1. Peter Lombard, Sentences, lib. 1, portions of distinctions 34, 35, 39, and 40
   see Migne, vol. 192, cols. 629-632 (frg. 9)
   coll. 615-618, 630-633 (frg. 42)

2. Of the two fragments, fragment 42 probably preserves a better text. Though written
   with a certain degree of carelessness, as evidenced by a few omissions (later rectified)
   and what appear to be mistakes in transcription, it was gone over by an early
   corrector. The text of fragment 9 contains certain trivial and gratuitous
   additions, deletions, and alterations, which probably bespeak either a faulty
   exemplar or a faulty scribe. Both texts are, however, closely related and
   usually agree with each other when they differ from Migne's text or that of
   the early printed editions. One or two readings seem manifestly more au-
   thentic than Migne's. If manuscripts of the Sentences were less common,
   both fragments would probably be of service in preparing an edition, as long
   as fragment 9 were used with caution.

3. Both fragments present the text in a primitive form, that is, before it was
   separated into distinctiones and encumbered with commentaries (beginning
   in the 1240's). Both agree in beginning a major chapter with "Predesti-
   natorum nullus videtur..." instead of "Predestinato vero..." a few lines
   earlier, which later (in the 1240's) became common as the beginning. The
   distinctio-numbers, added later (say, late 13th century), show distinctio 40
   beginning at "Predestinatorum" in fragment 9 and at "Predestinatio" in
   fragment 42, perhaps indicating the manuscripts were in different locales
   when the numbers were added.

4. Fragment 9: Written in France, possibly Paris, early 13th century; protothic
   minuscule, two columns of text of 53 ll., 327 x 246 mm. (231 x 152 mm), prickings
   in both margins; the two sets of prickings are mirror images of each other, and each
   set seems to have been made in one operation; from a manuscript of at least 114 ff.
   and perhaps containing other works besides the Sentences.

Fragment 42: Written in France, probably not in Paris, latter 12th century; protothic
   minuscule written hastily, two columns of text of approx. 44 ll.; text area 195 x 112 mm,
   prickings in both margins; from a manuscript of at least 145 ff.; if the
   Sentences began on fol. 1, our fragment represents ff. 32 and 37.