?

With kind regards to

Mrs. Bruce

Claude Bruce

Percy Bruce 24