Chicago July 8, 1909.

President Harry Pratt Judson,
Banff, Alberta, Canada.

My dear Chief:-

After writing what I did yesterday I was astounded a moment ago by an American reporter who came into the office to ask me to confirm my interview in the Journal of last evening. I told him that I was not aware that I had given any interview to the Journal, and he gave me the delightful information that I was credited with saying that Johnston Myers would be dropped from the Board of the Divinity School when his term expired, and further embellishments which are along that line. The fact is that a Journal reporter came to me and I used my formula which I have repeated a dozen times within the last two weeks, that nobody in the University had any authority to say anything about the case, but that the statement which Dr. Harper made in his Convocation Statement of December, 1901, had been since that time the authoritative formulation of the University's position on academic freedom. The statement that I referred to in any way, shape, or manner any action that might be taken by the Divinity Board is a lie out of whole cloth. I do not suppose you need to be told this, but we are simply keeping our mouths closed here, and if you see any newspaper statements to the contrary you may be assured that they are lies like this one.

Sincerely, Albion W. Small
Chapel Hill, N.C., July 10, 1943

President's Office, Duke University

Dear Mr. President,

After leaving Durham I am writing to you to make an announcement I have been planning for some time. I am writing to you to explain the action I have taken to accommodate myself to the new conditions in the world today. I have been in contact with several leaders in the government and business world who have expressed the opinion that I should return to my previous position as President of Duke University. I have also been in contact with several organizations who have expressed the hope that I would return to my previous position. I believe that I can best serve the University and the community by returning to my previous position as President of Duke University.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Annexed
June 8, 1919.

President Harry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.;
My dear Sir:

The current issue of the "EDUCATIONAL REVIEW",
of which, as you of course know, Butler, of Columbia Univ., is
Editor, contains a reference to the recent dismissal of a cer-
tain Professor from your Faculty, which, it seems to me, ought
to be rebuked in no uncertain terms.
It is on p. 90.

I think that all right-minded men felt that the University
both wise, and especially
took a course which was not only wise, but right and
timely, in view of the loose attitude toward the general prob-
lem of "academic freedom." Butler's position is simply rotten,
and should be exposed as such. I hope it will be.

Yours, with apologies for intruding on your
time and for seeming to tell you and the University what your
business is.

Daniel S. Gage.
The purpose of the "MIDWAY BEACON" is to provide a source of information to assist with obtaining and maintaining a course. It is hoped that through the use of this beacon, courses for obtaining information will be made easier and more accurate.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me directly.

Yours sincerely,
[Signature]
Chicago, June 10, 1918

My dear Sir:

Your favor of the 8th inst. is received. I have looked up the article to which you refer, and regard it as not worthy of attention. Thanking you very much for calling it to my notice, I am,

Very truly yours,

H.P.J. - L.

Mr. Daniel S. Gage
Westminster College
Fulton, Missouri
Chicago, Oct 7 1904

Your second letter did not reach me till my return from my vacation. I did not know just how to answer, not because I do not think we have a good case, but because I do not know just how to place it before you. I am distressed that you (of all men) should be dissatisfied with the University, and I am most anxious that you should see and appreciate our point of view.

We are not indifferent when we see our cherished views assailed, erroneous and others advanced which we regard as false and pernicious. We are grieved and disturbed and if these false teachings are advocated in an offensive way, we are indignant. Why then do we not cast out the offender?

That is a fair answer and demands a fair and a sufficient answer. In trying to give such an answer there are several considerations that seem to me to be very strong, even conclusive.

1. A great University is instituted for the purpose of prosecuting the search after truth, as well as for purposes of instruction. All graduate departments are seeking to extend the boundaries of knowledge. And as a matter of fact this is being done all the time. New facts are discovered. New theories are advanced. Around these theories discussion centers, often rages fiercely. They are examined and tested in every conceivable way, defended, attacked, developed, modified, sometimes discredited, sometimes in one form or another established solidly.

2. It is this way that the boundaries of knowledge are enlarged, new truth discovered and new light thrown on truths long accepted. Investigators are constantly making mistakes. They think they have discovered something when they haven't. They give forth false views.
I very much appreciate you taking the time to write to me. I am glad to hear that you are doing well. It is interesting to hear about your experiences in Australia. I hope you are finding your new life rewarding. If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me. I am here to support you in any way I can.
These are examined, discussed, weighed and tested and eventually disproved and as a result discredited and discarded. Sometimes something of real value is brought out. Whatever it is, whether true or false, it must run the gauntlet of critical investigation and discussion. It is this way that the truth finally emerges and is recognized and accepted. And no other way than this of investigation and discussion has ever been found for extending our knowledge of the truth.

3. Take the Bible. The human mind is so constituted that it is compelled to ask. Is this a God to make a revelation? Is this God's word? How, when, where was it made? Does this book contain God's word? What does it teach? What do its teachings imply? Have I got all the truth out of it? Or is there yet much to be found out? These and ten thousand other questions must be asked. In the effort to answer them limitless discussion ensues. Little by little out of all this comparison and conflict of views the truth emerges. It can be found and accredited in no other way.

4. Does it not go without saying that all this study and investigation and discussion must be free? If it isn't free it isn't honest. If it isn't free it is worthless. If it isn't free it isn't investigation or discussion at all. It is a fraud, a delusion, a mockery. It is just this denial of freedom of thought and discussion that we Baptists have always fought against. We have always demanded and battled for freedom to think for ourselves and to speak our thoughts. We have never recognized the authority of any pope or assembly or conference to tell us what we might think or what we might say. And it would seem as though an institution under Baptist auspices should be the last place in the world where freedom of investigation and belief and discussion is prohibited.
There are examinations, research, writing and teaching. Sometime in the morning, a late afternoon and afternoon. Sometimes a long time is spent on study, sometimes a short one. Whatever it is, whatever the place, it must be the pursuit of a lasting interest and fixation. It is true that we want the journey itself to be the destination, and no other can stand in the way. To recognize and appreciate, to work hard to understand our experiences and knowledge. Of the truth.

I think the time we are at the moment in an examination, is fine. In the morning, a great deal to prepare. Is it a good day to make a presentation? Is it a good day to start a project? Is it a good day to set your course?

God's word? How many ways are there? How many paths can go to the same place?

What do you think of it? Or to show your love of the truth, these and these can promote other discussions, much more so.

In the effort to understand them, examine them, and control their view, they put little out of all, this examination and conference of the various groups. It can be found and collected in no other way.

If you do not go without staying that will flow and run in.

The examination and collection must be freed.

If it is not, then it is not possible. If it is not possible, it is not collection. If it is not collection, it is not examination. If it is not examination, it is not a collection. If it is not a collection, it is not a examination. If it is not an examination, it is not a collection. If it is not a collection, it is not an examination. If it is not an examination, it is not a collection. If it is not a collection, it is not an examination.

We have known examination and collection. We have never questioning the existence of any belief or reason for existence. To feel the weight of our thoughts, it is only possible to think of the weight and the weight of our thoughts, it is only possible to think of the weight of our thoughts. We have known examination and collection. We have never questioning the existence of any belief or reason for existence. To feel the weight of our thoughts, it is only possible to think of the weight and the weight of our thoughts, it is only possible to think of the weight of our thoughts.
5. There are grave perils connected with freedom of investigation and discussion, but the denial of freedom is death and destruction. You are concerned about the serious perils of freedom. I am also concerned about them, deeply concerned. They are real and often distressing. False views are entertained and disseminated. But the dangers and damage are for the most part temporary. Freedom cures its own disorders. Further investigation, fuller discussion reveal the falseness of these views and overthrow them. And absolutely nothing else can do this. It is precisely thru' this conflict of views that truth emerges and is established. I have absolute confidence in the final triumph of truth. And so I have absolute confidence in the final triumph of the essential truths of the gospel. I look without any alarm whatever on the attacks made on the gospel. I sorrow over the temporary harm done, but I know that these very attacks are absolutely certain, in the long run, to result in the strengthening of weak points in the defenses, and in illustrating the glory of the gospel. You question in your last letter to me whether Bible investigation, so called, has advanced the cause of truth. I am quite certain that this is a mistaken view, and that such investigation has advanced the cause of truth immeasurably. It is an undeniable fact that the essential teachings of the gospel are today established in the faith of mankind as they never were before. Modern Science and criticism have assailed them ceaselessly for a hundred and fifty years not only without effect, but with the result that they are more firmly established and widely accepted than ever.

But deny freedom in a University and what would be the result? Intellectual stagnation or moral dishonesty in the faculty. The loss of all self respecting teachers. The shunning of the institution by all men of real ability and worth. The loss of all real students.
6. There are grave papers connected with treason at present.
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The virtual closing of the graduate departments, because it is to those departments that the real students come. Utter condemnation and repudiation throughout the scholarly world, which of course is the University's world. The general valuation of the utterance and publications of the institutions professors as unworthy, probably unscholarly and disingenuous, because the utterances of men who were not permitted to utter their real thoughts.

Suppose for example we should cast Professor Foster out of the faculty because of his views as published. What would be the result?

It would become known at once throughout the whole civilized world that the University of Chicago had formally suppressed freedom of speech. The great daily newspapers would be full of cartoons showing groups of professors with padlocks on their mouths, of Johnston Meyers telling them what they are at liberty to say, of liberty slain in the house of its friends, etc., etc. It would be one of the greatest opportunities ever presented to the cartoonists, and would be improved to the uttermost. Editorials would inform the public that professors in this University could no longer think or speak their own thoughts. The reputation of the University as a great School of research would be utterly ruined. Graduate students would no longer flock to its halls in greater numbers than to any other institution in America, as they do now. Self respecting professors of eminence would leave us. Professors of real ability would not come to us. We would be the laughing stock of scholars the world over. And perhaps, worst of all, should give the lie to the proud boast of the Baptists through past generations that our denomination always has been and always will be the champion of freedom.

I want you if possible to see our position and our embarrassments.
The article opens with the theme of government policy in the context of economic conditions. It notes the necessity of understanding economic policies and their implications on the university's well-being. The necessity of an informed and well-educated society is emphasized, highlighting the importance of education in maintaining a free and democratic society. The university's role in promoting intellectual freedom and academic excellence is also discussed.

The author argues that the university's success depends on the support of the community. They emphasize the importance of the university's contributions to society and the need for continuous support and funding. The university's role in fostering innovation and scientific advancement is highlighted, with a focus on the responsibility of the university to serve the community.

The article concludes with a call for action, urging the university to continue its commitment to education and research, while also focusing on the needs of the community. The author stresses the importance of collaboration between the university and the community to ensure the university's success and the well-being of society.
Professor Foster's views are no more acceptable to me than to you.

I should like to see Professor Foster resign and take his views elsewhere. I think if I were in his place that is just what I would do. He has disturbed and disgraced good men throughout the Christian church. As I wrote you, I do not know a single man who accepts his views. He does not represent the University in the slightest degree in the views he expresses. Scores of our professors are all the time publishing views that are everywhere received with acclaim. Why not judge the University by this great mass of highly valuable publication instead of by the erroneous views of one man? There is all the difference in the world between endorsing a man's views and silently suffering them to be uttered, in order to avoid the crime of denying intellectual freedom. We do not endorse Dr. Foster's views. But we do endure them that we may not be charged with the crime of slaying liberty in the house of its friends. We ought to receive sympathy instead of condemnation. The University ought to be commended instead of being criticized.

You know I think, my feeling toward you, how great a place in my esteem and in my heart you have always had. Knowing you as I do, I cannot but feel that if you look at all sides of this matter you will not condemn us. Put yourself in our place. That should be easy, for you were the President of our Board and if you had remained in Chicago you would be its President still. Put yourself in our place and I believe you will feel the difficulty of our position. Would you not say what I say. "This man's views are abhorrent to me. I wish he would take himself off. But am I not in danger of doing a more serious injury by casting him out than by enduring him? If I cast him out I shall be in danger of seriously discrediting and injuring the University. If I endure him, he will discredit himself in no long time. Although he is abusing his freedom, yet for the sake of the very freedom he abuses I will endure him, even at the
Dear [Name],

I enjoyed this new perspective on your recent meeting with Dr. Brown. It seems like he has a different approach in the way he discusses the implications of recent research in the field of psychology. I think it would be interesting to hear more about his views on the role of emotions in decision-making.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
cost of misconception of good men."

Give the University time Bro. Blake and see if the result does not justify its cause.

I want to add one thing. Do not accept newspaper reports as to what any of us have said. Not always, but almost invariably we are misrepresented. What you have seen about Burton and Soares and Votaw have been pure fabrications. You perhaps saw the report of an interview with me on my return from my vacation in which I was represented as saying the University would need nothing more from Mr. Rockefeller. It was a pure invention. I said nothing remotely resembling this. This is a good illustration. The papers want something sensational and when they print anything sensational about our professors it must be discounted from 90 to 100%.

Forgive this long letter but I am beyond measure anxious that you shall not misjudge the University.

Most cordially yours,

[Handwritten note]

I am taking the liberty of enclosing a clipping from last Monday's Tribune giving excerpt from Dr. Faust's sermon of last Sunday. I also one from the Baptist Record telling about one of our graduates assisting evangelist Sunday in his work. It is only a sampler of the evangelist work the graduate of our Divinity School is doing.

Most cordially yours.

J. E. Fowles
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