Memorandum regarding NORWEGIAN BAPTIST DIVINITY HOUSE

1. The University of Chicago desires wholly that which is to the best advantage of the Divinity House. To that end it will be glad to cooperate with the Divinity House under any conditions which are feasible.

2. It is plain that the Divinity House is handicapped by the fact that its students cannot be given high school training while studying in the Divinity House here at the University. The University would have no objection if in view of this fact the Divinity House were to enter into relations with an institution in which theological instruction is given to students not having high school preparation and in which its students can be given instruction in high school subjects.

3. In the case of students who are sufficiently prepared to enter the undergraduate colleges of the University, as far as is practicable in view of other obligations, arrangements may be made through the Dean of the Divinity School for meeting the tuition charges through University service.

4. A student of the Divinity House will be admitted during the summer quarter to the English Theological Seminary of the University and will be permitted to take one course not listed on the Divinity School schedule.
I. The University of Chicago carries a policy of God's glory above all else. The University, as a whole, is to be viewed in the context of the University's mission, which is to "prepare men and women to serve in the Kingdom of God."

II. To be part of the University's mission, one must be committed to the University's values and principles.

III. The commitment to the University's mission must be evident in one's daily life and actions.

IV. To be a part of the University's mission, one must be willing to sacrifice personal gain for the greater good.

V. The University's mission is to prepare men and women to serve in the Kingdom of God.
President H. P. Judson,
Chicago, Ills.

My dear President Judson:

You will recall that you and I had a conversation at Des Moines with Mr. Johnson about the Norwegian Baptist Divinity House. To-day I had a rather long interview with the officials of the House. We discussed the entire situation, and I think that probably the best thing that they can do is to go over to the Northern Baptist Seminary. They cannot move to New York, because they think it is too far away from the center of Norwegian Baptists.

I have drawn up a general statement which seems to me to represent the situation. They propose to make plain the fact that they are moving from us because they need to go to an institution which will receive men without high school training. Their action will thus serve to place the Northern Baptist Seminary where it properly belongs.

I wish to keep before them constantly the ambition to have their men have college training, so I made, you will notice, a general promise to the effect that, as far as possible, I would arrange to find service scholarships for their men. We have a few scholarships for undergraduate students for the ministry which I have administered for the last fifteen years. It was these I had in mind.

So far as I can see, this memorandum promises nothing that we should not give anybody else, but it will serve to do two things: first, make clear the reason for their change to the Northern Baptist
President H. P. Judson,

Theological Seminary, and second, keep before them always the ambition to have a better prepared ministry.

If you approve this, I will send it on to the proper authorities.

Very truly yours,

SM/R
JULY 8th, 1931

President H. E. Jaboué

The executive committee have agreed to include the following item in the programme:

To have a paper presented on

Title:

Very truly yours,

E.R.
Morgan Park, Ill.,
June 1, 1892.

To the Board of the Divinity School of the University
of Chicago, and to the Baptist Brotherhood.

Gentlemen:— I hereby notify you that I wish my connection with the Danish-Norw. Department of your School considered as severed from the first day of July of this year (1892). You will very likely inquire for the reason or reasons that lead me to take this step, and therefore I beg you to take into consideration the following.

The reason is simply this, it is impossible for me to remain in the service the way I look at things now. The work that our Dept. is doing is to be done, assuredly, for our churches in general and our mission at large. We expect our people to do something for the pecuniary support of our Dept. Now, it has come home to me with considerable force that this being so, our churches have a fair right to know thoroughly what is going on in it and to have a hand in its management in such a way that it isn't enough simply to notify them after everything is done that now this is so and so, and you are expected silently and graciously to submit to it, sanction it and lend your hand to its furtherance in a substantial and effectual manner. Is this better according to Baptist principles? That it is Baptist practice in so far that it is practised by Baptists or Baptists I trust will and can not make it right.

Now I find that my connection with the Dept. has been as far as the Danish-Norw. side of the matter is concerned, solely due to one man, Prof. N. F. Jensen, and not as a consequence of the expressed wish of the denomination I serve, and this I believe not to be as it ought. There has, as far as I know, been an acquiescence in the decision made, a kind of passive indifference, yet this is not enough, at any rate under our circumstances. It is quite recently that it has dawned upon me with clearness and power sufficient to make me act, although it has been working in my mind for a long time. As I have said, I can't remain any longer in the position, and call your attention to the above facts in order to prevent a similar one to take in the case of my successor. I may add that had I realized the situation at first.
as I do now I wouldn't have accepted the position.

There are however some additional facts to which I will at the same time call your attention. It has been generally reported to you that the work among the Danish-Norw. Baptists is prospering and making real and quite rapid progress in the right line and all along the line. That it is not prospering at all I shan't take upon me to say. But as I have been instrumental in conveying the impression to you that every thing was all right, I count it my duty also to inform you on some points that do not look so bright and promising. To begin with, let me refer you to the report of the Dano-Norw. Board of visitors, published in "The Standard", April 28, 1892. To judge from that report everything is sunny and lovely. But in order to have any real weight and value it ought to be endorsed by a general conference of the churches. Besides, such words of the report as these: "He (Prof. A. R. Jensen) has the whole confidence of us and of all our brethren throughout all our churches," I can't help viewing as having a more specific and real than to convey to the American public the actual state of things. In whose name is the reporting committee speaking? By whom were they appointed? Have they a right thus to take upon themselves to speak for us all and give impressions to the public such as I at least with the knowledge I have by no means can share? It seems to me that the very least compensation for your Christian solicitude and generosity towards our mission is that you should know the whole truth about our affairs, at least so far as they touch upon the most vital points.

Where then, is the cause of the trouble? It is my conviction that the chief cause of the trouble is this that the management of our mission has come to be and is left altogether too exclusively in the hands of virtually one person. Why expose human nature to such strong temptations? Would it not be possible for you to get into connection with the brethren as a whole thro' the medium of a general con-
ference and have for the sake of truth and our common cause in some pro-

practical way an open eye on the general run of things? You would doubt-
lessly be amply paid for your trouble. What an educative influence
wouldn't that have on our Danish and Norw. Baptist brethren in stead
of the old tutelage! We speak about education. Give the people a chance
to educate themselves in the school of practical experience, and
let them not become stunted. Give their interests a scope for free
work. This is more needed among us, I say it with respect, than more
learned ministers. To my knowledge, the way our mission is run
seeks its match throughout the world. Why this exceptional
management in our case? Overcome the evil with some positive
good. Can't we have it in a manner similar to that of our Swedish
brethren? It might be then, we would see some of their results in
our midst. A prominent Swede told me a short time ago that the
Swedes took naturally to the principles of freedom held by the
Baptists. Isn't it evident that if things are to be held together in
one (human) hand some of them if not all must necessarily be
clamped or crushed? And isn't equally clear to intelligent
minds that the best things, the greatest loons and blessings
can, thro' human abuse, be turned into a curse? Doesn't every
day experience and history teach this abundantly? So you
have real ground for looking whether these things are so.
Your help and support is a real blessing, no doubt, but it must
be watched in order not to become, thro' human fallibility
or wickedness, a hindrance or even worse. To me it appears thus
that the great present need is more real liberty all along the
line, and yet more denominational authority, less of power in the
hands of any individual and more of democratic government.
Isn't this right according to those principles the Baptists have fought
for so long and now glory in possessing and practicing? Do I say
claim to impossibility? I can't think so if I read and understand
weight the current Baptist literature. Baptist principles are all right, but they have to be stuck to, or else they will nicely pass into, not "innocuous", but most harmful "desuetude". I don't assume or pretend to speak in the name of any other man or of a conference, but as an individual Baptist I have a right, nay more, a duty to see to it that nothing is done to the hurt of our cause. The motive that has swayed me in this presentation of some dangers as I see them, is the desire to see the Baptist mission among Danes and Norwegians rescued from a disgraceful defeat or a shorter or longer dragging along a way that is sure to end in disaster. Think of the direct and reflex influences we are exerting, for good or evil, on Denmark and Norway. What God will and can do thro' us among these peoples I can't, of course, foretell; but this one thing I know, He neither will nor can bless us unless we are sincere and stick to the truth even to our own personal temporal hurt.

As I hope you will kindly see, it has been a moral necessity with me to unburden my mind. I can't stand it as I see it any longer. Indifference would be complicity, and thus only one course is open to me. If you saw it as I look upon it you would count it incurable bondage of soul if I didn't cry out against it. Now I beseech you not to put it off with a pitying or contemptible thing, but to refer it to a general conference and if such a body finds everything all right it may look as if these things were only in my mind, what I really might wish to be the case; but, orally, that would be to me a sad token that the dear form Baptists have not yet realized the secret of success in this age and land of liberty; for the Spirit I am fighting is a ghost from the dark ages. Before, however, you have ascertained the facts in a real and satisfactory way, please don't, I repeat it with all the emphasis at my command, please don't be indifferent to it.

Thoughtfully and prayerfully submitted for the Truth.

H. C. A. Bar
Strondbyen, Norway, Jan. 15, 1900

My dear President of the University of Chicago and the Trustees of the Divinity School,

At our last annual meeting held in the City of Bergen last summer the subject of Ministerial Education was discussed and a Committee appointed to present the subject to our American Brethren.

Norway has an intelligent reading population of 218,000 inhabitants. The Lutheran Church is recognized as the State Church, but we enjoy to a certain extent religious liberty. We can preach and organize churches anywhere. Different other denominations are at work and of these we are the 8th in strength. Until the last years, the work has been carried on at a small scale, but some Brethren have come home from America the last years, and as we have got more men, the work has advanced. But we are still lacking men. Some years ago we had some financial support from England, but the last seven years we have been supported by the Missionary Union, Boston, Mass.

We have about 8000 members in 82 churches and four associations. We have 16 Brethren who
What or in part give their time to preaching the gospel. We have also 81 chapels at a value of £118,000. We have two papers with a circulation of 4,500 copies. One of these is a Sunday-school paper. Our prospects are darkened and our work hindered because we have so few educated ministers. Our young men do not get their place in the denomination and the community for lack of theological training and some of our promising young men leave for America to get their education and do not return while half of our churches are without pastors.

We feel greatly indebted to you for the large blessings our cause in Norway have received from you though the seminary at Morgan Park, but it is our convictions, that a school here would give the cause of Christ in Norway a greater lift than anything else. The great success the Baptists in Sweden have had is due to a great extent, to their seminary, which has been supported for many years from America. Our members are poor and it is impossible for us to build a school without help from the outside.

In the name of the Baptists in Norway we send this petition to the honore d President and Board of Trustees to move the Norwegian Department from Morgan Park to Norway.
The practice of using the word 'en' in these types of
sentences is not

common in modern English. However,

it is still occasionally used in formal

writing. The word 'en', as a

short form of the word 'enough',

is often used to indicate the end of

a thought or sentence. For example,

"I am sorry, but I cannot stay any longer."

In this sentence, 'enough' is implied but

not directly stated. This is a common

practice in written English, especially

when writing letters or formal

documents.
The country is open before you. The people are intelligent and a reading people. We greatly need the help the school will give to our cause in general. We need workers. Our people would have been Christians before coming to America, and would be a strength to Scandinavian churches there.

Thecono-Norwegian Department was begun when immigration from Norway and Denmark was at its highest and new settlements were formed. But there is a change. Few new settlements are formed, and those who come to America now mostly come to friends who partly understand English. We appeal to you who have a clear understanding of the Word and for the Gospel of Jesus to consider this subject.

In order to help, we think it would be a great help if the Board of Trustees could arrange it so that Prof. Anderson could come to Norway and start a summer school for about two months for the preachers we have already. He would be a great help to us all and as your representative he want at his return, give you the information necessary for you to act on.

If you must say no to our appeal, please give us some advice as to what
We ought to do for the future ministry and the Cause of Christ in Norway. Looking for your reply, I am sincerely yours in behalf of the Committee for ministerial education.

J. A. Olsen
Pastor Baptist Church at Trondhjem
Norway
At the General Conference of Swedish Baptists which met in Chicago last week a report was made public by Dr. Frank Peterson to the effect that in answer to an inquiry as to the advisability of encouraging graduates from the Swedish Department to continue in the American department of the Divinity School, he had presented a list of names to prove that those who have received instruction in American schools and Seminaries were lost to the Swedish denomination, and only took American Churches. It is true that the men mentioned in the list are not engaged in Swedish work, but it ought also to be remembered that most of them were born in this country, have never studied in Morgan Park and are unable adequately to speak Swedish.
Institutions and are now in actual work among the Swedes and in behalf of many young men who have studied and even such as are now studying in Morgan Park and who have strongly urged me to use my influence to make it possible for them to continue their study at the University, and because of the love I cherish for my Swedish brethren and their advancement, I consider it my duty to offer a protest against this one-sided presentation which to me seems simply as an attempt to keep these young men away from the progressive thought of today, and I have therefore prepared a list of names which disproves the statement made by Dr. Peterson. A close investigation of the work of these men will show what a mighty influence for good among the Swedes they have been. I would most earnestly urge the University to offer such inducement as will make it possible for the brightest, at least, of the graduates from the Swedish Department in Morgan Park to continue their studies at the University. It is also to be hoped that in the near future a strong Swedish Department might be established at the University.
I submit the following list for your consideration:

Those who have studied at Colgate University:

Dr. J. A. Edgren, Oakland, Calif.

Dr. H. O. Broady, President of Bethel Theol. Sem., Stockholms, Sweden.

Prof. C. E. Hennander, Bethel Theol. Sem., Stockholms, Sweden.

Rev. J. Rydström, Editor of a Swedish Baptist paper and member of the Swedish Riksdag, Stockholms, Sweden.

Rev. P. Pinov, pastor 33 years in Gotenburg, Sweden.

Rev. A. Johansen, Denver, Colo.

Graduates from Newton Theol. Sem.:

Rev. L. Johanson, Oakland, Neb.


Graduates from Morgan Park Swedish department who have studied either in the American Theol. Sem. in Morgan Park or at the University,
Rev. A. P. Ekman, New York, N.Y.
Rev. E. E. Sandell, Rockland, Ill.
Prof. A. W. Peterson, Morgan Park, Ill.
Rev. E. J. Nordlander, Sioux Falls, S. Dak.
Rev. Swanson Nelson, Big Springs, S. Dak.
Rev. A. E. Hagström, Chicago, Ill.

The latter two have had American Churches and then taken Swedish pastors.

Respectfully Yours

Emmanuel Schwardt
Chicago, July 21, 1911.

Rev. Frank Peterson, D.D.,
Minneapolis, Minn.

My dear Dr. Peterson:

I cannot see that there is any other alternative, except that of actually abandoning the Seminaries, which we none of us could think of for a moment. It is understood that the action covers the general principles only, and that details are subject to further consideration.

I sincerely hope that your Convention will see fit to ratify this plan in general at its next meeting, and appoint a committee to confer with the Baptist Theological Union in working out details.

1. It reduces the expense of the Seminary by reducing the number of instructors. This is desirable, for six professors for sixty to seventy instructors seem hardly necessary.

2. It will enable us, of the Graduate Divinity School, to get into closer touch with our brethren in the Seminary, and help it in the reorganization of its courses.

3. It marks a distinct advance on the matter of the combination of the English with foreign tongues, and this, I think, will serve to make the men more effective with the younger people of the churches.

4. While it will doubtless mean a change in the personnel of the faculty, it will enable each nation to select its representative, and thus bring the department of which he is in charge into, if possible, an even closer relationship with the Convention than now exists.
Chicago, July 31, 1911

Rev. Frank Peterson, D.D.
Minneapolis, Minn.

My dear Mr. Peterson:

I am sending you herewith the General Outline of the report of the Baptist Theological Union. Of course, it is understood that the section covers the General Principles only, and that the details are to be found in your conference report. The more I think about this plan the more convinced I am that it is a good and practical.

If I were to compare the advances of the Seminary to the advance of the number of instructors, there is no doubt that this is possible for six professors for thirty to seventy instructors seem entirely unnecessary.

It will enable us, as of the Graduate Division to get into closer touch with our presidents in the Seminary, my hope is that this report will be of service to the committee.

I am sure that a statement of the attitude of the faculty with regard to the courses of study, will be most valuable in the matter of the composition of the faculty with regard to the courses of study, and I think will make the men more effective with the younger people of the conference.

White it will complete mean a change in the personnel of the faculty, it will enable each section to select the representatives, and thus provide the department of

With best wishes for the continuation of the connection from your sincere.
5. The expense under this arrangement will be distributed, so that the Baptist Theological Union will carry a very considerable portion always, and even a large proportion of the total expense for several years.

6. I cannot see that there is any other alternative, except that of actually abandoning the Seminaries, and this we none of us could think of for a moment.

I sincerely hope that your Convention will see fit to ratify this plan in general at its next meeting, and appoint a committee to confer with the Baptist Theological Union in working out details.

With the hope that this new arrangement, if adopted, will still further the splendid work done by our Scandinavian brethren, I am

Yours very truly,

S.M.
Chicago, July 21, 1917

The experience under this arrangement will be

penetrated by the report of the Technological Union with

a very considerable portion of the same, and even a large

proportion of the total experience for several years.

E. I cannot see that there is any other alternative.

I am sending a copy of the letter I received from the

Exempt part of the statement appearing the American

Union. Of course I shall look into the matter of the

[Inaudible] and see what we have of the country to think of for a moment.

I sincerely hope that your communication will see the

only and highest degree of recognition. The question of the entire

[Inaudible] in general at the next meeting, and appoint

to assist the Union in general to the utmost extent and ability to

to a committee to cooperate with the President Technological Union in

working our advantage.

With the hope that the new arrangement will success,

with the utmost earnestness and delibration. I am

prepared to see

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
A PROPOSAL FOR THE REORGANIZATION OF THE SCANDINAVIAN SEMINARIES AT MORGAN PARK.

Conditions under which this proposal is set forth:

The Board of the Baptist Theological Union has definitely determined that it is impossible for it to continue the present appropriation to the work of the Scandinavian Seminaries. This must result in one of two alternatives:

1. The maintenance of the Seminaries by the Scandinavian Baptists in their present form, with possibly a comparatively small appropriation from the Baptist Theological Union, or

2. A re-organization of the Seminaries in such a way as will improve their efficiency and by reducing expenses make the support by the Scandinavian Baptists feasible.

In view of the repeated assertions by the brethren from the Scandinavian churches that the former plan is utterly impracticable, I make the following suggestions: It is believed that they will not only be for the benefit of the Seminary, but that they will also make the financial burden which the Scandinavian churches must assume not so heavy as to be impracticable.

I. Organization.

There should be at Morgan Park one Scandinavian Seminary, with three Departments; namely, the Swedish, the Norwegian, and the Danish.

II. Instruction.

The instruction shall be given

1. In English in the courses dealing with theology, history, Biblical interpretation, pastoral duties, sociology.

2. In the native languages in special training for preaching. Where necessary, English shall be taught in the Seminary
A PROPOSAL FOR THE REORGANIZATION OF THE ORGANIZATION

DEPARTMENT OF MONARCHY

Constitutional reform should apply only to the composition of the Federal and Constitutional Union for Agriculture. The proposal for the reorganization of the Federal and Constitutional Union for Agriculture establishes a new organization for the work of the Federal and Constitutional Union for Agriculture. This new organization is one of the constitutional and governmental frameworks.

1. The reformation of the Constitution of the Federal and Constitutional Union for Agriculture requires a constitutional and governmental framework to be adopted that is consistent with the federal and constitutional framework. A new organization is to be developed that is consistent with the federal and constitutional framework.

2. In addition, the organization must be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework. This organization must be developed to be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework.

3. The organization must be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework. This organization must be developed to be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework.

4. The organization must be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework. This organization must be developed to be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework.

5. The organization must be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework. This organization must be developed to be consistent with the federal and constitutional framework.
by the professors having in charge the respective Scandinavian departments, or by such other persons as may be engaged without expense to the Baptist Theological Union.

III. Instructors.

1. There shall be four instructors:
   a. A chairman, or assistant-dean, who shall be appointed by the Baptist Theological Union from non-Scandinavian Baptists;
   b. A professor in charge of the Swedish Department, nominated (after consultation with the Dean of the Divinity School) by the Swedish Convention, and elected by the Baptist Theological Union;
   c. A professor in charge of the Norwegian Department, to be nominated similarly by the Norwegian Baptist Convention;
   d. A professor in charge of the Danish Department, to be nominated similarly by the Convention of the Danish Baptists.

2. The courses to be taught shall be assigned to these various instructors by a Committee on Instruction, composed of the Dean of the Divinity School, the assistant-dean of the Scandinavian Seminary, and the Secretary of the Baptist Theological Union (or such other Committee as the Baptist Theological Union may indicate).

IV. Salaries and Expenses.

1. The salary of the chairman or assistant-dean shall be paid by the Baptist Theological Union until the Scandinavian Baptists may be able to assume it.

2. Each professor shall be paid by the Convention by which he is nominated, but in the case of the professors in the Norwegian and Danish Departments such payments shall be arranged on a sliding scale for a term of years -- the Baptist Theological
Union during that time paying the portions which these Conventions do not find it possible to pay; but it would be expected that they would assume the full salaries by the end of the period, and that each would pay at least five hundred dollars ($500.) in the year 1912-13.

3. The Baptist Theological Union would let the Scandinavian Seminary have the free use of its building, provided that it is kept in repair without expense to the Baptist Theological Union.

4. All running expenses of the Scandinavian Seminaries, other than salaries, as stated above, are to be paid by the Scandinavian Conventions in proportion to the number of students in each department. Such expenses are to be assigned each year for the ensuing year on the basis of the attendance in each department.
January 17, 1922

The request for an increase in the appropriation for the Department of the Interior for the year ending June 30, 1922, under the heading of 'Indian Reorganization Act Operations' is hereby referred to the Committee on Appropriations for consideration.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
R. F. D. #1, Traverse City, Mich., Aug. 8, 1911.

Morgan Park, Ill.

President Harry Rate Judson, L.L.D.
The University of Chicago

Dear President Judson:

The plan for reorganization of the Scandinavian Seminaries of Morgan Park has been communicated to me. As you can see, it would be taking upon itself too great a responsibility, if the Swedish Gen. Conference, to be held next month in Kinsan, Siam, should accept a plan that wipes out the existence of the Swedish Theol. Seminary as an independent institution. Consequently we have to bring to the first alternative:

How would it be, if our General Conference for a period of three years (1912-1915) paid to the Treasury of the University of Chicago $3,000 a year or $250.00 per month, an amount sufficient to pay the salaries of the two assistant professors? I say for a period of three years, because it seems to me that we need that length of time to make proper and suitable arrangements for the future, since during the remaining year (1911-1912) the church will have had the opportunity to take the matter into consideration.

Fifteen years ago we relieved the Theological Union from the salary of one professor. The above proposition will relieve the Union from one more, and then there remains but one, the Dean, who already has served the Union for 22 years, and who should be slowly be thrown out of a position, when he loses the work and is mentally and physically able to continue it and moreover has the experience necessary to assure its success?

If the school, with this proposal arrangements, is allowed to remain as before, without any further changes, then we according to my opinion, will be bound that when we reach the end of said period, the work has secured the full satisfaction of all parties concerned, reached the final settlement of the Swedish Theol. Seminary and its relation to the Chicago Baptist Theol. Union and the great University of Chicago.

The 28th of Aug., I expect to leave Chicago for the Conference and I will be most obliged to you, Mr. President, if I before that date could hear from you.

Respectfully yours,

C. G. Lagergren
Chicago, August 12, 1911.

My dear Professor Lagergren:

In the absence of President Judson in Europe, your letter of August 8 has been received by me. I have had a conference with Mr. Marsh, the president of the Board of Trustees, with reference to the matter, and am also transmitting your letter to Dean Matthews. I shall endeavor to communicate with you more definitely at the earliest possible date. I note what you say respecting your intention to leave Chicago the 28th of August.

Very sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Acting President.

Professor C. G. Lagergren,
R. F. D. #1, Traverse City,
Michigan.
Greece, October 13, 1917.

My dear Professor Boardman:

In the absence of Professor Boardman to Europe, your letter of October 8 has been received by me. I have had a conversation with Mr. Loper, the President of the Board of Trustees, with reference to the matter and we are responsible for your letter to Dean Matthews. I shall endeavor to communicate with you more rapidly of the various possible dates. I am sure you will agree to accept your insertion to receive Greece the 28th of August.

Very sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Acting President.

Professor C. O. Boardman
R. W. D. Boardman
K. Bartlett
Chicago, August 12, 1911.

My dear Dean Mathews:

I have received from Mr. Lagergren a letter of which I enclose you a copy. I have consulted with Mr. Marsh in reference to the matter, who advises that the letter be sent to you for your comment and suggestions. I am sorry to intrude upon your vacation but you will observe from what Mr. Lagergren says at the close of his letter that something must be said or done the present month.

Very sincerely yours,

Enclosure.

Dean Shailer Mathews,
Monson,
Maine.
My dear Dean Matthew:

I have received from Mr. Irwin a letter of which I enclose you a copy. I have communicated with Mr. Irwin in reference to the matter, with whom I have discussed the letter he sent to you for your comment and suggestion. I am sorry to inform you that your action and appearance from what I have heard about your assertion and the matter of which I have informed you at the close of the letter must seem something more than a mere or empty one.

Very sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Monson Maine,
Aug. 15, 1911.

Dean C.G. Lagergren,
R.F.D. #1
Traverse City, Mich.

My dear Dean Lagergren,

I have just received your letter of August 9 and hasten to reply. I have also received a copy of your letter to President Judson who is now in Europe.

As regards your proposal, I suggest the following considerations:

1. Your proposal completely omits reference to the Danish-Norwegian Seminary. It seems to me that you as truly as the Union should take no step that overlooks the needs of the other Scandinavian churches. Were your proposal adopted there would still remain the question of the other seminary. The proposal made by the Union in its action endeavored to treat the situation of the seminaries as a whole.

2. Your proposal would relieve the Union of only one salary and thus the burden of maintaining the Scandinavian seminaries would not be largely lifted. I can not speak for the Union but I am personally of the opinion that if your proposal were adopted it would seem necessary for your Conference to increase its contribution materially, second, and still more, the third year. Under the proposal of the Union, the total amount asked from the Swedish Conference would probably not be very much in excess of $3,000, unless of course, the Conference wished to increase the
Dear C. P. Jackson,

February 20th,

I have just received your letter of August 2nd and
appreciate the
to refresh. I have since received a copy of your letter to
Dr. Smith.

Thank you for your kindness,

As regards your request, I am in the following con-

I am in receipt of your letter of reference to the Union.

Your request considered.

Yours truly,
Theodore G. Taylor,

Associate Committee for the Promotion of the General Union.
the salary of the Swedish professor.

3. The question before us is one which is quite impersonal, and I believe should be so regarded by us all. It is really this: What is the wisest policy when we take into account the entire situation as regards the Union, the University, the two Scandinavian Seminaries, the future educational policy for the Scandinavian Baptists, and the needs of the Scandinavian churches. The policy of the Union relative to the expense it is now meeting is, as you know, definitely adopted. It cannot in justice to the University and the Graduate Divinity School continue indefinitely to appropriate the present large proportion (practically entire) of its income to the Scandinavian Seminary. Its recent proposal seemed to promise a fair and even generous division of its income and at the same time to make possible certain advanced steps in the training of Scandinavian pastors. Your own relation to the proposed Seminary must would lie wholly with the Swedish Conference. I should suppose there would be no question as to its action in such a case.

4. Might it not be best for your Conference to appoint a committee to meet with the representatives of the other interests involved, without too definite instructions, but with authority to adopt whatever plan seemed, after full and complete discussion, to be best.

We have reached a very important moment in our denominational history, and I think we should all pray for Divine guidance. We cannot doubt the Head of the church will give us wisdom. Fraternally and cordially yours.
The export of the Peaceful Personality.

2. The direction given us in one matter to make improvements.

As per my original statement, I do not propose anything to be reorganized or to be made. It is expected that what is needed will arise out of the already existing material. The should establish a permanent committee to meet with the President of the University to report and

In no case to make additional or unnecessary arrangements. The President's letter to the President of the University is hereby sent to you.

We have received a very important message from our President.

End of the letter.
Chicago, August 24, 1911.

My dear Professor Lagergran:

As communicated to you in a previous letter, I forwarded to Dean Mathews a copy of your letter to President Judson. I have received from him for transmission to you the enclosed letter dated August 15.

I have also conferred with Mr. Charles A. Marsh, president of the Board of Trustees of the Baptist Theological Union. He desires me to call your special attention to the fourth of Dean Mathews' suggestions, and strongly urges that the General Conference of Swedish Churches at its meeting to be held next month appoint a committee with power to arrange the details of the new plan along the general lines of the policy indicated by the Union in its action of July 20, 1911, already communicated to you.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Acting President.

Professor C. G. Lagergran,
R. F. D. #1, Traverse City,
Michigan.
My dear Professor [Redacted]:

I have the honor to notify you of my appointment as the new President of the University of [Redacted] for the academic year [Redacted]. This is to inform you of the date and time of the General Assembly of the University to be held at the [Redacted] on the [Redacted] of [Redacted].

I trust this information will be of assistance to you in planning for the future.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Acting President

[Signature]
Chicago, August 24, 1911.

My dear Dr. Peterson:

Knowing your deep interest in everything that concerns the welfare of the Scandinavian Seminaries, I am, in the absence of President Judson and Dean Mathews, but at the suggestion of the latter, sending you herewith copies of a number of documents pertaining to the proposed changes in the conduct of these seminaries. I enclose herewith:

1. The action of the Theological Union, taken at its meeting, July 20, 1911. This you probably have seen, but you may care to have a copy of the action precisely as taken.

2. Copy of a letter of Dean Lagergren to Dean Mathews, dated August 8, 1911.

3. Copy of Mr. Mathews' reply.

4. Copy of my reply to Dean Lagergren.

On behalf of Mr. Marsh, president of the Board of Trustees, President Judson and Dean Mathews, I desire to ask for any suggestion which may occur to you in the matter and your helpful cooperation in the working out of the wisest possible solution of the present situation.

With kindest regards, I am

Very truly yours,

Dr. Frank Peterson,
1928 Portland Avenue,
Minneapolis, Minn.

Acting President.
Chicago, August 26, 1917

My dear Dr. Peterson:

Knowing your deep interest in education

I have enclosed herewith a copy of the recent report of the Association of Teachers and Dean Matthews, and note in the absence of President Lushon and Dean Matthews, that the suggestion of the letter, trusting your favorable support of the number of courses in the educational training of the profession.

The report of the Trustees, taken at the meeting, July 20, 1917, shows clearly the same results as heretofore seen, but now may come to have a copy of the report promptly as soon as

1. Copy of a letter of Dean Lushon to Dean Matthews.

2. Copy August 6, 1917.

3. Copy of the letter, etc.

4. Copy of my letter to Dean Lushon.

On behalf of all your teachers, I desire to ask you for any suggestions you may have to state in the conference to the Senate and Dean Matthews.

We hope to come to you in the matter of your suggestions.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Acting President

Dr. Frank Peterson,

1525 Polk Street, Avenue

Minneapolis, Minn.
Chicago, August 18, 1911.

My dear Mr. Marsh:

I enclose herewith a letter received from Dean Mathews and a letter which he proposes to send to Dean Lagergren. They will be self-explanatory. Will you kindly give me your instructions in the case?

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Acting President.

Enclosure.

Mr. Charles A. Marsh,
President, Board of Trustees,
Baptist Theological Union,
5639 Washington Ave., Chicago.
My dear Mr. [Name]

I enclose a copy of a letter received from [Name] and a letter which he forwarded to me. I trust you will find the letter very interesting and will forward it to [Name].

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Address]

Mr. [Name], President, Board of Trustees, Baptist Temperance Union, 2625 Wabash Avenue, Chicago.
Almonzo Aug 15, 71

Dear Burton:

I received your reply to Lundeen. I am all interested in what he wrote of you. Can we have him work to make the issue clear? That, too, we expected.

If my reply seems word to you and Mass., please send it on. I suggest also that you have copies made of both Gi, etc., letters and send to Dr. Peterson. The main thing as far as it is
1) to make the Swedish brethren realize we cannot
coexist with the measure taken in anything like it.
2) to set a conference with power to come to terms
for an agreement.
3) to keep Dr. Peterson fully informed and in a coop-
erative mood of mind. He is a big factor in this.

We are really facing several issues. And one of
them is to bring the Seminaries into their favor.

The greatest personal concern is haston. Others are
including the personal for teaching in English. But
this is not a battle the blacks, Ethnically
need patience.
Sept. 12, 1911.

Rev. E. D. Burton, D.D.,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:

I should have replied to your favor some days ago, but felt that I needed to know what the Conference would do in regard to the proposed change in the Scandinavian School.

After a very lengthy discussion, I was requested by the Conference to send you the enclosed letter and also the resolution. You may well know that I am feeling quite anxious as to the ultimate outcome, and shall be glad to hear from you at your early convenience.

With sincere regards, I am

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Frank Peterson, D.D., Joint Secretary
407 Evanston Building
Minneapolis, Minn.
Sept. 12, 1911

Rev. E. D. Burton, D.D.
Chicago, III.

Dear Dr. Burton:

I am grateful to you for your favor some days ago, and I hope now to reply to your letter of September 2.

I am glad to hear that the Conference would go in favor of the proposal to send the missionary to the transformation school. After a very lengthy discussion, I was persuaded by the Conference to send the enclosed letter and also the resolution. You may well know that I am feeling quite upon the outcome, and shall be glad to hear from you at your earliest convenience.

With sincere regards, I am
Yours truly,

[Signature]