June 14th, 1903

My dear Harper:

First of all I must explain why you have not heard from me till now. Your letter could not have been forwarded promptly as it did not reach my country place at Lakes Humphrey's until yesterday, the 13th. On the 12th I had returned to Montreal, so further delay has ensued from a second forwarding. Mrs. Billy telephoned me that she sent you
a stop-gap telegram, but now you will know just why I have failed to answer your letter before.

Last Saturday, the 10th, I received from Professor Sparks a note which prepared me for the important proposal you have made. Quite apart from the professional opportunity thus afforded it is a great compliment to be asked to follow Von Hart and Jameson. As you know, I thoroughly enjoyed the job work, I spent in Chicago ten years ago and can still carry away a warm feeling of admiration for the University. It cost me nearly a year of futile and fruitless suspense to reach a decision regarding the offer you were good enough to make me at that time, and if the terms then proposed seemed attractive, those now suggested are even more so. How- ever, some few difficulties have emerged since then. My father who is seventy-seven years of age views with reluctance any change which would take me to such a distance and — though this is a much smaller consideration — have just started to build a house in Montreal. I can quite see that I am unlikely to have a post of such dignity and influence placed in my way again, but the first of the obituary just mentioned seems incomparable. Where the issue is so grave
The University of Chicago

Nov. 9, 1905.

CHICAGO,

President William R. Harper,

The University of Chicago.

My dear President:

Professor Dennis tendered his resignation April 16th last, to take effect September 30th, 1906; in other words, at the end of the year's leave of absence which was granted him last January before he had the call to Wisconsin. The resignation was presented to the Board to take effect October 1st (properly September 30th) 1905. Meanwhile he taught in the summer quarter 1905 for vacation credit. In settling with him the Auditor sent him a check for two-thirds of the amount, under the usual rules of the Board. Mr. Dennis claims that he did not intend to resign September 30, 1905; that he is still on the staff of the University of Chicago, and that he ought to have the full amount. Of course the year's leave of absence was granted him on the presumption of his returning here and before the question of his resignation was considered. At the same time if this leave of absence was intended to be revoked, which might have been perfectly equitable, Professor Dennis should have been notified, I suppose, earlier. I raise the question,
The Assistant Director of the University of Chicago

Dear Professor...

I have just heard from the President of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago that the Board has decided to offer you the presidency of the University of Chicago. The decision was reached after careful consideration of all the factors involved.

The Board is confident that you will accept this position and will bring to it the knowledge and experience that you have gained in your work at the University of Chicago. The Board is convinced that you will be able to lead the University into a new era of excellence.

I trust that you will accept this offer and that you will be able to start work as soon as possible. The Board is looking forward to working with you in the future and to seeing the University of Chicago continue to grow and prosper under your leadership.

With best wishes,

[Signature]
therefore, whether he is not equitably entitled to the full salary for his summer work.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Chicago

[Signature]

Volk, Frank Horne
13 Kirkland Street,
Cambridge, Mass.

November 29, 1905.

Professor Harry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

My dear Mr. Judson:

On November 2nd I received a letter from Mr. Trevor Arnett enclosing a check for $555.55, which it was stated was in "payment in full" for the extra vacation credit which I had earned by teaching four successive quarters at the University of Chicago. I answered his letter by returning to him my check for $347.22, and told him that the deduction of one-third from my salary which he had made was not in accordance with my understanding of my relations to the University of Chicago. I kept only the regular monthly salary which I thought was due me, $208.33. He replied to me on November 6th, acknowledging my check for $347.22, and continued as follows:

"The action of the Board is specific with regard to your resignation taking effect on October 1st, 1905, and the payment which was sent to you was made in accordance with that action. I notice what you say with regard to the agreement made with the President and Mr. Judson, and I have referred
November 20th, 1902

19 Colfax Avenue

Columbia, Texas

Mr. Keene, Assistant Professor of Chemistry

University of Chicago

Dear Mr. Keene:

I am writing to mention a letter from Mr. Treadwell, Assistant Professor of Chemistry, in which he has written:

"All the notes that I received on your letter for $50.00, which I am enclosing, have been returned to me by the University of Chicago. I am sending the letter of recommendation from the University of Chicago to your attention. I suggest that you might consider asking for your copy of my recent letter of recommendation at the University of Chicago. I hope only the letter that I have written to

 concerning as follows:

The section of the book is especially with regard to your

notification taking effect on October 1st, 1903. You may the day for

write more new work to your new work in accordance with your

notion. I notice that you may not vary the subject of the statement

some with the President and of the Jubilee, and I have learned

...
your letter to Mr. Judson for action."

I waited, hoping to hear from you in the matter, but as some time has now elapsed, I venture to write to you in regard to the misunderstanding. In the first place, let me say that I am perfectly familiar with the statute of the University which states, if I remember rightly, that in case of resignation or death, extra vacation credit salary is to be settled with a discount of one-third, but except for the casual information from Mr. Arnett I have received no notification that my resignation from the University of Chicago has been accepted at any given date, and in my first letter to Mr. Arnett, I took the position that I was still a member of the University. My reasons for doing so are as follows:

In May, 1904, when I saw President Harper with regard to my acceptance of an appointment to Chicago, President Harper at my request agreed to give me a year's leave of absence in the near future. No word was said to me at that time of any rule with regard to a one-third discount from my salary under any circumstances.

In January, 1905, I received from Harvard an invitation to lecture during the first half-year of 1905-06. I wrote to President Harper asking his permission to accept the invitation, and at the same time to take my promised year's leave of absence from October 1st, 1905, to October 1st, 1906. He answered me in a letter of January 18, 1905, as you had already
Your letter to Mr. Joubert for action.

I wanted you to hear from you in the matter; but

as some time has now elapsed, I assume you to have in

regard to the Midcontinent grain. If the latter phase, for me

say that I am perfectly familiar with the articles of the U.S.

cereal with a glance at one-print, put except for the

reason on account from Mr. Joubert, I have received no details.

certain that my reading from the University of Chicago has

been excerpted by my given date. and in my letter letter to

Mr. Joubert. I took the opportunity that I was still a member of

the University. No reason to continue the relationship

In May, 1904, when I was President of Harvard, with regard to

my appointment of an appointment to Chicago, President Harper

my predecessor at my predecessor to give me a year's leave of absence in

my letters to me to me at that time of my

the near future. No word was said to me at that time of any

leave with regard to a one-print a glance from my body under

such circumstances.

In January, 1905, I received from Harvard an invitation

to feature finding the letter and year of 1905-06. I wrote

to President Harper asking his permission to accept the invitation

and at the same time to take my annual leave. The

of absence from October 1st, 1904, to October 24th, 1905. He

summoned me in a letter of January 18, 1905, as you had already
done on his behalf on January 10th, approving the plan. At the same time it was proposed to me that in view of my prospective absence from the University I should teach during the summer of 1905. At the special request of President Harper and of Professor Jameson I agreed to do this. Later, as the severity of President Harper's illness relaxed, I wrote him April 5th, asking that the extra vacation credit salary which I was to earn by teaching four successive quarters might be paid me in regular monthly installments during the first part of my year's leave of absence. This letter he referred to you, and later both you and he told me that the matter would be arranged as I desired. You stated that you would instruct the Business Manager that my salary should be paid as I had desired. No word was said by either you or him on the subject of any reduction of my salary, though you both had known, through Professor Jameson, of my prospective resignation from the University of Chicago.

During the discussion in the third week of April with regard to my resignation, not a word was said to me by you or by President Harper to lead me to believe that I was not to receive my full salary for teaching during the summer. In connection with the arguments which you used to persuade me to reconsider my resignation, not a word was said by you to the effect that I was likely to be deprived of any part of my salary in case I went to the University of Wisconsin in
At present, I am writing to announce that I am proceeding with a plan to retire from the University of Wisconsin at the end of the academic year. I was informed of this decision by the President of the University, who expressed his regret at my departure. I have decided to retire from the University due to a number of personal reasons. I have been teaching at the University for many years and feel that it is time for me to pursue other interests. I have been offered a position at another institution, which I am considering carefully.

I would like to express my gratitude to the University for the opportunities and experiences that I have had here. I have had the privilege of working with some of the finest scholars and students in the country. I have enjoyed the challenges and rewards of teaching and research, and I have been fortunate to have had the support of my colleagues and students.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all of you for your contributions to my time at the University. I have had the pleasure of working with many talented and dedicated individuals, and I have learned a great deal from each of you. I will always cherish the memories of the times we spent together.

I am looking forward to the future and the new opportunities that lie ahead. I will continue to be involved in the academic community, and I hope to contribute in some way to the continued success of the University.

Thank you for your understanding and support. I feel confident that the University will continue to thrive and excel in the years to come. I am proud to have been a part of this great institution, and I will always hold it in high regard.

Sincerely,
[Your Name]
September, 1906, a date then nearly eighteen months distant, though other arguments of a similar nature were made at the time. I had already sent my resignation to President Harper and it was worded to take effect "September 30th, 1906 or at such earlier date as may suit your convenience." My only purpose in handing in my resignation at that time, April, 1905, was to give Chicago ample time to secure my successor, and my only purpose in adding, through courtesy, the phrase "at such earlier date as may suit your convenience" was to show you my desire to co-operate with you in vacating my position for a new man in case you secured him.

Furthermore, actuated by the same desire to show the University of Chicago the greatest courtesy, I requested the University of Wisconsin to postpone the date of my appointment until I should be formally separated from the University of Chicago, and I wrote to Harvard asking the authorities to publish me in the Departmental pamphlet as coming from the University of Chicago. You will observe, therefore, that as far as I was capable, I acted with the most careful and significant courtesy to Chicago, and until I received Mr. Arnett's letter of November 4th, I supposed I was still a member of the University of Chicago, on leave of absence. I might have protested early in the spring with regard to the asterisk which appeared opposite my name in the University Register signifying that I had resigned, had I not also observed that
September 10th. A couple of weeks ago, I received a letter from the
University of Michigan, informing me that I had been selected for the
position. I was thrilled, but also somewhat apprehensive about the
change in environment. I knew that the atmosphere of the similar nature
was a bit different than what I was used to. I had already

written a letter to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences,
requesting a meeting. I had also attended a few additional courses.

The only 

reason for the delay was the need to finalize my

resignation from the University of Michigan, and to

arrange for a smooth transition to a new position.

Furthermore, I realized that the same gesture to show the
University of Michigan the respect it deserved, I had respected the

University of Chicago for postponing the date of my appointment.

I wrote a letter to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, and
I wrote to the faculty expressing my appreciation for the

university's support and the opportunity to join the

faculty. I signed the letter with the utmost sincerity and

trust, as I was confident that I had made the right decision.

In conclusion, to the University of Chicago, and until I receive my

appointment letter, I will cooperate fully. I will be an active

member of the University of Chicago, as I have been instructed.

I am confident that I will be successful, and I look forward to

moving to Chicago and becoming a part of the

university's community.
I was also, by another mark, shown to be deceased. I thought it was unnecessary to add to the burdens of the unfortunate editor of the volume, and so did not take action at that time, but as a matter of fact, I was in Chicago until September 1st doing my work there, with no hint from you or anyone else that I was not regarded as a regular member of the Faculty. It is, I think, needless to state that I should not have taught at the University of Chicago during the summer, had I not supposed that I was to receive my rightful salary.

These, in general, are the circumstances as I understand them. I do not pretend to interpret for you the application of the statutes of the University, but on the question of the equity of the case, even if your interpretation of the statutes could not support my view in the matter, I feel confident that a more careful consideration of the matter will convince you that a misunderstanding of the case has been made. I trust, therefore, to hear from you that the proper and necessary steps either have already been taken or will soon be taken, to give me the salary which I did my best to earn. I am

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
I was also, at another point, shown to be necessary, I thought.

It was necessary to hold to the purpose of the comfortable

settler at the moment, and not get too long at that.

same port as a matter of fact, I was in Chicago until Sep-

remained in going to work there, with no hint from you or any

one else that I was not regarded as a former member of the

University. If I may think it,Kessinger to state that I belong

to have traces of the University of Chicago during the summer,

and I was surprised that I was to receive my literary copy.

These, in general, are the circumstances as I understand

them. I was not prepared to understand, for you the multiplication

of the statement of the University, nor the decision of the

safety of the case, even if your interpretation of the

statement would not support my view in the matter, I fear our

errors are not mere editorial considerations of the matter, will

inevitably lead to more serious consideration of the case now

conveniences you that is manifest that at the case now

I must, therefore, to hear from you that the paper and

necessary before that have already been taken at will soon

be taken, to give me the benefit which I give my best to

earn.
CHICAGO Dec. 2, 1905.

My dear Mr. Judson:-

I am in receipt of the enclosed letter from Mr. Dennis and since I have not heard from you or President Harper I did nothing more in the matter. Upon the receipt of Mr. Dennis' letter at the beginning of November I wrote him the reasons governing the payment of his salary for the Summer Quarter at $1/3 discount and informed him that the points that he raised would be referred to you for judication. In the meantime, I told him that I would hold his check for $347.22 which he refunded until the matter was determined.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Mr. H. P. Judson.
Faculty Exchange.
Chicago Dec 8th 1907

Hi dear Mr. Jackson

I am in receipt of the enclosed letter from Mr. Donnell and since I have not heard from you, or received a letter from Mr. Donnell, I am in receipt of his letter. Upon the receipt of the Donnell letter I notified the City of Denver or the Denver council of the situation of the Denver Senate. I wrote him the Denver council said they were prepared to recognize the Denver Senate on the principle that if the Senate passed an act it would stand. I forwarded him the letter from the Senate and asked for an inspection. To the Senate I told him that I would send them the letter and the act passed. I am ready to report the Senate's action to you.

Yours truly,
Dear President Harper:

Referring to the case of Prof. A. L. P. Dennis I suggest the following.

1. When he came to us it was understood that he would have leave of absence the second year for the purpose of increasing his usefulness to the University. It was never contemplated by the Trustees that he would be given leave of absence for the purpose of increasing his usefulness to the University of Wisconsin.

2. When his resignation was submitted the attention of the Committee on Instruction and Equipment was not called to the fact that Mr. Dennis wished it to take effect at the end of a year of absence to be spent by him in preparing work for another institution.

The President reported that Prof. Dennis had decided to accept an invitation to the University of Wisconsin and submitted his resignation. The Committee recommended that the resignation be accepted. This action was submitted to the Board and accepted "to take effect at the end of his year Oct. 1, 1905." The matter of a leave of absence was not mentioned to the Board.

So far as I can understand the date at which Prof. Dennis in his letter wished his resignation to take effect escaped the President's notice. This letter was not seen by the Secretary until after all the above action had been taken.

It seems to me therefore that the action of the trustees must not only stand, which goes without saying, but should also govern the final settlement with Mr. Dennis.

3. I notice that Mr. Judson makes the point that if Mr. Dennis'
CHICAGO, Ill., May 10, 1901.

Dear President Hitchcock:

I enclose the following.

I have been asked to act as an underwriter for the purpose of purchasing the new

Institute of the University

It may never be satisfactory to the

Institute that I may be given an approval of the purpose of

Institute to the satisfaction of the University of Wisconsin.

When the designation was submitted the attention of the Board

In Institute of the University, and the decision was not called for by the Board.

In Institute of the University of Wisconsin, and I hereby request for the purpose of

E. H. H. Hitchcock

The President, Board of Regents.

The President of the University of Wisconsin and requiring the

The Committee recommends that the designation of the

Take the matter of a lease.

No letter was sent of the request of the Board,

So far as I can understand the case is with Prof. Doolittle and

Letter written by the committee to take effect immediately the President's

Other

Yours ever,

The letter was not seen by the Secretary until after

If the report on the plan of the employees

One day ago, will you agree to send to

The letter was signed by Mr. Doolittle.

S. H. Hitchcock
leave of absence was intended to be revoked he should have been notified earlier. This would be quite true in case such leave had ever been granted him. But no such action had ever been taken. It had simply been mentioned by the President at the time of his appointment that he would be absent the second year without pay.

The fact that he himself closed his work for the University at the end of his first year and accepted an appointment in another institution in itself constituted a revocation of this understanding. His own act in ending his work for the University made it null and void.

4. The dating of the time at which his resignation was to take effect a year away on the theory that he was to be on leave of absence, only nullified, or was an attempt to nullify, the action of the trustees on the matter of settlement with professors who resign to accept service elsewhere. He had been appointed and had accepted the position of a professor in another institution which in itself ended a like relationship with us.

It is my purpose, of course, to notify every man of any official action taken in his case. I am confident I notified Mr. Dennis of the acceptance of his resignation. Yet I am compelled to confess that my copy book does not contain such a letter to Mr. Dennis written at the time his resignation was accepted. I have therefore now sent him such a notification, with my apologies.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
Chicago Dec. 15 1905

Prof. H. P. Judson
The University of Chicago
Chicago

My dear Prof. Judson:-

I am in receipt of your favor of the 14th inclosing the correspondence in the Dennis matter. In view of the situation presented by this correspondence I cannot well see how the University can with justice reduce the salary of Prof. Dennis. My suggestion is that the matter be briefly stated to the Board with the recommendation that, under the circumstances, the usual rule need not apply.

I am returning the correspondence with this.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
My dear Professor Lenox: 

I am in receipt of your letter of the 9th instant. I have informed the director of the course that the enclosure I cannot return the manuscript of this correspondence I cannot will see you the University enjoy any benefit, I am prepared to pass the matter on to your hands with the recommendation that you make the acquaintance of my former employer in New York. 

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
December 21, 1905.

Professor A. L. P. Dennis,  
13 Kirkland Street,  
Cambridge, Mass.

My dear Mr. Dennis:—

The Auditor has, I think, already sent you a check for the amount due December 1st, 1905 and will continue payments until the entire sum due you for the work in the summer quarter is paid you at the full rate. Clearly you ought to have been notified, first, of the change in the date put to the acceptance of your resignation and, second, as to the arrangement which might result as to the amount of cash due you for the summer work. It seems that you were notified of neither. So far as the leave of absence was concerned, of course Dr. Harper consented to that in consideration of your work having continued here. It would therefore have been entirely proper to have altered the arrangement in the time of your resignation. Inasmuch, however, as nothing of this sort was done with your knowledge, it would not be just not to pay you the full amount. The full amount, therefore, has been approved by the Board.

Very truly yours,
December 31, 1903

Professor A. P. Deming
612 Ashland Street
Cambridge, Mass.

My dear Mr. Deming:

I think the utmost credit is due you for the manner in which you approved the proposal of the committee of the students to give me your name as the name to be paid by you at the minute. Clarify your scheme so that it may have been noted that the student committee had the chance to the advantage of you. Remember me to the students of whom I read your name in the minute.

It seems that you were worthy of mention.

Go as far as the letter of reference was concerned.

Some do not have as many connections to the committee as their work and credit continue to have

I have never been entirely proper to pay attention to the arrangement to the time of your last letter. I am not a student of your knowledge as a part of the work as your home.

I am your truly,

Very truly yours,
13 Kirkland Street,
Cambridge, Mass.

November 29, 1905.

Trevor Arnett, Esq.
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Sir:

I received your letter of November 4th in which you informed me that my resignation was accepted by the Board of Trustees on October 1st, 1905. This was the first notice I have received, and I can scarcely regard it as official. I have been expecting that in view of your action referring my letter to you to Mr. Judson, that Mr. Judson would write me, but I have heard nothing from him. In the meantime, as you state, you are holding my check for $347.25 until you hear from Mr. Judson. Although I hoped it would not be necessary for me to write to Mr. Judson in the matter, I feel that I have waited a sufficiently long time to make it necessary for me to write to him direct, at the same time I hope you will understand that I am expecting on the first of the month the check which is due me December 1st. I am

Yours truly,

Alfred C. P. Dennis
February 10th, 1906.

My dear Mr. Terry:

Yours of the 8th inst. is at hand. 1) We are entirely committed to Mr. McLaughlin and are not free in the matter until we hear from him. 2) The questions of promotions in the department are matters for the future and cannot be considered at the present moment. 3) You quite misunderstood what I had to say about finances and make an over estimate of what is available. We could not use the amount which you indicate and it could not be used at present in the ways which you suggest. I hope that within a short time we shall have the entire departmental situation cleared up and in proper shape.

Very truly yours,

H. P. Judson.
My dear Mr. Tarly:

Yours of the 8th inst. is
at hand. I was most sincerely compelled to
read it, and am not free to the matter until
the receipt from him. (3) The departure of promotion
the government he matters for the future and cannot be
counteracted by the present moment. (2) You desire
me to understand what I had to say about finances, and make
measure thereof what I said to you about the same. We cannot
see the moment which you intimated, and it cannot be
I must believe in the way which you suggested. I
hope that within a short time we shall have the
authoritative information on the subject to which
I refer.

Very truly yours,

H. B. Judson
March 30th, 1906.

My dear Mr. Terry:—

I have to-day received a letter from McLaughlin warmly accepting the call to the headship of the department. The matter will not be laid before the Board of Trustees for upwards of a fortnight yet, and therefore until that is done we are not at liberty to make the matter public.

Under the circumstances I have thought best to send him all the matter relating to the Escoto library. I have written him also about the collection of maps. I suppose it is too late to embody any announcement in the graduate circular for next year. However, we will get things in the Register in proper condition.

Very truly yours,

H. P. Judson
H. D. waub.

As said in my last note, I have the pleasure of announcing to you the arrival of a large order of whiskey, which has been received in good condition. However, it

The whiskey is of superior quality, and I have every confidence in its taste. I am pleased to inform you that it will be shipped to you as soon as possible.

The weather has been very favorable for the delivery, and I am sure that you will be satisfied with the product. I look forward to working with you again on future orders.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
August 29th, 1906.

Professor Doctor Heinrich August Alexander Kraeger,
Düsseldorf, Germany.

Dear Sir:-

At a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago held yesterday, on my recommendation you were invited to act as Professor of the History of German Art during the autumn and winter quarters of 1906-1907. It is understood in this invitation that you will give four lectures weekly in English at the University, beginning November 5th, 1906, and ending at the close of the winter quarter, March 22nd, 1907, and during the same period one lecture each week in German at a suitable place in the city.

It is further understood that the University will pay you for these services the sum of $2250. This amount, I may say, consists of the contributions of our citizens of German parentage made for this purpose.
Dear Sir:

At a meeting of the Board of Trustees at the University of Chicago held yesterday, we are pleased to accept your invitation to make a presentation at the History of Germany and Germanic Studies Seminar during the 1969-1970 academic year. We will welcome you to the University of Chicago beginning September 1, 1969, and ending the same month. We are confident that you will find our University of students, faculty, and staff to your satisfaction.

It is hoped that you may be able to secure one or more weeks in Germany, especially in the vicinity of the University of Munich. We will endeavor to provide you with all the necessary arrangements for your stay.

This meeting was held yesterday and we trust that you will have an enjoyable and productive stay in your new academic environment.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

[Name]
Trusting that you will be able to accept the invitation and looking forward with much interest to your visit here which I need not say I shall do all in my power to make pleasant and profitable to you, I am,

Very truly yours,

H. P. Judson
The work that you have done will be of great interest to me and I am looking forward to hearing from you. I would appreciate it if you could let me know as soon as possible.

Yours truly,

H.P. Jackson
Mr Harry Pratt Judson,

Director of Chicago University.

Dear Sir,

Your letter has been forwarded to me by our Government, and I read that you recommended me to the Board of Trustees to act as Professor at the University of Chicago from 1906 – 1907.

It will be my highest ambition to give the students any help they require by my lectures, that they may learn to know intimately the history of German Art, which is a striking feature of European culture. Starting from November 8th, I shall have to close on March 22nd.

I am deeply obliged to your citizens of German parentage for undertaking the expenses of my journey to America, and I thank you, both for your invitation, and for the hearty welcome you so kindly offer me at the conclusion of your letter.

I am, dear Sir,

Very faithfully yours,

Kraeger.
October 26th, 1926

Mr. Henry Pratt Judson
Director of Columbia University

Dear Sir,

Your letter has been forwarded to me for our
Government, and I have sent you a recommendation for the
Chair of Professors of the University

of Columbia from 1902 - 1908.

It will be my privilege and honor to give the
encouragement to which you are entitled by the traditions, that
may lead to the integration of Europe and America,
which is a splendid feature of your training in
Europe.

starting from November 6th, I shall have to leave on

March 28th

I am greatly obliged to your criticism of Germany
par excellence, for understanding the importance of my country to
America, and to thank you for your invitation and
for the hearty welcome you have kindly offered me at the
convention of your federation.

I am, your serv.

Very truly yours,
July 23, 1908.

My dear President Judson:

I learn from Mr. Bretz this morning that during the Spring Quarter the approach was made by Cornell. This is interesting in that it makes clear that Mr. Catterall has not been the prime instrument in drawing him away from Chicago. The position to which Cornell has called Mr. Bretz is that of Assistant Professor at a salary of $2,000. His work will be almost altogether advanced work in American History. He feels that this opportunity will be greater than the chance to teach a course in advanced work in American History next Spring and the heavy Junior College course in History during the rest of the time. He seems to think, moreover, that a position at Cornell will put him in closer touch with the Eastern men in History. The library facilities, Professor Thompson tells me, are greater here in the middle West than in New York for Mr. Bretz' particular line of work; the library there, however, is a good one. Mr. Bretz' chief interests in the position, as I understand them, are: the advanced work or the advanced classes in a field practically his own within the department, the Eastern associations and the immediate advantages of the salary. He is waiting only for your release before accepting the position.

To hold Mr. Bretz in Chicago, as Mr. Thompson points out, a pro-
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batted非常高 to gaining the main from China. The position to match Gomorrah and coffee. It began to gain of Advertisement Protection

of a country of 1,000. The work will be spent after some changes.

work to prepare admission. He seems that this opportunity will be

especially good this come to Canada a company to
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occurrence of the material. He will decide only for their reasons before.
motion would be necessary. In the History Department, this has complications, for Warren and Krabbiel could not be passed over.

If Mr. Bratz goes, his position must be filled. I have already mentioned to you the attitude of Mr. Vincent, Mr. Lovett and Mr. Thompson toward a possible candidate; all three are agreed that Mr. Niles Quaife is not the man for the place. Mr. Thompson feels also that Mr. Melton is not such a man as we should secure for Chicago. No candidates have been named by Mr. Thompson. I am sending this information to you that you may have it by you, in case Mr. McLaughlin sends directly to you the material in this case.

I find that Mr. Manly has written to you concerning Mr. Thorndyke’s remuneration. I believe that he has made a full statement of the case. I, therefore, am not sending the details to you as he explained them to me.

Sincerely yours,

D. A. Robertson
Secretary to the President

Harry Pratt Judson
Hamilton, Montana.
Chicago, June 6, 1914

My dear Miss Pierce:—

I return herewith the newspaper clipping which you were kind enough to put in my hands, and which I have read with interest.

I trust that if it becomes possible for you to indicate more exactly what might be done in the way of raising funds for the memorial you have in mind you will let me know.

It is quite impossible for me to make any statement on behalf of the Board of Trustees; but if you can give me the information requested in the previous paragraph I shall be glad to present the whole matter to the Board. I am sure the Board would be glad to reply with some promptness. I cannot add anything beyond what I have already said to you in personal conversation. As you know, the enterprise appeals to me as entirely
OFFICE OF THE STATE ESCORT

My dear Miss P: I fancy you know my present plans to spend the summer of 1925 in
southern Europe. I want to give you an idea of what I have in mind, and I am sorry for
having to write so long a letter. I know that if I return home to you I will
find it more exciting what might be done in the way of
traveling. Some of the moments you have in mind will
interest you. I will let you know.

If it is of importance for me to make any arrangement
on behalf of the Board of Trustees, you can give
the information requested in the following paragraph.

I am going to try to postpone the whole matter to the
Board. I am writing the Board now to try to explain
with some explanation. I cannot give anything beyond
what I have already said to you in my own conversation.

As you know, the departure scheme to go on expedition


practicable, but I do not see how I can properly write any such letter as we discussed in advance of some action by the Board.

Yours very truly,

Acting Vice-President

J.R.A. - L.

Miss I. S. Pierce,  
3912 Vincennes Ave., Chicago.
Chicago, May 25, 1914

My dear Mr. Dickerson:

The enclosed letter from Miss I. S. Pierce, formerly one of our students, together with a carbon of my reply, explains itself.

You will know better than I what steps to take in presenting this matter to the Board of Trustees. I am informed that it is not impossible that the memorial might amount to a sufficiently large fund to provide a building, as distinguished from an endowment for a professorial chair.

Yours very truly,

J.R.A. - L.

Acting Vice-President

Mr. J. S. Dickerson,
The University of Chicago.
Chicago, May 25, 1914.

Acting Vice-President James R. Angell,
The University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois.

My dear President Angell:

Would the Trustees of the University of Chicago be willing to accept gifts from time to time to be applied to a fund for the foundation of a Clara Barton memorial from chapters and members of patriotic societies and other friends of the cause; this memorial to take final form perhaps in a chair of American history, to be known as the "Clara Barton Chair of American History"?

With expressions of distinguished consideration, and appreciation of the University of Chicago, and the esteem in which it is held by all the patriotic societies, believe me,

Sincerely yours,

3912 Vincennes Avenue.
Miss I. S. Pierce,
3912 Vincennes Ave.,
Chicago.

Chicago, May 25, 1914

My dear Miss Pierce:—

I write to say, in response to
your note of May 25th, that I shall be glad to present
your inquiry to the Board of Trustees of the University
of Chicago.

Without wishing to have such a statement carry with
it any official finality, I feel free to assure you that
the Trustees would be likely to look with cordial favor
upon such a plan as that you suggest. The only qualifi-
cation which would require to be borne constantly in mind
would relate to the freedom of appointment to such Chair
on the part of the Trustees, and the entire control of
the instruction offered.

I shall be glad to communicate with you further at
a later date, and in the meantime beg leave to express
my warm personal appreciation of the thought which you
have in mind.

Yours very truly,

J.H.A. — L.          Acting Vice-President
Greetings, May 29, 1924

Mr. Peter Rice:

I am pleased to say in response to your letter of May 26th that I shall be glad to arrange your induction to the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago.

Without wishing to have you make any statement contrary with any official intimation, I feel free to assure you that the Trustees may be willing to look with not disfavor upon any action which may result in their authorizing the appointment of Mr. Rice as their representative in mind.

The information alluded to I shall be glad to communicate with you. I have the honor to be

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Mr. Peter Rice.
June 1, 1914

My dear Mr. Angell:

I return herewith the correspondence with Mrs. S. F. Pierce. I have no comments to make that are of any value probably. Perhaps I should first call your attention to the fact that Mrs. Lucie E. Fordet is starting a new daughter of the

Ann. Revolution that talked over with Mr. Dodd Swynk. I the plan of raising funds for a chair of American History, more particularly she said that the proposed meeting, such an object

the Chief one in the organization, there was also some talk about the property of giving "money things" for an

Historical museum. Mrs. Fordet said she had seen Mr. Judson that he had warmly endorsed the proposal that money should be raised by the society for the purpose of founding a chair. I cast small quantities of cold water on the museum proposal,
The Superintendent of Chicago
Department of Education

Dear Sir,

I am writing to discuss the current state of our schools. As you know, we are facing significant challenges in terms of funding and resources. The recent budget cuts have had a profound impact on our ability to provide quality education to our students.

In light of these circumstances, I would like to propose a series of initiatives that could help alleviate some of the pressure. These include increasing our reliance on technology in the classroom, exploring partnerships with local businesses for financial support, and implementing a more efficient curriculum delivery system.

I am confident that with your support and guidance, we can successfully navigate these challenges and continue to provide a high-quality education to all students.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Deputy Superintendent]
I do not think it unlikely that she will think that the Dearborn Chapter of the D. A. R. (i.e. Mrs. Fortier's chapter) has an inside track, a preemption on the right to raise money for that purpose if that various diplomatic difficulties may arise.

If there is any likelihood of the raising of sufficient funds for a history building in honor of Clara Barton, I personally would favor that instead of an endowment for a chair — with or without any regard to the diplomatic question which I mentioned above.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Dear Sir,

I was very glad to receive today your letter dated 6th February.

I did not forget you, and I think I am not wrong in remembering that we met sometimes together with Mr. Dickerson at that splendid "Cliff Dwellers Club," where the secretary made me make the acquaintance of "White Fish" and where I met so many sympathetic Chicos.

I am very glad to hear that you are now getting out the new and enlarged edition of my book on the history of Belgium, and I see by the illustrated "order form" that things are being done well.
May I call your attention to a little " lapsus" or that order form. Among " the Contents," I read:

"Xi. Belgium under Danish domination (1792-1814),"

instead of " French domination." I should like to have, if possible, some of these "orider forms," in order to send them to friends in Belgium, and abroad. I shall be very grateful if you can do it.

May I ask you also whether I shall receive some "author's copies" of the new edition for myself? I got some 10 of them, I think, of the 1st edition. I should much appreciate that courtesy.

As to the little incident of the buried manuscript, here is the end of the story. The little town near Ghent where I buried the manuscript was called, it may be stated now, Gh insect.
the residence of my father-in-law, he kept the manuscript quite safe, and, when the end of the German occupation came, went himself to the town of Louvain in order to give back to the Rector of the University the manuscript in question (Ms. 905 of the Beijer Library). I have the manuscript now again in hands, and have written, with the help of the document it contains, a study entitled "The tribulations of the University of Louvain during the last quarter of the XVI century" (a story which recalls the occupation by German troops in the service of Philip II of Spain), study which will be published in the first number of our revised and ecclesiastique (published by our University).

The manuscript itself now forms a "unicum" in the new Library, which is being formed thanks to the
generous envoy of the
allied countries and es-
pecially from the United
States and from Great
Britain.

As to the review list
for the new edition, I suggest
to send a copy to the fol-
lowing reviews:

American Historical Review
(Washington)

North American Review
(Philadelphia)

Revue historique
(Bibliothèque de l'École
des Chartes)

English Historical Review

Scottish Historical Review

Archivio storico italiano
(Florence)

Historische Zeitschrift
(Siegfried)

Bulletin philologique et
historique, 5, Place du
Musée, Brussels.

1 copy to professor H.
Pirro, Rector of Gent
University (Belgium), for
review in the revue
"Le Flambeau"
June 15, 1920.

My dear Mr. Walker:—

Your note of the 9th instant was received and, of course, was referred to the faculty of the Department of History. The matter has been duly considered and I regret to say that it seems impossible at this time to grant the degree. I am extremely sorry but I am sure you will understand the University cannot give degrees unless the department in question is absolutely convinced that the student's work, as tested at their discretion, will fully warrant it. I wish I could do better for you. I certainly hope that the deficiency may be made good later.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Robert C. Walker,
5533 Kimbark Ave.,
Chicago, Ill.
5533 Kimbark Ave.,
Chicago, Illinois,
June 9, 1920.

President Judson,
University of Chicago.

My dear Mr. President:

I have been in the University for four quarters and my name is on the Convocation program for the A. M. degree. My thesis was accepted and I was allowed to come up for the Master's examination, but for some reason, the Department of History thinks it unwise to grant me the degree. I hope you will not understand me to question the ability of the History Faculty, for I certainly appreciate the men under whom I have worked. It is a question of the greatest of losses in my case. We have worked so hard this year and have spent all we had and all we could make. My wife has worked every day since I entered school last fall, and now we have lost it all. My health has prevented me from doing the best type of work, but I have fought my way through the year in spite of this handicap. After the age of 21, I worked my way up from the 7th grade, and my wife has worked with me for the past six years, helping me to bear the great cost. We have made a big investment this year and have received nothing in return. The story seems too hard to be real. Can I not have the degree? Is there not some means by which you can arrange to grant it to me? It would cost the University so little, and would mean all to us.

Please advise me at your early convenience.

Very truly yours,

Robt. S. Walker
Wishing you a pleasant evening.

[Handwritten notes]
My dear President Jordan,

Her Walker can in a pitiful one in some of its aspects — one of those cases that I cannot all use myself to think about. But I don't see that we can do anything. The members of the department after mature consideration decided that they could not recommend him for the degree —

Sincerely,

[Signature]

June 14, 1920
I've been receiving information
on the 17th edition of our
annual report. It seems to me

that 1. the committee
2. the committee
3. the committee

are working hard. I'm happy to
see that our committee

is making good progress on its

agenda.

I hope we can complete the

work on time.

The committee

led by

[Signature]

[Date]
Dr. Ernest DeWitt Burton, President,
University of Chicago.

Dear President Burton:

At the suggestion of Professor McLaughlin I am giving you what information I have concerning Dr. Bernadotte E. Schmitt, who is being considered for an appointment as Professor of Modern European History. I have known Dr. Schmitt for exactly ten years; I have had many good visits with him at meetings of the American Historical Association, and have seen a good deal of him since he began his work here this fall; hence I feel that I know him very well. He is a polished, cultured gentleman, and an excellent companion. I have known of his scholarly activities for ten years. He is a true scholar with a keen interest in research; he has been a productive scholar in the past, and I am thoroughly confident that he will continue to be a producer. He is a particularly valuable man for an institution placing great emphasis on graduate work. I know that he is very well thought of throughout the professional circles; in fact I know of no better man in the country available for the position we have to fill.

Though Professor Jernegan has not known Dr. Schmitt as long as I have, he has come to know him very well this fall, and I feel sure that Professor Jernegan would endorse all that I have said concerning Dr. Schmitt.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
At the suggestion of Professor Mahon, I am writing to you and informing you of my intention to endeavor to secure the position of Professor of Modern History at the University of Chicago. I have known Prof. S心动 for exactly ten years, and I have had many good articles written about my work by men of the American Historical Association, and have seen a good deal of him during my work here. I am to be a member of the Committee of Three, and I am to select the President of the University. I am to be a member of the Board of Trustees, and I am to select the President of the University. I am to be a member of the Board of Trustees, and I am to select the President of the University. I am to be a member of the Board of Trustees, and I am to select the President of the University. I am to be a member of the Board of Trustees, and I am to select the President of the University.

January 22, 1924.

My dear Mr. Schevill:

It is hard for me to realize it is now three weeks since I received your letter indicating your intention to resign your professorship. I am sure you know from indirect communication through Professor McLaughlin that I was not so indifferent to your intention as my delay in answering it might suggest.

I am very glad to learn from Professor McLaughlin that you have consented to reconsider your decision to the extent at least of consenting for the present to continue as a member of the Faculty and to give instruction at least one quarter a year.

Though I regret the reduction of your service to one-third the normal amount it is a matter of great satisfaction to me that you will even to this extent continue your valuable service to the University. It would have been a matter of great regret to me personally to sever a relationship of over 30 years' continuance, and as representing the University to lose you from our Faculty.

Very sincerely yours,

Professor Ferdinand Schevill.
The University of Chicago.
My dear Mr. Professor,

It is past four to realise it if you please

Worse since I received your letter indicating your intention

to retain your professorship. I knew you knew from

infinite communication through Professor McCallum that

I was not so indifferent to your intention as my reply in

amusement of what interest

I am very glad to hear from Professor McCallum

that you have committed to act in accordance with your decision to

expect at least a committee of the Board to continue as a member of the Faculty and to give instruction at least

one quarter a year.

Though I regret the decision of your service to

one-chief the monetary amount is a matter of great satisfaction

perhaps the monetary amount is a matter of great satisfaction

to me that you will have to give exact continuing your service

entirely to the University. It would have been a matter of great

sequence to me personally to have a representative of our own here,

confinement and as representative of the University to lose you from

our Faculty.

Very sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Professor P.M. McCallum

The University of Chicago
Memorandum to the President:

Concerning Professor Schéville.

It is clear that there was an honest difference of opinion as to the status of Professor Schéville, as between the conception of the situation held by Professor McLaughlin and that held by Professor Schéville.

Professor McLaughlin's view was that Professor Schéville had to all intents and purposes ceased to be a regular member of the staff, who would give a fixed amount of instruction every year, and had instead of this accepted the status of a person who did not wish to be under any obligation for such service and correspondingly did not consider the University as under obligation to give him the opportunity of offering courses, except as this might from time to time be arranged when special need should arise.

Professor Schéville on the other hand, relying upon the letter of the President under date of January 22, 1924, understood that he would retain a status in the department and would give at least one quarter of instruction annually.

It should be said also that the action of the Board of Trustees at the meeting of June 12, 1924 by which he was given leave of absence during two quarters of the current academic year "the effect being to place him on a one-third basis during the present year" would seem to indicate a continuing connection of Professor Schéville with the Department.

There is in my judgment an additional consideration in the broader equities of the case: namely, the question of retiring allowance. Mr. Schéville said that he had thought of this, but did not consider it as of decisive importance. I estimate, however, that if he should continue to teach one quarter annually, he would be entitled to receive $1200 a year (60% of $2000). This might be worth consideration if his books should be yielding less when he reaches sixty-five than he now estimates. Mr. Schéville has been with the University from the beginning, and unless he very definitely desires to relinquish all claim to this retiring allowance, I feel a decided scruple as to an arrangement which would cut this off.

In the next place the President's letter of January 22, 1924 would, I think, undoubtedly give Mr. Schéville the basis for supposing that for the present at least he would continue as a member of the faculty, and not merely that he
MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

Recommend Professor Spallar

It is a pleasure to place the name of Professor Spallar as a candidate for the position of the President of the University. I am pleased to inform you that Professor Spallar has the highest qualifications for the position and has been recommended by the faculty of the University. He is a distinguished scholar, a man of high character, and a person of great ability.

Professor Spallar's views are well known and his presence on our campus would be a great asset to us. He has shown himself to be a man of great integrity and has always been willing to work for the benefit of the University.

I am confident that if given the opportunity, Professor Spallar would be an excellent President and would bring a new perspective to the University.

Thank you for considering my recommendation.

[Signature]

[Date]
would be invited to give a course from time to time as Professor McLaughlin understood the matter. The important paragraphs in the President's letter are the following:

"I am very glad to learn from Professor McLaughlin that you have consented to reconsider your decision to the extent at least of consenting for the present to continue as a member of the Faculty and to give instruction at least one quarter a year.

Though I regret the reduction of your service to one-third the normal amount it is a matter of great satisfaction to me that you will even to this extent continue your valuable service to the University. It would have been a matter of great regret to me personally to sever a relationship of over thirty years' continuance, and as representing the University to lose you from our Faculty."

On November the twenty-fifth I had a long friendly talk with Mr. Schevill, in which he said that evidently he and Mr. McLaughlin had understood the status differently, and that for his own part he was entirely willing to accept Mr. McLaughlin's understanding of the situation.

On the other hand, Professor McLaughlin states that when the needs of the department for the whole year are considered there is no doubt that there is a demand to be met in Modern History which would amply justify the retention of Professor Schevill for one quarter a year, as well as the call of Professor Bernadotte Schmitt to a full time position. In the Summer Quarter we always have one or more outside men in Modern History. Mr. Schevill stated to me that he had no objection toward the Summer Quarter, and while I do not mean to suggest that Professor Schevill should always teach in the Summer Quarter, an arrangement could be perfectly well worked out by which we could have the services of both these men.

During the present year it is understood that Professor Schevill teaches in the Spring Quarter 1925. During the coming year, it would fall within Mr. Schevill's contract year, October to September, if he should teach in the Summer Quarter 1926.
As Professor McLaughlin expects to be in residence himself only six months of next year, I think there is no difficulty in the budget.

My recommendation is, therefore, that we appoint Professor Schmitt and that we also retain Professor Chevall with the understanding that he will give one quarter's instruction per year.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

James H. Tufts

JET:H
Professor Kopenstine agrees to do in collaboration with
only six volumes at next year. I think they fit no difficulty
in the budget.

We recessed by 10:40 for lunch then Professor Saffett and I met to juggle Professor
Sonenbluh and theATURES and fit for the give one another’s
information on key.

Sonenbluh himself

[Signature]

Jane H. Triplett
President Ernest D. Burton
University of Chicago

My dear President Burton:

This is in part a memorandum of a conversation held with you a few days ago in regard to Professor Schevill. The understanding I have with Professor Schevill is substantially this:

(1) He agrees to withdraw his resignation and to continue his association with the University.

(2) He will teach in the Spring Quarter of 1925, receiving one-half of his present salary.

(3) After that time, if he teaches for one quarter or more, it will be by special arrangement.

(4) His connection with the University can be severed at any time, except for the period in which he is especially engaged to teach, at the option of the University or at his option.

Very truly yours,

[signature]

For Professor ACM Taaffe
This notation was found with material in the files from 1924.
The University regrets that the Board, desiring securely to receive from Professor Reed his resignation from his position as a member of the faculty, found himself obligated to his service. It was determined to keep his name on the roll, and accordingly the Board appointed him non-resident Professor History, from July 1, 1899. The interesting address which he has heard to-day, therefore, is no means the last duty which Professor Reed will be expected to render to the University of Chicago.
CONCERNING PROFESSOR DODD

Professor McLaughlin recommends:

1. Salary increase to $7000
   also, although no positive
   commitment can now be made
   the general probability that
   the scale of salaries will
   make it reasonable to consider
   $8000 as a future possibility.

2. Work
   a. A four hour lecture course
   b. A two hour seminar, to be
      either (1) for persons working
      in his field as principal
      subject or (2) the seminar to
      be in the field in which he is
      himself writing.

3. Clerical assistance for the Department
   in the form of a stenographer-
   secretary of permanent character,
   to relieve Dodd and others of
   correspondence and enable him to
   do his writing.

I had an interview with Mr. Dodd and think that he
is inclined to stay. He himself said nothing about
salary, but said a good deal about his eagerness to
get his History of the South ready for publication
as soon as possible. He could have all desired clerical
assistance at Washington.

I think the above is entirely reasonable, and that
we should make every effort to meet this situation.
January 21, 1925

Mr. A. C. McLaughlin,
Hollywood,
Southern Pines, N. C.

Dear Mr. McLaughlin:

I had a very satisfactory talk with Dodd Monday afternoon. He had been laid up with a bad cold so that there had not been an opportunity before. I told him that the President thought that your proposals as to salary, as to work and as to secretarial assistance for the Department were all reasonable. Dodd did not say explicitly that he would stay but his whole tone led me to expect it, and I thought that a little later I would write him a letter that would perhaps give him the suggestion to say definitely how he would decide.

I had written to Fay with reference to some lectures the first week or weeks in August inviting him to give either four or eight at the compensation which you suggested. I had a letter from Grose of Northwestern yesterday, who is managing Fay's affairs on this side inquiring if we could arrange any lectures for him and I told him what I had written so I hope the matter will be arranged.

I also told Mr. Jernegan that we might invite Conyers Read to give his customary three or four lectures near the end of this quarter. I presume that will be about all we can afford for history this winter, but Read's lectures are so well worth while that I thought it better to have these and if necessary retrench on something else.

The President got away for California last night and we all hope he will be able to get some rest. At least he will not hear the telephone for three days. I am going on a crazy trip to Lexington, Louisville and Indianapolis starting this evening to take the place of Wilkins who was scheduled for the trip but he is unable to go because of a temporary loss of voice. It is easy enough to talk to the alumni, but I am scheduled to speak to the whole University of Kentucky on goodness knows what!

You may have seen that Michelson is in the hospital, and that he has had a serious operation. Kyes
who has been in close touch with the situation tells me today that he feels the prospect to be very favorable and would not be at all surprised if after he recovers from the operation he would feel much better than he has been feeling recently. The operation was for a tumor in the bladder, which was not of a malignant character.

Sincerely yours,

James H. Tufts

JHT: H
My dear Mr. Taft:

My things, excluding my clothing, are packed & to I am using this scrap paper. I wish only to say what I think you know—that I am very anxious to come to D.C. stay & that it would be a bad thing for the Department of History & for the University if I should be drawn away. I see that he is very much in earnest in his desire to write & I think it is certainly within the plans and purposes of the University. To do all it can for men of this type. For a work of more or less any address will be The Burlington Hotel, Washington D.C. & I will keep you informed of any address—

Sincerely

[Signature]