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My dear Mr. Burton:

I am not at hand. There has been a delay in the matter. The Chapel moved to the necessary making place to the original doors. The exact architecture and select of the two buildings. As it was thought wise for communications to pass, we have not been able to have the matter ready for communication today. It must be settled in a few days. I am sure it may find it desirable to take the shape of a mocked at right angle.

I am now planning to leave Friday morning next for Pinehurst, N.C., where I mean to stay for a week or two. Best regards for the family.

Cordially yours,

Harry Pratt Judson

Prof. E. D. A. Bailey
Haskell Oriental Museum; full name over east entrance; "Haskell" over west entrance.

Law Building; date on outside corner.

Beecher Hall; full name over main entrance.

Green Hall; full name over main entrance; bronze tablet in hall-way, in memory of Turpin Green and Martha Hall Green.

Kelly Hall; full name over main entrance.

Nancy Foster Hall; full name over main entrance.

Walker Museum; full name over main entrance, facing north.

Leon Mandel Assembly Hall; "Leon Mandel Hall" over east door, facing Lexington Avenue.

Reynolds Club; nothing to indicate its name.

Mitchell Tower; no carving to indicate its name.

Hutchinson Hall; carving, "Hutchinson Hall", over north and south mantlepieces. (Monogram "H. H. ?")

Frank Dickinson Bartlett Gymnasium; full name over main entrance; tablet in corridor facing entrance; memorial windows above entrance.

Hull Biological Laboratories; full name over gateway on 57th Street.

Hull Court; full name over gateway facing south.

Botany Building; "Botany" over east entrance.

Zoology Building; "Zoology" carved in center of building, facing 57th Street.

Anatomy Building; "Anatomy" carved in center of building, facing 57th Street.

Physiology Building; "Physiology" over entrance, facing west.

Charles Hitchcock Hall; full name over two entrances, facing south; no dedicatory tablet; portrait of Mr. Hitchcock above fire-place.

Snell Hall; full name over entrance, facing east. Inside, bronze tablet erected in memory of Martin Ryerson by his son.

Ryerson Physical Laboratory; full name over south entrance. Inside, bronze tablet erected in memory of Martin Ryerson by his son.
Kent Chemical Laboratory; full name over south entrance. Inside, bronze dedicatory tablet to Sidney A. Kent.

Cobb Lecture Hall; full name over main entrance. Inside, bust of S. B. Cobb.

Men's Dormitories: North Hall, Middle Hall, South Hall; no inscriptions outside or inside.

The School of Education (Emmons Blaine Hall) Nothing on outside to indicate its name. Two bronze tablets in southeast and southwest corners of Scammon Court, on outside of buildings, giving name of Scammon Court, in memory of Jonathan Young Scott, given by Marie Sheldon Scammon.

Chicago Manual Training School; no name except in gold letters on door inside of entrance; bronze tablet in hallway, giving history of the old Chicago Manual Training School.
THE OLD GYM.

On August 8, 1892 plans for a temporary Gym and Commons were presented to the Committee on Buildings & Grounds and approved. On August 12, this action was rescinded and the construction of the building without any permanent foundations was referred to Mr. F.R. Hinckley and the Architect with power. On August 16 That part of the above action relating to the Commons was reconsidered and it was ordered that the basements of the dormitories be used for the Commons. At the same meeting it was voted to investigate the possible use of Washington Park Club grounds for gymnasium and athletic field purposes. On August 22 Mr. Hinckley was authorized to erect a Temporary Library and Gymnasium in twenty days on the lot where the Press building now stands. At a later period in the same meeting it was voted that if Mr. Hinckley should find the lot (which was 120 x 130 feet) too small for the building, he be authorized to place it on the north-east corner of the Campus i.e. where the Students Club House now stands. Some days later this action was reconsidered and Mr. Hinckley was authorized to place the building in the center of the N.E. quadrangle. On Sept. 13 Mr. Hinckley was authorized to remove the black earth from the site. On Dec. 24 bids for the apparatus were accepted--$1284. On the 7th of Jan., 1893, Mr. Hinckley was authorized to secure the gas fixtures for the Gymnasium. May 31, 1901 the Women's Gym was sold and torn down to make room for the Commons- Hutchinson Hall. On June 12, 1903 the rest of the structure was sold to the highest bidder and ordered removed within four weeks.
On August 6, 1969, I was told that the commanding general of the 101st Airborne Division had been killed in a helicopter crash. This was the day that I was scheduled to take command of the 101st Airborne Division.

I immediately flew to the unit in order to assume command. Upon arriving, I was informed that the unit was in a state of confusion and that there was a lack of leadership.

I immediately took action to restore order and began the process of rebuilding the unit. I also initiated a program to improve the training of the troops and to increase their readiness for deployment.

I am now fully committed to the success of this operation and am dedicated to the well-being of every soldier under my command. I will do everything in my power to ensure their safety and success.

Thank you for your support and dedication.
April 3, 1911.

Mr. Charles L. Hutchinson, Chairman,
Committee on Buildings & Grounds,
The University of Chicago.

Dear Sir:—

The cause of the collapse of the roof and floors of the
west Tower of the Library Building, which occurred between 12 and
2 o'clock on Wednesday, March 29th, has not up to this time been
definitely ascertained by us. On Friday, March 31st, Mr. Rutan
from Shepley, Rutan & Coolidge, Mr. Hodgdon, their Superintendent,
Mr. Summers, their Engineer, and Mr. Staff, their Superintendent
on the ground, together with Mr. Luce, the representative of Wells
Brothers, Mr. Anderson, their Foreman, and myself, convassed, so
far as we were able to, the facts and circumstances surrounding the
event. The facts, so far as we are able to ascertain, are about
as follows—

On Wednesday, March 29th, at about 12 o'clock M., Foreman
Anderson was, with a gang of some twenty-five men, working on the
west Tower. Most of them were on the roof. Anderson, at the
moment, was on the sixth floor. The floors and roof are a combina-
tion of reinforced concrete and terra cotta, the girders being
about 1 ft. wide x 18 inches deep; the ribs of the floors being
about 4 to 6 inches wide and about 12 inches deep. The concrete
floor between the concrete ribs is about 4 inches in thickness,
the space below being filled with terra cotta to make the ceiling
level. The sixth floor was laid on January 30th. The seventh
floor was laid on March 9th. The roof was laid on March 24th,—
four days before the accident. The forms of the roof and the
supports under them were still in place and perpendicular shorings
consisting of 4 x 4 and 4 x 6 scantlings standing upright were
still in to support the forms and the roof. Mr. Luce says that
similar perpendicular shoring pieces, figured to support the loads,
extended down from the roof as far as necessary to get proper
support. The men were engaged in moving the derrick from a
position over the walls of the N.W. Corner of the Tower into a
position approximately in the center of the west Tower roof. Mr.
Anderson, the Foreman, says that the first evidence of trouble
he saw was a yielding or cracking of the sixth floor. When he
observed that the sixth floor was giving way, he ran out to call
the men off the roof, but found that they had already become
alarmed from something they had seen or felt from the yielding of
the roof and had climbed down from the Tower roof by a rope to the
main roof of the center building of the Library. The men had
evidently simultaneously with, or previously to, Anderson's ob-
servation, become aware of the yielding of the roof, which
accounts for their apprehension and flight. Mr. Luce, on being
informed of the settlement of the floors by telephone, went down
from the City and commenced to shore up under the fourth floor
with 10 x 10 timbers, having placed some one to watch carefully
and to sound an alarm if further yielding occurred. The men do
not agree in their recollection as to whether the roof fell onto
The University of Chicago

Yours truly,

[Signature]

[Date]
the seventh floor at 12 o'clock or at 2 o'clock, but at about 2 o'clock, while the men were engaged in shoring the floors, the alarm sounded. The noise of a yielding in the floors above and the alarm call caused all the men to hurry into the main building and out of danger, except Luce, the Superintendent, who happened to be going up a stair from the third to the fourth floor and had, therefore, failed to hear the alarm. Luce, in some way, was carried down from the fourth to the third floor and there, by some accident, happened to catch on a ledge, where he found himself after the accident and crawled out, escaping with bruises on his forehead and back. Anderson, the Foreman of the workmen, fainted, and neither he nor Luce was able to go further into particulars. The shock to both Anderson and Luce was such that both are still ill in bed. The men, who were moving the derrick, so far as I have been able to ascertain, or so far as Mr. Rutan has been able to ascertain, either do not know, or do not care to tell precisely what was being done at the instant the first yielding of the roof took place. The mast of the derrick was being rolled on rollers on the green roof, whether it fell upon the roof, whether it rolled off the rollers on the roof, we are unable, up to this time, to ascertain. Neither could the extent of the shoring be ascertained. I am assuming, however, that the shoring could not have extended clear to the ground, as the cement floor of the basement of the west Tower was just then being poured, or had just then been poured. To what point the shoring extended down from the roof, we are unable to find out.

The floors and roof were figured with a factor of safety of four; that is, they would bear four times the load which it was expected would be put upon them. It seems quite probable that the weight of the derrick was impinged with more or less momentum upon the green roof, where it and the supports under it were inadequate, and, if that blow or shock was communicated through the shoring to a point in the middle of the sixth floor, then the sixth floor was called on to sustain the weight of the derrick, the roof and the seventh floor together—a load out of all proportion to that which it was estimated to carry, and while it was still too green to have anything like its full supporting strength. When, at 2 o'clock, the final catastrophe took place, the floors fell one at a time, each succeeding floor holding the load momentarily and then letting go, like a succession of explosions, as heard from a distance.

The walls will have to be taken down to the top of the bow window of the fourth floor. The contractors are proceeding with all due diligence, so far as I can see, to repair the injury which the building has sustained. Of course, it will occasion some delay and, owing to this accident, the remainder of the building will have to be submitted to such tests as that no one shall be able to question its solidity.

I am submitting this report to you marked confidential. If, after reading it, you think further action should be taken on our part, kindly advise me. Since the contractors do not question the adequacy of the building as planned, and since, up to the present time, no reason has been suggested for our doing so, and since the accident can be fully accounted for without casting any doubt on the adequacy of the structure planned and
specified, I am not recommending the calling in of any outside expert advice. I should like to recommend the calling of a meeting of the Committee, so that if, in either of these particulars, a different view shall be entertained, we may take action accordingly.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

W.R.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Lab.inBasem't</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Floor Lecture Room &amp; Museum</td>
<td>11400</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Floor do.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research in Geog.2d fl.</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepration &amp; Store Rm. 2nd floor</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td>640</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Lecture Room</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td></td>
<td>960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;    &quot;</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>960 xtra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Lab.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleographic Office</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td>720</td>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;    &quot;</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic Work</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Office</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td></td>
<td>2900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head of Dept. Files,&amp; Stenographer</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td></td>
<td>2900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Labs.</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminar in Adv.Geol.</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geologic Mapping</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture Rm.Econ.Geol. Study</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;    &quot;</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecture room</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
<td>672</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical Univ.Res.</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td></td>
<td>1344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrological Lab.</td>
<td></td>
<td>832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrological Off. &amp; Lect. Rm.</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blow Pipe Anal.</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>x240</td>
<td>832</td>
<td></td>
<td>832</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralogical LabRm.</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off. Econ. Geol.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 1st 3 floors</td>
<td>13414</td>
<td>2792</td>
<td>11400</td>
<td>9450</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>146433</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This provides for:

- Students in Lab. at 40 sq.ft.each 338 students 338
- Offices for professor averaging (20x20)400 sq.ft.each 7 7 at 450 sq.
- Classes per day, three in each room -24, excluding Junior coll. students 21 24-without
- Students in Library at 25 s.f. each 112 substantially
- Size of classes - 3 of 250 students, 3 of 100, 6 of 50, 3 of 12, 6 of 20 the same
- Students in Library at 25 s.f. each 112 116
Junior College students can all be provided for in one lecture room of Senior and Graduate students the Department now has (1901-02) 23 students (68 registrations). Its highest number of Senior and Graduate classes in any quarter of 1901-02 was 10, the average size of classes was 7 students. Should the department continue to grow for 25 years at the same rate as in the past six, it would have about 2 1/2 times as many students as today, yielding 57 students. This seems to indicate a reasonable provision of office room, but all other provisions somewhat in excess of requirements, especially in laboratory space, and in size of class rooms.

Besides the above provision the Fourth floor gives large rooms for Meteorology and Photography and studies for fully 100 students.
November 19, 1919.

My dear Mr. Marshall:

I hand you herewith a memorandum from Mr. Henry.

I judge that the only feasible plan is to take the sixteen rooms in North Hall for Sociology and Political Economy, vacating the eight rooms in the East Tower now occupied by Sociology and Political Economy. What do you think?

What do you say to transferring your reserves to E.11?

Very truly yours,

Professor L. C. Marshall,

Faculty Exchange.

ADB-N
November 10, 1979

My dear Mr. Rebaum:

Mr. Rebaum:

I read your recent memo and plan to take the suggestion.

I imagine that the only personnel plan to take the suggestion.

I imagine that the only personnel plan to take the suggestion.

I imagine that the only personnel plan to take the suggestion.

It is my hope to the best Tower now occupied as Secretary and Assistant

Economy. What do you think?

What do you say to truncating your sessions to 1:11?

Very truly yours,

Professor C. Rebaum

Faculty Exchange

DBN
Dr. Ernest D. Burton  
Faculty Exchange  

My dear Mr. Burton:  

With respect to office rooms for the staff in Political Economy and in Commerce and Administration, my present thought is as follows:

I. It is not expedient at this time to subdivide rooms E 51 and E 55 in Harper. We should accomplish little this current year by the subdivision, and any long run solution ought to make such a subdivision unnecessary.

II. Personally, the best solution for the next few years, (until a building is available) seems to me along the lines of your suggestion with respect to North Hall. It occurs to me that it might be possible to confine these offices to the fourth floor, and to have an entrance from the third floor of Cobb Hall, -- an entrance controlled, of course, by lock and key. The satisfactoriness of this solution depends, I suppose, upon two major considerations, assuming that the University will make the space available.

a. Will it meet with the approval of the members of the staff? I shall feel them out.

b. Will the place which is made available be sufficient to permit expansion for the next two or three years? If it should provide merely for the people who are already cared for in Harper, nothing would be gained by our vacating Harper.

Yours very sincerely,

[Signature]

Dean
IEE: University of Chicago

October 30, 1919

Page 9

The Executive Committee

To the Executive Committee:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the latest developments in the field of electrical engineering and to suggest possible courses of action for the future.

In light of recent events, it is clear that our current curriculum needs to be expanded and modernized. A comprehensive review of our existing programs is therefore recommended, with a focus on incorporating new technologies and methodologies.

Additionally, it is imperative that we address the issue of funding. Recent budget cuts have severely impacted our ability to offer the necessary resources to our students. Alternative funding sources should be explored, such as grants and partnerships with industry.

In conclusion, I believe that by taking these steps, we can ensure that our institution remains at the forefront of electrical engineering education and research.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Additional Notes:

- Conference on Advanced Topics in Electrical Engineering
- Recommended to explore partnerships with leading technology companies
- Consider implementing a new program in renewable energy technologies
October 31, 1919.

My dear Mr. Marshall:

Replying to yours of October 28th, I suggest, if agreeable to you, that we ask Mr. Manchester or Mr. Henry to work out the details of a plan for using a portion of North Hall for professors' offices, and that when the plan has been brought into satisfactory condition, we unite in recommending it to the President. If this is agreeable to you, kindly let me know.

Very truly yours,

Professor L. C. Marshall,

Faculty Exchange.
October 31, 1916

Mr. George W. Keefe:

Replying to yours of October 28th, I suggest, in accordance with your request, to have the work done on the new building of the D. N. Hall for elephant house, office, and that upon the plan and specifications for the building, we will in accordance with your request approve and recommend it for the Council.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Name]

Account Executive

Edn.
Memorandum to Dr. Ernest Burton from L. C. Marshall November 4, 1919

I shall be glad to cooperate in recommending to the President the use of a portion of North Hall. My only proviso, --and I know it is yours as well, --is that we provide for expansion. I agree with you that in the long run, relief must come through the erection of a building, and I shall be glad to do what I can in that connection.

LCM

LMN
I am pleased to make the following recommendations to the President

I am aware of a position at North Hall. My only provision--and I
know it to be needful--is that we provide for expression
the suggestion of a position. May I apply to try to do what I
can in your connection.

[Signature]
November 5, 1919.

Memorandum to Mr. Manchester:

Will you or Mr. Henry look into the matter of the possibility of using the fourth floor of North Hall as office space for members of the Economics and G. & A. Group. Mr. Marshall suggests that communication with the third floor of Cobb might be made through a door controlled by a key. There are here two possibilities:

1. That space enough should be provided here so that all offices of men in Economics could be transferred from Harper to North Hall. In this case, however, there must be room for more men than are now taken care of in Harper. If this were done, it might be possible either to give relief to Sociology and History or conceivable, though not probable, to transfer Philosophy to the East Tower and add the fifth floor of the West Tower to the G. & A. Library space.

2. That space might be obtained in North Hall simply to provide for the overflow of offices from Harper, without otherwise changing the situation in Harper.

The former is manifestly by all means the more desirable.

Will you look into the question of the number of rooms available and the practicability of communication with Cobb.
November 2, 1910

Memorandum to Mr. Van denender:

Will you on Mrs. Heath look into the matter of the possibility
of raising the ceiling 13 feet of North Hall as office space for members
of the Board of Education and Mr. Grant. Mr. Heath's suggestion that a compartment
join with the third floor of Goppe might be made through a gear controlling
by a key. There are over two compartments:

If that space already be planned for an office or is it alive

In a new building can be transferred from North Hall. In
this case, however, there must be room for more men than this nowhere can
be. In this regard. If this were gone it might be possible other to the
tenant of breakfast and increase of capacity. Hence not impossible to
rental to breakfast and to increase of capacity. Hence not impossible to
Towers for fire C. A. Interim space.

It's that space might be obtained in North Hall simply to provide
for the relocation of offices from North, without affecting the
situation in Hepper.

The former is more likely to suit and the more convenient
will not come after the opening of the number of rooms satisfactory.

and the possibility of communication with Goppe.
July 17, 1920.

My dear Mr. Marshall:

A re-investigation of the situation in respect to the fourth floor of North Hall leads me to think that the rooms there could be made available for your purposes at very small expense by using the fire-escape as a means of communication instead of installing doors, etc. If you care to have me do so, I should be glad to join with you in a recommendation to the Committee on Expenditures that this limited expense be incurred and that a certain number of rooms on the fourth floor of North Hall be set aside as offices for the members of your department.

Awaiting your reply, I am

Sincerely yours,

Dean L. C. Marshall,

Faculty Exchange.

EDB-N
4th Nov, 1930.

Mr. George P. Keenan:

A reception at the Institute in honour of

The transfer board at this Hall hence I send you to

repeat these annual to make suitable for your note to be

sent along with your letter 25-30, etc. If you are to have

certain students at length, date, etc. I am sure he may

not be able to join with you in a recommendation

to the committee on the subject that you may send to

informs us that certain people are also among the

members of your department.

Yours sincerely,

G. N. T. M. K.

[Signature]
Meet 11-45 H

Edgar J. Goddard

If a gift were not to be necessary the condition would be removed but under the circumstances I prefer to know more and nearly the cost of the building before definite action is taken. — W.R.B. —
Would you be willing to make your gift conditioned not on its being sufficient to erect the building but on its making its erection possible leaving the University to make up any probable excess in cost due to present war prices of building? Please wire reply.
You may be willing to make some slight contributions not as
the result, but as an expression of the gratitude of the A.T.
Association toward the University for services of the students.
Sincerely yours,
A. M. Smith
July 16, 1919.

Mr. Chas. L. Hutchinson,
134 S. La Salle St.,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:

In response to your request for an estimate on the probable cost of the new Quadrangle Club. The building covers 3034 sq. ft. and contains 340,600 Cu. Ft. Under present conditions it can hardly be built for less than 50¢ per Cu. Foot., or a total of $170,000 plus Architect's commission.

As you are aware from the newspapers, building is in a very uncertain condition, owing to labor unrest, and contractors are unwilling to submit bids until the cost of labor is adjusted.

The plans are just about ready for estimates.

Yours very truly,

Howard Shaw

Copy to Mr. Teter.
October twenty-first,
Nineteen-twenty.

Mr. Charles L. Hutchinson, Vice-President,
The Corn Exchange National Bank,
Chicago, Illinois.

My dear Mr. Hutchinson:

I acknowledge your letter of October
16th in which you authorize me to design the Reynolds tablet.
I thank you sincerely for the order.

My estimate of the space over the
mantelpiece in the hall, judging from the photograph, is that a
tablet of the size I mentioned in my previous letter 32"x42", or
possibly 32" x 44", arranged with the greater length horizontally,
would be suitable.

It seems advisable that I obtain a more
definite idea of the width of the space in question. For this
information, I suppose I may write to Mr. D. A. Robertson of the
University of Chicago.

I shall await your convenience in ob-
taining the inscription. When the work on the model has progressed
to some degree of completion, I shall send you photographs for sug-
gestions or approval.

I wish again to express my sincere appre-
ciation to you for this order.

I shall return the data as you request.

Very truly yours,

Paul Fjelde.
My dear Mr. "Continuation:

I am informed that you were to return the reference copy.

I fear to mislead you to believe the reference copy.

I thank you very much for the other.

With the most confident assurance of your neighborhood.

I have always been a great admirer of your work.

All the best wishes to you.

With great respect,

[Signature]
October 16th
Nineteen Twenty

Dear Mr. Fjelde:

I have your letter of the 9th instant and would like to have you make a tablet for Reynolds Hall at the University. I think it should be placed over the mantelpiece in the Hall. I send with this, some memoranda about the Reynolds Memorial that will aid you in designing the tablet. Please preserve this data and return it to me. A little later I will send you the inscription to be placed on the tablet. After seeing the picture of the mantelpiece in the Hall you may be able to tell better the size of the tablet.

Yours very truly,

Mr. Paul Fjelde

333 Fourth Avenue,

New York NY
Dear Mr. Writer,

I have been thinking of the
difficult and many times will the
inspiration. I think it is necessary to
have a change in my thinking and
my writing. I have spent a lot of
thought on the subject and I believe
that it is important to change the
way of thinking. I have also read
many books on the subject and I
have found that many of the
inspirations are not as effective as
I thought they would be. I think it
may be better to focus on the
future and not on the past.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
October ninth.
Nineteen-twenty.

Mr. Charles L. Hutchinson, Vice-President,
The Corn Exchange National Bank,
Chicago, Illinois.

My dear Mr. Hutchinson:-

I have your letter dated October 6th, and thank you.

A bronze tablet of a size similar to the one I made of Mr. Donnersberger would cost about $800.00. Since that tablet was made bronze casting has almost doubled in price and I would therefore have to make this difference over the cost of the Donnersberger tablet. Should the tablet you have in view be somewhat smaller, perhaps in the neighborhood of 30" by 42", I would make a price of $750.00.

I trust that I may be permitted to serve you in this matter.

Again thanking you and hoping to have further word from you, I am -

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

PAUL FJELDE
333 FOURTH AVENUE
NEW YORK
October 6th

Mr. Captain F. H. Haldane
Three Brothers Hotel

Chairman, Executive Board

Dear Sir:

I have your letter of November 3rd, and am very glad to hear that you are in good health.

I was pleased to hear that you are making progress with your work. I hope that you will soon be able to return to your regular duties.

I understand that you are planning to visit Europe in the near future. I hope that you will have a pleasant trip and that you will be able to carry out your plans successfully.

I trust that I may have the pleasure of seeing you again soon.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
September 29, 1920.

Mr. Charles L. Hutchinson,
Corn Exchange Bank,
Chicago.

Dear Mr. Hutchinson:-

Paul Fjelde's
address is 333 Fourth Avenue, New York.

There is no one
here who can do the work so well and
I am sure he will be glad to have the
order.

As ever yours,

[Signature]
September 29, 1920

Mr. Chartier, 11023

Chicago

Dear Mr. Chartier:

Paul Telge's address is 350 Fourth Avenue, New York. There is no one here who can do the work as well as you. I am sure he will be glad to have the job.

Yours, etc.

[Signature]
June 6, 1916.

My dear Mr. President:

After conference with the local representative of the donor, I beg leave to raise the question whether it would not be possible to build the proposed Divinity Chapel on the following plan:

Leave the height of the building at 45 feet, but make the other reductions proposed on the second page of my letter of yesterday. The exterior would then be precisely in accordance with the plan approved by Mr. Ryerson and Mr. Coolidge, and the interior would be unchanged except for the temporary omission of the more ornate interior wood trimming, which can be added at any later time. This would make the cost as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original estimate</th>
<th>$97,647</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reductions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simpler interior finish for the present</td>
<td>$19,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tile roof instead of lead</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, etc.</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to furniture</td>
<td>3,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,035</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total cost of building | $68,612 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost of furniture</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pews</td>
<td>$2,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting pews</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cork carpet</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulpit furniture</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric fixtures</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total furniture</strong></td>
<td>$5,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Building and furniture | $73,972 |


June 6, 1924

My dear Mr. President:

After conference with the local representatives of the

society, I feel that I have the duty to report to you the

following facts relative to the proposed extension of our

laboratory facilities.

The present building is too small and cannot be

adequately equipped to meet the increasing demands for

research. An increase of research by the men on the faculty

now in our laboratories would result in the necessity of

obtaining additional space for which it is not possible to

make provision, except at a cost of many dollars. The

following estimate shows the probable cost of the

required extension:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research Building</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total cost of $500,000 is for the

construction of a new building to provide

adequate space for research.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
May I further raise the question whether if the donor would be willing to give $50,000 toward the total cost, the University would assume the remainder of the cost of the building, as well as the cost of the furniture and the architects' fees. The strong argument for this arrangement in my mind is that we secure the chapel in all its essential features exactly as would be satisfactory to the University and as, I believe, satisfactory to the donor, and yet by merely postponing things which are not structural or essential may be able to meet the donor's wishes to such an extent as to secure the gift at this time.

Very truly yours,

Ernest D. Burton

President H. P. Judson,

The University of Chicago.

EDB-N
May I respectfully request permission to increase the amount of the University's endowment to $10,000,000. I am willing to give $5,000,000 of this amount, as well as the interest on the $5,000,000, if the University will agree to accept these contributions in full. This amount would be more than adequate to cover the University's expenses for the next ten years.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
June 9, 1916.

My dear Mr. President:

According to the estimate submitted to you in their letter of June 2d, Coolidge & Hodgdon estimated the cost of the proposed Divinity School Chapel at . . . . . . . . . . . . . $97,647.

In an extended interview with them, I have learned that of this the interior woodwork, the carving of the roof timbers, excess of lead roof over tile, and other minor items, amount to 29,035.

The cost of the building exactly as planned, exclusive of interior furnishings, carving and wood trim, but complete with cut stone walls, timbered ceiling, and all other necessary structural parts, is thus estimated at . . . . . . $68,612.

I beg leave to raise the question whether a distinction could be made between the building as above indicated and all else that is necessary to make it complete and ready for use, the latter being regarded as furniture, and a separate bid obtained for it, also whether the plans for the interior could not be so modified in the direction of simplicity as very considerably to reduce the cost of the items to be included under furniture. For example, it would seem to me that the wainscoting in the main portion of the room could be omitted, for the present at least, and that for the elaborately carved woodwork of the chancel there could be substituted simple stalls.
June 9, 1970

My dear Mr. Principal:

According to the estimate submitted to you in your letter of June 29, College & Hoboken estimate the cost of the programs at Drexel to be...

In an exchange interview with them, I have learned that...

Because of the current shortages of skilled and unskilled labor...

I am sure that the necessary parts to the estimate at...

I feel that the discussion will not be decided in the...
In this connection, I suggest that the large west window at the end of the chancel be omitted, with a view to placing the organ at this point. This, together with the simplifying of the roof by the omission or postponement of the carving of the timbers, might perhaps materially reduce the cost of the building, strictly so called, below the above estimate of $68,612.

The items to be included in the second bid would then be as follows:

- Pews and the setting of them, estimated at . . .
- Cork carpet, estimated at . . . . . . . . .
- Pulpit stalls . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- Electric fixtures . . . . . . . . . . . .
- Organ - to be postponed for the present, if necessary . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
- Lectern and pulpit - to be postponed for the present, if necessary . . . . . . . . .

Total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

In the hope that in order to secure the erection of this building at the present time, in all essential and permanent features as now planned, the University would be willing to supplement the gift of the donor, it would be my further suggestion that when the two bids, one for the building and one for the necessary furniture, are obtained steps be taken to ascertain whether the prospective donor would give the amount necessary for the building, the University undertaking the furnishing, or whether an arrangement could be effected by which the donor would give a specified portion of the cost of the building, the University providing the necessary additional sum for building and furniture.

Very truly yours,

President H. P. Judson,

The University of Chicago.

EDB-N
I am not sure that the funds are available, or that the committee has at any time entertained the view of making the proposal at this point.

The committee, with the approval of the board, might have been more reasonable in the amount of the committee, especially as they had been working very hard to prepare the estimates of the order of $5,000,000.

The time to be attended to the second bid might well be as follows:

- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...
- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...
- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...
- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...
- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...
- To make the estimate of the sum estimated...

Total

In the hope that in order to secure the assent of the body of the University, the sum might be willing to make the proposal at this time, I am also desirous of expressing my own strong belief that the University, under the guidance of the Board of Trustees, would be willing to allocate the sum necessary for the proposal.

I would be willing to undertake to make the sum necessary for the proposal.

Yours truly,

President H. E. Judson

The University of Chicago
In this connection, I suggest that the large west window at the end of the chancel be omitted, with a view to placing the organ at this point. This, together with the simplifying of the roof by the omission or postponement of the carving of the timbers, might perhaps materially reduce the cost of the building, strictly so called, below the above estimate of $66,612.

The items to be included in the second bid would then be as follows:

- Pews and the setting of them, estimated at $2,660
- Cork carpet, estimated at 1,200
- Pulpit stalls
- Electric fixtures 500(?)
- Organ - to be postponed for the present, if necessary 4,000
- Lectern and pulpit - to be postponed for the present, if necessary 500

Total

In the hope that in order to secure the erection of this building at the present time, in all essential and permanent features as now planned, the University would be willing to supplement the gift of the donor, it would be my further suggestion that when the two bids, one for the building and one for the necessary furniture, are obtained steps be taken to ascertain whether the prospective donor would give the amount necessary for the building, the University undertaking the furnishing, or whether an arrangement could be effected by which the donor would give a specified portion of the cost of the building, the University providing the necessary additional sum for building and furniture.

Very truly yours,

President H. P. Judson,

The University of Chicago.

EDE-N
In this connection, I suggest that the Inter-Departments Committee and any other appropriate committee should at the same time as the passage of the Act, prepare and submit to the Government the necessary proposals for the establishment of universities for the constituency of the Institution.

The following table shows the estimated expenditure of the Institution for the year ending March 31st, 1947:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm Crops</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Lectures</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Publications</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the hope that in order to secure the continuous of the said buildings, the University may be willing to contribute the cost of the building and one of the substantial expenditure from the funds of the University,

I hereby submit my proposal to increase the annual contribution of the University to the amount required for the purpose of the Institution.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

President of the Institution
June 5, 1916.

My dear Mr. President:

After a prolonged interview with Mr. Hodgdon, I am able to report as follows:

Mr. Hodgdon's original estimate, based on the idea of reducing costs as far as possible consistent with a good building was . . . . . . . . . . . . $52,500

1. To change the axis of the building involved new walls, windows and buttresses, costing . . . . 5,000

2. In the discussion of the plans with Mr. Coolidge and Mr. Ryerson, Mr. Hodgdon states that he was instructed to make the building 45 ft. high, rather than either of the other heights considered. This means, in excess of 35 ft., 5,760

3. Mr. Hodgdon was also instructed to make the woodwork as rich as possible, or words to that effect. The cost of this instruction in excess of the treatment of the building that would be satisfactory to me, and I think to you, is estimated at . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 19,700

4. The estimate of $97,647 includes $300 of unnecessary expense for hardware and $175 for drain pipe and waterproofing . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 475

5. It also included $5000 for lead roof, above the cost of tile (Mr. Coolidge wanted lead, Mr. Ryerson prefers tile) 5,000
June 5, 1916

My dear Mr. President:

After a prolonged interview with Mr. Hobson, I am able to report as follows:

Mr. Hobson's estimate is based on the idea of

reconstructing the façade as far as possible consistent with a cost of

$5,200

To change the style of the building to

$2,200

windows and exterior, including

$5,200

To the business of the plans with Mr. Cobleigh

It is the intention of the plans with Mr. Hobson that the main entrance to make the building 15 ft. high, larger span and other of the

$2,200

alterations mentioned. This means in excess of 35 ft.

$5,200

Mr. Hobson was able to mention to make the work

The cost of

as close as possible, to work to that effect. The cost of

required to make the treatment to the building

$1,000

that money so satisfactory to me, and I think to you.

$5,200

The estimate of the $3,000 included

The estimate of $7,200 for graining and water-

$2,200

of the $3,000 for painting and,
6. There are included items that belong to furniture. $3,860

7. Mr. Hodgdon did not include the basement in his estimate, having in mind a remark that I had made that perhaps the University would build this for library storage. The new plan makes this impossible. We must therefore add. 2,500

Total additions to original estimate $42,295

Margin of error 2,852

Total revised estimate $97,647

To proceed in the opposite direction, we can make reductions as follows:

- Reduce the interior height to 35 ft. $5,750
- Simplify interior woodwork 19,700
- Use tile roof instead of lead 5,000
- Eliminate unnecessary hardware, etc. 475
- Transfer pews and cork carpet to furniture 3,860

Balance $34,795 $62,852

Further possible reductions:

We could, but I do not suppose we ought to, substitute plaster for stone on interior walls and save $2,800

There is an allowance for miscellaneous items which might possibly be eliminated of 4,000

We discussed the possibility of cutting out tracery from the stone of the windows and using wood instead of
To proceed in the opposite direction, we can make:

Reassumptions as follows:

Reduce the Interest Payment to 3% if.

10,000

5,000

Use the Local Interest on Bond

Eliminate unnecessary Paraphrase, etc.

Transfers: these and other savings to furniture.

Balance.

Further possible reassumptions:

We cannot, but I go on ahead with our project.

Please do not enter the Interest Rate and save

There is no allowance for miscellaneous items within

Right possibly eliminated if

We discover the possibility of cutting our expenses

From the tone of the minutes and rating, much interest in
metal sash, but the saving seemed too small in proportion to
the diminution of beauty.

The changes actually listed leave the exterior of the
building unchanged except in height. The interior change is the
substitution of simplicity for ornateness.

The figures are estimates of contractors who are warned
not to make them too low. Actual bids may be lower. Architects'
fees are not included.

I earnestly hope we may find some adjustment of the matter
which will enable us to secure the building.

Very truly yours,

President H. P. Judson,

The University of Chicago.

EDB-N
Dear Mr. Judge,

I am writing to express my concern regarding the matter of the recent construction project in our town. The project has been under consideration for some time, and I believe it is essential that we consider the impact it may have on the existing community and the environment.

As a resident of this area, I have observed the effects of similar projects in neighboring communities. These developments have led to increased traffic, noise pollution, and a loss of green space. It is important that we take into account the needs and concerns of the local population.

I urge you to consider the following points:

1. The construction of a new building in this location could lead to increased congestion on local roads.
2. The potential for increased noise pollution could impact the quality of life for residents in the area.
3. There is a need to preserve the natural environment and protect the wildlife that resides in the area.

I believe that these issues should be given serious consideration before any decisions are made.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
May 31, 1916.

My dear Mrs. Bond:

Your letter of Thursday which arrived yesterday and the receipt of which I acknowledged by telegram last night was most welcome. I am delighted that the plans which have been submitted to you are acceptable and that it is going to be possible in connection with the Quarter-Centennial to make the welcome announcement of the erection of the Chapel. This will be a most beautiful addition to our Divinity School group and our pleasure in it is greatly increased by its coming from you and constituting a memorial to Mr. Bond.

It happened that I left town to attend the Minneapolis Convention a few hours before the plans were received at the President's office and as a consequence, I did not have a chance to look them over before they were sent to you. Since I have returned, I have been driven by preparations for the Quarter-Centennial, but I shall take a very early opportunity of going to the architect's office and looking them over. I am sure that we shall be most glad to submit the plans to you as they are further developed.

I hope you received my letter written just as I was leaving for Minneapolis in which I enclosed a photograph of the Nanking residence. If by any chance it has not reached you, please let me know in order that I may obtain a duplicate from Mr. Williams.
May 31, 1916

My dear Mr. Bond:

Receipt of Tuesday's letter reaching yesterday and the accompanying letter which has arrived since, I am glad to learn that your trip to Europe was successful and that you are well.

I am greatly pleased to learn of the plans which have been submitted in connection with the Gannett-Gettysburg Centennial to make the welcome a most appropriate one. The announcement of the erection of the Chapel will be a most gratifying addition to our Centennial Exposition and our pleasure in it is greatly increased by the coming from you and concentric circle of well wishes.

It happened that I felt some time ago to attend the Memorial Day ceremonies in connection with the lovely place where you were receiving of the President. A thought of the occasion and a government, I did not have a chance to look over the place where your home went, since I went there for the first trip to Europe, I have been given the opportunity of going to the ceremonies last week and taking them over. I am sure that we shall be most glad to enjoy the day, the place and the ceremonies.

I hope you received my letter written last as I was leaving for New York in which I enclosed a photograph of the landing. I find you chance to hear from me, please let me know in order that I may obtain a duplicate from Mr. Wilkins.
As soon as the rush of the Quarter-Centennial is over,
I want to write you giving some account of the recent developments
in the Board of Education. They have been most interesting and
encouraging; and, inasmuch as you have shown a very practical interest
in the work of the Board, I think you will be glad to know of some of
these more recent forward steps.

Very sincerely yours,

Mrs. Joseph Bond
370 West Bellevue Drive
Pasadena California.
As soon as the rush of the Greater-Community is over,
I want to write you giving some account of the recent developments
in the field ofफ्यांना. They have been most interesting and
encouraging, and, furthermore, as you have shown a very practical interest
in the work of the Board, I think you will be glad to know of some of
these more recent goings-on.

Very sincerely yours,

Mrs. Joseph Pond
340 West Hefleman Drive
Pasadena, California.
I'll have to change the plans slightly.
I may have the opportunity now
and from any additional assistance.
I'll be down at the main building
that we plan your next chapter
an a few additional questions to ask.

signature: E. Reiter

5706 Woodlawn Avenue
and urge the mail telegraph as soon as
he knows I can do so.

The incident has accrued, and thanks Matthew.

Tell in this form is a sense of great
satisfaction and as I told Mr. Johnson if this
does not materialize I will do every thing
else as has always been very anxious.

I hope all in will meet you and others.

Excelsior and wish you many to go with
us in our pilgrimage.

Sincerely

Mary Alney Bond

Monday

I now think the Reunion is
the person most concerned
in the other building.
My dear Mr. President:

I have been having a conference with the gentleman who, jointly with myself, was requested to represent the possible donor of the Divinity Chapel in consulting with you as to the plans of the building. We concur in feeling that in some respects a return to the earlier plans as compared with those more recently evolved would be advantageous, while in other respects we have some new suggestions which we venture to make.

1. Would it be possible to transfer the organ to the rear gallery? If this were done, doubtless the stairs to this gallery could be simplified, as they would be needed only in case of repairs to the organ and for cleaning purposes. We presume that the organ would be played from a console at the front of the chapel.

2. If this change were made the organ chamber at the northwest corner could be omitted, and the window bays carried to the end of the building on each side, making six on each side instead of four as in the later plans. It is our feeling that this will perhaps contribute to the simplicity and dignity of the building.
November 4, 1916

My dear Mr. President:

I have been having a conversation with the gentleman
who visited with us, and we agreed to request the pose
some hours of the Divine Egyptian to commence with you as
the pristine of the prince. We come to learn that in
some respects a return to the earlier phase as compared with
some recent events might be beneficent, while in
other respects we have some new suggestions which we venture

to make.

I would like to propose to consider the plan to
the rear severely. If this were done, you and the state to
the rear could be simplified, as they would be needed only
in case of trouble to the rear and for offensive purposes. We
believe that the rear would then be played from a center at the

I函 of the German

If the German were made the center operand at
the rear, the German could be mutilated, and the window gain car
that to the rear of the position on our side, making it on
each side thrown at your as in the latter phase. It it can
again that with the participation of the Amyphilic and
activity of the Prussian.
3. Would it be possible to re-study the roof timbering with a view to getting a loftier and more open effect? The present almost flat crossbeams seem to have the effect of lowering the room. If, for structural reasons, these crossbeams cannot be omitted, letting the room rise unobstructedly into the roof, could they perhaps in some way be made lighter?

4. We raise the question whether the carvings on the east front are not unnecessarily elaborate. Inasmuch as this front cannot be seen from any considerable distance by reason of its position, might it not to advantage be made somewhat less elaborate?

These changes, as I have suggested, are mainly in the direction of a return to earlier plans, which on reflection we are inclined to think had some advantages over the more recent ones. They would also, we should hope, somewhat reduce the cost of the building.

Very respectfully yours,

President Harry Pratt Judson,

The University of Chicago.

EDR-RA
Would it be possible to test your eye-timer

The President

The University of Chicago

Feb. 5
Chicago, November 13, 1916

Director E. D. Burton
The University of Chicago

Dear Mr. Burton:

Yours of the 7th inst. relating to the proposed Divinity Chapel was laid before Mr. Ryerson. His statement on the matter is:

1. The little entrance on the north was planned with a view (1) of providing for a proper means of entrance and exit to the chancel; (2) of providing a little room for what shall I call it? a *vestiarium*; (3) of providing more space in the chancel by having the organ provided for in that particular spot.

2. Further, Mr. Ryerson thinks the architectural effect on the outside is rather attractive than otherwise.

3. The matters as to the roof timbering and the carvings on the east front will be considered carefully by the architects.

Very truly yours,

H.P.J. - L.

[Signature]
Chicago, November 18, 1916

Dear Mr. Patton: The University of Chicago

I am one of the V.I.P. individuals you mentioned to Mr. Norcross. He has brought the matter to me. I have given the matter due consideration but have been unable to come to any conclusion about it. I am interested in the matter as a matter of principle rather than as a matter of personal gain or influence. If you have any suggestions, I would be glad to hear them.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
<td>$6,347.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>$28,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$97,647.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above estimate includes the following items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cork carpet</td>
<td>$1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pews</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting of pews</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millwork</td>
<td>$22,250.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimate for lead roof**  
$3,740.00

**Estimate for tile roof**  
$1,000.00
Estimates for Chapel of Thomogical Building, University of Chicago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof G. L.</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel Dec</td>
<td>88.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>26.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9x7 G. L.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above estimate includes the following items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cork Carpet</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pew</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brickwork of Pews</td>
<td>50,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwork</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining for Rear Roof</td>
<td>2,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining for Side Roof</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 2, 1916.

IN RE: CHAPEL OF THEOLOGICAL BUILDING

President Harry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

My dear President Judson:

I am enclosing a memorandum of the estimated cost of the Chapel for the Divinity School, as I promised.

I am sending a copy of this to Mr. Ryerson, at his request.

Yours very truly,

C. Hodgdon

CH/S
IN RE: CHARTER OF THEODORE BUILDING

President Harry Park Judson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Ill.

My dear President Judson:

I am enclosing a memorandum of the estimated cost of the Chapel for the Divinity School as I promised.

I am sending a copy of the draft of the Recreation of the

Yours very truly

C. H. Hoadley

Mr's