with reference to these practical matters, has seemed for a time to almost paralyze the spiritual life of the church.

It is true that the church seems to be passing through a transition period and that spiritual life is at a low ebb, but it is quite evident that the department of ecclesiastical sociology takes a hopeful view of the situation, and in the judgment of your committee this department is working on right lines and will prove to be a mighty factor in the progress of the Redeemer's kingdom in the enlightenment of the Christian world upon the mission of Christ and the work of the church.

We ask your special attention to this statement made by Dr. Henderson in which he has so exalted the place given to Christ. From the beginning to the end of it, the key-note is Christ, and it is evident that every effort put forth in connection with the work of this department has in view the exaltation of the crucified Redeemer, the only Savior and hope of the world. The inspiration for all service on earth for the bettering of conditions among men and for winning men to a better life and to the Heaven beyond, he found to be in Christ and in Him only.

The statement is a fair representation of the department of ecclesiastical sociology, and we take great pleasure in commending the work of this department, and give to it our cordial endorsement.

Allow us to state in closing that we stand ready to render any service possible and to give any desired information to individual members of the committee or to the committee as a whole.

Respectfully submitted,

A. W. Clark

[Handwritten signatures]

Thurman Randall

John H. Chapman

William P. Begood
with reference to these existing matters, it seems to me to be.

important to take into account the following considerations:

1. As is the case of other organizations, it is necessary to have a clear

and systematic plan, which will enable the government to

organize and develop a program of work to be carried out by

the committee, and the requirement is that the committee shall have

adequate funds to carry out its work efficiently.

2. The committee should have the support of the government in

order to carry out its duties effectively.

3. It is important to have a clear and well-defined mandate for the

committee to work within.

4. The committee should be able to access the necessary resources,

such as personnel and equipment, to carry out its work.

5. The committee should have the authority to make decisions and

take action on its own.

6. The committee should have the ability to deal with any conflicts or

obstacles that may arise during its work.

In conclusion, the committee should be well-equipped to carry out its

functions efficiently and effectively, with the support of the government.

Sincerely,
[Signature]

[Date]
Rev. J. L. Cheney,

Cleveland, Ohio.

My dear Dr. Cheney:

You have received the announcement of the meeting of the Advisory Committee of the Baptist Theological Union which is to be held December 12th. I am writing to beg you to consider favorably attendance upon this meeting. It is quite certain that the meeting will be an important one. The ultra conservative members of the committee are determined to make an issue and to make it strong. Unless there are present some of the most distinguished gentlemen who are members of the committee whose position and influence are very strong, I fear a calamitous thing will happen—one which as Baptists we should all greatly deplore. I would not write you this letter if I did not believe from the bottom of my heart that it is a most critical time in the history of thought in our denomination in the west. Your presence will be of inestimable value. Will you not come? It is very important of course that the contents of this letter be treated as confidential, and indeed also the fact that I have written it. If you wish me to go into further detail I will gladly
October 26, 1904

Rev. T. G. Cheney,

Cleveland, Ohio

Mr. Geo. Dr. Cheney:

I have received the announcement:

The meeting of the Advisory Committee of the Hospital Trust

foresight union which is to be held December 1st. I am writing
to you to contain visionary attendance upon this meeting.

I am quite certain that the meeting will be an important

one. The future conservation of the committee will depend

on the present state of the most influential members of the committee,

who are members of the committee. whose position and influence

are very strong. I feel a constitutional change will happen one

which as expected we strongly desire. I would not

write you this letter if I did not believe from the bottom

of my heart that it is a most difficult time in the history

of mankind in our generation to the west. Your presence

will be of immense value. When you come to me.

It is important to convince that the contents of this letter be treated

as confidential and in due time the fact that I have written

it. If you may be so into another matter I will gladly
do so, but I must be guarded as to what I shall put on paper.

Yours very truly,

W. R. Harper
October 28, 1914

...go on, but I want to explain in a way I can't if I put on paper.

Yours very truly,

W. Herbert

I have reviewed the information in the Office of the Assistant Commissioner. The report indicates that the matter will be handled more effectively by the committee. It is understood that the matter will be handled with care.

The information concerning the circumstances of the commission was reviewed by the committee, and it appears that the commission was aware of the situation. However, there is no evidence of the commission's involvement in the matter.

...and I have written to the Assistant Commissioner in the matter. Your amendment to the original report is well taken. I will not correct it. I am not familiar with the amendment or the original report. I am not familiar with the original report.

If you have any further questions, I will answer.
The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

Wednesday, 6:00 P.M.
The members of the Committee will dine together at Hutchinson Hall as guests of the University.

Wednesday, 8:00 P.M. Sixth Meeting.
3. A discussion of the subject “The Work of the Divinity School in connection with Preparation of Men for other Forms of Christian Work.” The discussion will be opened by Professor Albion W. Small, LL.D., of the University of Chicago.

Thursday, 9:30 A.M. Seventh Meeting.
1. The organization of the Advisory Committee will be completed.
2. The various committees to be established in connection with the organization will be appointed.
3. The method of work of the committees and plans for future meetings will be discussed.

PROGRAMME
OF A MEETING OF

The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, AND THURSDAY, June 14, 15, and 16, 1904.

The members of the Committee are very cordially invited to be the guests of the University of Chicago at the President’s reception, Monday evening, 8:00–11:00 o’clock.

Tuesday, 9:30 A.M. First Meeting.
1. The President of the University will make an address of welcome to the members of the Committee, and indicate in general the function and work of the Committee.
2. The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Committee will be presented, the Secretary will be elected, and a committee on organization will be appointed.
3. An address will be made by the Chairman of the Committee, Mr. Andrew McLeish.

Tuesday, 10:30 A.M.
The members of the Committee are invited to attend the Fifty-first Convocation of the University in Leon Mandel Hall as the special guests of the University.

Tuesday, 1:00 P.M.
The members of the Committee are invited as guests of the University to the University luncheon in Hutchinson Hall.
Tuesday, 3:00 P.M. Second Meeting.

1. Professor Edward Judson will explain the nature of the work offered in the Department of Homiletics, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

2. Professor Henderson will make a similar statement concerning the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.

3. The representatives of the Departments of Homiletics and Ecclesiastical Sociology will answer questions suggested by members of the Committee.

Tuesday, 6:00 P.M.

The members of the Committee will dine together at Hutchinson Hall as guests of the University.

Tuesday, 8:00 P.M. Third Meeting.

1. Professor Hulbert will make a statement concerning the work in the Department of Church History, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

2. Professor Foster will make a similar statement concerning the Department of Systematic Theology.

3. The representatives of the Departments of Church History and Theology will answer questions suggested by members of the Committee.

Wednesday, 9:30 A.M. Fourth Meeting.

1. The Dean of the Divinity School, Professor Hulbert, will make a statement concerning the work of the Divinity School in general, and also concerning certain phases of the work.

Wednesday, 12:30 P.M.

The members of the Committee will take luncheon together at Hutchinson Hall as guests of the University.

Wednesday, 2:30 P.M. Fifth Meeting.

1. The report of the committee on organization will be received and discussed.

2. The Secretary of the Board of Trustees, Dr. T. W. Goodspeed, will make a statement concerning the finances of the Divinity School and also concerning the relation of the Divinity School to the University.

3. The Junior Dean of the Divinity School, Professor Mathews, will present a statement relating to the students of the Divinity School and its alumni.

4. The Student Secretary, Dr. Hewitt, will make a statement concerning the methods by which divinity students are assisted to meet their necessary expenses.

5. The topics presented by the Secretaries and the Dean will be discussed by the members of the Committee.
The Baptist Advisory Committee
OF
The Theological Union

To the Members of the Advisory Committee who were not in Attendance at the Inaugural Meeting:

Dear Brethren: The Advisory Committee requested the Chairman and Secretary to communicate with those who were unable to be present at the inaugural meeting with reference to their interest and co-operation in the work that has been undertaken. In transmitting to you the proceedings, therefore, we desire to call your attention to the very significant beginning of the work of the Committee. The June meeting was attended by thirty-six members, most of whom were present during the six sessions. The authorities of the Divinity School gave every opportunity for a thorough knowledge of the work done in the school, and the Committee made a most careful study of the conditions. The discussions in executive sessions were very frank and disclosed not a little difference of opinion. But there was unanimous agreement that the members of the Committee should take time and opportunity to become thoroughly acquainted with the working of every department of the School, so that any recommendation that it might have to make would come from a mature judgment.

Of course the efficiency of the Committee will depend very much upon the willingness of the members to serve efficiently on the Standing Committees, upon which they have been appointed (concerning your acceptance of which appointment it is requested that you notify the Secretary), and their attendance as far as possible at the semi-annual meetings of the whole body at the University. Will you kindly note the list of committees, as appended to the minutes? Due notice will be sent you of the exact date of the next meeting, which is to be early in December.

Andrew McLeish, Chairman,
Theodore G. Soares, Secretary.
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE THEOLOGICAL UNION, HELD JUNE 14 AND 15, 1904, AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Morning Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 9:30. President Harper took the chair and called upon Dr. Rowland to open the session with prayer. He then made a statement regarding the constitution and functions of the Committee and introduced Mr. Andrew McLeish and Rev. C. A. Hobbs, D.D., as the Chairman and Vice-Chairman respectively, by appointment of the Board of Trustees. Dr. Harper presented the following classification of the Committee into five classes, as determined by lot:

CLASS I
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1905
Rev. C. B. Allen, Jr., D.D., Canton, Ohio
Mr. E. F. Sennett, Lincoln, Neb.
Mr. Frank H. Burt, Chicago, Ill.
Mr. H. W. Changnon, Quincy, Ill.
Rev. W. A. Elliott, Newton, Kansas
Rev. F. M. Haldenby, Logansport, Ind.
President R. H. Jesen, D.D., D.D., Columbus, Mo.
President George E. Merrill, D.D., Hamilton, N. Y.
President Stanley A. McCoy, Upper Altamont, Ill.
Principal Milbr B. Price, Gwinn, Michigan.
Rev. H. O. Rowland, D.D., Davenport, Iowa
Rev. T. M. Shannon, D.D., Huston, South Dakota
Rev. Thomas Stephens, Fairfield, Colo.
Rev. E. F. Tallier, Detroit, Mich.
Mr. G. Jay Viscom, Detroit, Mich.
Rev. N. S. Wilson, Des Moines, Iowa

CLASS II
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1906
Rev. C. W. Belknap, Omaha, Neb.
Mr. John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. J. L. Cherry, Ph.D., Cleveland, Ohio
Rev. W. W. Dawley, D.D., Syracuse, N. Y.
Rev. Elmer E. Harris, LaCross, Wis.
Rev. J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.
Rev. W. E. Hobbs, Rome, Iowa
Mr. Julius A. Johnson, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. R. V. Meigs, Quincy, Ill.
Mr. William A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.
Rev. W. A. McKillop, Racine, Wis.
Rev. S. E. Price, Milwaukee, Wis.
President J. D. S. Riggs, Ph.D., L.L.D., Ottawa, Kansas
Mr. W. D. Simpson, Aurora, Ill.
Rev. E. M. West, St. Paul, Minn.

CLASS III
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1907
Rev. James F. Abbott, D.D., Chillicothe, Ohio
President Eben Andrews, L.L.D., Lincoln, Neb.
Rev. E. S. Ferry, Flint, Mich.
Rev. F. W. Longwill, Wyoming, Wis.
Mr. Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.
Rev. J. F. Mills, Grand Forks, North Dakota
Mr. Andrew McLeish, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. E. R. Pope, Minneapolis, Minn.
Rev. Chester F. Ralston, Warren, Ohio
Rev. J. Herman Randall, Grand Rapids, Mich.
President Frank R. Reeds, D.D., L.L.D., Rochester, N. Y.
President J. K. Richardson, D.D., Des Moines, Iowa
Mr. John M. Rhinehart, Mt. Carroll, Ill.
President W. T. Stott, D.D., Franklin, Ind.
Rev. John P. Watts, Sioux City, Iowa
Rev. Theo. G. Saur, Ph.D., Oak Park, Ill.
Rev. H. F. Stillwell, D.D., Cleveland, Ohio
Mr. G. L. Vance, Joliet, Ill.
Rev. H. J. Verburg, Oakland, Calif.
Rev. Walter M. Walker, D.D., Des Moines, Iowa

CLASS IV
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1908
Rev. F. L. Anderson, Austin, Minn.
Professor W. W. Berman, Ann Arbor, Mich.
Rev. A. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.
Rev. Frank H. Cooper, Minneapolis, Minn.
Mr. J. R. Davies, Newark, Ohio
Judge B. F. Dowdwick, Janesville, Wis.
President W. H. F. Peoples, D.D., Providence, R. I.
President L. A. Garsden, Pella, Ia.
Mr. Edwin C. Hewett, L.L.D., Normal, Ill.
President J. A. Levitt, D.D., Ewing, Ill.
Rev. Thomas Anderson, Omaha, Neb.
Rev. E. P. Brand, Normal, Ill.
Principal Edwin F. Brown, Beaver Dam, Wis.
Rev. E. K. Chandler, D.D., Ottawa, Kansas
Rev. J. W. Clemenger, Marion, Ind.
Professor C. C. Hall, D.D., Four Oaks, Ind.
Rev. G. F. Holt, D.D., Minneapolis, Minn.
Rev. Louis D. Osborne, Ph.D., Bloomington, Ill.
Rev. F. W. Parsons, Ph.D., Mason City, Iowa
Mr. William N. Rowe, Grand Rapids, Mich.
Mr. W. E. Stephens, Columbus, Mo.
President George Sutherland, D.D., Grand Island, Neb.
Rev. Craig S. Thomas, Ph.D., Varnaillon, South Dakota
Rev. E. T. Tomlinson, Ph.D., Elizabethtown, N. J.
Rev. R. M. Vaughan, Janesville, Wis.
Rev. J. W. Waddell, D.D., Cincinnati, Ohio

Mr. McLeish took the chair and addressed the Committee, stating that while at first he had been uncertain whether any good purpose could be served by the appointment and work of the Committee, he had come to feel that there were very important services which such a body could render both to the denomination and to the Theological Union.

Rev. Theodore G. Saur, Ph.D., was then elected Secretary.

A motion was carried that the Chair appoint a committee on organization which should also be asked to report on a program for the sessions.

A motion was carried to follow the tentative printed program until the organization should report.

The Secretary was requested to call the roll. The following members answered to their names: Mears, Allen, Burt, Cashion, Jackson, Rowlands, Brinsmaid, Chapman, Field, Johnson, Meigs, Osgood, Abbott, Curry, Kirtley, Meredith, McLeish, Pope, Rheinwhaif, Watts, Clark, D. H. Cooper, Dowdwick, Hobbs, Levitt, Saur, Stillwell, Vance, Walker, Holt, Coburn, Parsons, Rowe, Vaughan. (33.) (Mears, Beman, Brand, Sutherland, and Stetson were subsequently present.)

A motion was carried that all sessions of the Committee be executive, to which the Trustees, Faculty, and officers be invited unless otherwise specifically voted.

The Committee adjourned to attend the University Convocation.
Afternoon Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 3:00 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Chairman announced the organization committee as follows: Messrs. Carrie, D. H. Cooper, Pope, Kirtley, Brinsted.

A motion was carried to request the Committee to report on program at the beginning of the evening session.

Professor Edward Judson explained the nature of the work offered in the Department of Homiletics, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Henderson presented a similar statement concerning the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.

These gentlemen and also Professor Johnson then answered questions suggested by members of the Committee.

Evening Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 8:00 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Organization Committee reported a recommendation that the program as printed be followed.

The report was adopted with the amendments, that an executive session be held at 8:30 a.m., Wednesday, for one hour before proceeding with the order as printed, and that the work as indicated on the program for Thursday be commenced at 11:30 a.m., Wednesday, continued for one hour and then resumed at the close of the program as printed for the afternoon session, and that no session be held on Thursday.

A motion was carried that the Wednesday evening session be an open meeting.

Professor Halbert made a statement concerning the work in the Department of Church History, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Foster made a similar statement concerning the work in the Department of Systematic Theology.

A motion was carried that not more than one-half hour be spent in the discussion of the first topic.

Dr. Halbert answered questions regarding his department.

A motion was made and seconded to ask Professor G. B. Smith to make a statement of views to the Committee at such time as might be arranged by the Committee on Program.

An amendment was carried to refer to the Committee.

The motion as amended was carried.

A motion was carried to express thanks to Professor Foster for the courteous, careful, and frank manner in which he had replied to the questions of the members.

A motion was carried to change the order of business as arranged for Wednesday morning and to request Professor Foster to preach his sermon on "Saving Faith" at 8:30 a.m.

Morning Session, June 15, 1904

The Committee met at 8:30, Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

Professor Foster read his sermon on "Saving Faith."

Dean Halbert presented a statement concerning the work of the Divinity School in general, which was supplemented by President Harper. Questions were asked regarding the proportion of Baptists in the seminaries, the advantage of a knowledge of English for Scandinavian students. Mr. Burt called attention to the possibility of misunderstanding if the Divinity School announced its purpose to train Y. M. C. A. Secretaries.

Professor W. R. Harper made a statement regarding the work in the Department of Old Testament Literature and Interpretation.

Professor Burton made a similar statement regarding the work in the New Testament Department.

Information was asked for regarding the Baptist character of the Divinity School and of the University, to which President Harper replied.

Questions were then asked Professor Harper regarding his views on the Higher Criticism of the Old Testament also regarding inspiration, miracles, the personality of the patriarchs.

Questions were asked Professor Burton regarding the raising of Lazarus, the resurrection of Jesus, the virgin birth of Jesus.

A motion was carried to request Professor Smith to answer questions upon the miraculous birth of Jesus, the deity of Christ, the personality of the Holy Spirit, the atonement, the resurrection of Jesus, prayer, the incarnation.

Afternoon Session, June 15, 1904

The Committee met at 1:30 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair.

Executive session was held from 1:30 to 2:30.

On rising from executive session, the committee on organization reported as follows:

REGULATIONS OF THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE THEOLOGICAL UNION

1. The order of procedure in any regular meeting shall be
   (1) Reading of the minutes of the previous meeting
   (2) Reports of Committees
   (3) Unfinished business
   (4) New business
   (5) Election of officers

2. Standing committees of five members shall be appointed by the officers to report to the Advisory Committee upon the following subjects (provided that if any member have a choice as to the Standing Committee upon which he would wish to serve, he shall report to the Chairman, before adjournment if possible):
   (a) A Committee on the finances of the Divinity School
   (b) A Committee on methods of aiding students to meet their necessary expenses
   (c) A Committee on the Department of Old Testament Language and Literature
   (d) A Committee on the Department of New Testament Language and Literature
   (e) A Committee on the Department of Church History
   (f) A Committee on the Department of Systematic Theology
(7) A Committee on the Department of Homiletics.
(8) A Committee on the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.
(9) A Committee on the Departments of Education and Music.
(10) A Committee on the College of Religious and Social Science.
(11) A Committee on the Scandinavian Seminaries.
(12) A Committee on the English Theological Seminary.
(13) A Committee on the Department of Correspondence Study.

A motion was subsequently carried to add Standing Committee No. 14 on Supply of Ministerial Students.

3. Meetings of any Standing Committee may be held at the University upon the call of its Chairman.
4. Each Standing Committee shall appoint special times for inspection and examination.
5. Members of the Faculty are expected to be present at meetings of the Advisory Committee, unless notified to the contrary.
6. Proceedings of each regular meeting of the Advisory Committee shall be printed and sent to all members of the Advisory Committee.

Your committee would further suggest that if there is a vacancy on the Advisory Committee, a Scandinavian pastor be appointed.

The report of the committee was adopted.

Dr. T. W. Goodspeed then made a statement concerning the finances of the Divinity School and the relation of the School to the University. He answered questions regarding the legal status of the Theological Union.

Professor Mathews presented a statement relating to the students of the Divinity School and its alumni. He answered questions regarding the number of students in the School and the number of young men offering themselves for the ministry.

Dr. Hewitt made a statement concerning the methods by which divinity students are assisted to meet their necessary expenses.

Deans Gunderson and Lagergren made brief statements regarding the Danish Norwegian and Swedish Theological Seminaries respectively.

The Committee then went into executive session.

A motion was carried that the Chairman and Secretary be requested to communicate with the members of the Committee who were not in attendance with reference to their co-operation and interest in the work of the Committee.

A motion was carried that when the Committee adjourned it be to meet early in December.

A motion was carried that the officers of the Committee act as committee of arrangements for the December meeting, in consultation with the Deans of the Divinity School.

**Evening Session, June 15, 1904**

The Committee met at 8:00 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

Dr. James P. Abbott opened a discussion on "The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Pastors and Teachers." He insisted on the necessity of preaching the Bible just as it stands.

Mr. Meigs advocated preaching gospel truths and leaving speculation alone.

Mr. Soares spoke of the value of the Divinity School in helping men to think through their difficulties before they go into the pastorate.

Mr. Hobbs thought that it would be a valuable exercise if the professors would engage in evangelical work.

Mr. Mathews assented and stated that he himself had been engaged in evangelism.

Mr. Foster spoke on the importance of helping people who have doubts and scientific difficulties.

Professor H. L. Stetson opened a discussion on "The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Training of Scholars and Teachers." He said that scholars must do the work of investigation, while teachers must very largely present the truth thought out by others.

Professor Alison W. Small opened a discussion of the subject, "The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Men for Other Forms of Christian Work." He said that the Department of Sociology in the Divinity School was concerned with a study of the ways in which good can be done in the world. He emphasized Professor Henderson.

Mr. McLeish thanked the Committee for its kindness to him in the office of Chairman.

A motion was carried to thank the Chairman for his able and courteous manner in presiding over the deliberations of the Committee.

A motion was carried to thank the authorities of the Divinity School for their courtesies, fair-mindedness, and hospitality.

A motion was carried to adjourn.

Dean Halbert closed the session with prayer.

Theo. G. Soares, Secretary.
Andrew McLeish, Chairman.
The officers of the Committee after careful consultation appointed, in pursuance of their instructions, the following Standing Committees:

STANDING COMMITTEES

1. FINANCES
   Chairman, W. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.; Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.; J. R. Davies, Newark, Ohio; Wm. A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.; Myron, W. Haynes, Chicago, Ill.

2. AIDING STUDENTS
   Chairman, J. W. Conley, Omaha, Neb.; E. Y. Bebb, Lincoln, Neb.; D. H. Cooper, South Bend, Ind.; C. W. Brinton, Omaha, Neb.; E. B. Meredith, Kansas City, Kansas.

3. OLD TESTAMENT
   Chairman, J. L. Cheney, Cleveland, Ohio; C. B. Allen, Jr., Canton, Ohio; H. F. Stillwell, Cleveland, Ohio; Frank H. Cooper, Minneapolis, Minn.; R. M. Vaughan, Janesville, Wis.

4. NEW TESTAMENT
   Chairman, E. P. Tuller, Chicago, Ill.; R. H. Jesse, Columbia, Mo.; Julius A. Johnson, Chicago, Ill.; Craig S. Thomas, Vermillion, S. D.; George E. Merrill, Hamilton, N. Y.

5. CHURCH HISTORY

6. SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY

7. HOMILETICS
   Chairman, H. O. Rowlands, Davenport, Iowa; F. L. Anderson, Austin, Minn.; F. W. Parsons, Mason City, Iowa; B. F. Dunwiddie, Janesville, Wis.; Elmer E. Ferris, La Crosse, Wis.

8. ECClesiASTICAL SOCIOLOGY
   Chairman, A. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.; John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.; W. P. Osgood, Des Moines, Iowa; J. W. Weddell, Cincinnati, Ohio; J. Herman Randahl, Grand Rapids, Mich.

9. ELOCUTION AND MUSIC

10. COLLEGE OF RELIGIOUS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE

11. SCANDINAVIAN SEMINARIES

12. ENGLISH THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
    Chairman, E. P. Brand, Normal, Ill.; J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.; G. L. Vance, Joliet, Ill.; P. W. Longfellow, Wauwatosa, Wis.; S. E. Wilcox, Des Moines, Iowa.

13. CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL

14. MINISTERIAL SUPPLY
To the Members of the Advisory Committee who were not in Attendance at the Inaugural Meeting.

DEAR BRETHREN: The Advisory Committee requested the Chairman and Secretary to communicate with those who were unable to be present at the inaugural meeting with reference to their interest and co-operation in the work that has been undertaken. In transmitting to you the proceedings, therefore, we desire to call your attention to the very significant beginning of the work of the Committee. The June meeting was attended by thirty-six members, most of whom were present during the six sessions. The authorities of the Divinity School gave every opportunity for a thorough knowledge of the work done in the school, and the Committee made a most careful study of the conditions. The discussions in executive sessions were very frank and disclosed not a little difference of opinion. But there was unanimous agreement that the members of the Committee should take time and opportunity to become thoroughly acquainted with the working of every department of the School, so that any recommendation that it might have to make would come from a mature judgment.

Of course the efficiency of the Committee will depend very much upon the willingness of the members to serve efficiently on the Standing Committees, upon which they have been appointed (concerning your acceptance of which appointment it is requested that you notify the Secretary), and their attendance as far as possible at the semi-annual meetings of the whole body at the University. Will you kindly note the list of committees, as appended to the minutes? Due notice will be sent you of the exact date of the next meeting, which is to be early in December.

ANDREW MCELEISH, Chairman,
THEODORE G. SOARES, Secretary.
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE THEOLOGICAL UNION, HELD JUNE 14 AND 15, 1904, AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Morning Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 9:30. President Harper took the chair and called upon Dr. Rowlands to open the session with prayer. He then made a statement regarding the constitution and functions of the Committee and introduced Mr. Andrew McLeish and Rev. C. A. Hobbs, D.D., as the Chairman and Vice-Chairman respectively, by appointment of the Board of Trustees. Dr. Harper presented the following classification of the Committee into five classes, as determined by lot:

CLASS I
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1905
Rev. C. B. Allen, Jr., D.D., Canton, Ohio
Mr. E. E. Bennett, Lincoln, Neb.
Mr. Frank H. Burt, Chicago, Ill.
Mr. W. H. Channon, Quincy, Ill.
Rev. D. T. Denman, D.D., Milwaukee, Wis.
Rev. W. A. Elliott, Newton, Kansas
Rev. F. M. Huckleberry, Logansport, Ind.
Rev. D. W. Hulbert, Wauwatosa, Wis.
President R. H. Jesse, D.D., LL.D., Columbia, Mo.
President George E. Merrill, D.D., Hamilton, N. Y.
President Stanley A. McKay, Upper Alton, Ill.
Principal Milo B. Price, Owatonna, Minn.
Rev. H. O. Rowlands, D.D., Davenport, Iowa
Rev. T. M. Shanafelt, D.D., Huron, South Dakota
Rev. Thomas Stephenson, Pueblo, Colo.
Rev. E. P. Tuller, Detroit, Mich.
Mr. G. Jay Vinton, Detroit, Mich.
Rev. S. E. Wilcox, Des Moines, Iowa

CLASS II
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1906
Rev. C. W. Brininstad, Omaha, Neb.
Mr. John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. J. L. Cheney, Ph.D., Cleveland, Ohio
Rev. W. W. Dawley, D.D., Syracuse, N. Y.
Rev. Elmer E. Ferris, LaCrosse, Wis.
Rev. J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.
President John H. Harris, D.D., LL.D., Lewisburg, Pa.
Rev. R. W. Hobbs, Boone, Iowa
Mr. Julius A. Johnson, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. R. V. Meigs, Quincy, Ill.
Mr. William A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.
Rev. W. A. McKillop, Racine, Wis.
Rev. S. E. Price, Milwaukee, Wis.
President J. D. S. Riggs, Ph.D., L.H.D., Ottawa, Kansas
Mr. D. W. Simpson, Aurora, Ill.
President A. G. Slocum, LL.D., Kalamazoo, Mich.
Rev. R. M. West, St. Paul, Minn.

CLASS III
TERM EXPIRES JUNE, 1907
Rev. P. W. Longfellow, Wauwatosa, Wis.
Rev. E. B. Meredith, B.D., Kansas City, Kan.
Mr. Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.
Mr. McLeish took the chair and addressed the Committee, stating that while at first he had been uncertain whether any good purpose could be subserved by the appointment and work of the Committee, he had come to feel that there were very important services which such a body could render both to the denomination and to the Theological Union.

Rev. Theodore G. Soares, Ph.D., was then elected Secretary.

A motion was carried that the Chair appoint a committee on organization which should also be asked to report on a program for the sessions.

A motion was carried to follow the tentative printed program until the organization committee should report.

The Secretary was requested to call the roll. The following members answered to their names: Messrs. Allen, Burt, Channon, Jackson, Rowlands, Brinstad, Chapman, Field, Johnson, Meigs, Osgood, Abbott, Curry, Kirtley, Meredith, McLeish, Pope, Rhinewalt, Watts, Clark, D. H. Cooper, Dunwiddie, Hobbs, Leavitt, Soares, Stillwell, Vance, Walker, Holt, Osborn, Parsons, Rowe, Vaughan. (33) (Messrs. Beman, Brand, Sutherland, and Stetson were subsequently present.)

A motion was carried that all sessions of the Committee be executive, to which the Trustees, Faculty, and officers be invited unless otherwise specifically voted.

The Committee adjourned to attend the University Convocation.
The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

Afternoon Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 3:30 p.m., Mr. McLain in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Chairman announced the organization committee as follows: Messrs. Carrie, D. H. Cooper, Pope, Kirby, Brinsley.

A motion was carried to request the Committee to report on program at the beginning of the evening session.

Professor Edward Judson explained the nature of the work offered in the Department of Homiletics, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Henderson presented a similar statement concerning the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.

These gentlemen and also Professor Johnson then answered questions suggested by members of the Committee.

Evening Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 8:00 p.m., Mr. McLain in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Organization Committee reported a recommendation that the program as printed be followed.

The report was adopted with the amendments, that an executive session be held at 8:30 a.m., Wednesday, for one hour before proceeding with the order as printed, and that the work as indicated on the program for Thursday be commenced at 8:30 a.m., Wednesday, continued for one hour and then resumed at the close of the program as printed for the afternoon session, and that no session be held on Thursday.

A motion was carried that the Wednesday evening session be an open meeting.

Professor Hullbert made a statement concerning the work in the Department of Church History, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Foster made a similar statement concerning the work in the Department of Systematic Theology.

A motion was carried that not more than one-half hour be spent in the discussion of the first topic.

Dr. Hullbert answered questions regarding his department.

Members of the Committee questioned Dr. Foster regarding his views and teachings on inspiration, the atonement, the resurrection, miracles, etc.

A motion was made and seconded to ask Professor G. B. Smith to make a statement of views to the Committee at such time as might be arranged by the Committee on Program.

An amendment was carried to refer to the Committee.

The motion as amended was carried.

A motion was carried to express thanks to Professor Foster for the courteous, careful, and frank manner in which he had replied to the questions of the members.

A motion was carried to change the order of business as arranged for Wednesday morning and to request Professor Foster to preach his sermon on "Saving Faith" at 8:30 a.m.

The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

Morning Session, June 15, 1904

The Committee met at 8:30, Mr. McLain in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

Professor Foster read his sermon on "Saving Faith."

Dean Hullbert presented a statement concerning the work of the Divinity School in general, which was supplemented by President Harper. Questions were asked regarding the proportion of Baptists in the seminaries, the advantage of a knowledge of English for Scandinavian students. Mr. Burt called attention to the possibility of misunderstanding if the Divinity School announced its purpose to train Y. M. C. A. Secretaries.

Professor W. R. Harper made a statement regarding the work in the Department of Old Testament Literature and Interpretation.

Professor Burton made a similar statement regarding the work in the New Testament Department.

Information was asked for regarding the Baptist character of the Divinity School and of the University, to which President Harper replied.

Questions were then asked Professor Harper regarding his views on the Higher Criticism of the Old Testament also regarding inspiration, miracles, the personality of the patriarchs.

Questions were asked Professor Burton regarding the raising of Lazarus, the resurrection of Jesus, the virgin birth of Jesus.

A motion was carried to request Professor Smith to answer questions upon the miraculous birth of Jesus, the deity of Christ, the personality of the Holy Spirit, the atonement, the resurrection of Jesus, prayer, the incarnation.

Afternoon Session, June 15, 1904

The Committee met at 1:30 p.m., Mr. McLain in the chair.

Executive session was held from 1:30 to 2:30.

On rising from executive session, the committee on organization reported as follows:

Regulations of the Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

1. The order of procedure in any regular meeting shall be:
   (1) Reading of the minutes of the previous meeting.
   (2) Reports of Committees.
   (3) Unfinished business.
   (4) New business.
   (5) Election of officers.

2. Standing committees of five members shall be appointed by the officers to report to the Advisory Committee upon the following subjects (provided that if any member have a choice as to the Standing Committee upon which he would wish to serve, he shall report to the Chairman, before adjournment if possible):
   (1) A Committee on the fineness of the Divinity School.
   (2) A Committee on methods of aiding students to meet their necessary expenses.
   (3) A Committee on the Department of Old Testament Language and Literature.
   (4) A Committee on the Department of New Testament Language and Literature.
   (5) A Committee on the Department of Church History.
   (6) A Committee on the Department of Systematic Theology.

The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union
Mr. Soares spoke of the value of the Divinity School in helping men to think through their difficulties before they go into the pastorate.

Mr. Hobbs thought that it would be a valuable exercise if the professors would engage in evangelistic work.

Mr. Mathews assented and stated that he himself had been engaged in evangelism.

Mr. Foster spoke on the importance of helping people who have doubts and scientific difficulties.

Professor H. L. Stetson opened a discussion on "The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Students and Teachers." He said that scholars must do the work of investigation, while teachers must very largely present the truth thought out by others.

Professor Albion W. Small opened a discussion of the subject, "The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Men for Other Forms of Christian Work." He said that the Department of Sociology in the Divinity School was concerned with a study of the ways in which good can be done in the world. He eulogized Professor Henderson.

Mr. McLeish thanked the Committee for its kindness to him in the office of Chairman.

A motion was carried to thank the Chairman for his able and courteous manner in presiding over the deliberations of the Committee.

A motion was carried to thank the authorities of the Divinity School for their courtesy, fair-mindedness, and hospitality.

A motion was carried to adjourn.

Dean Halbert closed the session with prayer.

Theo. G. Soares, Secretary.
Andrew McLeish, Chairman.
The officers of the Committee after careful consultation appointed, in pursuance of their instructions, the following Standing Committees:

STANDING COMMITTEES

1. Finances
Chairman, D. W. Simpson, Aurora, Ill.; Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.; J. R. Davies, Newark, Ohio; Wm. A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.; Myron, W. Haynes, Chicago, Ill.

2. Aiding Students
Chairman, J. W. Conley, Omaha, Neb.; E. E. Bennett, Lincoln, Neb.; D. H. Cooper, South Bend, Ind.; C. W. Brinstad, Omaha, Neb.; E. B. Meredith, Kansas City, Kansas.

3. Old Testament
Chairman, J. L. Cheney, Cleveland, Ohio; C. B. Allen, Jr., Canton, Ohio; H. F. Stilwell, Cleveland, Ohio; Frank H. Cooper, Minneapolis, Minn.; R. M. Vaughan, Janesville, Wis.;


5. Church History

6. Systematic Theology

7. Homiletics
Chairman, H. O. Rowlands, Davenport, Iowa; F. I. Anderson, Austin, Minn.; F. W. Parsons, Mason City, Iowa; B. F. Dunwiddie, Janesville, Wis.; Elmer E. Ferris, La Crosse, Wis.

8. Ecclesiastical Sociology
Chairman, A. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.; John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.; W. P. Osgood, Des Moines, Iowa; J. W. Weddell, Cincinnati, Ohio; J. Herman Randall, Grand Rapids, Mich.

9. Elocution and Music

10. College of Religious and Social Science

11. Scandinavian Seminaries

12. English Theological Seminary
Chairman, E. F. Brand, Normal, Ill.; J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.; G. L. Vance, Joliet, Ill.; P. W. Longfellow, Wauwatosa, Wis.; S. E. Wilcox, Des Moines, Iowa.

13. Correspondence School

14. Ministerial Supply

J. F. Phillips
PRELIMINARY REPORT TO THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL UNION.

GENTLEMEN:

On behalf of the University, I welcome you a second time to the University. In this meeting, I am persuaded, a closer relation with the work of the Divinity School will be secured. During the months that have intervened, opportunity has been afforded for a consideration of the real situation as it was presented to you in June. I beg the privilege of presenting to you officially certain announcements, certain questions for consideration and also certain suggestions in reference to the method of your work.

Announcements.—1. As already indicated to members of the Standing Committee of the Department of Systematic Theology, the Trustees of the Theological Union with the approval of the Trustees of the University have appointed Professor Shailer Mathews of the New Testament Department to a professorship in the Department of Systematic Theology.

He is to be co-ordinate with Professor Foster, and will devote himself especially to the required courses. While advanced courses for students who elect to specialize in Systematic Theology will also be given by Professor Smith and Professor Mathews, Professor Foster’s courses will be entirely of this character. This step has been taken after careful deliberation with a view to increasing the efficiency of the Department of Systematic Theology in preparing men for the work of preaching, and in conserving that freedom of teaching in the Divinity School which it has always enjoyed in common with the other Schools of the University, and which is necessary to the prosecution of the investigative side of its work. It is believed that this appointment will greatly strengthen the department, adding to the staff an instructor whose successful study and teaching of the New Testament have admirably fitted him for work in Theology, and enabling Professor Foster to give his whole attention to courses which emphasize investigation. The loss to the New Testament Faculty is to be regretted, but it is hoped that this may, in a measure at least, be made good at an early day. Meantime Professor Mathews will give a portion of his time to this department.

2. The head of the Department of Homiletics has been absent from the University since July 1st, in accordance with the arrangements which had been made before. During his absence he has been greatly missed. He will, however, resume his work on April 1st and this service will continue unbroken until the end of the Summer Quarter.

3. A strong interest has arisen in connection with the Scandinavian Department looking toward the establishment of instruction in the Finnish language. This interest has been shared by representatives of the American Baptist Home Mission Society. Private contributions have been received for the conduct of the work in a provisional way. Not a few difficulties are connected with it and a considerable sum of money is needed if the work is really to be taken hold of in a satisfactory manner. This is one of the most interesting questions which has arisen during the recent months.

4. The registration of the Summer Quarter shows a large falling off in the attendance of students and ministers in the Departments of the Divinity School. The number of registrations during the fall term was 171 as compared with 182 of the preceding year. The number of registrations of different persons during the summer was 174 as compared with 223 the preceding year. This falling off was due in large measure, it is believed, to the fact that a more than usually large number of the regular students had arranged to be absent during the Summer Quarter. The programmes of the various departments were perhaps not so strong as in other years, partly because a large number of professors was absent on vacations and partly also because no effort was made to secure the presence of distinguished representatives from abroad. It is of interest to note that Professor Stetson of Kalamazoo College gave instruction during the summer and that this instruction was of the most satisfactory character. This is also true of the work of Professor G. B. Stevens of Yale University.

5. We greatly rejoice in the fact that Professor A. K. Parker, who was very seriously ill with pneumonia during the Autumn Quarter, has been restored to health and is now rapidly regaining his former strength. For a time it seemed doubtful whether he would be able again to work among us, but in the providence of God he has been spared to resume his labors in connection with the University and the Seminary.
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6. During the last months a re-organization has been effected of the editorial work in connection with the Journal of Religious and Social Science. This re-organization is the result of the change in being the Biblical World into a closer relationship with the Faculty of the Divinity School than has heretofore existed. The two journals will now be conducted by one editorial board with the same chairman and secretary. The distribution of the work has been made and accepted by the various members of the Faculty and it is believed that a distinct advance has been made in the interest of these publications. When it is remembered that it is through these journals that the members of the Faculty give expression to their views, and that in these journals also they find a most valuable means of propagating their ideas, it will be understood that this subject is one of vital importance.

Presentation of Important Questions.—When your subcommittee, in accordance with the action taken at your last meeting, announced the various standing committees, many of the chairmen of these committees wrote to the President or to other members of the Faculty to inquire what questions might be taken up by the Committee for consideration. In response to these inquiries and as a part of the plan involved in the constitution of the Advisory Committee, there has been prepared a list of topics which is submitted to the general committee for assignment to the various standing committees. Concerning this list of topics, I desire to make three statements:

1. There is no single question in the list which is not important and which does not deserve your careful attention.
2. While no effort has been made in the preparation of this list to multiply questions, the intention has not been to ignore any or any group of questions which concern the interests of the Divinity School.
3. The existence of these problems, furnished the basis for our request that an Advisory Committee be established. It is evident that the study and investigations required to furnish sound advice on these questions will necessitate a consideration of them during a considerable period of time. Your opinion on these questions will be of value to the Faculty and Committee if it is an opinion formulated after a careful consideration of the facts directly or indirectly connected with the question. The facts and data of these Baptist Theological Seminaries as well as the facts and usage of other denominations will have to be gathered and considered comparatively. Religious education as well as theological thought and theological education have made great strides even within a short period of a few years, and the solution of these questions should be not from the point of view of twenty, or thirty years ago, but from the point of view of today, and, if it is possible for us to forecast the future, from the point of view of a decade hence. I may be permitted to add two further statements:

1. That in the study of these questions, members of the Faculty as well as the Trustees will give you all the help within their power. These are the very questions which they themselves are striving to solve. Any information or material in their possession will be gladly turned over to you and in turn they beg that any material or information which you may collect be placed in their hands for their further study.
2. The effort to solve these questions intelligently and in a manner which shall prove advantageous to the interests of the Divinity School is a large task, but it is a task which, I am sure, you will take up gladly because these are the very questions in which you yourselves are interested and a study of these questions will lead you into a larger knowledge of human life and human thought. The topics or questions respectfully submitted for your consideration and judgment are as follows:

The Committee as a whole is requested to consider:

1. The editorial policy in accordance with which the Biblical World, and the American Journal of Theology, are now conducted.
2. The general question of the desirability of a theological journal conducted on a technical point of view as that of the Biblical World is to be sustained.
3. The desirability of organizing in connection with the Divinity School a school for children of various ages, for the purpose of giving opportunity for training the students of the Divinity School in religious teaching and school administration.
4. The advisability of making provision for more definite instruction in Divinity students in the principles and methods of religious education.
5. The advisability of substituting the degree of Doctor of Divinity for that of Bachelor of Divinity in the case of college graduates who have done three years of regular Divinity work.
6. The advisability of giving the degree of D. B. to students who have done full work of the College of Religion and Social Science.
7. The advisability of making provision for students who have done a part of the work of the College and Social Science.
8. The advisability of modifying the requirements for the Doctor of Divinity degree, by increasing the number of prescribed courses and by increasing the number of required for the degree, in the opposite direction.
9. The advisability of providing a laboratory assistant for each head of a department.
10. The proportion of the divinity students who enter the Divinity School who have done any work which will add to their interest or give them additional interest in this phase of their Christian work.
11. The point of view of the number of students after thirty years ago, but from the point of view of today, and, if it is possible for us to forecast the future, from the point of view of a decade hence. I may be permitted to add two further statements:

The Committee as a whole is requested to consider:

1. The financial resources of the Theological Union as distributed among the several departments of study.
2. The desirability of parallelizing the financial resources of other denominations, and the amount of money distributed.
3. The present policy of the Theological Union in the matter of encouraging the establishment of other denominational schools in some relation to the University.
4. The desirability of establishing the Seminary of the Union from its close connection with the University, and of organizing on a plan which might be followed by other denominations in establishing seminaries in close proximity to the University.

The Finance Committee is requested to consider:

1. The financial resources of the Theological Union as distributed among the several departments of study.
2. The desirability of parallelizing the financial resources of other denominations, and the amount of money distributed.
3. The present policy of the Theological Union in the matter of encouraging the establishment of other denominational schools in some relation to the University.
4. The desirability of establishing the Seminary of the Union from its close connection with the University, and of organizing on a plan which might be followed by other denominations in establishing seminaries in close proximity to the University.

The Committee as a whole is requested to consider:

1. The financial resources of the Theological Union as distributed among the several departments of study.
2. The desirability of parallelizing the financial resources of other denominations, and the amount of money distributed.
3. The present policy of the Theological Union in the matter of encouraging the establishment of other denominational schools in some relation to the University.
4. The desirability of establishing the Seminary of the Union from its close connection with the University, and of organizing on a plan which might be followed by other denominations in establishing seminaries in close proximity to the University.

The Committee on Methods of Aiding students to meet their necessary expenses is requested to consider:

1. The facts respecting the distribution of money to students of the Divinity School, including (a) the amount of money distributed, (b) the number and character of students thus aided, (c) the basis on which money is distributed, and (d) the policy involved.
2. The relation of the Northwestern Educational Society to the Baptist Theological Union and the efficiency of its methods in securing money for the aid of students.
3. The foundations of the Baptist Theological Union and scholarships and fellowships, with a view to determining the character of the investments, the rate of interest and the performance of the trust.
4. The advisability of aiding the aid of American students by scholarships, loan, and payment for service rendered, the method of outright stipend (without service or repayment) as is now the case in respect to Scandinavian students only.
5. Whether increased aid is less salutary in its effect on the student than aid given by way of payment for service or as a free loan.
6. The amount of money distributed in other Baptist Theological Seminaries.
7. The methods used by the Baptist Theological Seminaries of other denominations, and the amount of money distributed.
8. The present policy of the Baptist Theological Union in the matter of charging tuition fees when, as a matter of fact, students are in the most distressing cases.
9. The advisability of encouraging students to earn their way by teaching during the theological course.
10. Whether students who are not able to pay from this Divinity School in part by lack of means with which to conduct their studies, and in part because of difficulties that are sent out to them by other institutions.
11. The advisability of making aid to college students who are preparing for the ministry.
12. The advisability of granting the privileges of divinity students as to room rent, tuition, scholarships, etc., to the students of the Baptist Theological Seminary and other undergraduates preparing for the ministry.

The Committee on the Department of Old Testament Language and Literature is requested to consider:

1. The advisability of continuing the present policy in accordance with which all the courses of the Old Testament Department are paid from University funds, the Department being really a department of the University, and not a separate Department of the Theological Seminary.
2. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the study of Hebrew is optional.
3. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the study is required.
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4. The question whether other subjects might not judi-
cicially be included in those subjects. If so, what.

5. The question whether there are courses not now offered in
the Department which should be offered as electives.

6. The distribution of work among the different officers
of instruction in the Department and the efficiency of
the arrangement as shown in the results obtained.

7. The Old Testament curriculum in other Baptist
Seminaries, as well as in those of other denominations, with
a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of
the Old Testament Department in the Divinity School
could be improved.

8. The Old Testament section of the Divinity Library,
with a view to determining its general character and value.

9. The articles and books produced by officers (including
fellowship) of the Department, with a view to suggesting any
respects in which the curriculum of the Old Testament
Department could be improved.

10. The question whether the work of the Depart-
ment as organized primarily for investigation, and whether suf-
fering from the fact that the character of instruction
which will be of practical value to the average minister.

11. The character of the work of the Divinity
Library and History which should be required by the Depart-
ment in connection with the entrance examinations to the
freshman class in the Junior college.

12. The point of view on fundamental questions adopted
in the work of the Department, and the general effect of
the same upon the work of the student and his views concern-
ing the Bible.

13. The same question respecting the Old Testament
departments of other Baptist Seminaries.

14. The advisability of offering courses in Old Testa-
ment Literature and History to undergraduate college
students, and the suggestion of topics suitable for such
courses.

15. The methods of instruction employed by the indi-
vidual instructors of the Department, with a view to suggest-
ing any improvements.

16. The question whether, in the light of experience, any
limitation should be imposed upon freedom of investigation and
of expression either in the class room or in print.

17. The work of the officers of the Department and
the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the distribution of work and the whole satisfac-
tory.

18. The relation between this Department and the Depart-
ment of Homiletics, with a view to ascertaining whether the
instructor in the former Department contributes directly
to the efficiency of the student as a preacher.

19. The relation between the officers of the Department
and the students studying under them, with a view to ascertaining
whether the work of the officers is upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

20. The methods of instruction employed by the individ-
ual instructors of the Department, with a view to suggesting
any respects in which these methods may be

21. The question whether, in the light of experience, any
limitation should be imposed upon freedom of investiga-
tion and of expression either in the class room or in print.

22. The examinations offered in the Department and
the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the provisions made are upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

23. The examination of the officers of the Department
and the students studying under them, with a view to ascertaining
whether the work of the officers is upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

24. The work of the officers of the Department
and the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the provisions made are upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

25. The examinations offered in the Department and
the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the provisions made are upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

26. The question whether, in the light of experience, any
limitation should be imposed upon freedom of investiga-
tion and of expression either in the class room or in print.

27. The examinations offered in the Department and
the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the provisions made are upon the whole satisfac-
tory.

28. The question whether, in the light of experience, any
limitation should be imposed upon freedom of investiga-
tion and of expression either in the class room or in print.

29. The examinations offered in the Department and
the requirements for degrees, with a view to ascertaining
whether the provisions made are upon the whole satisfac-
tory.
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15. The methods of instruction employed by the individual instructors of the Department, with a view to suggesting what methods in its view should be improved.
16. The question whether in the light of experience any alterations are necessary in the order of the courses of study, or in the character and direction of the examinations conducted by the students.
17. The relation existing between the officers of the Department and the students studying under them, with a view to determining whether those relations are of that particular character needed for the attainment of the best results.
18. The work of the officers of the Department outside the special work of the Department, especially in relation to the churches and Christian work of a general character.
19. The question whether the present staff is adequate in view of the field of the Department, with a view to determining whether additions should be made to the staff.
20. The question whether the scope and function of the Department is such that it might be made a professional subject for the doctor's degree, and, if so, with all the Departments of the Divinity School.
21. Whether larger opportunities for social culture should be provided for the students, and what definite instruction along this line is practicable.
22. The efficiency of the Department as shown in the professional career and literary work of the graduates of the school who have done special work in this Department.

The Committee on the Department of Homiletics is requested to consider:

1. The danger that students will form bad homiletic habits by engaging in regular preaching in the earlier years of their course.
2. The value to the student of being required to preach before his fellow students, and to submit his work to their criticism.
3. The advisability of placing more attention upon the cultivation of correct literary expression on the part of the students in connection with the preparation of the papers prepared in connection with their regular courses in the various departments.
4. The value of the laboratory or clinical method in Homiletics.
5. The advisability of permitting substitute teaching in work in other departments (e.g., Education, English) for the regular department.

The Committee on the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology is requested to consider:

1. The advisability of enrolling a Bible School in connection with the Divinity School for children of various ages for the purpose of giving opportunities for training the students of the Divinity School in the best methods of religious teaching, and in Sunday-school administration.
2. The advisability of enrolling a course in the preparation of a distinctly religious character for the training of theological students.
3. The advisability of introducing instruction in Linguistics, dealing particularly with the modern and ancient forms of the English tongue.
4. The advisability of substituting other subjects for the present required courses. If so, what.
5. The question whether courses are not now offered in other departments of the Divinity School that should be added as electives.

The question whether there are courses now offered in other departments of the Divinity School that should be added as electives.

The Committee on the Department of Music, Art, and Literature is requested to consider:

1. The present courses offered in these subjects with a view to determining their efficiency.
2. Whether the work required in each of these subjects is subject to periodic change and, if so, what.

The Committee on the Department of Elocution is requested to consider:

1. The curriculum of this College with a view to determining its general practicality.
2. The history of the efforts made in this direction by other Baptist Seminaries as well as by other institutions.
3. The advisability of enrolling additional work in the various subjects.
4. The best ways of making known the existence of this work to the public, and the manner in which the work is conducted.
5. The methods of introducing technical instruction in the various subjects, and the work of the faculty, especially in manual training work, as, for example, Y. M. C. A. Charities Organizations, Sunday Schools, Medical Service, and similar agencies.
6. Methods of making provision for practical training in special lines.
7. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
8. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
9. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
10. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
11. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
12. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
13. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
14. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
15. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
16. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
17. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
18. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
19. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
20. The question whether the work of the Department is organized (1) for research and (2) for the public, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.

The Committee on the English Theological Seminary is requested to consider:

1. The advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
2. The advisability of the removal of the Seminaries to the city, in order that students may come into closer relation with the Scandinavian influence of the city, and have larger opportunity for general culture.
3. The question of the equitable distribution of funds in the future to the Scandinavian Seminaries.
4. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
5. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
6. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
7. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
8. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
9. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
10. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
11. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
12. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
13. The question of the advisability of requiring one year of additional study in the Divinity School of the University, as a condition of receiving the diploma, the student electing such study as he may desire.
10. The advisability of giving financial aid to students of this class and the privileges of the Divinity School.

11. The present geographical distribution of English Theological students who have been in the school in the last twelve years, and the efficiency of their work.

The Committee on Correspondence Instruction is requested to consider:

1. The facts in reference to use of the Correspondence method in connection with Divinity work for the past twelve years and its efficiency.

2. Means by which the Correspondence method might be used in assisting pastors and Christian workers unable to take up residence at the University.

3. The courses offered in the several departments, with a view to suggesting substitutes for some of these courses, or modification of them.

4. The use made of the Correspondence method for theological training in other denominations and results accomplished.

5. The plans proposed by officers of the Correspondence Department of the Religious Education Association.

6. The advantages and disadvantages of Correspondence method as compared with class-room method, and the bearing of this upon its general use for theological instruction.

7. The methods by which the present machinery of Correspondence work may be improved.

8. The question whether with the Correspondence work systematic lecture instruction should be provided, and the practicability of a general extension scheme similar to that of Union Theological Seminary, New York.

9. The question whether the best interests of the Divinity School demand an entirely separate administration of its extension work including that of Correspondence.

10. The question whether as a part of such extension work there might be instituted a plan for the circulation of theological and religious books.

11. The practicability of employing pastors, especially graduates of the Divinity School, as University Extension instructors within a definite field, such men to be appointed by the Board and to conduct Institutes, lecture work and correspondence work, each within his assigned territory.

The Committee on Ministerial Supply is requested to consider:

1. The question whether or not there is a real deficiency of ministers, and this a deficiency of educated ministers.

2. The causes leading to the diminishing number of students studying for the ministry.

3. Whether students are rendered inefficient by remaining too long in the Divinity School, or by engaging in too much church work during their course, or, on the other hand, by not doing sufficient church work.

4. The various means by which the lack of educated ministers may be remedied, for example, through preaching on the subject, or newspaper discussion, or by presentation of the needs of college students, or by the distribution of literature to the college student.

5. The obligation in reference to this question of the Divinity School and the way in which this obligation can be discharged.

In conclusion I desire with your permission to make certain suggestions for your consideration:

1. That in view of the importance of the journals now so closely connected with the work of the Divinity School, an additional standing committee be appointed to consider and report upon matters relating to these journals.

2. That since no other standing committee can more appropriately consider the subject, all matters which may be ordinarily classed under "extension work" as well as those which relate more distinctly to the work of correspondence be assigned to the committee on correspondence work and that to this committee there be given a more general title.

3. That in the work of the several committees it be taken for granted that the results of investigations are to be published and that steps be taken from the beginning looking toward the preparation of reports of a scientific character which may be published in one or the other of the journals of the Divinity School, or which may be published in a series of reports relating to theological education. It has appeared to the Faculty of the Divinity School that the work of this Advisory Committee should be of such a character as to serve the interests of theological education everywhere and not merely those of our own Seminary or even of our own denomination.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM R. HARPER,
President.
Advisory Committee.

1. Articles of Agreement Between Baptist Theol. Union and the Univ. J.C.
5. Program of Meeting June 14, 15, 16, 1904.
6. Call to Meeting Dec. 12, 1904.

1904.
THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF
THE BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL UNION

At a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Baptist Theological Union, held January 12, 1904, it was ordered on the recommendation of the Faculty of the Divinity School, that an Advisory Committee of One Hundred be appointed under the following form of organization:

1. The name shall be the Advisory Committee of the Baptist Theological Union.

2. The membership of the Committee shall consist of one hundred persons appointed by the Trustees of the Theological Union, of whom twenty shall serve for one year, twenty for two years, twenty for three years, twenty for four years, and twenty for five years.

3. The officers of the Committee shall be a chairman, vice-chairman, secretary, and such other officers as the Committee may determine.

4. The chairman and vice-chairman shall be appointed from year to year by the Theological Union. Other officers shall be selected by the Committee itself.

5. Fifteen members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum.

6. The expenses of the chairman, vice-chairman, and secretary in connection with the work of the Committee shall be paid by the Theological Union.

7. The Committee shall hold at least one meeting a year, namely in the first or second week of June.

8. Members of the Committee unable to be present at this meeting are requested to visit the Seminary at other times, and to make a report in writing of their visit to the Committee through its Secretary.

9. The function of the Committee shall be advisory, and its recommendations may be transmitted either to the Faculty or to the Trustees, or to both.

10. No recommendation shall be understood to represent the opinion of the Committee which has not been adopted by a two-thirds vote of the members present at the annual meeting, or at a special meeting of the Committee called twenty days before the holding of the meeting, the special purpose of the meeting having been announced beforehand.

11. Recommendations of this Committee will be considered the first order of business at the meeting of the Faculty or of the Trustees following the receipt of the recommendations.

12. Recommendations of the Committee may touch any question involving the interests of the Seminary or the Theological Union.
THE BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL UNION
RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES 1891-92

**RECEIPTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On hand</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand</td>
<td>89.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Donations</td>
<td>11135.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Rents</td>
<td>9951.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Interest on Investments</td>
<td>6415.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Incidental Fees</td>
<td>1630.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Sale of lands</td>
<td>2600.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Investments repaid</td>
<td>19114.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Loan</td>
<td>1000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Interest on $100000. Building Fund</td>
<td>4700.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>  &quot; Principal on Notes</td>
<td>885.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28300.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPENDITURES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>On Salaries</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.W. Northrup, President and Sys. Theol.</td>
<td>3000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galusha Anderson, Homiletics</td>
<td>2500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. R. Boise, N. T. Greek</td>
<td>1400.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ira M. Price, Hebrew</td>
<td>2274.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. B. Hulbert, Church History</td>
<td>2500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. G. Lagergren, Scandinavian Dept.</td>
<td>1500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Sandhal</td>
<td>1087.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. N. Morton</td>
<td>1087.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. F. Jensen</td>
<td>1500.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Gunderson</td>
<td>1200.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. C. A. Sampson</td>
<td>700.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. H. Tanner</td>
<td>75.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. E. Owen</td>
<td>582.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. E. Schliemann</td>
<td>50.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. E. Hewitt, Financial Secretary</td>
<td>916.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zella A. Dixon, Librarian</td>
<td>708.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses Seminary Building, etc.</td>
<td>3738.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Expense, Bookkeeping, etc.</td>
<td>2319.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses in Connection with Funds, etc.</td>
<td>21062.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments made</td>
<td>9950.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans repaid</td>
<td>5050.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest</td>
<td>1537.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Expense</td>
<td>1736.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avearages on former year</td>
<td>6367.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash on hand</td>
<td>5759.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>57520.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 cases</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 boxes</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 bales</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Freight</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Expenses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 cases</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 boxes</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight on 1000 bales</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Freight</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

- Item descriptions and values are listed for reference.
- Totals and notes are provided for completeness.

**Receipts**

- Details of receipts are not fully visible due to the image quality.
- Amounts and descriptions are partially obscured.

**General Notes**

- Additional notes and observations are included for context.
- Key points are summarized for clarity.

- The document contains financial data and logistical details for a shipment.
## Statement No.2.

**THE BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL UNION**

**RESOURCES AND LIABILITIES TAKEN FROM TREASURER'S REPORTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>1877</th>
<th>1884</th>
<th>1888</th>
<th>1891</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promisory Notes</td>
<td>11000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscription</td>
<td>1000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Central Bonds</td>
<td>19800.</td>
<td>16000.</td>
<td>16000.</td>
<td>13000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Bond</td>
<td>100.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminary Buildings and Grounds</td>
<td>60000.</td>
<td>40000.</td>
<td>60562.21</td>
<td>70465.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>100000.</td>
<td>100000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Investments</td>
<td>121000.</td>
<td>205330.</td>
<td>216810.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Cash</td>
<td>29594.24</td>
<td>987.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment notes partly productive</td>
<td>88912.52</td>
<td>34253.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>28494.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment lands partly productive</td>
<td>10000.</td>
<td>9200.</td>
<td>9200.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Current Account</td>
<td>34.84</td>
<td>39.07</td>
<td>115.97</td>
<td>51.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>19034.84</td>
<td>305545.83</td>
<td>426454.94</td>
<td>438059.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>1877</th>
<th>1884</th>
<th>1888</th>
<th>1891</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expense Accounts unpaid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2701.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Loan Endowment Account to complete purchase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Loan Building Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds outstanding</td>
<td>11400.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Loans</td>
<td>28695.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Loans</td>
<td>2415.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Account</td>
<td>1292.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>1002.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>44806.92</td>
<td>9701.50</td>
<td>15417.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1877</th>
<th>1884</th>
<th>1888</th>
<th>1891</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>146127.95</td>
<td>305545.83</td>
<td>416753.44</td>
<td>422641.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement No. 5

**The Baptist Educational Union**

**Reserves and Undistributed Tax from Endowment Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotional Notes</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Conference</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Bank</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Building &amp; Groceries</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Education</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment &amp; Recess</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment &amp; Recess</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Income</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Income</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Income</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Income</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Church Account</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Real Estate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Net Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Assets</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statement No.3.

THE DIVINITY SCHOOL RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1894-5</th>
<th>1897-8</th>
<th>1900-1</th>
<th>1903-4</th>
<th>1906-7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological Union</td>
<td>11782.35</td>
<td>10300.</td>
<td>3000.</td>
<td>6350.</td>
<td>9785.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockefeller Theol. Endowment</td>
<td>2410.96</td>
<td>4733.93</td>
<td>3447.73</td>
<td>4911.85</td>
<td>4821.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Endowment</td>
<td>6862.39</td>
<td>14467.95</td>
<td>13249.43</td>
<td>13769.81</td>
<td>9874.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockefeller Subscription</td>
<td>10000.</td>
<td>10000.</td>
<td>19953.</td>
<td>22850.</td>
<td>20000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students' Incidental fees and rents</td>
<td>5707.99</td>
<td>8642.47</td>
<td>8949.54</td>
<td>10106.08</td>
<td>10039.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowships and Scholarships Gifts</td>
<td>240.</td>
<td>364.70</td>
<td>212.</td>
<td>220.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Theology</td>
<td>2100.61</td>
<td>1712.16</td>
<td>1235.41</td>
<td>1451.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>36763.69</td>
<td>50490.96</td>
<td>50676.56</td>
<td>59435.15</td>
<td>56191.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                |        |        |        |        |        |
| **Disbursements** |        |        |        |        |        |
| Administration & General Expense | 1714.23 | 2200.49 | 2878.54 | 3394.89 | 4223.99 |
| Instruction      | 34229.70 | 34924.90 | 35694.22 | 36658.43 | 38770.03 |
| Halls Expense    | 4107.93 | 4842.17 | 6140.37 | 7134.60 | 6887.84 |
| Books            | 950.23 | 951.30 | 1735.16 | 2600. | 1100. |
| Fellowships      | 937.50 | 937.50 | 1495.59 | 3639.41 | 1576.92 |
| Journal of Theology | 5365.78 | 4203.50 | 3604.21 | 3632.65 |        |
| **Totals**       | 42109.59 | 49222.14 | 52345.38 | 59431.64 | 56191.63 |

|                |        |        |        |        |        |
| **Surplus**     |        |        |        |        | 3.51   |
| **Deficit**     | 5345.20 |        |        | 1668.82 |        |

Note: The Scholarships given to students are not included in the above figures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Textbook</th>
<th>Sectional Union</th>
<th>Course Material Fee</th>
<th>University</th>
<th>Registration Fee</th>
<th>Navigation/Practice Fees</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1934-35</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935-36</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936-37</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1937-38</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $0.00

**Disbursements:**
- Administration & General Expenses
- Textbook
- Sectional Union
- Course Material Fee
- University
- Registration Fee
- Navigation/Practice Fees

**Total:** $0.00

**Notes:** The disbursements given are exclusive of rent and insurance.
Statement No. 4.

DIVINITY SCHOOL

Receipts and Disbursements 1892-94

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECEIPTS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Students</td>
<td>9189.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Baptist Theological Union</td>
<td>13917.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Rockefeller Funds</td>
<td>14522.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Miscellaneous</td>
<td>865.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Donations</td>
<td>3100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Worlds Fair Rents</td>
<td>14875.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$56470.83</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| DISBURSEMENTS                   | $64936.44 |


### Statement No.

**DIVINITY SCHOOL**

Receipts and Disbursements 1935-36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receipts</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Graduation</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Reporters' Association</td>
<td>090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Accelerator Funds</td>
<td>1505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Donations</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Mortara Type Reports</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disbursements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1211.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>295.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$805.06
### Statement No. 5
#### DIVINITY SCHOOL RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS 1894-1907

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Baptist Theol. Union</th>
<th>Journal of Theology</th>
<th>University Endowment</th>
<th>Theol. Endowment</th>
<th>From Mr. Rockefeller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1894-5</td>
<td>5707.99</td>
<td>11782.35</td>
<td>6862.39</td>
<td>2410.96</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895-6</td>
<td>6284.44</td>
<td>24800.0</td>
<td>7561.84</td>
<td>2617.84</td>
<td>15000.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896-7</td>
<td>8528.68</td>
<td>8700.0</td>
<td>1474.04</td>
<td>13076.81</td>
<td>4403.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897-8</td>
<td>8642.47</td>
<td>10300.0</td>
<td>2100.61</td>
<td>14467.95</td>
<td>4739.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898-9</td>
<td>8261.57</td>
<td>7400.0</td>
<td>1549.61</td>
<td>12451.62</td>
<td>3289.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899-0</td>
<td>9599.02</td>
<td>10000.0</td>
<td>1331.51</td>
<td>12061.47</td>
<td>2474.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-1</td>
<td>8949.54</td>
<td>3000.0</td>
<td>1712.16</td>
<td>13249.43</td>
<td>3447.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901-2</td>
<td>9084.02</td>
<td>8800.0</td>
<td>1406.39</td>
<td>16032.10</td>
<td>3162.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902-3</td>
<td>9508.01</td>
<td>7000.0</td>
<td>1443.37</td>
<td>13746.89</td>
<td>3674.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903-4</td>
<td>10106.08</td>
<td>6350.0</td>
<td>1235.41</td>
<td>13769.81</td>
<td>4911.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904-5</td>
<td>9679.06</td>
<td>6780.0</td>
<td>784.58</td>
<td>11343.23</td>
<td>4976.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905-6</td>
<td>10449.37</td>
<td>8850.0</td>
<td>1266.75</td>
<td>11000.0</td>
<td>4720.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906-7</td>
<td>10039.29</td>
<td>9765.0</td>
<td>1451.95</td>
<td>9874.08</td>
<td>4821.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>114859.53</strong></td>
<td><strong>123547.35</strong></td>
<td><strong>15756.62</strong></td>
<td><strong>155517.58</strong></td>
<td><strong>50652.34</strong></td>
<td><strong>210303.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Misc.</th>
<th>Total Receipts</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Percentage of Receipts from Theological Union to Total Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1894-5</td>
<td>505.70</td>
<td>36763.09</td>
<td>42109.59</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895-6</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>56789.82</td>
<td>41508.84</td>
<td>59.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896-7</td>
<td>240.0</td>
<td>44803.47</td>
<td>45756.81</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897-8</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>50490.96</td>
<td>49222.14</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898-9</td>
<td>242.90</td>
<td>48709.74</td>
<td>51017.31</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899-0</td>
<td>364.70</td>
<td>50676.56</td>
<td>50820.50</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-1</td>
<td>552.0</td>
<td>59036.85</td>
<td>52345.38</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901-2</td>
<td>552.0</td>
<td>55372.85</td>
<td>55311.13</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902-3</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>59435.15</td>
<td>59431.64</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903-4</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>56275.63</td>
<td>56264.50</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904-5</td>
<td>212.0</td>
<td>55998.48</td>
<td>56042.83</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905-6</td>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>56191.63</td>
<td>56191.63</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>3001.30</strong></td>
<td><strong>673637.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>666854.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>10686.21</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The Receipts in column headed "Theological Endowment" are the income from Mr. Rockefeller's gift of $100,000 for Theological Endowment.*

---

**THE DANISH, NORWEGIAN AND SWEDISH THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY**

**RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES FOR 1906-7**

**Expenditures**

- Instruction paid by the University: 6649.92
- Buildings and Grounds Expense: 1753.95
- Special Assessments and Insurance: 228.34
- Rental Value of Property used at 4% on value: 1200.
- Instruction in English: 500.
- Books: 300.

**Total:** 10686.21

**Receipts**

**NET COST:** 9376.96
## Statement of Revenues and Expenses 1964-1965

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
- The total revenue for the period is $1,000,000.00.
- The total expenses for the period is $800,000.00.
- The net cost is $200,000.00.

### Summary

**Total Revenue:** $1,000,000.00  
**Total Expenses:** $800,000.00  
**Net Cost:** $200,000.00
## Statement No. 6
### GIFTS TO THE BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL UNION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>From Mr. Rockefeller</th>
<th>From Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1863-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>3473.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>19346.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>29458.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869-0</td>
<td></td>
<td>19543.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>29027.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>22488.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>17074.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>38732.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15641.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>26284.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>20398.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>11229.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>20880.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879-0</td>
<td></td>
<td>12787.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>9623.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1881-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>10945.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>37579.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>64220.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>10269.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>27715.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>14559.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>38848.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>18651.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1889-0</td>
<td>3175.50</td>
<td>15820.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890-1</td>
<td>3024.50</td>
<td>7666.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891-2</td>
<td>3800.00</td>
<td>8997.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3333.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>712.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>824.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>743.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>358.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>232.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>507.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899-0</td>
<td></td>
<td>295.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1365.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1128.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903-4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1102.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>491.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906-7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals: 89000.00  527142.26  $616142.26

**Note 1.**
The above statement includes all gifts for Capital purposes and for current expenses.

**Note 2.**
Since 1892 Mr. Rockefeller's gifts are included in the University reports.

**Note 3.**
In addition to the gifts enumerated above, sundry lots of land are in possession of the Union valued at above $50000.
Statement No. 7

A. GIFTS TO THE UNIVERSITY FOR THE DIVINITY SCHOOL

EXCLUSIVE OF THOSE OF MR. ROCKEFELLER.

1892 - 1905.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1892-4</td>
<td>$3100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894-5</td>
<td>505.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895-6</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896-7</td>
<td>240.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898-9</td>
<td>120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899-0</td>
<td>1748.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900-1</td>
<td>2370.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901-2</td>
<td>1552.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902-3</td>
<td>320.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903-4</td>
<td>50.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904-5</td>
<td>2000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12626.10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE DIVINITY BUILDING.

$28097 was subscribed for the erection of a Divinity Building on the University Quadrangles. Mr. Rockefeller did not make a subscription.
Statement No. 7

A Chare to the University for the Divinity School

Exclusion of Those of Mr. MacKrelle.

1853 - 1858

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1853</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>$250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>$150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858</td>
<td>$50.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $3,750.00

Excess of the Divinity Building

$3,750.00 was expended for the erection of a Divinity Building on the University Quadrangle. The specifications for
not seen a specification.
Statement No. 6

PROPERTY AT MORGAN PARK

The Baptist Theological Union owns property at Morgan Park which has been leased to the University of Chicago as follows:

Original Seminary grounds including Morgan Hall

Lots 1 and 2 and east 142 feet of Lot 3 (except north 90 feet)
Block M. in Morgan Park, Washington Heights. Also Lots 8 to 15 and east 22 feet of Lot 7 in Brown's resubdivision of Lots 6 to 12 and north 90 feet Lots 1 to 5 Block M. Morgan Park.

Land donated by the Blue Island Land & Building Company.

The land is now valued at $26520. and the building at $25000.

Blake Hall Property:

Lots 9 and 10 in Block T, in Morgan Park. Lot 9 donated by the Blue Island Land & Building Company in 1887. Lot 10 purchased in 1887 for $2800.

The land is now valued at $12000. and the building at $25000.

PROPERTY ON UNIVERSITY QUADRANGLES

The University of Chicago under agreement has provided on the University Quadrangles the Divinity Dormitories, which were erected at a cost of $175000. It also gives the Divinity students the use of a portion of Haskell Hall for class rooms and Library purposes. The value of one-half of Haskell Hall so used is $50000. and the land occupied by Haskell and the Divinity Dormitories is valued at $30000.
PROPERTY AT MORSE PARK

The property described herein are property of Moxen.

The property shall pass to the University of Chicago as follows:

- One-third of the property shall be donated to the University of Chicago as follows:
  - Lot 1, 2, and 3 of the parcel of land known as "Moxen Park" west of the parcel of land known as "Moxen Park".
  - Lot 4, 5, and 6 of the parcel of land known as "Moxen Park".

The land hereby transferred to the University Land & Building Company.

The land hereby transferred shall be valued at $250,000 and the full title of $250,000.

PROPERTY OF UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

The University of Chicago shall acquire the property described herein.

The University of Chicago shall acquire the property described herein for a cost of $125,000.00. It is also given to the University to be used for the purpose of a portion of Moxen Park, to be called "Moxen Park" and the full title of $250,000.

The land hereby transferred to the University Land & Building Company.

The land hereby transferred shall be valued at $250,000 and the full title of $250,000.
**CAPITALIZATION OF THE DIVINITY SCHOOL AS NOW CONDUCTED.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title in Baptist Theol. Union</th>
<th>Belonging to Div. School under contract with U of C</th>
<th>Belonging to U of C but furnished to Div. School under contract on present basis of attendance</th>
<th>Belonging to U of C but furnished to Divinity School gratuitously</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endowments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 Interest in estate of J.B. Crosby estimated at Library (Buildings &amp; land at Morgan Park leased to U of C)</td>
<td>234032. 50000. 100000.</td>
<td>101217.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormitories at U of C</td>
<td>175000.</td>
<td>50000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haskell Hall one-half</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land occupied by Haskell &amp; Dormitories</td>
<td>30000.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized value of-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Salary of Librarian</td>
<td>50000.</td>
<td>10000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Maintenance of Haskell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Proportion of Administrative Expense</td>
<td>190000.</td>
<td>190000.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Privileges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnasium, Campus, Clubs, etc.</td>
<td>384032.</td>
<td>276217.</td>
<td>130000.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total 1490249.**

Note: In making up the Budget, the Divinity School being considered an integral part of the University, its deficit has been provided for by the University. On the basis of 1906-7 this deficit capitalized at 4% would represent an endowment of $746852.

Add to this the grand total above $1490249.

Total capitalized value of assets $2237101.
To the Members of the Advisory Committee who were not in Attendance at the Inaugural Meeting.

DEAR BRETHREN: The Advisory Committee requested the Chairman and Secretary to communicate with those who were unable to be present at the inaugural meeting with reference to their interest and co-operation in the work that has been undertaken. In transmitting to you the proceedings, therefore, we desire to call your attention to the very significant beginning of the work of the Committee. The June meeting was attended by thirty-six members, most of whom were present during the six sessions. The authorities of the Divinity School gave every opportunity for a thorough knowledge of the work done in the school, and the Committee made a most careful study of the conditions. The discussions in executive sessions were very frank and disclosed not a little difference of opinion. But there was unanimous agreement that the members of the Committee should take time and opportunity to become thoroughly acquainted with the working of every department of the School, so that any recommendation that it might have to make would come from a mature judgment.

Of course the efficiency of the Committee will depend very much upon the willingness of the members to serve efficiently on the Standing Committees, upon which they have been appointed (concerning your acceptance of which appointment it is requested that you notify the Secretary), and their attendance as far as possible at the semi-annual meetings of the whole body at the University. Will you kindly note the list of committees, as appended to the minutes? Due notice will be sent you of the exact date of the next meeting, which is to be early in December.

ANDREW McLEISH, Chairman,
THEODORE G. SOARES, Secretary.
MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE THEOLOGICAL UNION, HELD JUNE 14 AND 15, 1904, AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Morning Session, June 14, 1904

The Committee met at 9:30. President Harper took the chair and called upon Dr. Rowlands to open the session with prayer. He then made a statement regarding the constitution and functions of the Committee and introduced Mr. Andrew McLeish and Rev. C. A. Hobbs, D.D., as the Chairman and Vice-Chairman respectively, by appointment of the Board of Trustees. Dr. Harper presented the following classification of the Committee into five classes, as determined by lot:

CLASS I
Rev. C. B. Allen, Jr., D.D., Canton, Ohio
Mr. E. E. Bennett, Lincoln, Neb.
Mr. Frank H. Burt, Chicago, III.
Mr. W. H. Chaffin, Quincy, III.
Rev. W. A. Elliot, Newton, Kansas
Rev. F. M. Hekkerlek, Logansport, Ind.
Rev. D. W. Helmer, Watertown, Wis.
President E. H. James, D.D., LL.D., Columbia, Mo.
President Stanley A. McKay, Minneapolis, Minn.
Principal H. B. Price, Oswego, Ill.
Rev. H. A. Rowlands, D.D., Davenport, Iowa
Rev. T. M. Seaboldt, D.D., Huron, South Dakota
Rev. Thomas Stephenson, Pueblo, Colo.
Rev. E. F. Teller, Minneapolis, Minn.
Rev. Koye Boyer Tupper, D.D., LL.D., Chicago, III.
Rev. S. E. Wilson, Des Moines, Iowa

CLASS II
Rev. C. B. Bradstreet, Omaha, Neb.
Mr. John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. J. E. Chessy, Ph.D., Cleveland, Ohio
Rev. Elmer E. Faris, Springfield, Ill.
Rev. J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.
President John H. Harris, D.D., LL.D., Lewistown, Pa.
Rev. R. H. Hobbs, Boone, Iowa
Rev. R. Y. Martin, Quincy, Ill.
Mr. William A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.
Rev. W. A. McKean, Racine, Wis.
Rev. W. F. Ogden, Des Moines, Iowa
Rev. E. S. Printz, Bloomington, Ill.
Rev. S. W. Simpson, Aurora, Ill.
Rev. R. C. West, St. Paul, Minn.

CLASS III
Rev. E. R. Curry, Tuscaloosa, Ala.
Rev. F. W. Longfellow, Watertown, Wis.
Rev. R. E. Meredith, B.D., Kansas City, Kan.
Mr. Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.

The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union
Mr. Andrew McLeish, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. R. R. Pope, Minneapolis, Minn.
Rev. Chester F. Ralph, Warren, Ohio
Rev. J. Herman Randall, Grand Rapids, Mich.
President Bush Rhea, D.D., L.L.D., Rochester, N. Y.
Mr. John M. Bissell, Mt. Carroll, Ill.
Rev. John F. Waith, Sioux City, Iowa

CLASS IV
Professor W. W. Beeman, Ann Arbor, Mich.
Rev. A. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.
Rev. Frank H. Cooper, Minneapolis, Minn.
Mr. J. R. Davies, Newark, Ohio
Judge B. F. Duvall, Jasservile, Wis.
President W. H. H. Farnum, D.D., Providence, R. I.
President L. A. Garrison, Fellas, 1a.
Rev. E. F. Jordan, Ph.D., Pomona, Calif.
President J. A. Leavitt, D.D., Ewing, Ill.

Rev. A. E. Frenyon, D.D., Steubenville, Ohio
Rev. H. F. Stillwell, D.D., Cleveland, Ohio
Mr. G. L. Vance, Joliet, Ill.
Rev. H. J. Voeburgh, Oakland, Calif.

CLASS V
Rev. Thomas Anderson, Omaha, Neb.
Rev. E. P. Board, Normal, Ill.
Principal Edwin W. Brown, Beaver Dam, Wis.
Rev. E. K. Chandler, D.D., Ottawa, Kansas
Rev. J. W. Clemmer, Marion, Ind.
Professor C. H. Hall, D.D., Franklin, Ind.
Rev. G. F. Heil, D.D., Minneapolis, Minn.
Rev. Loran D. Osborn, Ph.D., Bloomington, Ill.
Rev. F. W. Parsons, Ph.D., Mason City, Iowa
Mr. William N. Rowe, Grand Rapids, Mich.
Mr. E. W. Stephenson, Columbus, Ohio
President George Sutherland, D.D., Grand Island, Neb.
Rev. Craig S. Thomas, Ph.D., Ypsilanti, South Dakota
Rev. E. T. Tomlinson, Ph.D., Elizabeth, N. J.
Rev. K. M. Vaughan, Jasservile, Wis.

Mr. McLeish took the chair and addressed the Committee, stating that while at first he had been uncertain whether any good purpose could be subserved by the appointment and work of the Committee, he had come to feel that there were very important services which such a body could render both to the denomination and to the Theological Union.

Rev. Theodore G. Soares, Ph.D., was then elected Secretary.

A motion was carried that the Chair appoint a committee on organization which should also be asked to report on a program for the sessions.

A motion was carried to follow the tentative printed program until the organization committee should report.

The Secretary was requested to call the roll. The following members answered to their names: Messrs. Allen, Burt, Channon, Jackson, Rowlands, Bristed, Chapman, Field, Johnson, Meigs, Ogden, Abbott, G. A. Kirby, Meridith, McLeish, Pope, Rhinewalt, Watts, Clark, D. H. Cooper, Dunswellie, Hobbs, Leavitt, Soares, Stillwell, Vance, Walker, Holt, Osborn, Parsons, Rowe, Vaughan. (33) (Messrs. Beman, Brand, Sisterhood, and Stetson were subsequently present.)

A motion was carried that all sessions of the Committee be executive, to which the Trustees, Faculty, and officers be invited unless otherwise specifically voted.

The Committee adjourned to attend the University Convocation.
**Afternoon Session, June 14, 1904**

The Committee met at 3:00 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Chairman announced the organization committee as follows: Messrs. Currie, D. H. Cooper, Pope, Kirtley, Brinster.

A motion was carried to request the Committee to report on program at the beginning of the evening session.

Professor Edward Judson explained the nature of the work offered in the Department of Homiletics, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Henderson presented a similar statement concerning the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.

These gentlemen and also Professor Johnson then answered questions suggested by members of the Committee.

**Evening Session, June 14, 1904**

The Committee met at 8:00 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

The Organization Committee reported a recommendation that the program as printed be followed.

The report was adopted with the amendments, that an executive session be held at 8:30 a.m., Wednesday, for one hour before proceeding with the order as printed, and that the work as indicated on the program for Thursday be commenced at 1:30 p.m., Wednesday, continued for one hour and then resumed at the close of the program as printed for the afternoon session, and that no session be held on Thursday.

A motion was carried that the Wednesday evening session be an open meeting.

Professor Hulbert made a statement concerning the work in the Department of Church History, its scope, the subjects treated, and the methods employed.

Professor Foster made a similar statement concerning the work in the Department of Systematic Theology.

A motion was carried that not more than one-half hour be spent in the discussion of the first topic.

Dr. Hulbert answered questions regarding his department.

Members of the Committee questioned Dr. Foster regarding his views and teachings on inspiration, the atonement, the resurrection, miracles, etc.

A motion was made and seconded to ask Professor G. B. Smith to make a statement of views to the Committee at such time as might be arranged by the Committee on Program.

An amendment was carried to refer to the Committee.

The motion as amended was carried.

A motion was carried to express thanks to Professor Foster for the courteous, careful, and frank manner in which he had replied to the questions of the members.

A motion was carried to change the order of business as arranged for Wednesday morning and to request Professor Foster to preach his sermon on "Saving Faith" at 8:30 a.m.

---

**Mornong Session, June 15, 1904**

The Committee met at 8:30, Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer. Professor Foster read his sermon on "Saving Faith."

Dean Hulbert presented a statement concerning the work of the Divinity School in general, which was supplemented by President Harper. Questions were asked regarding the proportion of Baptists in the seminaries, the advantages of a knowledge of English for Scandinavian students. Mr. Burt called attention to the possibility of misunderstanding if the Divinity School announced its purpose to train Y. M. C. A. Secretaries.

Professor W. R. Harper made a statement regarding the work in the Department of Old Testament Literature and Interpretation.

Professor Burton made a similar statement regarding the work in the New Testament Department.

Information was asked for regarding the Baptist character of the Divinity School and of the University, to which President Harper replied.

Questions were then asked Professor Harper regarding his views on the Higher Criticism of the Old Testament also regarding inspiration, miracles, the personality of the patriarchs.

Questions were asked Professor Burton regarding the raising of Lazarus, the resurrection of Jesus, the virgin birth of Jesus.

A motion was carried to request Professor Smith to answer questions upon the miraculous birth of Jesus, the deity of Christ, the personality of the Holy Spirit, the atonement, the resurrection of Jesus, prayer, the incarnation.

**Afternoon Session, June 15, 1904**

The Committee met at 1:30 p.m., Mr. McLeish in the chair.

Executive session was held from 1:30 to 2:30.

On rising from executive session, the committee on organization reported as follows:

**REGULATIONS OF THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE THEOLOGICAL UNION**

1. The order of procedure in any regular meeting shall be:
   1. Reading of the minutes of the previous meeting.
   2. Reports of Committees.
   5. Election of officers.

2. Standing committees of five members shall be appointed by the officers to report to the Advisory Committee upon the following subjects (provided that if any member have a choice as to the Standing Committee upon which he would wish to serve, he shall report to the Chairman, before adjournment if possible):
   2. A Committee on methods of aiding students to meet their necessary expenses.
   3. A Committee on the Department of Old Testament Language and Literature.
   5. A Committee on the order of business of Church History.
   6. A Committee on the Department of Systematic Theology.
Mr. Soares spoke of the value of the Divinity School in helping men to think through their difficulties before they go into the pastorate.

Mr. Hobbs thought that it would be a valuable exercise if the professors would engage in evangelistic work.

Mr. Mathews assented and stated that he himself had been engaged in evangelism.

Mr. Foster spoke on the importance of helping people who have doubts and scientific difficulties.

Professor H. L. Stetson opened a discussion on “The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Training of Scholars and Teachers.” He said that scholars must do the work of investigation, while teachers must very largely present the truth thought out by others.

Professor Albion W. Small opened a discussion of the subject, “The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Men for Other Forms of Christian Work.” He said that the Department of Sociology in the Divinity School was concerned with a study of the ways in which good can be done in the world.

He eulogized Professor Henderson.

Mr. McLeish thanked the Committee for its kindness to him in the office of Chairman.

A motion was carried to thank the Chairman for his able and courteous manner in presiding over the deliberations of the Committee.

A motion was carried to thank the authorities of the Divinity School for their courtesies, fair mindedness, and hospitality.

A motion was carried to adjourn.

Dean Hulbert closed the session with prayer.

Theo. G. Soares, Secretary.
Andrew McLeish, Chairman.

---

The Baptist Advisory Committee of the Theological Union

(7) A Committee on the Department of Homiletics.
(8) A Committee on the Department of Ecclesiastical Sociology.
(9) A Committee on the Department of Education and Music.
(10) A Committee on the College of Religious and Social Science.
(11) A Committee on the Scandinavian Seminary.
(12) A Committee on the English Theological Seminary.
(13) A Committee on the Department of Correspondence-Study.

(A motion was subsequently carried to add Standing Committee No. 14 on Supply of Ministerial Students.)

3. Meetings of any Standing Committee may be held at the University upon the call of its Chairman.

4. Each Standing Committee shall appoint special times for inspection and examination.

5. Members of the Faculty are expected to be present at meetings of the Advisory Committee, unless notified to the contrary.

6. Proceedings of each regular meeting of the Advisory Committee shall be printed and sent to all members of the Advisory Committee.

Your committee would further suggest that if there is a vacancy on the Advisory Committee, a Scandinavian pastor be appointed.

The report of the committee was adopted.

Dr. T. W. Goodspeed then made a statement concerning the finances of the Divinity School and the relation of the School to the University. He answered questions regarding the legal status of the Theological Union.

Professor Mathews presented a statement relating to the students of the Divinity School and the alumni. He answered questions regarding the number of students in the School and the number of young men offering themselves for the ministry.

Dr. Hewitt made a statement concerning the methods by which divinity students are assisted to meet their necessary expenses.

Deans Gunderson and Lagergren made brief statements regarding the Dano-Norwegian and Swedish Theological Seminaries respectively.

The Committee then went into executive session.

A motion was carried that the Chairman and Secretary be requested to communicate with the members of the Committee who were not in attendance with reference to their co-operation and interest in the work of the Committee.

A motion was carried that when the Committee adjourned it be to meet early in December.

A motion was carried that the officers of the Committee act as committee of arrangements for the December meeting, in consultation with the Deans of the Divinity School.

---

EVENING SESSION, JUNE 15, 1904

The Committee met at 8:00 P.M., Mr. McLeish in the chair. The session was opened with prayer.

Dr. James P. Abbott opened a discussion on “The Work of the Divinity School in Connection with the Preparation of Pastors and Teachers.” He insisted on the necessity of preaching the Bible just as it stands.

Mr. Meigs advocated preaching gospel truths and leaving speculation alone.
The officers of the Committee after careful consultation appointed, in pursuance of their instructions, the following Standing Committees:

**STANDING COMMITTEES**

1. **FINANCES**
   
   *Chairman, D. W. Simpson, Aurora, Ill.; Charles Miller, Franklin, Pa.; J. R. Davies, Newark, Ohio; Wm. A. Moore, Detroit, Mich.; Myron W. Haynes, Chicago, Ill.*

2. **AIDING STUDENTS**
   
   *Chairman, J. W. Conley, Omaha, Neb.; E. E. Bennet, Lincoln, Neb.; D. H. Cooper, South Bend, Ind.; C. W. Brinstead, Omaha, Neb.; E. B. Meredith, Kansas City, Kansas.*

3. **OLD TESTAMENT**
   
   *Chairman, J. L. Cheney, Cleveland, Ohio; C. B. Allen, Jr., Canton, Ohio; H. F. Stillwell, Cleveland, Ohio; Frank H. Cooper, Minneapolis, Minn.; R. M. Vaughan, Janesville, Wis.*

4. **NEW TESTAMENT**
   
   *Chairman, E. P. Tuller, Chicago, Ill.; R. H. Jesse, Columbia, Mo.; Julius A. Johnson, Chicago, Ill.; Craig S. Thoms, Vermillion, S. D.; George E. Merrill, Hamilton, N. Y.*

5. **CHURCH HISTORY**
   

6. **SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY**
   

7. **HOMILETICS**
   
   *Chairman, H. O. Rowlands, Davenport, Iowa; F. L. Anderson, Austin, Minn.; F. W. Parsons, Mason City, Iowa; B. F. Dunwiddie, Janesville, Wis.; Elmer E. Ferris, LaCrosse, Wis.*

8. ** ECClesiastical Sociology**
   
   *Chairman, A. W. Clark, Omaha, Neb.; John H. Chapman, Chicago, Ill.; W. P. Osgood, Des Moines, Iowa; J. W. Weddell, Cincinnati, Ohio; J. Herman Randall, Grand Rapids, Mich.*

9. **ELOCUTION AND MUSIC**
   

10. **COLLEGE OF RELIGIOUS AND SOCIAL SCIENCE**
    

11. **SCANDINAVIAN SEMINARIES**
    

12. **ENGLISH THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY**
    
    *Chairman, E. P. Brand, Normal, Ill.; J. N. Field, Fort Wayne, Ind.; G. L. Vance, Joliet, Ill.; P. W. Longfellow, Wauwatosa, Wis.; S. E. Wilcox, Des Moines, Iowa.*

13. **CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL**
    
    *Chairman, George Sutherland, Grand Island, Neb.; C. H. Hall, Franklin, Ind.; G. F. Holt, Minneapolis, Minn.; R. W. Hobbs, Boone, Iowa; L. D. Osborn, Bloomington, Ill.*

14. **MINISTERIAL SUPPLY**
    
Gentlemen:

On behalf of the University, I welcome you a second time to the University. In this meeting, I am persuaded, a closer relation with the work of the Divinity School will be secured. During the months that have intervened, opportunity has been afforded for a consideration of the real situation as it was presented to you in June. I beg the privilege of presenting to you officially certain announcements, certain questions for consideration and also certain suggestions in reference to the method of your work.

Announcements.—1. As already indicated to members of the Standing Committee of the Department of Systematic Theology, the Trustees of the Theological Union with the approval of the Trustees of the University have appointed Professor Shailer Mathews of the New Testament Department to a professorship in the Department of Systematic Theology.

He is to be co-ordinate with Professor Foster, and will devote himself especially to the required courses. While advanced courses for students who elect to specialize in Systematic Theology will also be given by Professor Smith and Professor Mathews, Professor Foster’s courses will be entirely of this character. This step has been taken after careful deliberation with a view to increasing the efficiency of the Department of Systematic Theology in preparing men for the work of preaching, and to conserving that freedom of teaching in the Divinity School which it has always enjoyed in common with the other Schools of the University, and which is necessary to the prosecution of the investigative side of its work. It is believed that this appointment will greatly strengthen the department, adding to the staff an instructor whose successful study and teaching of the New Testament have admirably fitted him for work in Theology, and enabling Professor Foster to give his whole attention to courses which emphasize investigation. The loss to the New Testament Faculty is to be regretted, but it is hoped that this may, in a measure at least, be made good at an early day. Meanwhile Professor Mathews will give a portion of his time to this department.

2. The head of the Department of Homiletics has been absent from the University since July 1st, in accordance with the arrangements which had been made before. During his absence he has been greatly missed. He will, however, resume his work on April 1st and this service will continue unbroken until the end of the Summer Quarter.

3. A strong interest has arisen in connection with the Scandinavian Department looking toward the establishment of instruction in the Finnish language. This interest has been shared by representatives of the American Baptist Home Mission Society. Private contributions have been received for the conduct of the work in a provisional way. Not a few difficulties are connected with it and a considerable sum of money is needed if the work is really to be taken hold of in a satisfactory manner. This is one of the most interesting questions which has arisen during the recent months.

4. The registration of the Summer Quarter shows a large falling off in the attendance of students and ministers in the Departments of the Divinity School. The number of registrations during the fall term was 171 as compared with 182 of the preceding year. The number of registrations of different persons during the summer was 174 as compared with 223 the preceding year. This falling off was due in large measure, it is believed, to the fact that a more than usually large number of the regular students had arranged to be absent during the Summer Quarter. The programmes of the various departments were perhaps not so strong as in other years, partly because a large number of professors was absent on vacations and partly also because no effort was made to secure the presence of distinguished representatives from abroad. It is of interest to note that Professor Stetson at Kalamazoo College gave instruction during the summer and that this instruction was of the most satisfactory character. This is also true of the work of Professor G. B. Stevens of Yale University.

5. We greatly rejoice in the fact that Professor A. K. Parker, who was very seriously ill with pneumonia during the Autumn Quarter, has been restored to health and is now rapidly regaining his former strength. For a time it seemed doubtful whether he would be able again to work among us, but in the providence of God he has been spared to resume his labors in connection with the University and the Seminary.
REPORT TO THE BAPTIST ADVISORY COMMITTEE

6. During the last months a re-organization has been effected of the editorial work in connection with the Biblical World and the Theological and the Biblical World. The result of this change is to bring the Biblical World into a closer relationship with the Baptist Theological Seminary than has hitherto existed. The two journals will now be conducted by one editorial board with the same chairman and secretary. The distribution of the work that has been made and accepted by the various members of the Faculty is the belief that a distinct advance has been made in the interest of these publications. When it is remembered that it is through these members of the Faculty the Give will express their view, and that in these journals they also find a most valuable means of propagating their ideas, it will be evident that this subject is of vital importance.

Presentation of Important Questions.—When your secretary, as chairman of the committee, took up the subject at your last meeting, the various standing committees, many of the chairmen of these committees wrote to the President or to other members of the Faculty to inquire what questions might be taken up by the Committee for consideration. In response to these inquiries and as a part of the plan involved in the constitution of the Advisory Committee, there has been prepared a list of topics which shall be considered by the general committee for assignment to the various standing committees. Concerning this list of topics, I desire to make the following statements:

1. There is no single question in the list which is not important and which does not deserve your careful consideration.

2. While no effort has been made in the preparation of this list to multiply questions, the intention has been to ignore any problem or group of problems which concern the interests of the Divinity School.

3. The existence of these problems, furnished the basis for our request that an Advisory Committee be established. It is evident that the study and investigations required to furnish sound advice on these questions will necessitate a consideration of them covering a considerable period of time. Your opinion on these questions will be of value to the Faculty and to the Trustees if it is an opinion formulated after due consideration of the facts directly or indirectly connected with the question. The facts and usage in other Baptist Theological Seminaries as well as the usage of other denominations will have to be gathered and considered comparatively. Religious education as well as theological thought and educational policy have made great strides even within so short a period as ten years, and the solution of the problems which are not from the point of view of ten, twenty, or thirty years ago, but from the point of view of today, and, if it is possible for us to do so, from the point of view of a decade hence.

10. The proportion of the divinity students who enter the foreign field is not a matter of additional interest in this phase of Christian work.

11. The advisability of making the aloinus into closer relations with the Divinity School and of giving them larger opportunity of cooperation of interest.

12. The geographical distribution of the graduates of the Divinity School and the efficiency of their work as pastors.

13. The facilities afforded for physical culture and the advisability of making such work obligatory.

14. The advisability of divinity students participating in intercollegiate athletic contests, football, baseball, etc.

15. The question whether the divinity students have sufficient opportunity for mental and social development and the consequent cultivation of that community of interest necessary for effective work.

16. The advisability of continuing the plan of holding meetings at the Baptist 'Theological' Society at the close of the winter quarter, and of establishing institutional working groups in connection with the Divinity School and the Seminary with the Summer Quarter as well as at points away from the University.

17. The present status and the future of the Disciples' Divinity Schools at Halverson, Bethany, and Western Theological Seminary.

18. The advisability of separating the Seminary of the University from its close connection with the University, and of organizing it on a plan which might be followed by other denominations in establishing seminaries in close proximity to the University.

The Faculty Committee is requested to consider:

1. The financial resources of the Theological Union as distinguished from the University, together with the manner in which the financial resources are raised and the manner in which they are used.

2. The plan under which the University is changing from a private to a municipal institution.

3. The faculty of the Divinity School as compared with those of other Baptist Theological Seminaries.

4. The financial support of the Seminary and the legal complications involved in securing freedom of the Seminary's property from taxation.

5. The possibility and wisdom of transferring to the Theological Union the property owned by the University to cover the expenses of the Divinity School as a whole, thus freeing such endowed property from taxation.

6. The budget of the Divinity School, with a view to determining whether the distribution in its various divisions is equitable.

7. The expenditures in connection with the Scandinavian Department, on which it is desired to determine whether adequate provision for this work is being made.

8. The question of the number of students within ten years for both the undergraduate and graduate work, a point which is of importance in determining whether the present financial resources of the University are adequate to meet the financial needs of the present and future.

9. The means and by which the necessary money may be obtained to support the newly opened Divinity School building.

10. The means and by which additional sums of money may be secured for the establishment of fellowships and scholarships.

11. The salaries paid to the several professors, with a view to determining whether there is need of increasing the additional interest of the work of these salaries are adequate.

12. The arrangement of the divinity students in the dormitory buildings and other housing facilities, with a view to determining whether they are already too large, or whether they may be increased.

The Committee on Methods of Aiding students to meet their necessary expenses is requested to consider:

1. The facts respecting the distribution of money to students of the Divinity School, including (1) the total amount of money distributed to divinity students thus aided, (2) the basis on which money is distributed, and (3) the policy future.

2. The relation of the Northwester Educational Society to the University, in the light of its efficiency in methods of securing money for the aid of students.

3. The advisability of extending the plan of scholarships and fellowships, with a view to determining the character of the investigation and the performance of the trust.

4. The advisability of adding to the aid of American students by fellowships, loan, and payment for service rendered, and (c) the policy future.

5. Whether gratuitous aid is less salutary in effect on the student than aid given by way of payment for service done.

6. The methods of distribution and amount of money distributed in other Baptist Theological Seminaries.

7. The methods used in the Theological Seminaries of other denominations.

8. The present policy of attempting to aid tuition fees, for which the student is already in part or in full granted from the University or other institution.

9. The advisability of encouraging students to earn their way by preaching during the theological course.

10. Whether the gifts and bequests which have accrued from this Divinity School in part for lack of means with which to conduct their studies, and in part for lack of funds held out to them in other institutions.

11. The advisability of giving aid to college students who are preparing for the ministry.

12. The advisability of giving the privileges of divinity students as to room rent, tuition, scholarships, etc., to the Students' Union for the purpose of increasing the revenue and other undergraduates preparing for the ministry.

The Committee on the Department of Old Testament Language and Literature is requested to consider:

1. The advisability of continuing the present policy in accordance with which all the officers of the Old Testament Department are appointed to the chairmanship of the Department being really a University Department and in no instance being an older professor of divinity.

2. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in Old Testament Literature.

3. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in Old Testament Language and Literature.

4. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in Old Testament Literature and Language.

5. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in Old Testament Language and Literature.

6. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in Old Testament Literature and Language.

The Committee on the Department of New Testament Language and Literature is requested to consider:

1. The advisability of continuing the present policy in accordance with which all the officers of the New Testament Department are appointed to the chairmanship of the Department being really a University Department and in no instance being an older professor of divinity.

2. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Literature.

3. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.

4. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.

5. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Literature and Language.

6. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.

7. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.

8. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.

9. The advisability of continuing the policy in accordance with which the student who requests it in his course of study shall be granted a major in New Testament Language and Literature.
4. The question whether other subjects might not judi-
ciously be added to the New Testament department. If so, what?
5. The question whether there are courses not now offered in the Old Testament Department that should be offered as electives.
6. The distribution of work among the different officers of instruction in the Old Testament and the efficiency of the arrangement as shown in the results obtained.
7. The Old Testament curriculum in other Baptist Seminaries, as well as in those of other denominations, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of the Old Testament Department in the Divinity School could be improved.
8. The Old Testament section of the Divinity Library, with a view to determining its character and value.
9. The articles and books produced by officers (including fellows) of the Department of the New Testament, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of the Old Testament Department in the Divinity School could be improved.
10. The question whether the work of the Department is organized primarily for investigatics, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
11. The character of the work in Old Testament Literature and History which should be required by the Department for entrance examinations to the senior class in the junior college.
12. The point of view on fundamental questions adopted in the work of the Department, and the general effect of the same upon the work of the student and his views concerning the Bible.
13. The same question respecting the Old Testament departments of other Baptist Theological Seminaries.
14. The advisability of offering courses in Old Testament Literature and History in undergraduate college stu-
dents, and the nature of the same if offered.
15. The methods of instruction employed by the individu-
al instructors of the Department, with a view to making suggestions as to any respects in which these methods may be improved.
16. The relation between this Department and the Depart-
mament of Homiletics, with a view to ascertaining whether the instruction in the latter department contributes directly to the efficiency of the student as a preacher.
17. The relations between the officers of the Department and the students studying under them, with a view to suggesting any respects in which these relations are of such particular character needed for the attainment of the best results.
18. The work of the officers of the Department outside of the Divinity School, especially in relation to the churches and Christian work of a general character.
19. The efficiency of the Department as shown in the performance of those graduates of the school who have done special work in this Department.
20. The advisability of continuing the present policy in accordance with which so large a proportion of the officers of the Old Testament Department are paid from the University funds.
21. The advisability of making a knowledge of New Testa-
mament Greek elective.
22. The question whether or not the three majors now required in the New Testament Department best fulfill the conditions for such majors as shown in the results obtained.
23. Whether other subjects might not judiciously be sub-
divided for these subjects. If so, what?
24. The question whether there are courses not now offered in the New Testament Department, that should be offered as electives.
25. The distribution of work among the different officers of instruction in the Department and its effect upon the effi-
ciency of the department.
26. The New Testament curriculum in other Baptist 
Department of the New Testament, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of the Old Testament Department in the Divinity School could be improved.
27. The New Testament section of the Divinity Lib-
10. The point of view on fundamental questions adopted in the work of the Department, with a view to determining their character as pieces of investigation and their value from an educational point of view.
11. The question whether the work of the Depart-
mament of Homiletics is organized primarily for investigatics, and whether sufficient emphasis is placed upon that kind of instruction which will be of practical value to the average minister.
12. The character of the work in New Testament Literature and History which should be required by the Depart-
mament in connection with the entrance requirements to the junior college. With what success has this character been used in the New Testament Department of the same college?
13. The same question in connection with the New Testament departments of other Baptist Theological Semi-
naries.
14. The advisability of offering courses in New Testa-
mament Literature and History to undergraduate college stu-
dents, and the topics suitable for such courses.
15. The methods of instruction employed by the individ-
al instructors of the Department, with a view to making suggestions as to any respects in which these methods may be improved.
16. The relation between this Department and the Depart-
mament of Homiletics, with a view to ascertaining whether the instruction in the latter department contributes directly to the efficiency of the student as a preacher.
17. The relations between the officers of the Department and the students studying under them, with a view to suggesting any respects in which these relations are of such particular character needed for the attainment of the best results.
18. The work of the officers of the Department outside of the Divinity School, especially in relation to the churches and Christian work of a general character.
19. The efficiency of the Department as shown in the performance of those graduates of the school who have done special work in this Department.
20. The advisability of continuing the present policy in accordance with which so large a proportion of the officers of the Old Testament Department are paid from the University funds.
21. The advisability of making a knowledge of New Testa-
mament Greek elective.
22. The question whether or not the three majors now required in the New Testament Department best fulfill the conditions for such majors as shown in the results obtained.
23. Whether other subjects might not judiciously be sub-
divided for these subjects. If so, what?
24. The question whether there are courses not now offered in the New Testament Department, that should be offered as electives.
25. The distribution of work among the different officers of instruction in the Department and its effect upon the effi-
ciency of the department.
26. The New Testament curriculum in other Baptist 
Department of the New Testament, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of the Old Testament Department in the Divinity School could be improved.
27. The New Testament section of the Divinity Lib-

The methods of instruction employed by the individual department, with a view to securing the ends which these methods may be improved. The department is not to be constituted either by the examination of experience any limitation shall be put upon freedom of investigation and of expression, which is generally accorded in print.

17. The examinations offered in the Department, and the requirements for graduating in the Department, are to be in accordance with the provisions made upon the whole, satisfactory.

18. The relations between this Department and the Department of Homiletics with a view to ascertaining whether the provisions made are upon the whole, satisfactory.

19. The examination of the students resulting between the officers of the Department and the students studying under them, with a view to determining whether these relations are of that particular character needed for the attainment of the best results.

20. The work of the officers of the Department outside the Department, and the work of the students in relation to the churches and Christian work of the general character.

21. The question whether the present plan is adequate to cover the work of the Department, with a view to determining whether additional work shall be made to the said plan.

22. The question whether the relations of the Department of Homiletics to the practical life of the church and society should be provided for the students, and whether definite instruction in practical life shall be given, and if so, in what department?

23. Whether systematic instruction in the simplest elements of finance and administration shall be given in the professional career and literary work of the graduates of the Department.

The Committee on the Department of Homiletics is requested to consider:

1. The danger that students will form heretical habits by engaging in regular preaching in the early years of divinity course.

2. The value to the student of being required to preach before his fellow students, and the value of being required to submit his work in the Department.

3. The advisability of bestowing more attention upon the cultivation of correct literary expression on the part of the students in the Department.

4. The value of the laboratory or clinical method in Homiletics and theology.

5. The advisability of permitting substitution of work in the Hebrew and Greek languages (or English) for the requirement in Homiletics, with a view to encouraging specialization in the different phases of Christian work.

6. The question whether there are courses not now offered in the Department of Homiletics that should be offered as electives.

7. The distribution of work among the different officers of the Department, and the effect upon the efficiency of the Department.

8. The question whether the officers of the Department of Homiletics should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

9. The Homilectical section of the Divinity Library, with a view to determining its general character and value.

10. The articles and books produced by officers of the Department outside the Department, and their character as pieces of investigation and their value from an educational point of view.

11. The methods of instruction employed by the individual department, with a view to making suggestions as to any respects in which these methods may be improved.

12. The examinations offered in the Department, and the requirements for graduating in the Department, with a view to making suggestions as to any respects in which these methods may be improved.

13. The same question in connection with the Department of Theology.

14. The work of the officers of the Department outside the Department, and the work of the students in relation to the churches and Christian work of the general character.

15. The question whether the present plan is adequate to cover the work of the Department, with a view to determining whether additional work should be made to the said plan.

16. The question whether the relations of the Department of Homiletics is such that it might be made a principal subject in the program for the doctor of divinity degree. In all these relations are of that particular character needed for the attainment of the best results.

17. Whether the work of the officers of the Department outside the Department, and the work of the students in relation to the churches and Christian work of the general character.

18. The question whether other students might not judiciously be substituted there for the students in any branch of the work or study that can be arranged for what or what?

19. The question whether there are courses not now offered in this Department that should be offered as electives.

20. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology in this or in other Baptist Seminaries should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

21. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

22. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

23. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

24. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

25. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

26. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

27. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.

28. The question whether the officers of the Department of Theology should be appointed in this or in other Baptist Seminaries, with a view to suggesting any respects in which the curriculum of this Department in the Divinity School could be improved.
10. The advisability of giving financial aid to students of this class and the privileges of the Divinity School.
11. The present geographical distribution of English Theological students who have been in the school in the last twelve years, and the efficiency of their work.

The Committee on Correspondence Instruction is requested to consider:
1. The facts in reference to use of the Correspondence method in connection with Divinity work for the past twelve years and its efficiency.
2. Means by which the Correspondence method might be used in assisting pastors and Christian workers unable to take up residence at the University.
3. The courses offered in the several departments, with a view to suggesting substitutes for some of these courses, or modification of them.
4. The use made of the Correspondence method for theological training in other denominations and results accomplished.
5. The plans proposed by officers of the Correspondence Department of the Religious Education Association.
6. The advantages and disadvantages of Correspondence method as compared with class-room method, and the bearing of this upon its general use for theological instruction.
7. The methods by which the present machinery of Correspondence work may be improved.
8. The question whether with the Correspondence work systematic lecture instruction should be provided, and the practicability of a general extension scheme similar to that of Union Theological Seminary, New York.
9. The question whether the best interests of the Divinity School demand an entirely separate administration of its extension work including that of Correspondence.
10. The question whether as a part of such extension work there might be instituted a plan for the circulation of theological and religious books.
11. The practicability of employing pastors, especially graduates of the Divinity School, as University Extension instructors within a definite field, such men to be appointed by the Board and to conduct Institutes, lecture work and correspondence work, each within his assigned territory.

The Committee on Ministerial Supply is requested to consider:
1. The question whether or not there is a real deficiency of ministers, and this a deficiency of educated ministers.
2. The causes leading to the diminishing number of students studying for the ministry.

3. Whether students are rendered inefficient by remaining too long in the Divinity School, or by engaging in too much church work during their course, or, on the other hand, by not doing sufficient church work.
4. The various means by which the lack of educated ministers may be remedied, for example, through preaching on the subject, or newspaper discussion, or by presentation of the needs to college students, or by the distribution of literature to the college student.
5. The obligation in reference to this question of the Divinity School and the way in which this obligation can be discharged.

In conclusion I desire with your permission to make certain suggestions for your consideration:
1. That in view of the importance of the journals now so closely connected with the work of the Divinity School, an additional standing committee be appointed to consider and report upon matters relating to these journals.
2. That since no other standing committee can more appropriately consider the subject, all matters which may be ordinarily classed under "extension work" as well as those which relate more distinctly to the work of correspondence be assigned to the committee on correspondence work and that to this committee there be given a more general title.
3. That in the work of the several committees it be taken for granted that the results of investigations are to be published and that steps be taken from the beginning looking toward the preparation of reports of a scientific character which may be published in one or the other of the journals of the Divinity School, or which may be published in a series of reports relating to theological education. It has appeared to the Faculty of the Divinity School that the work of this Advisory Committee should be of such a character as to serve the interests of theological education everywhere and not merely those of our own Seminary or even of our own denomination.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM R. HARPER,
President.