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January 5, 1921

President H. P. Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Judson

I have received the following letter from President Barrows of the University of California, dated Berkeley, December 24, 1920:

"I have your letter of December fourteenth. I think it inadvisable to re-recommend to the Regents at this time a continuance of our membership in the American University Union in Europe. It may be that the situation will so alter that at a later date I shall wish to do so."

I hope you will be more successful than I have been, and in the meantime I am keeping Mr. H. Fletcher's name as representative of the University of California on the list of institutional trustees at the head of our notepaper of which we have just had a new supply printed.

I am also keeping Mr. Fletcher's name on the list of trustees published in the new bulletin which is now in the press. I have omitted the University of California from the list of subscribing institutions as of December 31, 1920, also published in the bulletin. Do you think I should be justified in retaining the University of California in this list pending a reply from President Barrows to the appeal which you have doubt-
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less send to him! I expect proof of the new bulletin about the end of this week.

With all good wishes for 1921

I am

Yours very faithfully

J.W. Curleffe

JWC/NG
American University Union In Europe
(Continental Division)
I, rue de Fleurus, Paris (Vie)

LETTERS FROM AMERICAN PROFESSORS REGARDING THE
CONTINENTAL DIVISION (PARIS) OF THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY
UNION

In response to a request for criticisms and impressions of the Union, the following letters were written to the Secretary of the Board of Trustees, Professor J.W. Cunliffe, Director of the School of Journalism, Columbia University, by several American professors who had observed the Union carefully in Paris.

.................

Paris, January 7th, 1921.

Dear Sir:

Feeling that perhaps my impressions of the American University Union may be of interest to professors or students contemplating study in France, I take the liberty of sending you a brief statement of my own personal experience. Among the many concrete services rendered me personally, I may mention the following: recommendation of a clean and moderately-priced hotel conveniently located; list of pensions, furnished rooms and families taking boarders; retention and forwarding of mail; abundant information regarding the Cours de Vacances at provincial universities and the special advantages and attractions of each; names of persons with whom to exchange French-English lessons; information regarding courses and professors at Paris; introductions to French professors and opportunity to meet French people interested in American students; a rest and reading-room where one may read the daily paper in either French or English and his favorite American periodical, where one is always welcome and where he may meet other students in Paris.

These are some of the services rendered one person; hundreds of different persons with different needs have been equally well served. The Union saves the American student from loss of time, from annoyance and even discomfort, and otherwise enables him to find himself in a strange environment. I consider it indispensable now and readily forsee expanded usefulness for it in the future.
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Having been in almost daily contact with the Union during the past three months, I am most pleased to testify to the superior character of the entire personnel, their constant courtesy, their desire to serve in every possible way, and their efficiency.

Very sincerely yours,

F.H. Hankins,
Professor of Sociology, Clark University

Strasbourg, France

January 14th 1921

Dear Professor Cunliffe:

Knowing that you are much interested in the American University Union I wish to tell you how admirably I think the work of the Union is organized, and how useful and necessary a function it seems to me to be performing. I was in Paris for several weeks before coming to Strasbourg and I had occasion to inform myself in regard to it, both by direct personal observation and by connections with students. Both led to the same result, namely a lively conviction that here is an agency of great and varied utility. The testimony of students is, as far as my experience goes, uniform and enthusiastic. I saw a number of them in Paris and there are two or three here in Strasbourg who have studied in Paris. All are most appreciative of the help extended to them by the Union and all are emphatic in praise of the manner in which that help is extended. It is quite evident to me that much wisdom and excellent judgment have gone into the planning of this unique and interesting institution, and that it is being developed with a nice sense of the situation and the opportunity. It is also quite evident that the students appreciate greatly the personal interest taken by Professor Babcock and Dr. Krans. Professor Babcock has established close relations with important educational authorities in France, not an easy thing to do but one of great advantage to the Union and to the country.

If I knew of any adverse criticism to be made of the Union I should send it along to you with a view to its correction but not knowing any such I am compelled to write a note entirely laudatory and, I think, entirely merited. Anyone who has had anything to do with bringing the Union to the position
which it occupies at present is entitled to the gratitude of American students and the commendation of his own conscience.

Very cordially yours,

Charles Downer HAZEN,
Professor of History, Columbia University, and Columbia visiting professor, 1920-1921 University of Strasbourg.

Paris, December 23d 1920

Dear Professor Cunliffe:

I will not take time to expand in detail upon the very great value of the University Union to American students in France. That is a matter of facts and statistics easily accessible. What is quite as interesting is the usefulness of the institution in many ways more difficult to define.

Of course the students who has known the courtesy and culture of other countries than his own and who has learned to use another language has something to communicate to the students they will probably some day teach, something not to be put directly into words, but which will make the teacher a more stimulating influence with a wider background and more experienced imagination.

But the extraordinary wealth of the French contribution to life and progress make France a country not easy to know. And Paris is a very large city where the number and variety of institutions for learning and research renders it easy to make mistakes. It is very important that there be an institution like the American University Union to help American students to make the most of their opportunities.

One has but to mention such names as Tours, Dijon, and Toulouse to remember that France is very much larger than Paris, and that there are many fine Universities in France. These Universities in other cities than Paris will certainly be resorted to more and more by American students in the future. They give the same degree as the University of Paris, since every degree is conferred by one system of instruction. Information as to conditions and advantages at these various Universities is not always easy to obtain, unless there is an office the business of which is to have it. Very adequate information is to be had because the Union has collected it, and because the French authorities wish the Union to be as well informed as possible.
And one consideration of great consequence. As American students have but just discovered the advantages of studying in France, so the Union is only at the beginning of its work. This work is bound to increase in volume and variety, and interest. And I have been greatly struck by the loyalty and administrative capacity of those who have directed the Union's affairs.

Very faithfully yours,

Wendell T. Bush,
Associate Professor of Philosophy,
Columbia University

The University of Strasbourg,
January 4th 1921

My dear Professor Cunliffe:

When I was in Paris, during the foregoing Autumn, I paid a visit to the little library opened and kept up by the American Library Association in the Rue de l’Elysée. I have worked for some thirty-five years in the various libraries of Paris and I know all their defects only too well. The contrast between them and the American one in the Rue de l’Elysée was so great that I wrote a note to Mr. Anderson of the New York Public Library, expressing my opinion concerning the usefulness of the work done by the small American library in Paris. Mr. Anderson wrote to me in return that he was much impressed by what I had said and by my testimony; and that he had taken the liberty to show my letter to the officials of the American Library Association.

I have thought that some testimony upon my part in regard to the work done by the American University Union at the rue de Fleurus might be of value to you, and to the Board of Trustees of which you are the secretary. The city of Paris is ......the place to which young American students want to go, because of the unrivalled opportunities they have there to get into touch with the best products of French intellectual life. They are very apt to be rudderless in a very choppy sea ...... The University, with its various affiliations, has no means, such as we have in our own, of putting the new-comer under some quasi-parental guardianship as regards his University work. A French professors does not come by actual class-work into intimate relations with the members of his class. Time and time again I have found American students in Paris completely disoriented and going on with their studies in a perfectly haphazard way.
And French society is the most difficult into which to penetrate! The stranger is practically lost, in so far as concerns the real French life which he wishes to study; and fails entirely to benefit from contact with it, as he really should.

The American University Union has a great work to perform in overcoming these various difficulties; because it is just in the position to set the stranger student upon his legs; to direct him where to live; to advise him in this University work; and, by means of its connections, to bring him into closer touch with that which is best in French society.

I have had occasion to study and to see how this work is being done in the Rue de Fleurus and I am bold enough to say that it is excellent in every aspect. I wish only that your establishment had more means to place at the disposal of its office in Paris, in order that it may extend its work and even duplicate it in other University centers in France.

International amity must be cultivated while our men and our women are young.

I look to seeing an ever increasing number of our students coming to France and an ever increasing necessity for just such work as the American University Union is doing.

Believe me,

Very faithfully yours,

Richard Gottheil,
Professor of Semitic Languages,
Columbia University

........................


My dear Professor Cunliffe,

For the past few months I have been so fortunate as to have had the facilities of the American University Union placed at my disposal and almost every day I have had an opportunity to observe the character and extent of the work it is doing for American students and professors in France.

May I take the liberty, therefore, of saying to you that in my judgment it is an indispensable institution and one which ought not only to be maintained permanently but which deserves an even more generous support of American Universities, to the end that its facilities and usefulness may be enlarged to meet the increasing demands upon its resources. I have seen from day to day the throngs of students, professors and others who come to the Union for information of one kind or another, for
advice, for assistance, to work, to attend its social entertainments, and the like, and I have been deeply impressed with the services which it has been able to render in these and other connections to those who have had occasion to avail themselves of its facilities. I have seen or heard of nothing to criticise in connection with the management of the affairs of the Union, on the contrary, I have heard only the highest praise of the manner in which they are conducted and I am glad to add my own testimony of appreciation.

I have found both Mr BABCOCK and Mr KRANS most obliging and courteous, always industrious and faithful and always anxious to serve to the best of their ability the interest of those who frequent the Union or who desire its assistance. Altogether, the Union is, as I have said, an indispensable institution and with the increasing throngs of students who are now coming to France (there are actually between 300 and 400 here and the larger proportion of them have been served by it in one way or another) its value and I may say, its indispensableness, must necessarily increase correspondingly. It deserves therefore the continued and increasing support of the American Universities. There in no other institution or organisation here that could take its place, none that could render the important services that it is doing. As one who has observed almost daily for the past three months the character of the work it is doing and who has been deeply impressed with its value I consider it not only a duty but a pleasure to add this testimony of my own appreciation and to express the hope that the Union may continue to receive the most generous support of the American public in the future, as it so much deserves.

Yours very cordially,

James W. GARNER

Professor of Political Science in the University of Illinois; lecturer in the University of Paris; and Hyde lecturer in the provincial Universities of France.

..........................

Paris, January 3rd, 1920

My dear Professor Cunliffe:

During the past two years I have had exceptional opportunities to observe the work which the Union is doing in Paris. Not only have I daily availed myself of the facilities which the Union offers for my own studies, but I have noted the increasing interest in and use of the rooms at I rue de Fleurus on the part of American students. Even as I write, I observe nine of the students busily engaged at the nine desks in the room assigned to special workers. Last year this work-shop was
not so much frequented whereas now it is crowded with young men studying, reading, writing, or hammering the typewriter; and as I pass in and out, from time to time, through the large room in front, in which are placed the chairs, tables, newspapers, magazines, and library for the general reader, I notice a constantly increasing tide of young men and young women flowing to this centre, which is fast becoming one of the most popular and useful ressorts for the American students sojourning in Paris.

The information which the Union is furnishing the students coming from America is most valuable: about pensions, institutions of learning, university courses, methods of procedure in matriculating, the proper authorities to consult, professors, teachers of the French language, available cours de vacances, educational institutions outside of Paris. This phase of the services the American University Union is rendering cannot be too strongly emphasized; but there are other fields of activity in which the results achieved are hardly less important. I refer to the efforts made to cooperate with the French authorities, particularly in the endeavor to make adjustments in the French educational system so as to facilitate the work of the American student in France and enable him to obtain certain degrees.

To these two important functions of the American University Union must be added a third: that of bringing together our students on stated occasions for the purpose of social intercourse, of affording them an opportunity to get acquainted with one another and of meeting and listening to eminent French speakers who are authorities in their special spheres. Last year we had the pleasure of seeing and hearing M. Poincaré, Recteur de l'Académie de Paris. This year M. André Tardieu has already favored us with a brilliant address. The program for the rest of the year includes such names as M. Jacques Copeau, M. Appell, M. Léonce Bénédicte, and M. Stéphane Lezanne, the distinguished editor of LE MATIN. The benefit derived from these reunions is indeed very great.

I could cite many more instances of the good work done by the Union, the results of which will, in the future, be almost incalculable; but I content myself with mentioning only one, that is, the promotion of Franco-American friendship. The authorities here, both educational and otherwise, look upon the American University Union as a warm friend of France, and they are coming more and more to consult its officials for aid in solving some of their problems, or for the purpose of securing information. Last year, for example, I introduced two of my colleagues at the Sorbonne, M. Bernard Haussouiller and M. Paul Lejay, to the Director, who gladly offered the services of the Union for the laudable purpose of making their journal more widely known in America. That the University Union, under the efficient direction of Professor Babcock and Doctor Krans, will prove a potent agency in helping to establish cordial relations between the two countries I have not the slightest doubt.
With best wishes for the continued success of the Union, I remain.

Faithfully yours,

J. E. HARRY

Professeur agréé à la Sorbonne.

. . . . . . . . . . .

Paris, France,

January 1, 1921

My dear Prof. Cunliffe:

Being in Paris this winter on leave of absence, it has been and still is my pleasure to pay frequent visits to the quarters of the American University Union, No 1 rue de Fleurus. Because of the aid there given me and because of the excellent work being done by this greatly needed organisation, I am taking the liberty of writing you to say that I hope everything possible will be done not only here in Europe but at home in the States to further the object of this Union.

A mere glance at the register shows to what extent American students and teachers travelling and studying in Europe and especially in France naturally gravitate toward the rooms so pleasantly situated just next to the Luxembourg Garden. The possibilities which confront this Union are almost numberless. In its endeavor to promote the exchange of intellectual ideas between France and the United States, in its encouragement of a closer unity between the institutions of higher learning in both countries, in its effort to find agreeable even though temporary homes for American students in France, in short, in its increasing desire to assure Americans a proper introduction into French intellectual life, to say nothing of its activity in the reverse direction, this Union deserves the most enthusiastic support.

As secretary of the organisation you of course know the details with reference to the work of the present year. From my own point of view, merely as one in no way connected with the Union personally, as an American who has received the courtesy and the aid of this Union, I simply want to urge the patriotic support of a most worthy project. Never was there so much need of a sane carefully guided and developed intellectual and social rapprochement between France and the United States as at present; and the need of such a rapprochement will become greater as the years go by.

The correct understanding by the people of our country of the thought and culture of other peoples is ans always
will be the best way not only to further the cause of peace and
the brotherhood of man but also the sine qua non of our own
country's intellectual progress. America cannot in the future
live intellectually alone any more than she can exist politi-
cally isolated. The Great War made France and our country stand by
each other. We must now stand with each other. In this entente
cordiale et intellectuelle, the American University Union is one
of the most important factors.

Very respectfully yours,

Herman Babson
Professor and Head of the Dep't.
of Modern Languages,
Purdue University,
Lafayette, Indiana.

......

Paris, France,

January 14th, 1921

Dear Sir,

As one who has enjoyed the benefits of the American
University Union I should like to say that this institution sup-
plies a need which many Americans have long felt. I remember well
how in 1911, when I came to Paris to study, I felt at a loss be-
cause no one was available for suggestions. It took me several
days to get acquainted with the methods and workings of a French
University. When I came to Paris last May I went directly to the
American University Union where all were so kind and courteous.

As a direct result I secured a comfortable apartment
for my family, and was put in close touch with Professor Brunot
of the Sorbonne. Besides this a letter from Dr Krans made it pos-
sible for me to enjoy many unexpected privileges. Other students
have undoubtedly received this same kind consideration.

Then again the interest manifested by the Union in the
social welfare of the young men and the young women is to be com-
mented. So many young men at the Sorbonne have expressed to me
their pleasure over this activity.

When I think of what the Union has done this year and
what it can do in the future in establishing closer and more
friendly relations between the Universities of France and those
of our own country, and in directing the efforts of American stu-
dents, I must give it my hearty support and my best wishes for
its future activity.

Very truly,

Walter A. Kerr,
8, rue Leseaux, Paris XVe.
( Assistant Professor of Modern Languages, University of Utah)
January 11, 1921

My dear George:

Yours of the 31st of December is received. I am glad to hear that you had an outing at Christmas time and trust you are both thoroughly well. I am interested in the list of overseas students. I certainly hope that those who may come from the University of Chicago will do us credit.

I don't at all know what will be the outcome with regard to the League. The British policy with respect to trade with Russia is very discouraging to me and besides it changes my attitude toward joining with Great Britain for a League or anything else. It is quite impossible to establish trade with Russia without recognizing the gang of criminals who purport to be the government of that country, but who have in reality inaugurated an era of despotism which drove out the only government representative of the Russian people there was— who are controlling Russia by a tyranny a little worse than that of the Tsars, and who avowedly aim at the overthrow of existing governments in the civilized world. Any attempt at relations with them is in my opinion treason to civilization.

Dr. George E. MacLean,
American University Union,
50, Russell Square, London.
My dear President,

Let me bore you with a copy of the report of the British Division of the Union for December and the Financial Report.

Thanks for your note of December 27th. It is always good to have a line from you.

President Vincent and Abram Flexner called here two days ago on their way to Paris. They looked through the office hastily and I trust they were pleased with what they saw. They were much engaged looking at the buildings plans at University College.

I may say in the strictest confidence that I have nominated you, as President of the Union, to receive an invitation from the Universities Bureau of the British Empire to be an honorary member of the Second Congress of the Universities of the Empire to be held in Oxford, July 5th to 8th. Visits to other British Universities will be arranged. The British Government will show the usual hospitality to the members of the Congress and doubtless they will be received by the King. If and when the invitation comes I hope you will not decline it. I also know confidentially that Nicholas Murray Butler will come.

Do you remember Dr. John B. MacAfee, a member of the Advisory Council of the Union here and a most valuable member? I had to attend his funeral on Friday. The Ambassador and the Consul-General were also there.
The Ambassador intimated to me on Thursday that it was not unlikely that he would return to the States in February. I have persuaded him to accept an invitation from University College to give a Rhodes Lecture on "The Constitution of the United States" to-day. I look for him to stand by the venerable document, but without claiming that it was sent down from Heaven. Some Englishmen have the impression that the recent trouble between President Wilson and the Senate was due to the Constitution rather than to the President's mismanagement. I believe that Mr. Davis will defend the Constitution in this point without, of course, reflecting upon his Chief. He is a splendid man.

Clara joins me in love to you and Rebecca.

As ever,
Sincerely yours,

Feb 18th Since dictating the above your personal letter from 1st has come. We greatly appreciate his New Year's letter in your own hand. Yesterday I was called to a Const. meeting of the Univ. of London. It is proposed to invite to a Conference of Professors of History (Anglo-Amer.) July 11th or 13th for 3 days succeeding the Congress. It seems Jansen of Carnegie Institute in Wash. is coming over. Your Summer Quarter goes end of June. George. I have in hopes. SLM.

President H. P. Judson,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago,
Illinois, U.S.A.
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26th January, 1921.

My dear Mr. President,

Your letter of January 6th and your personal note of January 11th have arrived this day together. I can heartily recommend Professor Ramsay Muir whom I know personally for a post with you for six months next year. Answering your questions seriatim he stands high in the academic world and is considered a coming man. He only left Balliol in '99. He was made a member of the Calcutta University Commission 1917-19. He has progressed rapidly in the University world from a lectureship in Manchester to one in the University of Liverpool where he became a professor in five years time and he was called to Manchester in 1913. His personal qualities are attractive. He is a forthcoming man, incisive and of decided opinions. His ability as a public speaker is excellent. I do not know, at first hand, about his success in instructing students but I think it is safe to assume that he is successful. It is difficult to know just what his salary at Manchester is. The range of salaries in Manchester in 1914-15 was from £500 to £1,400, including a share of fees. I think it is likely that Muir's salary is from £1,200 to £1,400. There is now an attempt to increase these salaries. I suppose you know he is the professor of Modern History at Manchester. Sir Henry Myers, Principal of Manchester, is our 'teacher'. It would be nice to have an exchange.

As far as I know the students from the University of Michigan and Chicago are doing the University great credit. I only wish we had more of them.

I am in sympathy with your view that the Russian Government is a gang of criminals and that to trade with them is not likely to help. They have proved that they cannot be trusted and they are repudiators. The British are divided with
reference to trade policy. Lord Northcliffe's papers stand shoulder to shoulder with you. The Government is, no doubt, greatly influenced by the Labour Party, which has conceived the idea that the unemployment in England can be, in part, remedied by trading with Russia. There is also doubtless the influence of the theory that the Germans are playing with the Russians. They certainly sent Lenin and Trotsky and are largely responsible for this present state of affairs. There are also reports that Vanderlip and other Americans are, in a roundabout way, getting the Russian trade. I hope you will be patient with the British for, despite the materialistic trend in these desperate days in all our countries, the moral element in time is likely to prevail in Great Britain.

The January number of "The Educational Record" shows that the Council, in your hands and those of Capek, is accomplishing things. The article of O'Doniffe on a visit to the American University Union in Europe is, of course, pleasant reading for me.

In haste,

As ever, Sincerely yours,

George E. Maclean

President H.P. Judson,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.
February 15, 1921.

. . . Director:

Yours of the 26th of January was duly received. I write you for your statements about Professor Muir. I do not at the present time whether we can offer him anything here though he might perhaps visit this country somewhat later. I note your comments on the Russian question and certainly hope the British government will sooner or later adopt what I believe to be the only reasonable policy in that regard. This man Vanderlip, who by the way is not the well-known financier of New York, came home with very great stories of what he had obtained from the Bolshevik government. Of course there is nothing in it. As a matter of fact I don't believe there is any Russian trade at present or that there is likely to be for some time to come.

Since December business has been generally bad in the States. Stocks are down and there is a great slowing up of industry on all hands. I don't believe we are in danger of a panic, but it will be some time yet I fancy before conditions return to normal.

With cordial regards, I am,

Always yours,

Dr. George H. MacLean,
50 Russell Square,
February 4, 1921

My dear Mr. Director:

Yours of the 17th of January with enclosures is received. I am always glad to hear from you. By the same mail came an invitation to attend the Congress in Oxford next summer. It will be, I think, quite out of the question for me to go. I should be glad to see you and Clara again, but I have made it a point to refrain in recent years from making long journeys for the sake of talk-fests. I went very gladly to China some years ago, and to Persia during the war, on specific missions and with the expectation of accomplishing definite things; but a mission which involves no more than a greater or less disturbance of the atmosphere does not appeal to me. Of course that is quite aside from the pleasant time I should have meeting old friends and making new.

I am glad Ambassador Davis gave a lecture on the Constitution. While the old document is far from perfect, after all it has rendered pretty good service to our country. The fact is that the best constitution in the world will work badly in the hands of some men and the poorest in the world will work admirably in the hands of another class of men. I don't quite get your suggestion that our summer quarter ends June 17th. It ends in fact
at the end of August.

All are well here and I trust the same is true with you.

With affectionate greetings for both, I am,

Very truly yours,

Dr. George H. MacLean,
American University Union,
50 Russell Square,

HPJ:HN
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9th March, 1921.

My dear Mr. President,

Your letter of February 4th was received on Washington's birthday. Clara and I are greatly disappointed that we may not hope to see you and Rebecca here this season. My reference to June 17th as the end of your Summer Session was an inadvertence due to my becoming somewhat anglicized.

Please

Enclosed/find copies of the Report and the Financial Report for February and a letter of Dr. Scripture, formerly of Yale, to me which was of keen interest to the Government's Committee on Post-Graduate Medical Study. You will have noticed from my present and past reports that I have been deeply interested in urging the British to so organize their medical work that Americans may come here instead of going to Germany and Austria.

I enclose a copy of an article on the Ambassador which appeared in "The Times." We have been attending a series of formal obsequies to the Ambassador and Mrs. Davis. They are universally beloved. It is amazing that in two short years, he, coming an unknown man, has made a record hardly second to that of Choate or Page. He is to enter the firm in which Stetson was and for a time Cleveland. I hope you may meet him. We hear persistent rumours that Colonel Harvey is to be his successor here. Perhaps the less I say the better, but I would be greatly pleased if you dare to tell me confidentially whatever may be helpful to me in my possible relations to him. We are gratified to know that Mr. Hughes is Secretary of State and that Mr. Hoover is in the Cabinet. Where is Lowden to come in?

I have just had a conference with Sir Gregory Poster,
Provost of University College, about Professor Underhill. You know Grace and he expressed to me their great desire to come to London and I mentioned him upon my return last year to Provost Foster, but it was too late for the subject to be considered that year. Provost Foster has now asked me to furnish him full particulars about Underhill and particularly asked what, if anything, he had published. The Provost has no prospect of any prominent vacancy. If particulars are furnished he will take the matter up with the head of the Department of Mathematics. As we do not know the Underhill's address may I enclose to you a blank application form which Professor Underhill might fill and return if he is desirous of the matter being taken up. He naturally would supplement it by a testimonial or two, a list of publications and any other items which might reinforce the case. The Provost asked what salary the professor was now receiving, and if he would take a temporary appointment for one year. He was sorry to state that the low salaries here began at £300 as a minimum.

I am enclosing a personal note in my own hand.

Now that we have a Baptist administrator as chief magistrate supported by a Baptist Secretary of State I hope that we will not be put out of court because the customs over here absolutely compel us to keep Easter. We contemplate spending the Easter vacation in France. Rebecca will be able to tell you the date of Easter.

As ever,
Sincerely yours,

George E. MacLean

P.S. I enclose a picture that was taken of the "Farewell Tea" to the Ambassador.

President H.P. Judson,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago,
Illinois, U.S.A.

GEM. MEM. ENO.
March 25, 1921

My dear Mr. Director:

Yours of the 9th of March with enclosures is at hand. The personal letter I will answer somewhat later when I have obtained further information.

The enclosure from Dr. Scripture is interesting. I think it altogether unlikely that Americans will go to England for medical work. The fact is that advanced medical work is now provided and will soon be increasingly provided on a higher scale in the United States than is done in the British Islands and in some ways it will be more advanced than that provided in Central Europe.

I am interested in the report on our Ambassador. He is certainly a man of fine type and has made an excellent record. I do not personally know the new Ambassador you designate. He is an editor and I fancy quite a successful one both of a weekly publication and of the North American Review. I am assuming that it is a political appointment. Of course I am gratified with the appointment of Mr. Hughes as Secretary of State and Mr. Hoover as Secretary of Commerce. Governor Lowden was offered the position of Secretary of State but declined on the quite original ground that he was not particularly informed on that field of political activity and thought it better to have it in the hands of someone who had had that training.
I thank you for what you say about University College and Professor Underhill. Of course I will forward it to him but the salary suggested is obviously out of the question. His present salary I believe is $2500.

With affectionate greetings for yourself and the good wife I remain,

Very truly yours,

Dr. George E. MacLean,
50 Russell Square,
AMERICAN UNIVERSITY UNION IN EUROPE

"The general object of the Union shall be to serve as a bond between the Universities of the United States and those of European nations, especially by encouraging the attendance and advancing the welfare of American students at the Universities of France, Great Britain and Italy, in such ways as the Trustees may see fit."

Institutional Trustees: Mr. Howard Fletcher, University of California; President Judson, University of Chicago; Professor Cunliffe, Columbia University; Professor Schuman, Cornell University; President Lowell, Harvard University; President Hutchins, University of Michigan; Chancellor Brown, New York University; Dean Emeritus Holgate, Northwestern University; Mr. Samuel Houston, University of Pennsylvania; President Hibben, Princeton University; Secretary Stokes, Yale University.

Association Trustees: From Association of American Colleges: President Shanklin, Wesleyan, President Main, Grinnell, Secretary Robert Kelley. From American Association of University Professors: Professor H. W. Tyler, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Elective Trustees: Mr. W. R. Castle Jr., President F. J. Goodnow, Professor G. H. Nettleton, Mr. H. B. Thompson.

Ex-Officio Trustees: Dr. Capen, Director, American Council on Education; Dr. Duggan, Director, Institute of International Education.

Chairman: President H. B. Hutchins. Vice-Chairman: President J. G. Schuman.

Secretary: Professor J. W. Cunliffe, Columbia University. Treasurer: Mr. H. B. Thompson, 320 Broadway, New York City.


New York Cable Address: "Amunion"

Journalism Building
Columbia University
New York City

March 21, 1921

President H. P. Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Judson,

I have pleasure in enclosing copies of letters from several American professors who have visited our Paris office.

In accordance with the resolution of the Trustees, passed at the last meeting, I have sent on these along with other material to the Publicity Agent of Columbia University, and he has secured the publication of a number of articles in the New York Times and other papers in various parts of the country. I have written an article for the Educational Record, which was reproduced in our annual bulletin recently published, and also one for the Forum which has been accepted but not yet printed. I hope to send you an off-print of the latter as soon as it appears.

I enclose also copy of the report which the Paris office has sent to subscribing colleges and universities.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

SECRETARY
March 23, 1921

Dear Mr. Gunliffe:

Yours of the 21st instant is at hand. I am glad to hear what has been done along these lines and am interested in reports just received from both the English and Continental Divisions. Incidentally, did you have a conference with Mr. Fosdick on the Maison matter? Do I understand that the meeting on the 6th of May is the annual meeting? If so what are the probabilities as to the Directorship in London and also in Paris? For next year I suppose that at the present time it is rather difficult to do anything with regard to endowment.

With best wishes, I am,

Very truly yours,

Mr. J. W. Gunliffe
Journalism Building
Columbia University
New York City

[Signature]
April 1, 1921

My dear George:

In further reference to yours of March 8 I may say that I have had some correspondence with the office in New York. Doubtless you will hear as soon as you receive this, or at least soon after, from Professor Gunliffe asking if you will continue another year. That, I know, is the desire of the administration here. I hope that you will see your way to do so.

Whether I shall continue another year in the chairmanship I am not at this time able to say. I am trying to eliminate a variety of things that take my time, but of course shall be greatly interested in the Union so long as you are connected with it. I declined to have anything to do with the E.S.U. on account of the policy the present British government has seen fit to adopt in regard to Russia. The present Administration here has maintained the wise policy of the recent Administration in that respect. I need not make any more comments on that as you know my point of view. It is that sort of thing, however, that makes it difficult to do anything toward the international accord which in fact is so important. On the other hand of course I understand the efforts that are being made in this
country to antagonize the two nations. The very silly propaganda recently made by an alleged committee in this country on internal matters in the British Empire which don't concern us, of course do not help. At the same time that is wholly a private matter in which the government is not concerned, and I do not forget the very active anti-American propaganda in England during the Civil War.

Going back to the question of the Union I do not doubt that the Union will be sustained financially during the coming year and I think that its work has justified its continuance.

With affectionate greetings to both, I am,

Very truly yours,

Dr. George E. MacLean,
50 Russell Square,
London England.
AMERICAN UNIVERSITY UNION IN EUROPE

"The general object of the Union shall be to serve as a bond between the Universities of the United States and those of European nations."

INSTITUTIONAL TRUSTEES: Mr. Howard Fletcher, University of California; President Judson, University of Chicago; Professor Cunliffe, Columbia University; Acting-President Smith, Cornell University; President Lowell, Harvard University; President Kinley, University of Illinois; Professor Vibbert, University of Michigan; President Coffman, University of Minnesota; Chancellor Brown, New York University; Dean Emeritus Holgate, Northwestern University; Mr. Samuel Houston, University of Pennsylvania; President Hibben, Princeton University; Secretary Stokes, Yale University.

ASSOCIATION TRUSTEES: From Association of American Colleges: President Shanklin, Wesleyan; President Main, Grinnell; Secretary Robert Kelly. From American Association of University Professors: Professor H. W. Tyler, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Professor A. O. Lovejoy, Johns Hopkins; Professor E. C. Armstrong, Princeton.

ELECTIVE TRUSTEES: Mr. W. R. Castle, Jr. (Harvard), President Goodnow (Johns Hopkins), Mr. E. F. Greene (Trustee, Wellesley), Professor G. H. Nettleton (Yale), Mr. H. B. Thompson (Princeton), President Woolley (Mt. Holyoke).

EX-OFFICIO TRUSTEES: Dr. Capen, Director of the American Council on Education; Dr. Duggan, Director of the Institute of International Education.


SECRETARY: Professor John W. Cunliffe, Columbia University. TREASURER: Mr. Henry B. Thompson, 320 Broadway, New York City.

NEW YORK CABLE ADDRESS

"Amunion"

April 8, 1921

President H. P. Judson
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Judson

I have received your letter of the 6th in which you say that May 27 will be entirely satisfactory to you for a meeting of the Board of Trustees. I have, however, had a letter from Dr. Stokes urging the importance of a meeting early in May, and approving of May 7, Saturday. I gather from our previous correspondence that this will be convenient for you and am accepting that tentatively as the date. Is there any hour of that day which would suit you better than another? The meeting would be held in my office at Columbia University, as the University Club is not available owing to Miss Woolley's membership of the Board.

In response to the circulars sent out I have a letter from President Hibben suggesting the possibility of sending Professor Paul Van Dyke to Paris as Director for 1921-22. I think this would be excellent and indeed the best appointment that could be made.

Yours very faithfully,

[Signature]

[Professor Cunliffe]
April 11, 1921

Dear Professor Gunliffe,

Your favor of the 8th is received. Saturday, May 7, will be entirely satisfactory to me and the hour is quite immaterial. I cordially concur in the suggestion as to sending Professor Paul Van Dyke to Paris.

Very truly yours,

Professor J. W. Gunliffe,
Journalism Building,
Columbia University,
New York City.

HPJ: JN
April 29, 1921

President H. P. Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.

Dear President Judson

Dr. Cunliffe has asked me to send you a copy of a letter Mr. Thompson has received from President Scott of Northwestern University, together with Dr. Cunliffe's reply to President Scott. Dr. Cunliffe will be glad if you too will communicate with him.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Secretary to J. W. Cunliffe

We are in agreement with the sentiment in your letter of the 21st that the work of the Union should be supported by one of the great Foundations rather than by individual universities, but the Foundations are in the habit of requiring that their contributions should be regarded as supplementary to voluntary efforts made by organizations directly interested and unless the leading universities take the lead in this matter, I am afraid an appeal to the Foundations would be in vain. We are, however, contemplating the preparation of such an appeal at the next meeting of the Trustees to be held at Columbia University on May 27. I hope that you or Dean Holgate will be able to be present and that you will be
able in some way to provide for the subscription of
Northwestern University for the current year. It would
be a source of very sincere regret to us if we could not
include an important an University as Northwestern.
April 29, 1921

This was not merely on account of the subscription, but still
President W. A. Scott
Northwestern University
Evanston-Chicago, Ill.
by membership in the Union.
Dear President Scott

Yours very faithfully,

We are in agreement with the sentiment in
your letter of the 21st that the work of the Union should be
supported by one of the great Foundations rather than by
individual universities, but the Foundations are in the habit
of requiring that their contributions should be regarded as
supplementary to voluntary efforts made by organizations
directly interested and unless the leading universities take the
lead in this matter, I am afraid an appeal to the Foundations
would be in vain. We are, however, contemplating the prepara-
tion of such an appeal at the next meeting of the Trustees to
be held at Columbia University on May 27. I hope that you or
Dean Holgate will be able to be present and that you will be
J.W. Cunliffe to President Scott
April 20, 1921

able in some way to provide for the subscription of
Northwestern University for the current year. It would
be a source of very sincere regret to us if we could not
include so important a University as Northwestern in our
list, not merely on account of the subscription, but still
more on account of the moral support and interest implied
by membership in the Union.

Yours very faithfully

[Signature]

Walter Scott
Northwestern University  
Evanston-Chicago

April 21, 1921

Mr. Henry B. Thompson  
Treasurer, American University Union  
320 Broadway, New York City

My dear Mr. Thompson,

When the budget of Northwestern University was made out about a year ago, I find that there was no appropriation for the American University Union in Europe. Because of the very serious strain upon the University, due to its rapid growth, I do not feel in a position to add $500.00 to the budget for 1921-22.

I am perfectly sure that the work of the American University Union in Europe is excellent, but I feel that it should be supported by one of the great Foundations rather than by the individual universities.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) Walter Gill Scott
April 29, 1921

President E. P. Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.

Dear President Judson,

Dr. Culiffe has forwarded a copy of a letter Mr. Thomson has written to President Scott of Northwestern University, expressing the University's inability to continue its membership and communicate with him. I am somewhat reluctant, I confess, to urge the matter. If I see President Scott, however, I will speak to him personally about it.

Very truly yours,

Secretary to J. W. Culiffe

Your letter of the 21st that the work of the Union should be supported by one of the great Foundations rather than by individual universities, but the Foundations are in the habit of Miss Martha Griffith's contributions should be regarded as Professor J. W. Culiffe's.
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dir. New York City, and unless the leading universities take the lead in this matter. I am afraid an appeal to the Foundations would be in vain. We are, however, contemplating the preparation of such an appeal at the next meeting of the Trustees to be held at Columbia University on May 27. I hope that you or Dean Holgate will be able to be present and that you will be
Syracuse University

Syracuse, N.Y., April 15, 1921

Mr. Henry B. Thompson
320 Broadway
New York City

My dear Mr. Thompson

It has been our understanding that our obligation in the case of the American University Union in Europe ceased the year following the Armistice. We are not able to understand why this union obligation should be continued. There seems to us to be other appeals across the water that are more earnest, imposing a greater obligation.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) James R. Day
April 18, 1921

James R. Day, Esq.
Chancellor, Syracuse University
Syracuse, New York

Dear Chancellor Day,

Mr. Thompson, the Treasurer of the American University Union, has forwarded to me your letter of April 15, stating that it was your understanding that your obligation in the case of the American University Union in Europe ceased the year following the Armistice. There is evidently a misunderstanding, as we have a card from you, signed James R. Day, Chancellor, as follows:

"I write to inform you that Syracuse University will continue its membership in the American University Union in Europe for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 1919."

I enclose the last bulletin of the Union, from which you will see that we are doing substantial work in London and Paris for American graduates and undergraduates who are studying and travelling in Europe. Our work has commanded the support of an in-
creasing number of American colleges and universities including practically all those of first rank, and we should be very sorry on all accounts to drop Syracuse from our list. I hope, therefore, that you will be able, on further consideration, to renew your subscription for the current year.

Yours faithfully

SECRETARY
April 19, 1921

President H. P. Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Judson

Dr. Cunliffe has asked me to send you the enclosed copy of a letter which Mr. Thompson has received from President Day of Syracuse University, together with Dr. Cunliffe's reply to him. Dr. Cunliffe would like to suggest that if you know President Day, he thinks it would be well if you were to write to him also.

Yours truly

[Signature]

Secretary to J. W. Cunliffe
April 21, 1921

My dear Chancellor Day:

Professor Gunliffe, Secretary of the University Union in Europe sends me a copy of the correspondence with you in the matter of the membership of Syracuse University in the Union.

I quite appreciate your feeling that the Union was simply a war enterprise. However a number of institutions in the country have decided after careful consideration to continue the work both in France and in London by way of a liaison between educational institutions in the two countries. We should greatly regret not to have Syracuse University included in our number.

Very truly yours,

Chancellor James R. Day,
Syracuse University,
Syracuse, N.Y.
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American University Union in Europe

"The general object of the Union shall be to serve as a bond between the Universities of the United States and those of European nations. It will in particular seek to encourage the attendance and advance the welfare of American students at the Universities of France, Great Britain, Italy, and other European countries and to facilitate the attendance of European Students at American institutions of higher learning in such ways as the Trustees may see fit."

Continental Division
1 Rue de Fleurus Paris
Representative in Italy
H. Blakiston Wilkins
Porta San Pancrazio Rome 29

British Division
Representing
American Council on Education
and
Institute of International Education
Director
George E. MacLean

50 Russell Square
London W.C. 1

13th May, 1921.

Dear President Judson,

Thank you for your letter of April 1st. I do not find in that letter and yours of March 23rd anything to which I now need to reply, as really your letters were in response to mine.

The Budget of the Union and the Brief in Support of it will have come to your attention so that I need perhaps to add nothing but what you will find in the present report.


Soon some important questions will have to be taken up. I feel that the subscribing Universities do not yet realize what services can be rendered by our offices here, that they are becoming really American Educational Embassies and Consulates. As regards the home office of the Union and the work of the Institute I am not altogether certain but that they cannot be made more efficient.

Sincerely yours,

George E. MacLean

President H.P. Judson,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago,
Illinois, U.S.A.

GEM. MEM. ENC.
May 23, 1921

My dear Dr. Judson:

Enclosed we are sending you a formulation of the consensus of opinion, which seemed to be reached at the meeting at Gedney Farms with respect to general policy to guide the development of the Peking Union Medical College. We are sending this out to all those who attended the conference, asking for their comments and suggestions.

It is our understanding that this formulation does not represent any final official action, but that this statement, together with suggestions received concerning it from members of the conference, would be referred to the meeting of the trustees to be held in Peking in September.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Secretary

Dr. Henry Pratt Judson, President
University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.

ERE.WDB E
At an informal conference of Trustees of the Peking Union Medical College and members of the China Medical Board and the Rockefeller Foundation, held at Gedney Farms Hotel, White Plains, May 16 and 17, after a discussion of the proposed budget of the College for 1931-1932, the following points were agreed to:

1. That as the Trustees examine the first annual budget proposed for the College in its new plant even on a restricted basis of hospital and dispensary facilities, they realize that activities and personnel authorized in detail produce an aggregate demand which raises the question as to whether policies heretofore adopted should not be modified in principle as they must certainly be reinterpreted in fulfillment.

2. That the Peking College is not to be permanently a foreign institution; but gradually as conditions warrant first in teaching personnel, then in management and finally in financial control, to become a Chinese medical school. It is expected to influence medical education throughout China. It is fundamentally important therefore not to put the institution on so high a level of expense as to discourage Chinese initiative.

3. That the average cost of maintaining the institution over a period of years (e.g. 20 years) should not exceed $500,000 gold (approximately $1,000,000 Mex.) a year. It is understood that this average may be reached by starting at a scale below this sum and reaching a peak somewhat above it as the school approaches complete organization and is handling a large number of students with comparatively large foreign staff, and that the expenditures may again be diminished as the foreign staff is gradually replaced by Chinese teachers and executives; the premedical school discontinued and other reductions effected.

4. That with the savings indicated above, the increase of resources from the Hospital, possible contributions from Chinese sources, it is not unreasonable to hope that an ultimate endowment of $5,000,000 would put the College in an independent position.
May 31, 1921

Dear Mr. Embree:

Yours of the 23rd of May with enclosed memorandum as to the Conference at White Plains I find on my return from the East. I am not very sanguine as to any reduction of costs.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Edwin R. Embree,
Rockefeller Foundation
61 Broadway, New York.
AMERICAN UNIVERSITY UNION IN EUROPE
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SECRETARY: Professor John W. Cunliffe, Columbia University. TREASURER: Mr. Henry B. Thompson, 320 Broadway, New York City.

LONDON OFFICE: 50 Russell Square. PARIS OFFICE: 1 Rue de Fleurus (opposite Luxembourg Garden).

570 Prospect Street,
New Haven, Connecticut.
May 23, 1921.

President Henry P. Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

My dear President Judson:

Although I count on seeing you on Friday, May 27, at the annual meeting of the Trustees of the Union, it seems well to forewarn you on certain questions. After long delay we have succeeded in securing President Hibben, President Lowell and Stokes to wait jointly upon Secretary Mellon in Washington, on May 31, to present the case of the Union with a view to securing him as donor of the Maison, either singly or jointly with his brother. I am hopeful that so strong a combination of Union representatives may be able to interest Mr. Mellon.

As a definite step toward raising the War Memorial Fund Endowment it has seemed to those of the Finance Committee that I have been able to consult that it would be wise to attempt to secure 100 life members at $1,000 each. Mr. Thompson and Mr. Greene, of our own board, have volunteered to become life members and to solicit other memberships on the same basis. Our idea is to secure, in addition to the members of the Finance Committee, certain others greatly interested in the Union, like ex-President Hutchins of Michigan, and Lansing of Technology (formerly Business Manager of the Union in Paris), and to ask each to try to secure either five or ten life members for the Union prior to the fall meeting of the Board of Trustees. In these times of business depression it is difficult to secure large subscriptions, but we felt that with the wide clientele of college men throughout the country we ought to secure 100 life members without great difficulty providing we have cooperation.

If we are able to interest Secretary Mellon in the actual building of the Maison in Paris, we shall have little difficulty in raising the general endowment fund. Hoping to see you on Friday, I am

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]

GHN:OCR

I have just had word that Mr. Bliss will be a life member.
May 31, 1921

Dear Professor Nettleton:

Yours of the 23rd I find on my return from the East. I hope that Mr. H. Mellon may prove amenable to reason. As I said to you in New York I will try to get five life members.

With best wishes. I am,

Very truly yours,

Professor George H. Nettleton,
570 Prospect St.
New Haven, Conn.
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