Dec. 3rd, 1901.

3. Much of the "grammatical" work might be cut out without loss. Too many points of an entirely elementary character are included, things that anybody knows who understands the discussion. 1085 West 21st St., Chicago.

4. The material should be brought up to date. There is no need to refer my dear Mr. Arnold: find, in the most recent works dealing with the subject, e.g., I am returning to you the copy of your thesis. We have gone over it very carefully. I have talked with Dr. Hirsch and he tells me that it would not be possible to publish it in his journal. If it is to be published in Hebrew, or indeed if it is to be published at all, I would make the following suggestions:

1. That you rewrite the thesis with a view to making the presentation of the poetical features the prominent element in the treatment. This will give it some unity. It is entirely lacking in unity as it now stands. It is now too much like a commentary. All kinds of matters are handled but nothing is treated exhaustively or thoroughly. Besides, if it were to be considered as a commentary, there are several things which you have not touched which would be necessary, namely, the date, the relation to the context, the unity and the theology.

2. In emphasizing the poetical side it would be well to relegate much of the textual material which you now have in the body of manuscript is gotten up on this basis.
I am replying to your copy of your letter of the 21st. We have come over in very earnestly. I have telegraphed with Dr. Hill and have sent me that it would not be possible to publish it in the journal. I hope to do so published in the journal or if need be I would make the following suggestions: I am sure the effect with a view to making the

presentation of the best possible features the prominent element in the treatment. The will give it some weight. It is entirely feasible in any case as it now stands. I am how too much the communities. All kinds of matters are pending but nothing to prevent expansion of the country. breasts. It is mere to be combined as a community.

In the meantime, I have not looked which you have not looked which must be

necessarily necessary, the fate, the relation to the context, the main

and the neglected.

In consequence of the fact now to make a...
the manuscript to foot notes.

3. Much of the "grammatical" work might be cut out without loss. Too many points of an entirely elementary character are included, things that anybody knows who understands the discussion.

4. The material should be brought up to date. There is no reference, so far as I can find, to the most recent works dealing with the subject:— e.g.

   b. Paterson. Polychronic Bible, Hebrew (1901)
   c. The Oxford Hexateuch (1900)
   d. von Gall, Zusammensetzung und Herkunft der Bileam-Pericope (Num 22-24) (1900)
   e. Webersin, Echtheit der Bil amspruche (Num 22-24) (1900)

5. I would cut out the English translation.

6. All references to literature should be put in foot notes.

Will you take into consideration these points?

Yours very truly,

W. R. Harper

N.B. I think we could arrange for its publication in Habraica if the manuscript is gotten up on this basis.
THE PREDICTION TO YOUR NOTE.

3. "Remember that "transistor" work means to act on which without the memory."

The memory of a transistor, you may ask, is the transistor's operation.

The material should be present if the gate is to be.

Let's forget about that. We can't find the material in the gate.

The memory of a transistor is the transistor itself.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969),

We need one more memory to understand the transistor (1969).

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

If we have two memories, we need two operating conditions.

In these operating conditions, we need a memory.

If we have two memories, we need two operating conditions.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.
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To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.
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To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.
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To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.

We have two memories, one in the transistor's operation (1969).

To understand the transistor, we need to have two operating conditions.

In the future, we have one memory, and one need.
President W.R. Harper,
University of Chicago, City.

Dear Doctor Harper:—

I have just received your letter of the 9th stating that you would be able to see me any evening or on Sunday. It will be very inconvenient for me to arrange for an evening and so would much prefer to see you on any Sunday most agreeable to you.

Hoping that you will advise me at what hour you will be at leisure, I remain,

Very truly yours,

Joseph K. Arnold
President W.R. Harper
University of Chicago, Chicago

Dear Doctor Harper:-

I have just received your letter of the 6th instant that you would be able to see me this evening or on Sunday. If we can put it off to an evening, I would be very much pleased to see you some Sunday next week. It is of much greater importance to me to arrange for an evening now than it would be later.

Hope that you will agree with me and you will make up for the present week.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Mr. Joseph K. Arnold,

Care of The Art Wall Room Hills,

1065 W. 21st St., Chicago.

My dear Mr. Arnold:

I have your letter of October seventh. I should be glad to arrange to see you any evening or on Sunday. I have been very anxious to see you and I can at any time arrange to have an interview with you. It is extremely important that the thesis should be published. One hundred copies are required according to the University regulations. It would be necessary for you to revise the work now because quite a good deal of material may have appeared during the last eighteen months. Let me hear from you as soon as possible.

Yours very truly,
Mr. Joseph Y. Wyndham

Cone of the Arts, Wall Street, New York

Nov. 30th, 1901

My dear Mr. Wyndham:

I have your letter of October 30th.

I am glad to hear from you and anxious to see any work you may have of mine. I have been unable to make much progress as I have been extremely busy. I have some work in progress, and hope to show it to you in a few weeks. I should very much like to have an opportunity to discuss with you the question of publishing the poems. Of course, I would do anything necessary for you to receive the work. I am anxious to give you a good deal of material, and I hope to have something for you to see as soon as possible.

Yours truly,

R.
Dr. W. R. Harper, Pres't.,
University of Chicago, City.

Dear Doctor Harper:

For many months I have been endeavoring to arrange an appointment with you for discussing the publication of my thesis, but it seems I have been unfortunate in not being able to reach you. It has been ready for publication for the last year and a half, if not longer, but I have not given it to the printer because you stated your desire of discussing it with me first.

You probably do not know that my time is not so much at my own command as heretofore, as my health necessitated the change in vocation. For the past fifteen months I have been in the Wall Paper business and consequently it is difficult to keep one's thoughts on your favorite subjects. Could I trouble you to inform me how many copies of the thesis are required according to the university regulations and also whether there is any set form which the outward appearance of the thesis should assume?

Hoping that I am not trespassing on your valuable time,

I remain with very kind regards,

Yours very truly,

Joseph K. Arnold
Dear Doctor Harper,

For many months I have been endeavoring to secure an appointment with you to express to you my appreciation of your kindness and the service rendered to me in the matter of my admission to the University of Chicago, for which I thank you.

I have been in most instances to date, the only one to register for the University. I have been unable to secure the necessary credentials to present to you, but I have been able to present you with the requisite form of application.

You have been most kind in granting permission to register for the University.

I am writing to you to express my appreciation to you for the kind assistance you have given me during the past year.

Thank you for your assistance.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
November 13th, 1905.

Seminario
(Old Testament)

Reverend J. D. Cheney, Harper having in preparation a volume, The William Avenue Baptist Church; Laws of Moses, Prof. Cleveland, Ohio, about to publish. My dear Sir: The History of the English Bible, President Harper, in reply to yours of the 2nd inst., I would say that I know very little to suggest outside of the special list of topics to which your attention was called in the preliminary report submitted by President Harper on the Old Testament Department, and ever dedicated the topics which it thinks deserving of special thought and consideration. We should be very much pleased if the Committee could see it very clear to take up some of these questions and work toward a solution. I might perhaps mention a few matters that might be retouched upon in the report for this year, namely:

The publication of books by members of the Department. Professor Breasted having just published a history of Egypt and four volumes of Egyptian Historical Inscriptions; Professor Robert W. Harper, in nothing of his own special depart-
My dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of the 29th, I want very much to hear your thoughts on the subject of the special fund which your attention was called to in the beginning of the year. I had expected a report submitted by President Howard of the Testament Department, but we are interested in the topic which it treats regarding the special fund."  

I want to make the money available, and I am sure that by the efforts of the Committee we can see the money come to the place where we can use it. I want to make this a matter of some importance and work toward a solution. I might point out in the report for this year, the statement of the prevalence of poverty in the farms and the prevalence of poverty among the farmers. I want to make this a matter of real concern and not a matter of passing interest.
and President William R. Harper having in preparation a volume on the Code of Hammurabi and the Laws of Moses. Professor Price is just about to publish a work on the History of the English Bible. President Harper, himself, published during the past year a greatly enlarged edition of his Priestly Element in the Old Testament, a new book on the Prophetic Element in the Old Testament, and a volume of the International Critical Commentary on Amos and Hosea, besides various other articles of a technical character. Another topic that might be treated is the work of the Oriental Exploration Fund concerning which full details might be secured from Professor Robert F. Harper. Still another is the work of the Bible Study Class in Palestine, of which Dr. Willett would inform you. And another matter which has affected the situation profoundly is the death of Professor George S. Goodspeed. Then, of course, the President's illness has cast a gloom over the work of the entire University, to say nothing of his own special depart-
ment. I scarcely know what you have in mind in the way of a report, and therefore cannot adjust myself accurately to the situation. If you care to ask any specific questions concerning the Old Testament side of the Department, its needs, or actual accomplishments, I should be glad to furnish you with all the information in my power.

Yours very truly,

W. R. Harper
I recently read your note and I hope you have fun to bring in the wish of a report and therefore cannot understand the need for any unnecessary to the situation. If you can
see any specific direction concerning the
Treaty, take of the Department, the need of
essential support measures, I would be glad to try
with you with all the information in my power.

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
November 2nd, 1905.

Professor J.M.P. Smith,
Chicago, Illinois.

Dear Professor:

At the suggestion of Dean Hulbert I am writing you relative to the report of the committee on Old Testament Department for the Baptist Advisory Committee Meeting on December 4th. The illness of President Harper makes this matter more than ordinarily difficult. For any suggestion from yourself relative to the work of the committee or to the special report for December, I am sure the committee would be exceedingly grateful.

Kindly advise at early convenience,

Very truly yours,

J.L. Cheney.

University of Chicago.
November 8th, 1905

Professor L. M. Smith
Capen College

Dear Professor:

At the suggestion of Dean Hayford I am writing you relative to the report of the committee on Old Testament department for the Baptist Advisory Committee meeting on December 2nd. The illness of President Harper makes this matter more familiarly difficult. For any suggestions from you relative to the work of the committee or to the special report for December I am sure the committee would be exceedingly grateful.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Kindly spare us early conversation.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
March 27, 1905

Professor Adolf Erman,

The University of Berlin,

Berlin, Germany.

My dear Professor Erman:

Your book "Aegyptische Religion" has just reached me. Permit me to congratulate you most sincerely upon this crowning achievement of your labors. A first class work on the religion of the ancient Egyptians has long since been a desideratum. It is a great satisfaction to all of us to have this need supplied by a master hand. I am sure that the book will be of the greatest service to me in my studies within the sphere of Old Testament religion.

Thanking you most heartily for your kindness and courtesy in sending me a copy of your valued work, I am,

Yours very truly,

W. R. Harpe
Munich, 29, 1900

Professor Adolf Windau,

The University of Heidelberg,

Heidelberg, Germany

My dear Professor Windau:

Your book “Verschlimmbessern”

has just reached me. Permit me to congratulate you most sincerely upon this unanimous expression of your latest work. A great sense of relief now falls upon the student hypothesis I have long since been a graduate of. It is a great satisfaction to me to have this new appendix to my studies combined with the appended to the present volume of the Testament. Without the influence of O.T. Testament, I am quite sure that this book will be of the greatest service to me in my studies.

Thanking you most respectfully for your kindness and considerate

in sending me a copy of your latest work, I am

Yours very truly,

W.R. Herbert
January 20th, 1908.

Mr. Robert Francis Harper,

My dear Mr. Harper:—

I beg to express for Mr. Judson as well as for myself thanks and appreciation for the two memorial volumes. I think they are in every way creditable and worthy of the late President and of those who are doing him honor.

Congratulating you, I am

Very truly yours,

H. P. Judson.
MR. ROBERT MACDONALD HARPER

MY DEAR MR. HARPER:

I feel it only right to express my sincere appreciation for the warm and generous hospitality of your family to me during my recent visit to Canada. I think it was due to your personal kindness that I was able to enjoy the beauty and charm of the various places I visited in the United States. Your warm hospitality and your concern for my comfort and well-being were a source of great pleasure to me and an inspiration to all those who I had the honor to meet.

Very truly yours,

H.P. Johnson
To the President of the University,
Chicago, Illinois.

My dear Mr. Judson:

I am writing to inform you (1) that after several talks with Professors Lynch, Moore and Torrey on Thursday and Friday, December the 28th and 27th, I decided that it was better for me not to go to Philadelphia at that time. My decision turned out to be a correct one as Hilprecht was called upon by the Society to make an explanation of his past conduct. In my opinion it was chiefly a local fight and an exhibition of temper and poor taste. This is also the opinion of the Harvard, Yale, Wadsworth and Chicago men and many others.

On Saturday, December the 28th I telegraphed and wrote to Professor Hilprecht postponing my trip (a) on account of a bronchial cold and (b) because I was swamped with editorial work. I think it would be well to go to Philadelphia in two to four weeks. A little time will determine this.

(2) I was tendered officially the resident Directorship of the American School of Archaeology in Jerusalem, at the Meeting of the Executive Committee of the school in Chicago, on December the 28th, and I accepted this Directorship provisionally.

(3) Harvard University has received its firman to excavate in Samaria. They will begin work in April of this year. They have the same sum which we have, namely $10,000 a year for five years. Professor Lynch who is in charge is very friendly to us in Chicago and he hopes that the Director of the American School will spend a great deal of time at the site of excavations. He has also invited Professor Jewett to spend as much of the year with him as possible. Samaria is only thirty or forty miles from Jerusalem.

(4) Volume I of the Memorial Volumes appeared on Saturday December the 28th. Volume II will be published on Tuesday December the 14th. My last editorial work was finished yesterday. I am rather
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Proud of these two volumes as showing the present high status of Old Testament and Semitic Scholarship in America.

I shall do myself the honor of sending you a copy immediately on publication.

Sincerely yours,

Robert Francis Harper
January 14, 1908

My dear Mr. Harper:—

I have yours of the 11th instant. I saw in the papers something about the Philadelphia occurrences. Under the circumstances you were wise in not going on. I note your other points. These matters we can discuss later.

Very truly yours,

Mr. R. F. Harper,
The University of Chicago.
January 16, 1908

My dear Mr. Herber:

I have come of the Fifth Avenue. I am
in the house sometimes spent the Fifth Avenue occurrence. Under
the circumstance you were wise not taking care. I note your
other boys. These matters are very serious later.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

MR. T. HERBER
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
Chicago, October 6, 1911

Dear Mr. Smith:

Is the time ripe for a change in the title and scope of the Department of Semitic Languages and Literatures? Would it be proper to make the title, "The Department of Oriental Languages and Literatures"? We shall ultimately have instruction in Japanese and in Chinese, undoubtedly. From time to time instruction has been given, and in fact provisions were made during this coming year for Japanese instruction. There has been no department to which such work could be related. Moreover, matters continually arise relating to India, China, and Japan which cannot be related to any existing department of the University. The museum is the "Haskell Oriental Museum". How does this matter impress you?

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Mr. J. M. P. Smith,
The University of Chicago.  
H. P. Judson
Dear Mr. Smith:

I am writing to you a change in the title and
scope of the Department of Semitic Languages and Literatures.

Would it be proper to make the title "The Department of Oriental Languages and Literature"? We feel it important to have
information from Japanese and Chinese, respectively, in Japanese and in Chinese, respectively, and in fact, we have made
information on the coming year for Japanese information. There has been no
government to whom we may send our reports. However, we have
continually been asked to include them in our reports for the University. The
message is: "The Hebrew Oriental Institute will now accept the letter
improvement not.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Mr. J. M. Smith
The University of Chicago
My dear President Jordan,

I have your very welcome letter, informing you of my acceptance of the position of Professor of New Testament. This is splendid, and through them is no time lost.

In the meanwhile, I must inform you of a word of appreciation. To write now I must in a word express my appreciation of the honor and responsibility which the University and the donor are conferring on me, and my keen sense of the greatness of the task opportunity set before me.

I will come over this afternoon.

Very truly yours,

E. D. Burton
Chicago, October 6, 1911

Dear Mr. Luckenbill:

Is the time ripe for a change in the title and scope of the Department of Semitic Languages and Literatures? Would it be proper to make the title, "The Department of Oriental Languages and Literatures"? We shall ultimately have instruction in Japanese and in Chinese; undoubtedly. From time to time instruction has been given, and in fact provisions were made during this coming year for Japanese instruction. There has been no department to which such work could be related. Moreover, matters continually arise relating to India, China, and Japan which cannot be related to any existing department of the University. The museum is the "Haskell Oriental Museum." How does this matter impress you?

Very truly yours,

H. P. Judson

Mr. D. D. Luckenbill,
The University of Chicago.
Chicago, October 6, 1911

Dear Mr. Newcomb:

I write to urge a change to the title and scope of the Department of Semitic Languages and Literature.

Why not broaden the title to include the Department of Oriental Languages and Literatures? We have the opportunity now to come into contact with Chinese, Japanese, and other oriental languages and literatures. There is a demand for such knowledge, and the Department of Oriental Languages and Literatures could be expanded to include the teaching of these languages. The University of Chicago is the ideal place for such an enterprise.

With kind regards,

H. L. Jackson

The University of Chicago
October 1, 1922.

President Harry P. Judson
The University of Chicago

Dear President Judson:

In connection with the enclosed budget of the Department of Oriental Languages I should like to bring very urgently before you the case of Associate Professor D. D. Luccenbill. The usual term of service in the Associate Professorship has in his case now expired and I earnestly hope that he may be promoted to a full professorship.

May I call attention to the fact that his term of service as an Associate Professor has been notably successful and filled with scientific achievements of a high order. He has steadily advanced in the progress of his researches, the results of which have been regularly appearing in published form in our departmental journal. He has furthermore made a remarkable success of the first year's work on the Assyrian Dictionary, which has made phenomenally rapid progress. As a teaching member of the staff he has also been equally successful. In every respect, therefore, he has earned his promotion to a full professorship, to which promotion I would therefore heartily recommend him.

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]
October 1, 1935

President Kirk A. Johnson
The University of Chicago

Dear President Johnson:

In connection with the proposed budget of the
Department of Graduate Engineering I would like to point
out the desirability of keeping your case at present at the
University. The money that can be saved in the
assistance program and in the construction of the
Institute building, I sincerely hope that we may be fortunate to a
full house.

Very respectfully yours,

[Signature]
April 14, 1923

Dear Dean Tufts,

I have just received a letter from Mr. Flimpton containing the proposed budget for the Department of Oriental Languages for the coming year. Mr. Flimpton asks that I report to you, making any comments that may be necessary.

The budget as proposed is very satisfactory except in one particular. The recommendation of the Department, made with unanimity and enthusiasm, was that Dr. Luckenbill be promoted to a full professorship. I notice that in the proposed budget he receives an increase in salary but remains as an associate professor. If it is at all possible to remove the label "associate," the Department would greatly appreciate having it done. Dr. Luckenbill is a man of outstanding ability and of great promise. He has an international reputation in the field of Assyriology, and he is now engaged upon a task of outstanding significance, viz., the making of an Assyrian dictionary which shall bring our knowledge of the language up to date. We do not wish to be unduly emphatic upon this matter, but should greatly appreciate the placing of Professor Luckenbill upon the desired basis. It would contribute greatly to his own peace of mind and contentment.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

JMPS F

I ought not to fail to say that the new scale of salaries indicated by the budget brings joy to our hearts, I myself am delighted beyond words.

[Signature]
March 28, 1923

President E. D. Burton
Harper Library

My dear Dr. Burton:

In accordance with our interview of yesterday morning I am writing you with reference to Dr. Luckenbill's proposed edition of the Hittite Laws. This proposed volume has been accepted for publication by the University Committee on Publications. It will not exceed one hundred pages of the size of the average Journal page. The cost of publication, according to Mr. McFarland, should not exceed $1300 for 1000 copies bound in cloth.

The nature of the work is of the following character. The texts in question are in cuneiform characters, and have already been published. The transliteration of these characters is quite feasible and relatively simple. Not only so, but a good deal of the vocabulary turns out to be of an Assyrian or Babylonian character. There is enough of this material to make it perfectly clear that the texts are legal, and to make a tentative translation. It is proposed to publish the transliteration and this tentative translation. The purpose of the volume is to put this material before the eyes of scholars, particularly those in the Indo-European field. It is hoped that when they have this material available in this workable form they may be able to locate the nature of the unknown legalistic element in the texts. The publication, therefore, will be a matter of great value, scientifically speaking, in that it is likely to further the solution of the problem of the Hittite language a great deal, if it does not, indeed, solve it completely.

I understand that Professor Buck is extremely anxious to have such publication made, and I have asked him to write you his point of view on the subject.

Yours sincerely,

JMPS F

[Signature]