Dec. 24th, 1840

To Mr. Bunting,

I cannot accept your kind proposal. I have not the time, energy, even to complete a philological paper that I have had for the materials, ready for the last 6 weeks.

Thus I am your humble servant,

The compliments of the season,

Yours truly,

Walter

F. W. Walker
2/1853

Fenton's Hotel
St. James's St.
London 21 Jan.

Dear Sir,

I am sorry I cannot undertake the article you request, partly because I have not been in Russia for the last few years and partly because I have not time at present for work of the kind. Mr. Lister, too, I believe, has devoted considerable attention to the history of Russian literature and has published a series of studies on the subject in Russian's magazine but I am not competent to speak of his capacities for the task because I have never seen him and have never read anything he has written.

Later in the autumn I intend returning to Constantinople and perhaps in the course of the winter I may learn of two articles on Turkish subjects. If you desire anything of the sort, it might be well that we should have
a talk on the subject. In all probability I shall be in London till the end of the year.

Yours truly,

Mackenzie Woodley

Byrd
19 The Hayne, Wobington
26 March 1871

Dear Mr. Huntington,

I am much obliged by your letter informing me that you have decided to publish Mr. Morley's
article. On the whole, I am not sorry, and I am glad to know the opinion you are kind enough to express, without the position of King's College in the matter.

Believe me

Henry Wace.

Henry W. Benting Esq.
Charlottenburg near Berlin, Sept. 12th 1868.

My dear Sir,

Quite a very long time you did not hear from the author of the "English military power and the Franco-German frontier" (Contemporary Review April 1883 and July 1887).

Today I ask you if you will publish in your journal: "The strategic route from England to India via Suez; and the strategic route from England to India via Canada."

These two articles, each of them not filling one sheet of the Contemporay, are accepted from the "Saville Moniteur." The first article will be published in this Journal December next. Dr. Julius Rodenberg, the well-known editor of it has
convenient, that the Contemporary
Papers of January 1891 be publish-
by articles which is of highest
actual interest for the English.
if you will have it I shall send
you the corrected sheet in the
last days of November. The other
article comes out in the first quarter
1891. The first article brings the military
dispatch and reports of Stratton, alias
Cleopatra, Luzo, Sei, Aiden
Kronberg, In the other article
you find Halpin, Quebec, Mont-
real, Winnipeg, Vancouver
Victoria, Specimen It, Hong
Kong, Singapore, Ceylon etc.
I beg you to accept me very warm
and to make me your offer for the
article. I remain faithfully yours
O. W. Davis
Major
Postkarte. — Carte postale.

Deutschland. — Allemagne.

Postenpostverein. — Union postale universelle.
Charlottenburg near Berlin.
My Dear Sir!

I have just finished an article for the Universum/Gazet journal in Dresden on the importance of Egypt, especially in a military consideration. The article can be published the first of September 87. Please answer immediately if you will accept it. The article does not fill one sheet, perhaps 14 or 15 pages.

I expect the July Conture poring in Berlin and 25 separate prints of my article (the Franco German etc.) for sending to my friends. Believe me very truly,

Yours,

[Signature]
Charlottenburg near Berlin
3. XI, 41

Dear Sir!

As soon as I got your last letter, I have thought over the matter that interested you and conferred with friends of great influence here and by correspondence with Mr. Bismarck, too. Although Mr. Bismarck has given his opinion in the press on the political constellation in Europe — that is not to draw any parallel between him and you. Mr. Bismarck will not likely at the present moment give his opinion on the political situation of Europe. He has to do other things now, for he studies earnestly the history and that Germany is going to settle with Austria, and I need not tell you that as patriot he must be an enemy of all thing.
That shall help only the industry and
destroys the agriculture. It will
interest you that the private has
not told (as one said) he would not
Go to the German Restorations.
I am glad to learn by your lines
that you have interest in the opinion
of P. R. about state affairs. I
will keep that in mind with
future for I have had connection
only there in Germany and such like.
Would you like to get for the
Contrary, addition one or some
of the following articles:
1. Ristea. 2. The importance
of the Bosphorus and the Dardanelles.
3. The current - strategic - role
of the Russians in the Black Sea,
4. The military importance of
Egypt and the Red Sea. 5. The strategic
importance of Creta, Rhodes.

6. The strategic importance of
Marcos (Strait of Gibraltar)
7. The strategic importance of
The Japanese Sea. Or would
you prefer to get sometimes
a political sketch (as before
by Mr. Jefferson)?
If you wish that I become more
accurate of the matter, please
let me know, what
monsters you can give me
I write now for the great
American papers too.
Believe me Truly

C. Bleeps
Postkarte – Weltpostverein
Carte postale – Union postale universelle

Postamt Charlottenburg 2
8.9.11

[Handwritten text]

Party Sir, Bunting, Esq.,
Editor of Contemporary Review
11. Old Square
Lincoln's Inn
London, W.C.
Charlothenburg near Berlin 30. XI. 01

Dear Sir,

You will get the wished for article. The strategical importance of Egypt and the Red Sea's early enough to bring it in the Contemporery Review.

February 1892

Believe me yours very truly,

O. W. Meiss.
Charlottenburg near Berlin  
Jan. 15, 1842.

Dear Sir:  

I sent Dec. 26th a registered package  
the article in Egypt and  
the Red Sea, in their strategy, rains,  
where and where. As I am known in  
political and military circles both in  
England and Germany (I write also for  
American journals) I signed with my  
name.  

If necessary, please send me the proof  
prints for correction. You will oblige me  
if you will send me a number of separate  
prints of my article for distribution  
among my friends in America, Canada,  
Germany, Switzerland, etc.  

Yours very truly,  

Otto Hauer  

Very William Reesing, Esq.
March 11, 1893

Dear Sir,

I fear I cannot comply with your request for an article in the Contemporary Review. It is quite contrary to the rules of our service to write, while serving as ambassador, in a foreign or indeed in a French review. For serious reasons, it would be quite impossible for me at present to express publicly opinions on French or English affairs. In future years, when I shall no longer be in active politics, it may be otherwise. Believe me, with many thanks for your kind offer,

Yours truly,

Washington
Sir,

I have taken the liberty of forwarding the information for your consideration on the subject of Mr. Rutter's recent negotiations in the Persians on behalf of Finland. Mr. Rutter is expected to arrive in this country as soon as possible after due examination, where needed. On one of our leading
Magazine, and after careful consideration it has been decided to submit it to you. The author of Treatise is indeed somewhat heavy and after the manner of a legal treatise. The nature of the subject is at present in haven or oyster and the learned Staladder can and must answer that

The reader and thinker of England should see their mind. If you approve it, I am quite ready to give any needful alterations or Tracker to it or correct the proofs. I am fully prepared to deal with it only as will be approached to you self the approval to you self the name of the author under whose name it comes. Unfortunately, this cannot

By the eye of the
Roman authorities. 15th
Apologies for that de-

Aye. I am
your obedient

Ebenhoze Wade

Asst Librarian
4th February 1889

Dear Sir,

I am aware that, except as by special indulgence, an appeal such as I am about to make to you is rarely entertained by the Editor of a Review. I must ask you to peruse the enclosed slips of paper, and if it be not altogether against

5. Salisbury Villas,
Cambridge.
against our rules, to add the matter, as a postscript, to the next number for issue.

Although the imputation of unfairness to Lord Elgin might, any letter to your is accused in assault, is not directly attributed to me, I cannot avoid feeling uncomfortable at the thought that unfairness will hardly fail to be attributed to his lordship.

It is necessary to correct an error in the last number in the case, and that the change should be based upon a story told by me. With many apologies for the Clumbee I am giving you. I am yours,

Faithfully yours,

The Editor of the Contemporary Review.

Wade.
14th Feb 1869

My dear Sir,

I am greatly obliged by your attention to my appeal to you. I note
Weich's suggestion, with
one or two trifling
changes, Weich do not
speak its volume, with
tuffeie, I cannot doubt,
to remove the chance of
misapprehension against
Weich.
that he had not a claim to the position assigned to Lawrenceship, and I have taken the liberty of altering your text accordingly.

Sir D. Bruce did not get his D.L.B. till 1862.

I return your MS. and am with renewed acknowledgments.

I am truly yours,

J. Wade.
Cambridge
21st Oct 1894

Dear Sir Bunting,

I have just telegraphed to

"Certain acceptance of

"correction" never intended

reflection in "compiler"

\"accentuated

\"he latter clause on

\"message. do I observed

\"from, from but editor,

\"and to Mr. Spender by

\"self, I had been truly amazed

\"that he had extracted

\"from such a discurtive

out\"
outpouring as I had inflicted on him, a subject with which he has
formerly unfamiliars. It is apparently left with his share of the book that
I am dissatisfied, but my own, as I remarked to the Sub-Editor, does appear to
be. the more I look at it a most decent performance. and I learned have been
much relieved had I
been ever war to its
entire suffocation. The
Skirmish with, the question
for it is thus that I
consider the note of my
interview, has beyond
doubt fitted me for a
closer engagement with
it, and in the course
of November I could have
prepared an article of
this. I hope I have had a better opinion than
of the paper how about it
appear. However, the public
may
May indulge some
more favorable estimate
of its merits, and except a
discerned few from circum-
stances commit to a more
continuous consideration
of the struggle, like as likely
as not have forgotten all
comments of the daily or
monthly reviewers alike.
Many apologies for to lay
a letter. Believe me

[Signature]

O. Brodhead, Esq.
21st July 1888

Dear Sir,

If you could find space for it, I should wish to send you for the September number of the Contemporary Review an article of 12 or 14 pages on the Statistics of the Irish Land Commission.

The main object of the article would be twofold : (a) to state fully, as I consider it has never yet been stated, the result of the working of the Land Act of 1881, as shown by the decisions of
The tribunals constituted under that Act; and (b) to suggest the outline of a simple measure that might be passed in the autumn session, with a view of bringing Ireland through the coming winter as tranquilly as may be.

As regards the statement of the results of the decisions in the Court, I should be slightly, but I hope not unpleasantly, controversial.

The article in the June number, written in reply to Mr. Davitt, contains several very bad blunders.

If the project is feasible I could write this article within the next week or ten days, and let you have it, at latest, on Monday the 6th of August.

I am, Dear Sir,

Faithfully yours,

+ William Walsh
Archbishop of Dublin.
19th May, 1889

Dear Sir Huntington,

I am afraid I may have embarrassed you in what I have done.

The work has grown under my hands, so that I am afraid the article will be not far short of 20 pages.

The line I have taken is to mention my recent advocacy of the principle of arbitration.
then to refer to the misleading
done by so many newspapers
in parading this as an indication
that the tenants are beaten
and that I am simply
trying to help them out of
a difficulty.

The answer to this is to set
forth, step by step,
the various proposals made
for the adoption of conciliatory
and amicable methods, time
after time for the last two years.

Thus, you will see, makes a
strong case, not only as bearing
upon the particular point,
but also as showing up the
general incapacity and
unreasonableness of the attitude
persistently maintained
by the landlord's party here.

I have strong testimony
from their own leading
organ denouncing them two
years ago for not responding
to my pacific overtures.

Then comes the history of the
movement on one particular
estate, that of the "Toolepenny" case, that of the "Costigreeny"
estate which is in this discussion.
which, as it happens, is the next on the list for the "Plantation" scheme of the new landlord organisation.

I am confident that the statement of the reasonable proposals made last year by me to the landlord, and summarily rejected by him, will have a powerful effect in checking this most mischievous scheme.

Fortunately too, my proposals (which were rejected) went altogether on the line of following the decisions of the Land
Commission Court. This will direct very special attention to the case, as Mr. T. W. Russell's main contention is that the tendency of the arbitration proposals is to discredit the Court.

I feel so strongly the importance of the case now that I have written it out, that I will ask...
Darwin

you to make shift by any possible means to publish it.

If these be no other way of arranging it, and that the publishers would object to the space of expense to the printing of the extra ten pages, I shall take it as a great favour if you allow me personally to bear the cost of that.

But I dare say you can arrange it somehow.

As I have an unfortunate habit of losing the proof as a sort of rough copy, I have sent all to my printer here. I shall revise the proof carefully to-morrow (Monday) so that you will have a clean printed copy on or after Tuesday morning. I shall send it to...
Endsleigh Gardens. I do not hear to the contrary. If I am to send it to 56 Ludgate Hill, kindly let me know by wire to-morrow. I think the address is Mr. Nicol, 56 Ludgate Hill, London.

Dear Mr. Bunting,

most faithfully yours

+William Walsh

Archbishop of Dublin

P.S. The title will be—Arbitration or the Battering-Ram? By the Most Rev. D. Walsh, Archbishop of Dublin.
25 Grosvenor Place, S.W.
July 3rd, 1908

Dear Sir Percy Bunting,

I would gladly write you something about the Vacation School and Play Centre movement in America in respect of which I have a good deal of interesting information. But at present I am so hard-worked that I see no chance of it. By the end of next week, however, I shall know better whether I can allow myself to do it, and will then let you know.

In England the movement has been making rapid progress, and the extension of it over London is simply a question of funds. In the first twelve weeks of 1907 the attendances at 10 Centres were 134,522, and at the same Centres during the corresponding weeks of this year they were 192,171.

Of course we want funds, and at present we cannot get the County Council to help us at all! They have promised free care-taking and cleaning, which means about £20 per Centre per annum, but it is now hung up in Finance Committee, and at present we are still liable for this charge. Yet Boston, Massachusetts, has just determined by a strong vote to spend £10,000 a year on its organised i.e. supervised playgrounds, and I now hear from New York that in addition to the fine Evening Play Centres for boys and girls who have left school, which go on from 8 p.m. to 10 p.m., they are determined next winter to have them for school children from 5.30 to 7.30, and when they have once be-
gun, they will do it properly.

In writing for the Contemporary I should have to consider how it might interfere with my periodical appeals through the Times for funds. The Times has always been very kind to me in these ways, and its appeals are indispensable to us. But there is of course material enough for the two things, if one were to sort it out.

With many congratulations to you and Lady Bunting on your knighthood,

Believe me,

yours sincerely

Mary A. Ward.
Feb 23/93

Dear Mr. Bunting,

I return the article into many thanks for your kindness in reconsidering it—my father's style is a plain simple one, but I venture to think that in this case the simplicity of the treatment make the story hold the more. Look at the Curian account of the doctor's spiritual confirmation on the field of
Ruminated after the struggle
with the King, 2 of the analogy
of John's Morris with his
the paper ends.

My father has been a second
and most unworthy student
all his life, with little public
recognition of any kind. It
would be a real pleasure
both to him & me to see this
little bit of work in a publ
plan.

Why don't you get S. Martineu
to write you a few pages on
Harnack's pamphlet on
The Apostles Creed ?

Mary A. Ward
Feb 19. 1893.

Dear Mr. Babington,

The material of my father's article is quite new. The track which was only printed last month for the first time, a Mr. Nash was a Lyte would not let my father print anything about it till the appearance of the Rolls volume, as he thought it must be so intensely...
I have only come and have to leave quite suddenly.

From very truly,

Mary A. Ward
March 22/95.

STOCKS,
TRING.

Dear Mr. Hunting,

I really cannot for the present make up my mind about the Copyright Bill at all. I think it will be best to wait and see what happens. I am beginning to lose heart about its possible effect upon the American Act, and I am not at all sure that it is of any real advantage to our authors. The rest of a grievance is...
don't, but let I think a
very tenei, one.
However, as I read, I am
satisfied, I will wait a
bit. Many thanks, however
for giving me the opportunity
of speech.

Yours very truly,

Mary A. Ward
March 11, 1945.

Dear Mr. Winning,

I really do not think I could fill two or three pages about the Canadian Copyright question, though I feel strongly! The argument really lies in a nutshell.

I have been doing what I can behind the scenes, but must I think leave it alone for the present. And if I write anything
it must I think be in the form of a letter to the Times. But many thanks to you for your kind proposal and the same.

Yours very truly,

Mary A. Ward
June 4, 1893

Stocks

Trin.

Dear W. Hunting,

Your cheque for the "King John" paper has just reached me here, and I have sent it on to my father. Meanwhile many thanks — I am so glad you have liked "The Nutcracker". Next year, if I live and thrive, will you have a review from me of Weigärtner's "Apostolische Zeitschrifte"?
just being translated—in consequence I think of a very strong memorial presented to the Hibbert Trustees, by various Oxford & Scotch Professors—I should be ready next year.

I think I could make you an interesting paper on it someday, all very rich.

Your very truly,

Mary A. Ward
My dear Sir,

Mrs Ward asks me to answer your kind note to her. She is very much disappointed she cannot do what I ask, but she has absolutely given up writing for periods.
In the present, as she is engaged upon a new life, which takes all her time.

Humbly yours,

Humphrey Ward

P. Rumsey