and a half wide.

a. Did you measure it?

I did not, but I estimated it. It was evident.

For that reason I judge it was made after death.

b. What was your impression as a physician at the time you saw those marks?

I think the mark was made by resting against some square piece of wood of about that width. That was my impression. I think I stated it at that time.

c. If you were present were you during the Coroner's investigation of this body?

I was.

d. (Calling witness' attention to the whip) Did you see this instrument at that time?

I think that was produced before the Coroner's jury by Stephen Reed—I believe his name was.
...
Was there any testimony before the Coroners Jury that this whip or shaf had been used upon the body of this or any other Convict?

And think Stephen Reed testified that he applied this fifty or six times to the back and legs of Gus Reid.

2. Naked?

Naked, with his shirt drawn over his head, and his pants pulled down, I believe he testified to that effect.

2. Did he state that he prepared that lash in any manner; if so, what sort?

He said he soaked it in water to make it pliable, and was there evidence of its use, in that investigation upon an other Convict by the name of Harris - that it had been used?
presumed was and would remain unremained unt until wa.
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I think that Stephen Reid testified that he had used it on Harris—I forget the first name, but it was Convict Harris.

If you had known the facts which you had learned in the investigations from the masons which you saw on the body of the convict, upon which you had a post mortem examination—Reid, I ask you as a physician, if you had known this document had been used, if you would have thought what caused these marks?

If I had known that this whip had been used, I would say that five or six of those marks were produced by this flag whip, but that that mark on the thigh was not produced by it. I speak of
the one that was distinctly marked and evident. I will give my reasons. If inflicted before death that would have been the other marks usually a little fullness, rather. If after death, the mark would be retained there and remain permanently, just as it had been made by the pressure.

If you had seen those marks for forty-eight hours on the body of that convict at different times, and then you had known that this chap was used as a means of punishment in the prison, would it probably have forced itself upon your conviction as a physician that these marks were caused by the use of this chap?
The text on the image is not legible due to the handwriting style. It appears to be a page with handwritten notes. Without clearer visibility, it is challenging to provide a meaningful transcription.
Shop had been used & should certainly have said that five or six of those marks on the back or hips of the convicts were produced by that shop.

2. During that investigation or during the post mortem examination, did you hear any conversation by the officers of this prison or either the doctor or his assistant as to the existence or none of this shop. I mean previous to the post mortem examination?

I did not.

Do there any other matters as foreman and a member of the coroner's jury, of which you have any knowledge? I impress on which you now desire to state to the Board of Commissioners for the benefit of this investigation. If so, state
I have read and studied several principles of law and go into a new field. Data on head at our disposal has been classified at the Currumbin Wildlife Sanctuary. Much thought has been given to the welfare of wildlife and how to achieve this without creating unnecessary problems. Much work has been done and more is needed to achieve the desired results. It is clear that achieving the desired outcome may involve more than just wildlife conservation. I oppose the expansion of the Currumbin Wildlife Sanctuary.
them fully?
I don't know of any
matters at all further
than those states.

To H. McCready,
being recalled, testifies as
follows:

I desire to state to the Board
of Commissioners that the
reason I don't know any
more about the character
of the marks on the body
externally is, that while
making the external exa-
mination, I did the writing
in the case, taking down
the notes as Dr. Ongard
reported them to me, he ma-
king the external exami-
nation, and what I saw
was only casually. I did
not examine particularly
regard to the marks. I was
[Handwritten text in cursive, illegible and difficult to transcribe accurately.]

There appears to be no clear, legible content that can be transcribed naturally from the image provided.
writing the result of his investigation at that time, and did not make any special examination myself of the external marks.

John C. Lang

being duly sworn, testifies as follows:

Examination by
Commissioner A. M. Jones.

1. What is your name?

2. Were you ever connected with this institution as an employee or officer?
   a. Yes, Sir.

3. Please state at what time and in what capacity?

   I was employed here from July 1870 until October 1874 in different capacities — as guard, keeper, deputy ward