from the admittedly unfortunate collocations at Chicago.

Your remark respecting my attitude to the appointment in Biology raises in misapprehension. No one can appreciate the desirability of securing able men for such work as I am glad to believe that it has been done. What I said or meant to say was that in view of the fact which I think you suggested to me that the faculty in Biology is practically a unit in this direction it would be eminently embarrassing to me to see especially as the earliest appointee, to occupy an isolated and it were reference to the Biol. Dept. Subordinate position. It would give occasion to others to say (as they are quick to do) that because of being in the minority I adsorbed into inoffensive desuetude.

Granville May 23, 1892.

President W.R. Harper.

My Dear Sir:—

Your polite favor of the 20th was received and I wish to thank you for the flattering provi-
sions in it with reference to work in Chicago. I was disposed at first to see you again personally but circumstances rendering it impracticable I am forced to ex-
plain (by word of mouth) the reasons why it does not seem consistent for me to consider the very generous offer held out. Disregarding entirely the difficulty of escaping entirely the irritating results of such a misunderstanding which could doubtless be avoided by both of us, I do not see that there very attractive propositions.
On that account therefore, it seemed better that the case should stand on its merits and that it be correctly understood that for reasons of general policy it has appeared best to create a completely organized department in toto. With reference to the desirability of taking these questions into account in making appointments, it would have been presumption for me to speak of I have the greatest respect for those gentlemen.

I trust therefore that as little noise will be made about the matter of my withdrawal and that you will understand that should I find a less strenuous and responsible place elsewhere, I shall only endeavor to offer an honorable competition as to spirit and thoroughness of work in my place.

essentially alter the situation in those particulars about which I should be most solicitous. The fact that Prof. Strong forbids to offer courses like subjects under distribution he has been led to feel one is sub judice does not alter the fact that he had been promised (as he wrote me) a laboratory for Physiological Psychology and had been preparing to work in that line. You state further that you have had no conference with him in the matter so that we could not satisfactorily enter upon the question even if I were in Chicago. I beg you to consider me out of the field and I shall esteem it a favor if my resignation may be promptly accepted and such relations of emity be established as may perhaps be most easily preserved apart.
Granville May 16th 1872.

President W. R. Harper.

Dear Sir: I have just received the copies of the agreement as made out by us at our conference a few days since. Upon further consideration after the effect of the surprise at the fresh evidence of ill-faith has passed, I am personally to make the sacrifice and submit myself to the humiliation of entering upon work in a richly endowed institution in a position so unrelated to the natural sources of growth, fitted unexceptioned and well with an annual stipend as an appropriation for work requiring elaborate outfit—an extent less than that hitherto less than I have hitherto been able to secure in a

Course in Neurology
Conducted by Elementary Anatomy and Physiology and Elements of Biology (at least 1 part)

I. Elementary Academic College

1. Course in Physiology with special reference to the nervous system (double minor)
2. Course in Physiology with special reference to sensory organs (double minor)

II. Advanced University College

3. Anatomy and Physiology of Nervous System Demonstrative lectures (required of those who take Physiologist) student expected to have pursued 1-2 if possible (double minor)
4. Laboratory (experimental) course in Neurology (major)
5. Research work in Neurology (double major)

5. Seminar—Reviews of literature (single minor)
6. Special course in Embryology, Zoology etc. (single minor) electives & emotional
I cannot help these feelings.

This contrasted with your note of Dec. 7, 1891, in which you said:

"You will be protected in the particular department of work in which you wish to labor. The laboratory will, of course, be constructed from a very broad point of view and will contain everything which you suggest, also the 14. Will you tell me in reply for how large a sum you could purchase the outfit for you have in mind, in the way of microscope, etc., and it is altogether probable that I can get that attended to before you leave."

After earnest endeavor to accept your statement that you have not supposed that I was unable to derive from your conduct and attitude any assurance of honorable treatment in the future, I am unable to accept your statement, and I must accordingly decline to initiate relations with you.
Physiological Psychology:

In the College:
1. Course in Anatomy and Physiology of the Nervous System - 1 minor.
2. Special Anatomy and Physiology of the Sensory Organs of Invertebrates - 1 minor.

These may alternate with similar courses in dept. of Biology - The prerequisite one term of college Physiology.
3. Elements of Physiological Psychology
   (Double minor)

In School of Science:
4. Experimental Psychology
   (Double minor)

Stimulation and Stimulation Study of Localization etc.
5. Materials and Field of Comp. Psychology
   (1 minor)

May be taken by juniors or seniors.
6. Studies in Comp. Psychology
   Brain of Consciousness etc.
   (Double minor)

   Field work in Comp. Psychology.

Courses in Comp. Psychology:

Required at least 1 quarter in Psychology. Preferably 1 quarter - Study Psychology

1. Materials and Field of Comp. Psychology
   Lectural - (Minor)

2. Studies in Comp. Psychology
   Careful study of Psychadel
   (Dr. Consciousness
   (Human Animals - Morgan, Dewey, Hartnan, etc. Double minor)

3. Research in Comp. Neurology
   Utilization of Photography
   Animals in Confined State

4. Seminar
   Special Course Field work
while forming an exception, professedly, member of the agnostic
breast, the only scientific proposition not at issue, I have the honor to be yours,

Truly,

[Imperfectly legible]

[Imperfectly legible]

and expection to the agnosticism which
induced the scientific corps
of a nominally Christian college
University

I therefore send my resignation
herewith. Those for whose sake
I for a moment decided to suffer
eternally the disgrace of not
profess one belief, as obligated
from for necessities of life,
I send herewith to the
President of the Board
in a manner that may be
resigned, according to precedent
to justify a sense of personal
honor which from that I hear
would be an anachronism in

Chicago

Yours etc.

C. L. Herrick

[Imperfectly legible]

[Imperfectly legible]
Dear Sir: I have the honor to tender my unconditional resignation of the position to which I was elected at a meeting of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago, 39th Street, 1872.

This action is dictated by the fact that the field in which it was understood I should work has been subsequently distributed otherwise, and there is no prospect that the understanding upon which I resigned my position in Cincinnati and what abroad will be to any further use, I should find myself out of place in a Baptist institution supported by private subscriptions larger from this denomination as from the one to which I was to speak in the capacity of a position exceptional with my wishes to form the solation to the only non-seminary man to the while this conspicuously discriminated against it.
PHYSIOLOGICAL AND COMPARATIVE PSYCHOLOGY

In the College
1. Course in Anatomy and Physiology of the Nervous System. 1 minor.
2. Special Anatomy and Physiology of the Sensory Organs of Vertebrates. 1 minor.
   These may alternate with similar courses in dept. of Biology. They
   presuppose one term of college Physiology.
3. Elements of Physiological Psychology. (Double minor.)

In School of Science
4. Experimental Psychology. (Double minor.) Study of Localization, etc.
5. Material and Field of Comp. Psychology. (1 minor)
   May be taken by Juniors or Seniors.
6. Studies in Comp. Psychology Devel. of Consciousness etc (Double minor)

Courses in Comp. Psychology
Required: at least 1 quarter in Psychology, preferably 1 quarter in Physiol.
Psychology.
1. Materials and Field of Comparative Psychology. Lectures. (Minor)
2. Studies in Comp. Psychology. Careful study of (1) Dev. Consciousness,
   (2) Instinct, (3) Emotions etc animals. Morgan, Darwin, Hartman,
   Munk, etc. etc. (Double minor)
3. Research in Comp. Neurology. Utilization of photography Animals in
   confinement etc.
4. Seminary.
   Special courses field work

Courses in Neurology
Prerequisites: Elementary Anatomy & Physiology and elements of Biology (at
least 1 quarter)
   1a. Course in Physiology with special reference to nervous system.
      (Double minor)
   1b. Course in Physiology with special reference to sensory organs.
      (Single minor)
II. Advanced - University College.
   (Required of those who take Phys. Psychology.) Student expected to
   have pursued la & if possible 1b. (Double minor)
3. Laboratory (experimental) course in Neurology. (Major)
4. Research work in neurology. (Double major)
5. Seminary. Reviews of literature, symposia etc. (3 single minors)

III. Special Courses in Embrol. Local. etc. Single minors elective & conditional
I. Materials & Scope of Comp. Psychology. 4 hr. 6 weeks
II. Studies in Comp. Psychology. Double minor, 4 hrs.
III. Deleted.
IV. Research Comp. Psychol. Double minor

(The above is evidently a discarded preliminary rough draft.)
-- C.J.H.

This copy sent to Prof. E. G. Storr for filing in
University of Chicago Archives, Nov. 19, 1934
STATEMENT OF C. L. HERRICK.

WHEREAS it now appears that I the undersigned, may have but a
short time to live, and whereas it also appears that one W. R. Harper
President of Chicago University and others for him, have circulated and
caused to be believed false and injurious statements relating to the
undersigned and tending to injure his good fame and to detract from the
name and reputation which alone may be the legacy he shall leave to his
children; I have deemed it fit right and prudent in their behalf to
prepare and attest the following

STATEMENT.

This statement relating to the circumstances which led to my withdrawal
from the University of Chicago after having been elected full professor
of Biology therein, is intended in no sense to repair the injury done
to me by the malicious and unscrupulous conduct of W. R. Harper, which
it would be impossible adequately to recoup, but solely to protect my
children from the further effect of the conduct mentioned. I therefore
present the facts as they occurred and my sworn testimony thereto.

Some little time after the announcement of the establishment of the
University of Chicago I received an intimation through a friend who
was interested in that institution that it was desired that I should
enter the Faculty of that institution. To this I replied that I had so
recently taken up the work of my department in the University of Cin-
cinnati and was enjoying so flattering a prospect of building up the
special work in which I was then interested that it would be a great
sacrifice to me to enter upon the amount of administrative and founda-
tion work required in a new and large institution and that my prefer-
ence was for research. It was replied that research was the thing
most desired to promote in the new institution.

At the time of the Baptist Anniversary meetings held in Cincinnati
Dr. Harper requested an interview and stated that he desired to have me
accept a position in the University of Chicago and inquired what my de-
sires would be. I repeated my objections to change where all was going
smoothly and the salary was relatively as large. On the following day,
however, after mature reflection, I handed Dr. Harper a written state-
ment of the conditions upon which I would be glad to serve in Chicago
believing that these conditions were of such a nature as to prevent a
further proposition. These conditions were in substance as follows:
First, full professorship at a salary of $3,000 per year.

Second, this professorship to embrace the control of the work in three
cognate branches, viz., Neurology, Physiological Psychology and Comparative Psychology. It was made very plain that the only consideration
which would induce me to change was the opportunity of carrying out a
long cherished scheme of bringing the best resources of modern science
to bear upon a synthetic treatment of these three allied methods of
solving difficult psychological problems relating to the nature of the psyche.

Third, a competent subsidy for the support of the Journal of Comparative
Neurology recently founded by me and in part then supported by the
University of Cincinnati.

To my surprise the program seemed to be entirely acceptable. I then
proposed that if the arrangement should be made I would spend the time
intervening before the opening of the University of Chicago in work
in Europe and in the gathering of equipment for the work of my chair.
The whole matter was gone over in detail and Dr. Harper agreed specifically to each item but said that it would be impossible to have formal
action taken for a short time. This he said was unimportant for all
authority had been given him in these matters and he solemnly promised
that my interest should be respected in all these particulars. It thus
gave about that he had my terms and agreement in writing and I had the
word of an unscrupulous scoundrel in return.

It is to be added that at that time I had not learned that clerical
honor and scholastic integrity are but other terms for individual greed
and personal convenience. It did not occur to me that the pillar of the
curach and representative of higher education cared no more for his
word than for the notes in the sunbeam. This and other things I had yet
to learn. I was possessed by the delusion that the leaders in the curach
were desirous of promoting truth and only afterwards discovered that
truth was that of which they were most afraid.

In pursuance of the above mentioned agreement I resigned in spite of
earnest entreaty and an offer of the same salary offered by Dr. Harper,
and possessed by the foolish idea that I was to attain my ideal of a
place where something could be done toward the reconciliation of reve-
lation with scientific truth. I went to Berlin where I met other mem-

hers of the Chicago University corps on similar errands. I busied myself in making arrangements for carrying on the great work I had outlined and in the purchase of apparatus. Having spent all my savings during ten years of college work in equipment of my chairs and in the enterprises connected therewith, I was forced to borrow money to carry out these plans and to secure the apparatus.

After several months so spent, I received a letter from one Dr. Strong who announced that he had been appointed to the Chair of Physiological Psychology and desired my aid in securing apparatus. Inasmuch as I had received the promise of this work and had begun the equipment of this chair, I discovered treachery. I immediately resigned and returned to America. On reaching Granville, where my family were then residing, I received a letter from Dr. Harper stating that there was a mistake and all could be righted, and desiring me to visit him in Chicago.

Meantime I learned from a Deacon in the Granville church something of the character borne by Harper and Shutter as students. The accounts of the carousals and parodies of the prayer-meeting talks in which they had participated devoutly just before opened my eyes and I went to Chicago prepared for anything. Before securing an audience with the great man I sat in an ante-room and amused myself in examining the photographs of the members of the faculty elect. Among them I discovered one bearing the significant inscription "Professor of Neurology." As this certainly was not my picture I discovered a second act of treachery. Meanwhile Dr. Goodspeed, a light in Israel and member of the Board of Trustees was discussing with great jocularity the way in which some other individual had been undone. I bethought me if the tender mercies of the wicked are cruel, what are we to expect from such mercies from the professional righteous. I at once made up my mind to starve rather than be a suppliant at such an altar.

I soon saw Dr. Harper who blandly stated that my interests had not been forgotten. I reminded him of his promise and he said that so far as Dr. Strong was concerned, there were reasons why he had to be appointed but that his position could be changed. I replied that if Dr. Strong had been promised the place and had gone on equipping himself for it I would not be the occasion of his losing the place for he was a younger man and would perhaps have his career injured thereby.
I waited with some interest to discover whether he would voluntarily allude to the other and more serious treachery. He continued to repeat that my interest had been carefully looked after and only on direct question admitted questions marred the appointment of Dr. Donaldson.

Dr. Harper, with that oily malignity so characteristic of the man, remarked, "Yes, I suppose that the appointment of Dr. Donaldson does cut out a part of the position we agreed upon. But the fact is we had to have Whitman for Biology and he would not come unless his entire corps at Clark University could be provided for."

I asked what he proposed to do with me. To this he had no answer. He said I still had comparative psychology. But this I pointed out was only available as an adjunct to the other work. He went on to say that as the entire force aside from myself in the department of Biology were infidels or agnostics it was important that I stay. I suggested a foreign mission instead but the sarcasm was lost on him. We dined at the Union Club and when with what I hope may be a pardonable deception I assumed greater naivete than usual he evidently considered me an easy victim and suggested that I name a sum for the equipment of the department of comparative psychology. I named an insignificant sum which he promptly divided by two. I returned to Granville and at once wrote him there there was no earthly inducement that would cause me to associate myself with so unprincipled a person as himself. His reply was an offer of full salary and two years leave to do research work - a well considered bait to test my sincerity.

Several of the friends of the University hearing of my step begged me to say and do nothing as it would hurt the university in its incipient steps and assured me that I would be recouped - a promise I took at its full value.

Numerous letters were received from men who had similar experiences with Dr. Harper. One in particular from a sometime colleague complimenting me on my firmness and relating that he himself was too much of a man to become entangled with Dr. Harper. I learned a few weeks after that he received his price and entered the faculty. A member of the theological faculty stated that Harper's days were nearly done that he was well known to be unscrupulous and it was only a matter of time when
a change would be made. This good man received a suitable sop and
now expounds the Old Testament on equal terms with his chief.

With the apparatus I had bought on my hands, no place, and the power-
full influence of the president of a great institution to face, I turned
to face the debt incurred.

At this time a small opening in Granville which promised to allow
time for research became the answer. But from that day to this no day
has passed that was not embittered by the problem presented by the
fact that the supporters of religion look upon fraud, falsity and all
uncleanness with compacency so long as it does not affect their positio
creed or pocket. The words of a Dr. of Divinity of my acquaintance
applied to another began to have new significance. "Yes, he is a liar
and a thief, but a pretty good fellow, after all."

The only subsequent communication from Dr. Harper received denied the
above facts in toto.

Events have proven the wisdom of my withdrawal though it is not with-
out bitterness that I realize that supposed friends now in that institu-
tion have been estranged by false representations from the president of
that institution. Accordingly the foregoing brief statement is made in
the belief that it may at least relieve my memory from the insult that
I must in the present suffer.

County of Socorro, State of New Mexico,
Personally appeared before me

the person who signed the above statement and he solemnly swears that
the same is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
In witness whereof I have set my hand and official seal this day
of

1902

Notary Public in and for Socorro Co., New Me
Granville, Ohio, May 1, 1892

My Dear Sir,

More careful reading of your kind letter indicates that at the time it was written you had not received my letter to you. Unfortunately I am at present unable to undertake a visit to Chicago as would be most satisfactory to me. My friends here seem to feel that it was promptly offering my resignation I have seemed to act hastily and irritable act with undue precipitation and irritation.

So far as I am concerned however it was quite impossible to retain the appointment a moment after it became apparent that by so
in this matter as it is imperative that I should at once make some provision for the future. If my resignation has been accepted please inform me at once so that I may plan for another year in some other way. If it is desired to assign me to a research foundation with a small endowment of teaching or even of course no preliminaries are necessary. If, on the other hand, an independent chair of Neurology is contemplated and it is to be functional at once I should be glad to know as soon as practicable what people or equipment is to be allowed, and how far there is intercommunication with other lines of biological work. Expressing my willingness to carry on temporarily any work for which I am prepared during the period of organization an equal willingness to limit myself to investigation and acquiescence in definite termination of negotiations if desired. Yours truly, C.L. Herrick.
Berkeley, March 14, 1872.

To the Honorable
The Board of Trustees, Chicago, Illinois.

Gentlemen:

In view of a perambulation respecting the nature of the work and status of the Chair to which I have the honor to be elected, I crave permission to withdraw my acceptance of the same, either definitely or pending more complete delineation of the scope of the Chair. With highest appreciation of the honor which I find myself reluctantly compelled to forgo,

I am very respectfully yours,

E. L. Herring

President W. R. Harper

I have just received from Prof. A. H. McCullough a letter suggesting by an interview with you, which reveals that the situation is somewhat as I had been led to infer from a hint received since reaching Berkeley. I wish to meet you personally before expressing an opinion as to the propriety of permitting me to come to Europe at my own expense to prepare for work which had long since been allotted to another. I trust there may be some explanation not obvious at this writing. This much is certain: that on any supposition now available...
I have no independent status in that I am putting in my time in physiological psychology; I am not preparing for work in Chicago; I am from the personal standpoint my situation is disastrous, but if that you will care to hear nothing I will return to America at once and seek to undo the mistake I have been making in any way possible. Meanwhile I send you herewith any resignation of the position to which I was elected at your suggestion. Should there be any desire to readjust or repeal the matter I would suggest that it might find expression in a specific and unambiguous form which I may not again place me in the attitude of a trespasser on the territory of another student personally unknown to me.

My future course will be duly considered, and then unflinchingly pursued.

I remain,

Your very obedient servant

C. L. Hurick
New Haven, Conn., June 15, 1891.

Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Cincinnati, Ohio.

My dear Sir:—

I have read your letter with a great deal of interest and should like to have you regard the matter as settled that you are to be at Chicago as a professor at the salary of $3,000 a year, the details of it to be arranged in some way that will be entirely satisfactory to you. You may be sure that I will make no other arrangement which will not permit your best interests to be looked after. I write in haste as I am just about leaving New Haven. If you desire to have the matter in a more definite form please advise me.

I remain

yours very truly,

[Signature]
My dear Sir,

I have just your letter with a grant of $2,000. I want to be of assistance to you and your family at this time. You may be aware that I will make no further contributions, but will not interfere with your plans.

I am grateful for the assistance in a more specific way.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Office: 1212 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

Dictated.  

CHICAGO,  
Nov. 20, 1894.

Prof. C. L. Herrick,
Cincinnati, Ohio.

My dear Sir:

Your kind favor of Nov. 12th has been received. I am obliged to you for the pleasant words you speak concerning the opening address at the Social Union. It has been suggested that it should be printed, but I do not care to have it put into that shape quite yet. I think that we shall have no difficulty in getting your affairs into definite shape. I am to see Prof. Whitney of Clark University next week and after that I think we can come to a determination. I am sure that your work in comparative psychology will be new and we shall be glad to help you in the matter of a laboratory as far as it is at all possible. We shall not launch at once all the departments of science, but we shall take a few, among others biology, and throw ourselves into them with strength.

I remain

Yours truly,

W. R. Harper
Dear Mr. Warne,

Your kind letter of Nov. 15th has just been received.

I am thinking of you for the position which you seem to be interested in -- the announcement reference the position in the August number of the Bulletin. It is not clear what your position would be at the end. It seems to me you have not sufficiently explained your qualifications and your interests. You should therefore take the trouble to write to us and tell us what you feel you could do for us.

Thanking you, I am,

Yours sincerely,
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Office: 1212 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

Dictated.  

CHICAGO,  Dec. 7, 1891

Prof. C. L. Herrick,
Cincinnati, O.

My dear Sir:—

Your favors of Nov. 21st and 23d have been received. I am very much obliged to you for all they contain. I understand that you are to work along the line you indicate. I may say to you that we are negotiating with Prof. Whitman of Clark University for the headship of the department of biology. The plans are by no means clearly laid out, but you will be protected in the particular department of work in which you wish to labor. The laboratory will, of course, be constructed from a very broad point of view and will contain everything which you suggest. How soon the laboratory can be planned in detail I cannot now say. I hope that you will not think me too indefinite in this matter but believe that at present it does not seem possible to write more definitely. I trust that you will have a most prosperous time abroad and that your work will be all and more than you expect. Perhaps I may hear from you again before you leave the country.

I remain,

Yours truly,

W. R. Harper
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Office of the Chancellor of Conferences

Dear [Name],

I am very much interested in your letter of [date], and wish to express my cordial appreciation for the kindness and courtesy shown by your department and staff in giving such excellent service to us.

I understand that you have been in communication with your associates in this matter, and that you have been most successful in helping them to secure the necessary funds for the proposed expansion of the department. I am sure that this will be a great help to the University and to the national interest.

I wish to express my appreciation for the efforts you have made in this connection, and to assure you of my continued support in any way that I may be able to render.

I am very much obliged to you for your assistance, and I shall be glad to hear from you at your earliest convenience.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

[Note: The text is partially obscured in the image, and some parts are not legible.]
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

Office: 1212 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

CHICAGO, Dec. 14th, 1891

Prof. C. L. Herrick

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio

Dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of Dec. 7th, I would say that as yet the publication Dept. in the University of Chicago is not organized. We are hoping to get things into shape by May or June but I do not quite see our way to guarantee $500.00 for the Journal until matters are in shape. Would it not be possible to let it fall behind a little without any real injury? I do not want to do anything that will injure the Journal, for it is something we will be very proud of and yet situated as we are I do not quite see our way to make a definite promise in reference to it. Second, it seems altogether certain that we shall be able to authorize you to purchase a small outfit of microscopes, etc., for the University and yet here again we are not in a position to take action because we have not reached that point. If you were willing to advance the money for them I am sure we should be glad to take them off your hands, but we have no plan as yet in this direction. Third, the title of your chair is still unsettled. I do not see how we can settle it until the head Professor of the department has been appointed.

If you are willing to let it stand neuology there can be no objection. I realize how very unsatisfactory all these replies are;
I am happy to receive your letter of June 23rd. I very much like the idea of expanding our University's activities to cover the problems facing the human being. We are planning to begin classes on June 28th. We are hoping to get some students from the University of Cincinnati. To do this we cannot rely on our own resources. We are depending upon the support of the community. I have been in touch with several prominent citizens and I am optimistic that we will be able to raise the necessary funds. If you would like to help in any way, please let me know. I am very excited about this new venture and I am looking forward to working with you.
I realize also the position you have taken in connection with the University, that you have staked everything upon the matter. I wish I could show you how much I appreciate what you have done and how thoroughly I am in sympathy with all you propose to do. As a matter of fact the difficulties in your case seem to be greater than those in connection with any other man who has yet been appointed. I am willing to stand by you on the Journal, personally at any rate, to the amount of $200.00. I make this offer to show you how deeply interested I am. I want also to assure you that we are moving along with more satisfaction. Of the One Million Dollar for buildings and equipment, we think we have $400,000.00 of this. This will put us in admirable shape. We also think we have a man who will build the biology laboratory. Still further, we feel sure that the moment this million is secured we can go to Mr. Rockefeller for another million. There is everything to encourage, and yet I appreciate how discouraging it is not to be able to give you a more definite answer to your questions. Will you tell me in reply for how large a sum you could purchase the outfits you have in mind, in the way of microscopes, etc, and it is altogether probable that I can get that attended to before you leave. Hoping to hear from you again, I remain,

Yours truly,

[Signature]
I notice you are in the position that you have never been in connection with the University. I have been informed that you have a great many students who have gone and who are going to be here. I think that I will have a letter to the University if you please to write me a letter in connection with the University and your interests. I think that I will have a letter from you in connection with the University and your interests.

I am willing to send you a letter. I have only to accuse you I want to see you. I want to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you. I want you to send me some news of you. I want you to see you.
Dictated. 

Chicago, Dec. 22, 1891

My dear Prof. Herrick:

Your favor of Dec. 20th is at hand. I am glad to know that my letter was, at least, in a measure satisfactory. What you suggest in reference to Mr. Treavor is very excellent indeed. I hope that you will get acquainted with the man and see whether we cannot use him. Can you not also work Mr. John Treavor of Cincinnati?

I will address your letters hereafter to Granville. I shall hope that you will keep me posted as to your whereabouts.

I remain

Yours truly,

Prof. C. L. Herrick,
Granville, Ohio.
My dear Mr. [Name],

Your favor of Dec. 20th is at hand. I am glad to know that my letter was received in a friendly vein. I believe your, letter to Mr. Thompson to your excellent interest. I hope soon you will get your family with the rest of your animals, I then can you not see how much you can improve for yourself. I hope you will be able to write me any one at will. I am sure your vacation is at hand.

I will address your letter to your brother to whom I refer. I hope that you will send me your letter to your information.

I remain,

Yours truly,

[Signature]

[Handwritten note: First line is crossed out, then written again: "Dear Mr. [Name]."]
Chicago, Jan. 30th, 1892

Prof. C. L. Herrick,

My Dear Sir,

At a meeting of the Board of Trustees of The University of Chicago held yesterday, Jan. 29th, you were elected Professor in the department of Biology. The salary will be $3,000 per year, payable monthly, at the end of each month. It is understood that your term of service will begin Oct. 1, 1892, and that the salary above named begins at that time.

Hoping to receive an early acceptance of this position on these terms, I remain,

Yours very truly,

T. W. Goodspeed,
Secretary.
The University of Chicago

Dear Mr. Brown,

I am pleased to inform you of the recent developments at the University of Chicago. The Department of Economics has announced a new program focusing on advanced research in the field of microeconomics. This program, led by Professor John Doe, will commence in the fall of 1939.

The program will offer courses in theoretical and applied economics, with a particular emphasis on market dynamics and consumer behavior. It is expected to attract students from around the world, contributing to the university's reputation as a leader in economic studies.

I am enclosing a brochure that provides more detailed information about the program, including the application process and deadlines. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Note: The handwritten portion of the letter is not legible.]
My dear Prof. Herrick:

The University does no advertising directly as such. It seems to me, therefore, that it might be just as well to omit the card from the second page of the journal. I hope that you and Prof. Strong are in correspondence with each other and that the result will be to your mutual satisfaction.

I remain

Yours truly,

Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Berlin, Germany.
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

[Signature]

Dear Mr. [Name],

The University has no objection to your proposal.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need further assistance.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

--

[Additional notes or signatures]
Hotel San Remo.

My dear Pres. Harper:

On thinking the matter over, I should like to add a few words to my letter of this noon, inclosing one from Prof. Herrick.

On first reading Prof. Herrick's letter, I was somewhat at a loss how to understand it. I should like to say that in my letter to him I had said nothing which could justify him in inferring that you had given me charge of the psychological work at Chicago; indeed, my understanding in writing was that I was to ascertain what work Prof. Herrick planned to give, and then govern myself accordingly.

I should be exceedingly sorry if, acting on a mistaken idea gained from my letter, he should materially alter his plans of work, or return prematurely to this country.

It is true that there would scarcely be room at one university for two men both devoting themselves exclusively to physiological psychology. But Prof. Herrick is interested in neurology as well, to at least an equal degree; and I am interested in the history of philosophy as well as psychology. I do not see therefore why we cannot divide the subject of psychology between us in such a manner as to satisfy the just demands of each.
If I may venture to suggest, I should be entirely willing that Prof. Herrick should assume entire charge of anything undertaken by the university in the way of a psychological laboratory, and of all experimental work done therein. The only thing I should feel bound to retain is the right to give the detailed course in physiological psychology outlined in a previous letter to you, upon which I have already spent a great deal of time.

Hoping that the matter may be satisfactorily arranged,

I remain

Very truly yours,

Charles A. Strong.
If I may assume to understand this print, then I would say:

"Erect and hold your head, no matter what life brings."

"In all you do, be true to yourself."

"Never doubt your strength."

"Courage is a decision."

I remain

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Berlin, Germany.

My dear Sir:

I have just received your favor of March 12th to Prof. Strong. Its contents rather surprise and disturb me. I have not understood that in any arrangements made with Mr. Strong, there was a cancelling of arrangements made with you. What you mean by saying that you were not wholly unprepared for it is also a mystery. I shall be very sorry if any treatment which has been accorded you will compel you to give up plans. You speak of a more specific duty in America. This I cannot understand. The mystery grows more mysterious in the latter part of your letter when you refer to a third person, name unknown, who supposes he has part or all of physiological psychology in charge.

Will you allow me to say just a word? From the moment I was appointed president of the University of Chicago, I made up my mind that if it was possible to secure you, we wanted you in the University. I understood you to have work in Natural Science and that your specialty was in the Department of Biology. It was this that I had in mind from the beginning. In your talk with me you
The University of Chicago

Columbia University

I have just received your letter of March 18th.

Your request for the return of my publications and my books is received. I have not yet had an opportunity to make arrangements with the University, but I shall do so as soon as possible. I hope that you will be able to use the materials in a way which will be most appropriate.

I am enclosing a note from my colleague, Dr. A. E. A. Brown, who has been in touch with me. He has been kind enough to forward your letter to me. I trust that this will be satisfactory.

I am very grateful for your prompt action.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Address]
spoke, as I remember it, and you have written of your drawing towards Psychology. I have understood always that your work would be, however, in the Biological department and not in the Department of Philosophy or Psychology. I have understood that you will work on a broader line and not strictly in that department.

As Prof. Strong has said in his letter, and as I supposed, the Department of Neurology and that of Physiological Psychology are each by itself enough to occupy one man. I wish to assure you that in anything which has been done we have certainly no desire to interfere with your plans, nor do I wish to do so at this time. There is no third person in the matter. You are aware I am sure of the peculiar reasons why Mr. Strong's presence in the University is desired. Chiefly, of course, because of his personal ability, also because of his relation to Mr. Rockefeller. I certainly hope that you will allow us to arrange matters with you in such a way as will be absolutely satisfactory. I am more than ever desirous of having you with us. I can tell you now what was not known forty-eight hours ago, namely, we are almost certain that a gentleman will give us this week $150,000 for a Biological Laboratory and its equipment, and further, that Prof. Whitman of Clark University has accepted the head-professorship of the various departments of Biology.

I write this immediately upon receipt of the letter from Prof. Strong in which he expresses the most kindly feeling in the matter and is sure that there need be no trouble whatever in the arrangement of the work.
I appreciate it if we can send a letter to your company today.

I understand that the financial statements are due next week.

I was wondering if you have any questions or concerns about the report.

I hope to hear back from you soon.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Hoping therefore that you will not be disconcerted in any way, and assuring you that I will do everything in my power to arrange this matter satisfactorily,

I remain

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

Another letter from Pay. They put the matter in still a better shape. I enclose a copy of it.
Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Berlin, Germany.

My dear Sir:

We desire in the first public announcement of the names of the professors in the University to present concise information concerning degrees and positions held. Will you do me the personal favor to write on the back of the inclosed slip,- which will, perhaps, serve as a model,- all academic honors, beginning with the bachelor degree and including in chronological order all positions held, with membership in all prominent learned societies, books written and periodicals edited, giving dates in all cases. Be kind enough to make the statement as full as possible. There will be no danger of indicating too many facts. It will be easy for us to cancel those which need to be omitted in order to bring the list into harmony. I venture to hope that you will do this at the earliest possible minute. The inclosed statement concerning Prof. Gildersleeve will, as suggested above, serve as a model.

Hoping that I may have your answer as promptly as possible,

I remain

Yours truly,

W. R. Harper
Basil L. Gildersleeve, Ph.D., L L.D. Professor of Greek, 261 St. Paul St.

A.B. Princeton College, 1849, and A.M., 1852; Ph.D., University of Gottingen, 1853; L L.D., College of William and Mary, 1869, and Harvard University, 1886; Professor of Greek in the University of Virginia, 1859-76; Professor of Latin in the University of Virginia, 1861-66; D.C.L., University of the South, 1884; Hon. member of the Cambridge Philological Society and of the Philological Syllogos of Constantinople; Editor of the American Journal of Philology.
My dear Prof. Herrick:—

I am glad to learn from your favor of May 2d that you have returned home. I am very sorry that you cannot come to Chicago. I cannot but think with your friends that you have acted hastily. I have destroyed your letter of resignation for I did not believe that you really meant it. You were certainly very kind in reference to the work of Prof. Strong, but, as he himself in his letter indicated, there was no desire on his part or mine to interfere with you. I think that there need be no difficulty in the matter and that I can do now what I was not at one time ready to do; viz., transfer you to the Department of Philosophy. Here I hope you will take hold of the distinct department of comparative psychology rather than, for the present, of physiological psychology; but I do not feel that we can settle this matter by letter. I am so ignorant personally of the whole field that I shall have to be instructed by you, and this time I am anxious to have a very definite understanding. You stand just where you did before your letter of resignation was written; and the question is now, where I can place you to the best advantage. We shall expect you to do the regular amount of teaching and yet carry on your research work. I am anxious not to have you lose
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

[Address]

Dear [Name],

I am writing to inform you that I have been appointed as the new Director of the [Institute/Program]. I am very excited about this opportunity and hope that you will be able to join me in celebrating this occasion.

I understand that you have been a long-time member of the [Institute/Program] and have made significant contributions to its development. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude for your dedicated service and wish you all the best in your future endeavors.

Please let me know if there is anything I can do to assist you in your transition. I look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
any time and shall be glad to hear from you in reply to this letter.

I remain

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Granville, Ohio.
It is mutually between us agreed:

1) That C. L. Herrick shall occupy a chair of Comparative Psychology in the University of Chicago, which shall offer at least the equivalent of one Minor course throughout the year.

2) Said chair shall be expected to offer instruction in subjects relating to the nervous and psychical powers of man and animals such as the Evolution of Consciousness, Instinct and Impulse, Expression of Emotion, and the like; and it shall be competent to offer such courses in the structure and development of the nervous system of animals as may be directly germane to this line of work.

3) The Professor of Comparative Psychology agrees to offer in addition such other courses, whether in Physiological Psychology or in Anatomy and Physiology, as may seem best, with reference both to the preparation of students for the course above outlined and to the courses offered in the Department of Biology. Such courses shall be equivalent to one Minor for each year unless otherwise specially agreed.

4) The University shall afford to the Department of Comparative Psychology an initial appropriation for the first year of not less than $500, which shall not be applied to room furnishing but to the purchase of appliances and apparatus; also an annual appropriation of not less than $500.

5) The Chair of Comparative Psychology shall be given as soon as possible adequate room for experimental, photographic and research purposes, including conveniences for the rearing and preservation of animals.

6) Suitable arrangements may be made for the establishment and conduct of summer practice in the field to apply directly in work of the Department of Comparative Psychology.
Dictated. May 18, 1892.

Prof. C. L. Herrick,

Granville, Ohio.

My dear Sir:—

We desire in the first public announcement of the names of the professors in the University concise information concerning degrees and positions held. Will you do me the personal favor to write on the back of the enclosed slip — which will serve as a model — all academic honors beginning with the bachelor's degree and including in chronological order all offices held, with membership in all prominent learned societies, books written and periodicals edited, giving dates in all cases. Be kind enough to make the statement as full as possible. There will be no danger of indicating too many facts. It will be easy for us to cancel those which need to be omitted in order to bring the list into harmony. I venture to hope that you will do this at the earliest possible minute. The enclosed statement concerning Prof. Gildersleeve will, as suggested above, serve as a model.

Hoping that I may have your answer as promptly as possible,

I remain,

Yours truly,

W. K. Harper

(A)
Prof. C. L. Herrick,
Granville, Ohio.

My dear Prof. Herrick:—

Your favor of May 11th concerning Mr. Turner has been received. It will, of course, be given very careful consideration.

Thanking you,

I remain

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
Prof. C. L. Herrick,
Granville, Ohio.

My dear Sir:

Your letters to Dr. Goodspeed and myself have been received. At our committee meeting yesterday the question was fully discussed. I did my utmost in this discussion to be fair to you and think that I was fair. At my request the Committee have authorized me to invite you to come to Chicago at the expense of the University to consider the whole matter. In view of a development which has occurred since my seeing you, it seems to me that you cannot refuse this invitation. Without any communication whatever between Prof. Strong and myself, he sent me last week the list of courses in the Department of Philosophy for next year. I had already sent him a list of those offered by Prof. Tufts. In the arrangement of his own work he has absolutely omitted anything upon the subject of Physiological Psychology, thus showing his idea which was also mine, that you were to take hold of this work. I am entirely willing to concede that the case is an unfortunate one. There is, however, I feel sure, no evidence that there has been any intentional ill faith towards you. This I think can be
Dear Mr. Meier,

Encyclopedia

If the Great

Your letter to the Geographical Society has just now reached me.

Dear Mr. Meier,

I am in receipt of your communication to the Geographical Society.

So far as I know, I have not received any notice of your communication.

In view of the circumstances surrounding the article, I am not aware of any development which has occurred since my last letter. Hence, I am unable to answer your question.

If you have the opportunity to discuss this matter, I would be happy to assist you.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
shown you to your satisfaction.

When I first talked with Whitman concerning Donaldson, it was understood that his coming would be dependent upon the character of your work, but when you indicated your desire for physiological psychology and Strong expressed his entire willingness to leave that field to you, then the way was open in view of the full manning of the Department of Biology for Donaldson.

I do not think that your remark in reference to the agnostic character of the Biological department is consistent with a statement which you yourself made to me before going abroad touching Prof. Whitman himself. In our first interview you will remember that I expressed to you the hope that we might secure him. No word was said by you in reference to any objection which might be raised against him. I take it, therefore, that this last statement must be due to your feeling of general disappointment at least in a measure.

I think I see now a way out of this difficulty which will be both satisfactory to you and to the University. The field of Physiological Psychology has now been abandoned by Strong. We have no one in it. I am ready to propose to you that you take this field yourself together with Comparative Psychology, and that you go back to Germany and take up your special preparation, remaining abroad until at least January 1st., your salary with the University to begin October first,
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO.

I did not suppose in asking for a thousand dollars for equipment that half that sum would be regarded as a mere pittance. I appreciate the fact that it was entirely inadequate, and I think that the Committee will be ready to increase it. I told you at the time I thought that it could be increased; my only desire was not to indicate a sum of which I was not absolutely sure. The Committee will hold a meeting next Tuesday afternoon. I venture to hope that you will consent to come and lay the case before them. They will be glad to meet you personally and I am sure that we shall be pleased to make an adjustment which will be satisfactory. If you will kindly telegraph me upon receipt of this letter whether or not you will come, I shall be greatly obliged.

I remain

Yours very truly,

[Signature]

William Casper
(Handwritten text not legible)
The University of Chicago.

Dictated. June 2, 1892.

Prof. C. L. Herrick
Granville, Ohio.

My dear Sir:

Your favor of May 23d reached Chicago during my absence. I need not say to you that I am very much disappointed by its contents. I am at a loss to understand why you are not willing to accept; why you are not willing at all events to meet the Committee and lay before them the case. I believe, as I have indicated before, that it is entirely possible to arrange the matter in a manner which will be thoroughly satisfactory to yourself and stand ready to do this.

I think that you must labor under a mistake in the statement that I suggested to you the attitude of the Faculty in Biology respecting Agnosticism. I will lay your letter before the Committee at its next meeting and notify you of the results. Once more however, I venture to express the hope that before the final settlement you will consent to come to Chicago at the expense of the Committee. Their meeting will be held next Monday afternoon.

I remain

Yours very truly, [Signature]
Granville Ohio June 8 '92

President W. R. Harper.

Dear Sir:

Yours of June 20 is before me. My reasons for being unwilling to accept work in the University of Chicago under conditions so different from those contemplated in our original agreement have been stated perhaps as fully as is necessary; I may add however that I am unable to feel that I could count with any assurance upon considerate or honorable dealing from the authorities of that institution. I propose therefore, although a loser to the extent of over $3,000 by the events growing out of my settlement with Cincinnati and to an extent I should feel unwilling to estimate financially in my life plans, etc., to consider all negotiable at an end. It is not clear to me that I am in sympathy with the methods and tendencies of the institution as I now understand them. The other items in your letter raises a needless question of fairness and is of no significance in the matter.

Yours etc.

C. L. Herrick.
Dictated.  

June 8, 1892.

Prof. C. J. Herrick,

Granville, Ohio.

My dear Sir:—

Your favor of June 3d was duly received and read to the Board of Trustees together with the other correspondence upon the subject. In view of your positive determination not to take up the work in the University under any circumstances, the Board acceded to your request and has formally, to receive your resignation. This formal notification will be sent you by the Secretary.

I desire once more to express to you my personal regret at this issue of the matter. I have always been ready, as stated to you, to make arrangements which would be satisfactory. The appointment of Donaldson was made with the understanding on my part that you desired to work in the line of Physiological Psychology, and at the time when I was assured that Prof. Strong would gladly relinquish his interest in this department for another phase of his subject.

While I am ready to agree that the circumstances have been somewhat unfortunate, I desire once more to assure you that in no
case have I understood myself to be dealing with you in any other way than in a strictly honorable way, and while in view of your last letter I appreciate the fact that you will not accept this statement, I feel, nevertheless, that it is a true statement. I sincerely hope that wherever your work may lie in the future, you may be abundantly prospered. I am sure that the institution with which you may be connected will be proud of the connection and that great contributions to science will result from your work.

I remain,

Yours very truly,

[Signature]
Granville, Ohio, April 7th, 1893.

F. W. Shepardson,

Dear Sir:

I regret that your note did not fall under my eye on its arrival and I have but this moment discovered it. I send a few data which I fear will be too late.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you for the interest you manifest in Denison and to express the hope that you will not permit yourself to antagonize the effort made to extend its usefulness. I learn with surprise that you are antagonizing the effort to extend our courses of study. This not only seems to me a work of prerogation but inconsistent with your position as alumnus and friend of Denison. Although we recognize the advantage of money in many directions we do not believe that it will afford the same advantages in some others which nature and its very isolation gives Denison here. We simply propose to use these and gain the impetus of advanced work for its reflex influence on undergraduate work. Our work is in no sense antagonistic to Chicago, yet we recognize no right which the univ. of Chicago may claim to exercise over us. After securing the utmost which could be extorted from the friends of
Christian education, the University of Chicago has violated every trust and confidence and established a bulwark of agnosticism and immorality which will do vast harm. It is dominated by a man as corrupt and unscrupulous as it is possible to conceive—a man who might with less injustice have attempted my life than to destroy my career and character. You cannot expect me to advocate Chicago as a place for a guileless young man. I do not criticise you who are there but I suggest that you will do well not to attempt to interfere with the legitimate and modest efforts of your Alma Mater to provide some scientific instruction for those who for any local or other reason do not wish to leave at the close of the senior year.

With kind regards,

C. L. Herrick.
Granville, Ohio, April 10th, 1893.

Prof. F. W. Shepardson,

Dear Sir:-

I am glad to have your letter. I did not hear from Kussmaul until sometime after I sent the data and am sorry to have troubled you— the letter was mislaid and failed to come under my eye until I was looking over the month's accumulation.

I am quite persuaded of your allegiance to the Alma Mater but I still regret the attitude the Chicago Alumni are pleased to take in the matter and I think it rests on misapprehension. It is not expected that Denison will open a full Ph.D. course in all departments. Those who may desire to pursue such a course in most lines will probably go east or abroad wherever the best facilities are offered, but it is felt that Denison has been greatly injuring her good name by the promiscuous distribution of honorary (?) degrees. I wonder that the alumni of Denison have not attacked this grotesque evil! What we propose is to offer certain lines of graduate study to those who for whatever reason desire to continue their work here. As to facilities, by the time this goes into effect there will be fair opportunities for the sorts of work offered. I myself purchased by the authorization of Harper a partial outfit for my supposed department in Chicago.
in Chicago Univ. This of course came back on my hands by his dishonesty. We shall have much more than Dr. H. proposed to supply me for similar work in Chicago Univ. If you will observe the work offered you will see that the extras now proposed are largely in my line. Now as I am engaged for but half the year I am wholly free for half the time for this work. Prof. Tight is thereby relieved of much of his work and a large share of my time is reserved for such work if I choose to give it. It all reduces to the question of competency and as the last proposition made me at Chicago was the full chair of Phys. Psychology—a year in Europe on full pay, and equipment, this looks a little out of place from the latitude from which it emanates without regard to its truth or falsity.

I think it is possible to show that there is a great incidental advantage to undergraduate work to have some graduate courses in sight and it feels the stimulus of such research. Granville has many advantages by nature else I should not have chosen it from several openings. Now will you not let this thing work itself out without opposition from the alumni and help us all you can?

Very truly,

C. L. Herrick.
Albuquerque, N. M., April 17, 1898.

R. H. H. Donaldson,
Professor R. H. Donaldson,

Dear Sir:

In the course of our plans for the enlargement and improvement of the Journal of Comparative Neurology it has seemed desirable to the editorial corps to secure the cooperation of a number of collaborators each of whom should feel a certain amount of responsibility for some department of the general field we seek to cover. I take pleasure therefore in inviting you to cooperate in this manner. We do not seek to indicate the exact amount of responsibility to be incurred and propose that each collaborator enjoy the fullest liberty but in the effort to increase the value and prestige of the publication it is obvious that aid in one way or another in the matter of expense is needed. It is not necessary that the field to be cultivated be minutely indicated. I have thought of you in connection with the subject of growth, waste and repair of nervous tissue.

Hoping that your inclinations and engagements will enable you to respond favorably,

I am yours truly,

C. L. Herrick.
Dr. C. O. Whitman,

University of Chicago,

Dear Sir:-

I trust that you will pardon a reference to a personal matter so ancient a history as to have lost much of its personal element. Some facts that have recently become known to me lead me to fear that I have done you (in thought only) an injustice, and as the time is now approaching when earthly matters must be brought to "round-up", I shall be glad to be set right if the misconception really exists.

To begin at the beginning, at the time when the Univ. of Chicago was organized I was professor of Biology in the Univ. of Cincinnati and was editing the Journal of Comp. Neurology, then as now. The journal was in part supported by the Univ. and I seemed to be on the eve of great enlargement in my work. I was approached by a member of the Board of Chicago Univ. with reference to entering its faculty. To this I replied that I was well pleased with the outlook where I was and had no taste for the work of organization, being intent on research. To make short the negotiation, Dr. Harper came to Cincinnati and asked what I desired in order to accept the position. In order to put an end to the matter as I supposed I replied that I would be glad to go if I could have the control of three subjects, viz. Neurology, Comparative Psychology and Physiological Psychology for I had a theory that by a correlation of these with ample means great progress might be made toward the solution of psychological problems I desired to devote my life to. I stipulated that I should have free hand and a full professorship with an adequate stipend for the journal. These items I placed in writing in considerable detail and having been informed by my board at Cincinnati that they would make the salary the same as at the Univ. of Chicago I expected
and hoped to hear nothing further from the matter. To my surprise, Dr. Harper agreed fully to all the stipulations and authorized me to go to Europe to equip these subjects. He, however, said it would be impossible for the Board to take formal action at once but that he was clothed with all power to conclude the matter. I then supposed he was a "Christian" gentleman; only later learning that only the former term applied. I accordingly went to Berlin and stocked up with the prime essentials for the courses I proposed to give.

Late in the winter I had a letter from Dr. Strong stating that he was appointed to the Physiological Psychology and desiring aid in securing equipment. I at once saw that I was undone and knew in what sense to take Harper's parting words "I will fully protect your interests".

Dr. H. refused to accept my resignation knowing that a storm might easily rise inopportune. He asked me to visit Chicago. In the anteroom while waiting I saw the photo of Dr. Donaldson with whom I had had a pleasant correspondence at an earlier date and noticed that he was labeled as Professor of Neurology. When I met Harper he proposed to oust Strong, but to this I replied that I would not be the means of interrupting the career of a young man at the beginning who had been led to accept and prepare for a place in good faith. He did not mention the other treachery but when I referred to Donaldson he said this: "We had to have Whitman and he insisted that the whole corps of Clark should come or none." I asked what he proposed to do with me. He had no answer except a very insulting one.

I of course resigned, a thing which Dr. H. seemed to think impossible. He then wrote promising all sorts of things, among others two years on leave for research with full pay. He said to me that as all the other members of the biological faculty were agnostics it was es-
pecially desirable that there should be one "orthodox" member. He probably knew as well as I that there is little difference of opinion on essentials among working biologists but thus showed a concession to a very strong feeling he continually was forced to traverse in his appointments. However, I was approached by members of the University and urged not to make any trouble at the outset and told that I would be provided for. I bore this insult as best I could and set to work again to pay the debts incurred for the University and on Harper's authority.

I had decided to say nothing and saw wood believing that I could live down the results of even Dr. Harper's hostility and mendacity. I had occasion to feel the sting soon however. I had not thought of attaching any special blame to Donaldson for while I would not myself be guilty of intriguing for an absent colleague's place I felt that there is a difference in people in that respect and he at least had made no promise. I was however surprised that some proposal of a little cooperation in Neurology brought me the most insulting letter I ever received from anyone. I concluded that after all Dr. had wronged his conscience in the matter and his bitterness was the greater on the principle that we hate one we have wronged more than one who has wronged us. I said nothing and at a later date requested his assistance on the Journal on behalf of the corps of editors. I remember sitting by your side in the meeting of the Morphologists in Princeton and hearing you say that you had not learned what led me to retire from the Faculty of Chicago. You will forgive me if I thought under the circumstances that it was a polite lie intended to deceive no one.

More recently I have learned that Dr. Harper has denied the whole matter, in fact I have a letter in which he so does in spite of the doc-
umentary and other proof. It occurs to me that neither you or Donaldso
really knew that I had received the most solemn assurances of the free
hand in these departments and that you may have been deceived by Dr. Ha
per.

I dislike to carry hence a false impression regarding scientific
men for whose work and character I have only admiration except in the
very specific matter I have mentioned.

The bitterness of the injury is responsible for the disease that
prevented me from carrying out my life work and has made me an out-
cast for seven years. If I could have lived my life I should never
have referred to this matter but I dislike to die with the knowledge
that my good name has been injured in the house of those who should h
have been my friends by such a scoundrel as Harper. For the religion
illustrated by such folk I can less than nothing but still believe in
honor. You will bestow a greater favor than you probably conceive by
a frank reply to the above.

Yours very truly,