The main portion of these files covers President Harper's Administration and the earlier part of President Judson's, 1906-12.

This blue sheet indicates that the letter to which it is attached belongs in the period 1912-1923 of President Judson's Administration, or in President Burton's Administration, 1923-1925.
The work presented in this paper involves functional
and structural analysis of the protein farnesyltransferase.

This analysis focuses on the catalytic activity in relation to
the reaction mechanism. The paper discusses the
interaction of the enzyme with substrates and products,
highlighting the role of key amino acid residues.

Experiments were conducted using recombinant
expression systems to study the enzymatic activity under
various conditions. The results indicate significant
enhancement in catalytic efficiency compared to
wild-type enzymes.

The implications of these findings are discussed,
highlighting potential applications in drug discovery
and therapeutic strategies.
February 8, 1915.

Pres. Henry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois.

Dear President Judson:

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter forwarding the action of the trustees relative to the purchase of land for its new buildings by Chicago Theological Seminary. I brought the matter before our Executive Committee, who appreciate the situation and understand that the action grows out of a most cordial sentiment concerning the Seminary on the part of yourself and the trustees. We find it very difficult to secure a site, but we are at work on the proposition.

I dislike to trouble you with a very minor detail and trust that you may refer the matter quickly and without much personal inconvenience to the proper authority. I am anxious to know if the relationship which I shall hold to the University would permit me to secure for my children the benefit of tuition discounts in the University School. I would not raise the question at this time were it not for the fact that I do not like to let my children start in the Ray School and later transfer them. I do not feel on the other hand that I can quite afford the full tuition rates at the University School. I suppose the entire matter will have to be worked out from the University side, and perhaps you are not able to say yet whether I would be entitled to any of the privileges which members of the faculty enjoy. I realize that I have no claim at all upon them except through the courtesy of the University.

Yours faithfully,

Ozora S. Davis

OSD/IMC
Chicago, February 9, 1915

My dear Dr. Davis:—

Your favor of the 8th inst. is received. As you know, I shall be interested to follow your plans out with regard to a site, and the University will be glad to assist in every way possible. Your children are entitled to the half rate in the University laboratory schools. If you will be good enough to show this statement to the authorities they will doubtless honor it.

With best wishes, I am,

Very truly yours,

H.P.J. - L.

President Ozora S. Davis,
Chicago Theological Seminary,
20 W. Ashland Boul., Chicago.
Mr. President of the Board:

Your favor of the 8th inst. is received. I am sorry to inform you that I am not able to follow your plans with regard to a site and the University will be forced to remain in each of our present positions. Your application was referred to the Fall River site in the University report. If your site furnishes what it will cost to complete the enterprise then will I incorporate this statement to the Subscribers' Fund if completed.

Your favor is with best wishes I am.

Very truly yours,

E. L. T.

President of the Board of Directors
Chicago, September 8th, 1895
So. A. Verney, Head, Chicago.
Interpretation of the Agreement between the University of Chicago and the Chicago Theological Seminary as to Fees

1. All Chicago Theological Seminary students who take work in the University will matriculate and pay the customary matriculation fee.

2. Courses in the Divinity School of the University and in the Chicago Theological Seminary shall be open each to students of the other institution without tuition fee.

N.P.J. - L.

Chicago, October 15, 1915.
November 20, 1923

My dear President:

While I was talking with you over the telephone Davis was sitting by my side. What I had to say was intended for his ears as much as for yours. It would seem to me a very serious technical mistake on our part to let the Spellman people think the Chicago Theological Seminary was the operating concern here. This whole matter of project work we had been carrying forward for years before Davis and his people ever thought of it. Our plans run back to the appointment of Hoben and they were taken up with renewed vigor by the appointment of Artman, and last year by that of Holman. You will find the thing adumbrated in an address I gave at the inauguration of Barbour, and I don't know how much farther back you and Harper were thinking of the same things - certainly nearly twenty years ago when we had that arrangement for a quarter's work with the Immanuel Church.

When Holt was here in the spring I outlined to him my general idea, and he talked about his. It seems to me imperative that we let this matter come up to the Spellman people as much from us as from the Chicago Theological Seminary. I don't for a moment think that they are trying to cut in under us but they are perfectly ready for joint operation. My own purpose in writing you is to explain a bit the situation yesterday and to express my renewed conviction that we are on the track of something here of real importance. I have been talking today to the sociologists and the political economy people and I don't believe they have anything like the educational interests that we have. Ours is really the adaptation of a sort of project method of professional work.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

President E. D. Burton,
University of Chicago.
November 10, 1924.

My dear Doctor Burton:

I am happy to tell you that Mr. and Mrs. Henry H. Hilton have agreed to build the Morning Chapel in memory of their son, Thorndike. This building is to be the center of the devotional life of the Seminary, beautiful and intimate, as Professor Beckwith said last Thursday evening, "our holy of holies." No gift in memory of a young man could be more appropriate than this building devoted to the highest life of young men.

Our friends do not desire publicity about this gift. But you will doubtless wish to send a note of appreciation to Mr. and Mrs. Hilton at 5640 Woodlawn Avenue, Chicago.

Yours cordially,

Ozora S. Davis
November 14, 1924.

My dear Dr. Davis:

May I tell you of the great pleasure I felt in learning of Mr. and Mrs. Hilton's gift to the Seminary. I shall be more than pleased to write to Mr. and Mrs. Hilton of my appreciation.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Ozora Davis,
Chicago Theological Seminary.
November 14, 1924.

My dear Mr. and Mrs. Hilton:

Dr. Davis has just written me of your generous gift to the Chicago Theological Seminary. May I tell you of my pleasure in learning of this? The Seminary, as you know, is so closely associated with the University, that the good fortune of one is appreciated by the other as a part of its own good fortune. I feel certain that you could have found no more beautiful way than this to honor the memory of your son, Thorndike.

Very truly yours,

Mr. and Mrs. Henry H. Hilton,
5640 Woodlawn Avenue,
Chicago, Illinois.
November 14, 1924.

I desire this letter to be read:

Our friends and your assistance in this
decision are asked to the Chicago Convention Committee.

The matter, as I feel it, is an expression to respond to such
interests as have been expressed in the early months of the
year.

We are opposed to the idea of an open sale of your
products and have in mind that your organization and your
trade are to go on as usual.

May you know your advance and improve your
market as you can (enclosed).

Mark and yours,

[Signature]
December 12, 1924

My dear Mr. Taft:

President Burton, as you may know, is working under great pressure as a result of the present campaign for funds. Being unable to take care of much of his correspondence personally he has asked that I write you and assure you of his sincere pleasure in receiving your recent letter. It is a source of strength to him, I believe, to feel that the University has good friends like yourself.

Very truly yours,

Secretary to the President

Mr. Lorado Taft,
6016 Ellis Avenue,
Chicago, Illinois.

WS:B
My great Mr. Tait:

President, you now know how much of the present company is a result of the present campus. It is a source of great pleasure in receiving your very early letter. It is a source of esteem to me. I believe to feel that the University is good enough to choose like you.

Very truly yours,

Secretary to the President

Mr. President,

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Office I. L. I. M.
Thanksgiving Day,
November 27, 1924.

President E. DeWitt Burton,
The University of Chicago,
Faculty Exchange.

My dear President Burton:

I have been asked by the Board of Directors
to serve as Chairman of the Seminary's Advisory Committee.
While it is not the intention to call the Committee into
session but rather to secure counsel by correspondence
and interview as occasion requires it is most desirable
that the members of the Committee should feel a sense
of definite fellowship, and I am therefore writing you
this personal word of greeting and good news.

Those of our number who have seen our new
building recognize the fact that we have made a real
contribution to the aesthetic values of the University of
Chicago and its neighborhood. Mr. Riddle's design worked
out even better than its promise and the officers and
students of the Seminary are met on every side with
congratulations.

It is not strange under the circumstances
that the numbers of these fortunate students should show
a gratifying increase: the attendance during the summer
was seventy-eight; this fall it has been sixty-three; the
total number of individual students since June is one hundred and fifteen.

The accession of Dr. Holt to the Faculty is a guarantee of its growing power and usefulness.

It is significant that the Seminary's augmented responsibilities are balanced by redoubled efforts and proportionate returns. The autumn has been unusually fruitful; the outlook is bright for a steadily increasing income.

One has but to read the first page of our dailies, to be convinced that if ever the world needed broad-minded, clear-visioned leadership it is right now.

If The Chicago Theological Seminary can prepare men for such service at this time its work will be of immeasurable value. We believe that it is performing this task: let us give it every aid in our power!

Cordially yours,

[Signature]
February 24, 1925

President Ernest D. Burton  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, Illinois

Dear Dr. Burton:

You will recall mentioning the possibility of uniting the Theological Seminaries at Rochester, Newton, and Colgate. Somewhat recently, requests from Newton and Rochester have been earnestly presented. A word to Mr. Rockefeller, Jr. as to whether he might at this time wisely suggest a combination of these Seminaries, drew from him the proposal that he write the Presidents of these institutions or the Presidents of the Boards of Trustees, stating that he was in receipt of many requests from Baptist Theological Schools to all of which he could not wisely give. He was, therefore, suggesting that the uniting certain schools and the providing of one adequate institution in the East, might be desirable and asking if they would favor a thorough study of the situation. As you know, conditions in each of these institutions make this a time favorable for such a study.

We have the following opinions: Dr. Buttrick thinks that before we make any suggestion, we should confer with Dr. Barbour of Rochester. Mr. Gates is impressed with the theoretical importance of union but believes the difficulties so great and the work necessary to accomplish anything so heavy, that he hesitates to advise any suggestion. Dr. Cutten of Colgate strongly favors a single institution in the East, probably located somewhere in New England. Last week Mr. Albert Scott, President of the Board of Trustees of Newton, was in this office and I guardedly suggested the matter to him. He was impressed with the idea of the study and thinks that Newton would respond to any wise plan. He is to confer with a few of the leading Trustees of Newton and let me know the result.

I hope to see Dr. Fadelford when he is again in the city. I understand he is quite favorable to the combination suggested.

Mr. Rockefeller, Jr. is eager to know your judgment as to the wisdom of his making this suggestion. If he should not do anything, personally, have you any plan to propose? Because Dr. Barbour, at least, must be answered soon, we are desirous of deciding what part, if any, Mr. Rockefeller, Jr. should take.

Very truly,

[Signature]

W.S. Richardson
The President of the Student Council

To the President of the Student Council,

I am writing to inform you of the recent developments at the University of California, Berkeley, regarding the president of our college. It is necessary to take swift action to ensure the smooth运行 of our college and to prevent any further delays in the progress of our studies.

I have been informed that the current president will be stepping down due to personal reasons. The university is in the process of selecting a new president, and it is crucial that we proceed with this process as expediently as possible. It is important that we ensure a smooth transition and that the new president is fully prepared to take on the responsibilities of the position.

I recommend that we begin the selection process immediately and work together to identify the most qualified candidate for the role. It is essential that we have a new president in place as soon as possible to ensure the continued success of our college.

I look forward to your response and any further action you may take in this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
February 25, 1925.

President Ernest D. Burton,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:

I am venturing to ask you frankly what you would like to have Mr. Rockefeller, Jr., contribute toward the financial needs of the Divinity School? The statement we have summarizes those needs and indicates that there is a balance of $1,150,000 to be secured. Except by way of some intimations, we have no definite idea what your request may be. Will you state it to me? If this $1,000,000 is not the entire amount you will know.

May I add one other word. When I was in Chicago, a conference with Dr. Davis, of the Chicago Theological Seminary, induced him to present the needs of that institution. I am wondering whether or not you would think it desirable for Mr. Rockefeller, Jr., to consider their needs; and if so, whether they might be presented together with the needs of the Chicago Divinity School? I spoke with Dr. Matthews very briefly when in Chicago, and he was inclined to think that by reason of its relationship the Chicago Theological Seminary might rightly make a request to us. I hope you will appreciate the circumstances under which I thus write to you, and will reply fully and frankly.

Very truly,

[Signature]

W.F. Richardson
Dear Mr. Parker,

I am anxious to see your financial plans and hope you will agree to the proposal for the purchase of the property. My suggestion is to have the house and grounds enclosed and converted into a hotel, to be called the "Divinity House." The hotel will be a place of rest and comfort for those who wish to escape the bustle of the city and need a quiet place to relax.

I have included some information on the hotel's potential, and I believe it will be a profitable venture for you. If you agree, I will provide you with more details and assistance.

May I see you after lunch? I am in Chicago now, and I am eager to discuss this further with you.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
26 Broadway
New York

February 25, 1925.

President Ernest D. Burton,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill

Dear Dr. Burton:

I am venturing to ask you frankly what you would like to have Mr. Rockefeller, Jr., contribute toward the financial needs of the Divinity School. The statement we have summarizes those needs and indicates that there is a balance of $1,150,000, to be secured. Except by way of some intimations, we have no definite idea what your request may be. Will you state it to me? If this $1,000,000 is not the entire amount you will know.

May I add one other word. When I was in Chicago, a conference with Dr. Davis, of the Chicago Theological Seminary, induced him to present the needs of that institution. I am wondering whether or not you would think it desirable for Mr. Rockefeller, Jr., to consider their needs; and if so, whether they might be presented together with the needs of the Chicago Divinity School? I spoke with Dr. Mathews very briefly when in Chicago, and he was inclined to think that by reason of its relationship the Chicago Theological Seminary might rightly make a request to us. I hope you will appreciate the circumstances under which I thus write to you, and will reply fully and frankly.

Very truly

W. S. Richardson.
NEW YORK

![Image of old paper document with handwriting]

DEAR DR. WRIGHT:

I am writing to express my appreciation for the financial assistance you have provided to the University of Chicago and the Divinity School. The establishment of the scholarship and the grant of $10,000,000 was a major step forward and I am grateful for your generosity.

May I take this opportunity to express my confidence in the Chicago Theological Seminary and its commitment to the advancement of scholarship in the field of religion. I have been pleased to see the growth and development of the seminary, and I am inspired by the dedication of its faculty and students.

I hope that you will continue to support the work of the seminary and look forward to the future with confidence.

Very truly,

[Signature]
MEMORANDUM IN REFERENCE TO THE CHICAGO THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY.

It is recommended that in the case of the removal of the Chicago Theological Seminary to a point near the University-

1) the University grant to the students of the Congregational Seminary the same privileges that are granted to the other theological students, namely, opportunity to do one-third work in the college and university classes in exchange for similar privileges, such students to be matriculated as university students;

2) that the University shall grant to such students under suitable restrictions the privileges of the libraries, museums, gymnasium, commons, club house, etc;

3) the University shall co-operate in the effort to secure exchange of land and buildings on the South Side for those now forming the property of the Seminary estimated at a value of $250,000.
MEMORANDUM IN REFEREESE TO THE CHICAGO THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

It is recommended that in the case of the removal of the Chicago Theological Seminary to a point near the University-

(1) the University grant to the students of the Congregational Seminary the same privileges that are granted to the other theological students;

(2) that the University grant to each student under suitable

restriction the privilege of the facilities of libraries, museums, galleries, etc.

and

(3) the University grant co-operative fire and insurance to some extent at a rate of

and to be held on the South Side for those now living on the property of

the Seminary consisting of a value of $5,000.
My dear Mr. Harper:

If convenient, I would like to call on you tomorrow, or Friday, look over the situation & talk further on Seminary matters. Any time tomorrow except from 12:30 to 3 P.M.

"Phone" answer a query, please.

Cordially yours

J. H. George
My dear Dr. Harper,

Is it possible to meet you Sunday afternoon or evening at your convenience as to time & place? I am very anxious to have the interview you mentioned before Tuesday next.

Unfortunately I go to St. Louis tonight returning Sunday morning. Monday morning I leave town returning in time for meeting of Presidents on Tuesday.

As that is a half holiday a "flour" message should be sent before noon. Telegram or special delivery letter should be sent to 45 Warren Ave.

In view of the action of our committee this interview is exceedingly important. Cordially yours,

J. H. George
Memoranda In re

Proposed exchange of property to secure proximity of the Chicago Theological Seminary to the University of Chicago and the co-operation of both institutions.

1. The real estate and buildings now owned and occupied by the Chicago Theological Seminary are described in accompanying diagram of the lots on Ashland Boul, on either side of Warren Avenue opposite Union Park, and in photographs and printed descriptions, to gether with a valuation estimated by Mr. Heckman for the University.

It is proposed that in exchange for these properties a site near the University be provided for the Seminary, and a building furnished, which shall as adequately provide for the dormitory residence of 150 students, a chapel, seating not less than 200 persons, and a reference library, as these necessities of the institution are now met.

The Seminary shall own and control the property thus provided for it, and shall be absolutely independent in its internal management.

2. Some of the advantages to the University of having the Seminary in co-operating distance and relations with it are the following:
   a. The governing constituency of the Seminary is scattered over seventeen states in the middle, southern and northern states of the West, representatives from which meet in Triennial Council to elect directors who control the Seminary.
   b. The Seminary receives many of its students from and is in vital relations with Congregational and other colleges of these states. It can influence them to send their students in larger numbers to the graduate schools of the University, which may thus become the center from which plans and methods for the betterment of these colleges shall be promoted.
   c. It is understood and agreed that the faculty of the Seminary shall rank in scholarship and standing with the professors of the University, and that the grade of academic requirement for entrance and graduation shall
Moments in the history of the University of California, Berkeley, show how the institution has developed over the years.

Several factors have contributed to the growth of the University, including the following:

1. The establishment of the University in 1868
2. The development of the campus and its facilities
3. The increase in enrollment over the years
4. The addition of new academic programs and departments

The University has played a significant role in the intellectual and cultural life of the state, and its contributions to science, technology, and the arts are widely recognized.

In conclusion, the University of California, Berkeley, is a testament to the dedication and vision of its founders, and its future is bright with the promise of continued excellence in education and research.
also equal the requirements of the graduate schools of the University.

d. The relation between the Seminary and the University will be fraternal and co-operative, allowing of such professorial interchanging of service and admission of students of each institution to the courses of the other, as may be in the interests of both.

e. If this largest of the Congregational Seminaries is thus brought into co-operative relations with the University of Chicago, it may set the type of connection which other independent denominational divinity schools may be led to establish.

3. While appreciating the advantages to the Seminary, which will accrue from its proximity and its relation with the University, its officers and friends see no way of gaining them except by this proposed exchange of real estate and buildings. By the economy in administration and instruction thus made possible, the funds of the Seminary now in hand, or available from its constituency, will be adequate to maintain and develop even a higher standard of efficiency than may be achieved in its present location, where the duplication of supplemental agencies now furnished by the University cannot be avoided.

It is hoped that the value of the real estate on the West Side would prove to be greater than that which would be furnished for a new site on the South side. The only risk to be assumed in making the exchange would be in holding the present buildings of the Seminary long enough to make satisfactory final disposition of them. Even this might be attended by no loss, as the sale of the West side property might very possibly realize a sum equal to the cost of the new site and buildings for the Seminary nearer the University.
The relationship between the Seminary and the University will be harmonious and cooperative, aiming at mutual enhancement of service and assistance of each other. In the interest of the Seminary and the University, it will be the type of connection existing between educational institutions toward the attainment of the goals of education. It will be of the interest of both parties to cooperate and the relationship to the Seminary and the University to cooperate.

In the promotion of the Seminary's relationship with the University, the University's assistance and cooperation will be the main factors. The University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary, and the University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary. The University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary, and the University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary.

The University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary, and the University will also cooperate with the Seminary in the promotion of the Seminary.

It is hoped that the aims of the two parties as to the interest of both parties shall be attained.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary. The University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.

The Seminary and the University shall cooperate in the promotion of the Seminary.
May 20th, 1902.

Professor W. D. Mackenzie,
Chicago, Ill.

My dear Professor Mackenzie:-

I am writing this letter in confidence. I believe the time will come when it will be found most advantageous for the Congregational Seminary to be located near the University. I also believe that the financial arrangement for such a change could be easily engineered. I do not mean by this any kind of union with the University. I have in mind simply propinquity. To be sure, if later an arrangement was desired by which the degrees of the University should be conferred upon graduates of the Congregational Seminary, this could be easily arranged. I have seen what has been said about the removal of Andover. I am wondering whether it would be worth while to talk this over.

Yours very truly,
May 20th, 1925

Professor W. D. MacKenzie
Chicago, Ill.

My dear Professor MacKenzie:

I am writing this letter in continuation
I have the space of time which comes now to be a long wait semester for
the Congressional Seminary to be located near the University. I also
oppose that the financial arrangement for such a change may seem to early
engineering I do not mean by this any kind of union with the University
I have in mind simply practical To do some it later an arrangement
was general by which the teachers of the University supply their entire
work. I have seen what has been done with the removal of Anson;
I am wondering whether it would be worth while to take this over.

Yours very truly,
November 26th, 1902.

President J. H. George,

Chicago Theological Seminary, Chicago.

My dear President George:—

I wish to thank you very heartily for your kind letter of November 25th. It gives me great pleasure to receive this. I did not feel quite satisfied with what I had done, but it was the best I could do under all the circumstances.

Trusting that the exercises have been on the whole satisfactory, and hoping sometime to have a talk with you about matters, I remain

Yours very truly,

W. R. Harper
My dear President George:

I wish to thank you very heartily
for your kind letter of November 20th. It gives me great pleasure
to receive this. I am not least delighted with what I have gone.

I trust that the exercises have been on the whole satisfactory,
and that I will be able to form a capital with you. And matters, I remain

Yours very truly,

W.H. Huber
Chicago. Nov. 25th, 1902.

President W. R. Harper, LL. D.,

Chicago University,

Chicago.

My dear Dr. Harper:

The Executive Committee of Chicago Theological Seminary instructed me to convey to you the thanks of the Seminary for your magnificent address in the Seminary Chapel, on the Monday of inauguration week. Especially do I wish to express my own personal appreciation and that of the members of the Faculty for the service you rendered to the educational work of Theological Seminaries.

Most cordially yours,

P.S. It is scarcely necessary to say that the President of the Seminary made no unfavorable reference to your address in his inaugural nor at any other time. My appreciation is most sincere and I only make this reference on account of newspaper statements for which I am in no way responsible.
Chicago Theological Seminary

INCORPORATED IN 1855, AS

"THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CHICAGO THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY"

FISK HALL, 81 ASHLAND BOULEVARD.

TEL. WEST 1017.

Chicago, June 20th, 1903.

President W. R. Harper, LL.D.,
Chicago, Ill.

My dear Dr. Harper:

I send you herewith an estimated value of Chicago
Theological Seminary plant, founded on statements made by the late
treasurer. We have no exact estimate and the treasurer's valuation was
not always uniform. I think this is conservative.

Cordially yours,

J. H. George
President W. R. Harper, III.
Ottawa, Ill.

Mr. President,

I trust you recognize me as a registered miast of Chicago.

Attoedental Examiining Board, I am honored to represent the state of Illinois with respect to the dental profession. We have no exact parallel and little information available on the subject.

Thank you container. I think this to content can be

Yours truly,

[Signature]
SEND the following message subject to the terms on back herewith, which are hereby agreed to.

President J. H. George,

Bolton-Landing, Lake George, N.Y.

If occasion demands would you give two courses Homiletics July twenty-seven to September third. Salary five hundred dollars.


Prepay and charge to President's office.

READ THE NOTICE AND AGREEMENT ON BACK.
ALL MESSAGES TAKEN BY THIS COMPANY ARE SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING TERMS:

To guard against mistakes or delays, the sender of a message should order it REPEATED; that is, telegraphed back to the originating office for comparison. For this, one-half the regular rate is charged in addition. It is agreed between the sender of the following message and this Company, that said Company shall not be liable for mistakes or delays in the transmission or delivery, or for non-delivery of any unrepeated message, beyond the amount received for sending the same; nor for mistakes or delays in the transmission or delivery, or for non-delivery of any repeated message, beyond fifty times the sum received for sending the same, unless specially insured, nor in any case for delays arising from unavoidable interruption in the working of its lines, or for errors in cipher or obscure messages. And this Company is hereby made the agent of the sender, without liability, to forward any message over the lines of any other Company when necessary to reach its destination.

Correctness in the transmission of a message to any point on the lines of this Company can be insured by contract in writing, stating agreed amount of risk, and payment of premium thereon, at the following rates, in addition to the usual charge for repeated messages, viz., one per cent. for any distance not exceeding 1,000 miles, and two per cent. for any greater distance. No employee of the Company is authorized to vary the foregoing.

No responsibility regarding messages attaches to this Company until the same are presented and accepted at one of its transmitting offices; and if a message is sent to such office by one of the Company's messengers, he acts for that purpose as the agent of the sender.

Messages will be delivered free within the established free delivery limits of the terminal office. For delivery at a greater distance, a special charge will be made to cover the cost of such delivery.

The Company will not be liable for damages or statutory penalties in any case where the claim is not presented in writing within sixty days after the message is filed with the Company for transmission.

THOS. T. ECKERT, President and General Manager.
President Wm. R. Harper,
University of Chicago.

My Dear Dr. Harper:—

We were in the midst of negotiations when the summer season separated the Board of Directors, sent you across the ocean and permitted me to go east. Is it convenient for you to resume? If so, I can send you a reminder of the situation when we last met or I can call on you at your convenience. A message by phone will reach me any time tomorrow.

Congratulating you on your success abroad,
Cordially yours,

J.H. George
President.

P.S. Personally I am anxious to proceed along the lines we have been discussing.