22nd. February, 1911.

My Dear Dr. Mathews:—

You will be interested to know that at the meeting of our Board of Trustees, February 13th. last, a Committee was appointed to consider the practicability and desirability of removing the School to the city of Chicago. This plan of course, involves in our minds co-operation with the University along lines which I have considered with you and President Judson. The Committee appointed consists of Mr. Hull, Mr. Edgar Huidekoper, Dean Fenn, Secretary Wilson of the American Unitarian Association and myself. The Committee met in Boston, Saturday, and decided to bring the matter before the Alumni at their meeting on May 26th. next. In order that the Alumni may be informed of what is contemplated, it was thought best to send out to them a statement of what was proposed, including in a broad and general way the co-operation of the University of Chicago. It was decided however, that we should keep the matter out of the denominational papers for the present.

I should like to know whether you see any objection to sending this kind of statement to the Alumni. It is of course, not our intention to commit the University of Chicago further than they are already committed by the statement of the plan of co-operation which is now operating between the University and the Disciples Theological School.

Since the annual meeting of our Board at which the Committee is to report is to be held May 31st., it is perhaps desirable that there should be some preliminary negotiations with the University before the Alumni have met, and before that time it is likely to be necessary to print something in the denominational papers; otherwise there will be no proper way of getting the matter before the persons interested. I should be glad to be advised by you however, as to
My dear Dr. Wirtz:—

You will I am sure, be interested to learn that at the meeting of the Board of Trustees of the University of Chicago, held October 15th, 1912, a committee was appointed to consider the possibility and advisability of removing the School of Comparative Literature to the city of Chicago. The plan of course, involves in our minds a co-operation with the University of Chicago, and the University Board has offered its co-operation. The committee appointed consists of Mr. Wirtz, President; Mr. H. E. Potter, Minneapolis, Dean; Mr. W. L. Seager, Secretary, Department of the American Literature Association; and myself. The committee met in Boston, Saturday, and we are now engaged in preparing the final report of our meeting, which will be ready next week. In order that the report may be in the hands of the committee of the University of Chicago, it is proposed to have a copy of the report of the committee on the question of the University of Chicago. It is agreed by the committee, that we should keep the matter out of the genelization of the press.

I am sorry to know that you see no way of application to the committee to report the question of the University of Chicago to the board of trustees of the University of Chicago. I am undeterred by the absence of the committee in the question of the University of Chicago. Since the committee has not been able to report, the question of the University of Chicago has been referred to the committee on the University of Chicago. The committee has referred the question of the University of Chicago to the committee on the University of Chicago. It is proposed to hold a meeting of the committee on the University of Chicago. I am not prepared to report to the committee on the University of Chicago. I am not prepared to discuss the matter. I am not prepared to report to the committee on the University of Chicago.
to whether you can see any possible objection to such publication.

You will readily understand that the bare suggestion of removal has aroused strenuous opposition at this end of the line. I can only say that the Faculty and I think nearly all the non-resident members of the Board are likely to be strongly in favor of the removal, and under these conditions it looks as if there ought to be some way of accomplishing it. I shall doubtless, have to call upon you for advice more than once, and for any assistance you can render in the premises, I shall be truly grateful. It occurs to me that you might be able and willing to give me now a brief statement which I might quote, if necessary, at the proper time, as to your own opinion concerning the desirability of cooperating with the University. It is understood, of course, that such an opinion will embody your own experience in cooperation as a Divinity School with the University of Chicago, and in removing from another location in order to secure University advantages.

Perhaps this letter ought properly to have been written to President Judson, but I realized that he was to be absent from the city during the month of February, and I have therefore intruded upon your time again.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Dean Shailer Mathews,

Chicago University, Chicago, Ill.
Dear S.M. —

I am writing to you in response to the opportunity to serve on the Board of the University. I have had occasion recently to discuss the issue with the President of the Board, and I wish to assure you of my intention to remain on the Board at least until the next annual meeting and perhaps beyond.

I am confident that my continued service will be in the best interests of the University. I have given much thought to the matter, and I believe that my presence on the Board will be of great benefit to the University.

I look forward to hearing from you and to discussing this matter further.

Sincerely,
[Signature]

Dear S.M. —

I am writing to you in response to the opportunity to serve on the Board of the University. I have had occasion recently to discuss the issue with the President of the Board, and I wish to assure you of my intention to remain on the Board at least until the next annual meeting and perhaps beyond.

I am confident that my continued service will be in the best interests of the University. I have given much thought to the matter, and I believe that my presence on the Board will be of great benefit to the University.

I look forward to hearing from you and to discussing this matter further.

Sincerely,
[Signature]
March 9, 1911.

My dear Sir:-

While in Chicago a few weeks ago, during your absence, I discussed further with Dean Matthews the question of the possible affiliation of our School with the University of Chicago, and I was glad to learn from Dr. Matthews that such an affiliation would be personally agreeable to the members of the Divinity Faculty. Taking it for granted that you were still out of the city, I wrote to Dr. Matthews a couple of weeks ago, about the desirability, from our point of view, of ascertaining the opinions of our Alumni concerning the proposed removal. At a recent meeting of our Board a committee of five was appointed, two of whom are Mr. Morton D. Hull of Chicago, and myself, to consider the desirability and practicability of removal, and at a recent meeting of the committee in Boston it was decided to take steps to consult the Alumni in the premises. The Alumni are to meet in Boston May 15th. Meanwhile it seems desirable to send to them a statement of the nature of the move that is contemplated, and I am proposing to include such a statement a general reference to the kind of cooperation that could be expected from the University. What I am proposing to say is virtually included in these two or three sentences:— "Under an arrangement such as has been suggested, the School would retain its independence, but its Professors would become members of the University of Chicago. Their courses would be open to all students of the University and the Divinity School, who desire to take them. In return the University would offer its full privileges to Meadville students and would, if desired, in case their attainments conformed
Mary E. P. [illegible]

White in Chicago a few weeks ago, passing through your speech

I glanced further with Dean Waitz when the question of the possibility

of affiliation of our school with the University of Chicago and I was

told that you would be interested in affiliation. I am well

aware that you make slight mention of the Divinity faculty

for anything that you make slight mention of the Divinity faculty.

Taking into consideration the opinions of our Alumni concerning the program

removal of a recent meeting of our board a committee of five was

appointed to make the motion of Professor D. Hill of Chicago and myself,

to consider the advisability and practicability of removal and a recent

meeting of the committee in Boston it was agreed to take steps to

conclude the committee as the Divinity to meet in Boston for a meeting of the committee.

Meanwhile it seems advisable to send to the house of the committee in Boston a letter to the president of the

Alumni. The letter the president and the president of the committee to send a statement of a

number of the most striking and comprehensive, and I am proposing to

incline more a statement a general reference to the kind of co-operation

that can be expected from the University. What I am proposing to

lay that to both following in those two or three sentences: "Under

the proposition, the University would become members of the University

of Chicago. Their courses would be open to all students of

the University and the Divinity School, who have to take them. In

the Divinity and the Divinity School, who have to take them. In

return the University would offer the full privileges to Medical

students and would enroll them, if enrolled, in some form at the Medical School.
to its standard, confer upon them its degrees. The relationship would be closely similar to that which has been entered into by Andover by Harvard University."

What is now contemplated is to include some material with regard to the proposed removal in the forthcoming Quarterly Bulletin of our School. It goes out to the Alumni and to about four hundred other ministers, and is sent to the Theological Schools of the country. The committee is not proposing any further publicity at present, and I hasten to consult you in order that I may not in any way compromise you by publishing this much. You understand, of course, that the matter is only at present in its preliminary stages. I look for a favorable verdict on the part of the Alumni, and in general on the part of the churches, by which the School has been supported. It is to be expected, however, that there will be vigorous local opposition and it remains to be seen whether that can be overcome. It will be obviously necessary for me to take up more explicitly with you the terms of the proposed affiliation before any definite steps can be taken.

I received your letter stating that it would be impossible for you to accept our invitation to give the Commencement Address June 1st. I am sorry that this is so, but I avail myself now of your permission to seek your cooperation in securing some other member of the University of Chicago Faculty. The name of Dean Matthews has occurred to me as one whom we should probably like to hear and also that of Professor Henderson who has visited the School before. The date of the Ballou lecture on the day before Commencement is coincident with that of the annual meeting of the Board of Trustees. It is conceivable that questions may arise in the minds of some members
Mr. H.L. P.M. -

I am very pleased to present the following:

The Board of

An Appeal for Harvard University.

What has been contemplated is to produce some material

with regard to the proposal removed in the "Agriculture Quadrant" that

I have outlined to the Alumni and to speak your

interests of our "interest" and is the best to the "Teologic School of

the committee. The committee to not propose any further proposition.

of interest, and I have to commit you in another time. Yet not in

my mind to appreciate your punishment at what.

You may contemplate that your best interest in this matter.

I look for a favorable verdict on the part of the Alumni and to some

way on the part of the committee, by which the school has been warred.

I am to be expected, however, that there will be vigorous

force opposition and if remains to be seen whether that can be over-

come. It will be absolutely necessary for me to take up more explicit

If with you the name of the proposal of the Board of

stop can be taken.

I request your letter stating that it would be im-

possible for you to accept our invitation to give the commencement

A. B. H. -

I am sorry that this is so, but I want you

now of your permission to one of our cooperation in some other

issue of the University of Chicago Board. The name of Dean Mellows

are so named to me as one whom we should property. I like to part any

the start of Professor Henderson who has assisted the Board of Trustees.

The gate of the Hall is because on the Board of Trustees. I

check with that of the monthly meeting of the Board of Trustees. I

To conceive the fact that much may arise in the minds of some students

in
of the Board concerning the proposed removal to Chicago which will be under consideration at that time, on which we might receive light from a representative of the University who had some authority to speak, and in a way to represent you. Since either gentlemen whose name I have mentioned would be acceptable to us, I am wondering if Dr. Matthews as Dean of the Divinity School would be able to speak with greater authority in the premises.

If you will kindly advise me on both of these points, I shall be very grateful.

Sincerely yours

[Signature]

President Harry Pratt Judson, LL.D.
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois
Press. H.L.P., LL.D.

If you will kindly devote me an hour at these points,

I shall be very grateful.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

President Harlan Page Jackson, LL.D.

University of Chicago,

Chicago, Illinois
March 23, 1911

My dear President Southworth:—

Your favor of the 9th of March was duly received. I have held it in order to consult with some of the friends on the matter. I am not prepared at this time to give any details, but should be glad to meet your committee or any members of it to talk the matter over. As you know, the general plan suggested in our previous conversation meets my entire approval, and I have no doubt that we could easily reach a basis. On the suggestion that you make I would only venture the following comments:

1. You say "the professors would become members of the University of Chicago". Of course that would assume this form: they would become members of the general faculty of the University on approval of the Board of Trustees of the University.

2. Your suggestion as to the reciprocal opening of courses of instruction would seem to me entirely satisfactory.

I think it would be better if, as I said above, we could have a
My dear President Scottworth:

Your favor of the 7th of November was duly received. I have had it in order to consult with some of the trustees on the matter. I am not prepared at the time to give any satisfactory answers to the points raised by you in your recent letter. As you know, the General Board is now in session to consider our plans regarding the university's financial affairs and the general welfare. I trust you will permit me to consult with the Executive Committee further before I can give you any answer.

I have no doubt that we can soon settle the points of the University of Chicago. Of course there will be some changes in the faculty, and many become members of the Executive Faculty of the University. Your suggestion as to the receipt of your reappointment of course of instruction would seem to me entirely satisfactory. I think it would be better if we could have a
little conference personally on these matters before any definite action is taken. I think that on the whole the wisdom of the move will commend itself to your alumni and friends. Of course there naturally would be local opposition.

If I can arrange to have Dean Mathews give your Commencement address I shall be glad to do so. Professor Henderson will be abroad.

With sincere regards and best wishes, I am,

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

M. D. Johnson

President F. C. Southworth,
Meadville Theological School,
Meadville, Pennsylvania.
Little consequence personally on space matter before any activity
section is taken. I think that on the whole the wisdom of the move
will command respect to your vision and intensity. Of course there
naturally would be some opposition.

If I can assistance to have been made, give your commandment
asleep I shall be glad to go on. Professor Henderson will be
applied.

With sincere regards and best wishes, I am,

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Prentice T. C. Sonnenschein
Meantville Training School
Meantville, Pennsylvania]
March 28, 1911.

My dear President Judson:-

Your favor of March 23d was duly received and in accordance with your suggestion I have slightly modified the printed statement which is to be sent out to the Alumni. Of course I could not go into the matter in detail in this general statement in view especially of the fact that I had not gone into the matter in detail with you. Obviously before the meeting of our Board it will be necessary to consider various aspects of the question somewhat more fully. Doubtless I shall be in Chicago before that time and in that case I will try to arrange to see you with one or two other members of the committee.

Thank you for taking up with Professor Matthews the question of a Commencement address at our School. I have a letter from him signifying his willingness to give this address and am writing him today in the premises.

Hoping for a favorable outcome of this plan which I am convinced will mean for the future of our School, I remain

Sincerely yours

President Harry Pratt Judson, LL.D.

University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois
My dear President Dodge:

Your letter of March 29 was with me on Tuesday and in accordance with your suggestion I have drafted the

printing statement which is to be sent out to the Alumni. Of course I cannot put into the matter in detail to this general statement I have not gone into the matter in view especially to the fact that I had not enough time in detail with you. Obviously, the meeting of our Board of Trustees will be necessary to consider various aspects of the American School. I will send full and complete materials to Chicago later that time and if that case I will try to arrange to see you with one or two other members of the committee.

Thank you for taking up with Professor Wettmars the discussion of a Commencement address at our School. I have a letter from him expressing the willingness to give the address and I am writing him today to the President: Hoping for a favorable outcome of this plan I remain

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

President Walter Fairley Dodge, M.D.

University of Chicago,

Chicago, Illinois
March 24, 1911.

My dear Sir:

I wrote you a letter on March 9th of which the enclosed is a copy. Not having heard from you in the premises, I thought my communication might have miscarried, and hence I venture to address you again. I find that on one or two other occasions at least recently I have failed to receive letters which were said to have been addressed to me here.

Sincerely yours

President Harry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois
My dear Sir:

I wrote you a letter on March 6th at which time I understood
I am sorry not having heard from you in the meantime. I thought my
communication might have miscarried and here I write to ask again

You say I find that on one or two other occasions I seem to have
sent you a letter to receive letters which were sent to have been

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

President Head P. J. Jackson
University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois
December 12, 1923.

My dear Mr. Southworth:

I am much interested to learn of the decision of your Board of Trustees to remove to Chicago. Their decision commends itself to me as a wise one, and I hope you will find it so as years go on.

The University certainly will be glad to do what it can to make your work here profitable.

Very truly yours,

Mr. F. C. Southworth,
Meadville Theological School,
Meadville, Penna.

EDB:CC
December 15, 1926

My dear Mr. Condon;

I am much interested to learn of Dr. G. T. Bowman's resignation as President of the University of Chicago and I hope you will find it so as you go on.

I knew him as a wise one and I hope you will find him to be wise as well.

Very truly yours,
President E. D. Burton,

University of Chicago,

Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:

I take this my first opportunity to notify you that our Board of Trustees at their meeting last week voted by a large majority to move the School to Chicago, the removal to take place in the Autumn of 1924, or as soon thereafter as may be practicable. You of course understand that to vote to remove the School is not to remove it and that there are liable to be legal tangles to straighten out before the removal is actually effected, indeed it is possible that insuperable difficulties may be found. Competent legal advice, however, is to the effect that the removal is possible, even though it may be delayed.

Thanking you again for the light you have thrown on our problem and for your friendly attitude, I remain,

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Dear Mr. Button

University of Chicago

Office, III.

I take this my first opportunity to notify you that our Board of Trustees at their meeting last week voted on a large majority to move the School to Chicago, the remnant to take place in the Autumn of 1859, as soon as possible. You of course understand that to vote to remove the School is not to remove it and that there are limits to be.
October 13, 1923.

F. C. Southworth,
Meadville, Pa.

Can myself see your Committee my office
ten thirty Tuesday? Conference with
Divinity Faculty could be arranged for
afternoon.

Ernest D. Burton.

Dean Nathan occupied.
THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

November 15, 1952

I trust that you will submit this report

for review.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Henry D. Peterson
RECEIVED AT 1023½ E. 63RD ST., CHICAGO, ILL. HYDE PARK 4321.
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CAN OUR COMMITTEE SEE YOU TUESDAY SIXTEENTH TEN THIRTY AM

F C SOUTHWORTH
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Day of Lloyd George's arrival in Chicago.

Look at letter of C. to L. G. - Well this conflict.
October 8, 1923

My dear President Southworth:

In reply to yours of October 5

I telegraphed you on Saturday as follows:

"October fifteenth or sixteenth agreeable to
us for conference in Chicago. Better will follow soon."

I am glad now to put into writing

a statement of the situation as it presents itself to us

here at the University.

Should the Meadville Theological
School decide to remove to Chicago and to erect buildings

in the immediate vicinity of the University on land owned

by itself, the fact of such juxtaposition would give to

the institution the following advantages:

1. The libraries of the University would be open to

members of the school and faculty by virtue of their being citizens

of the city of Chicago, and the juxtaposition of these

libraries to the school would make use of them easy.

The privileges of citizens of Chicago are not, indeed,

identical with those given to members of the University,

but the former are not inconsiderable, and we should take

pleasure in giving to your faculty especially, the

prerogatives of "the most favored nation." I enclose a
October 8, 1945

The great Japanese Government:

I hereby inform you of the following:

October 8th was the date of the signature of the Instrument of Acceptance of the Unconditional Surrender, as for cooperation in D.O.I., which will be followed soon.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.

I am very much to the point with an announcement of the intention of the Japanese Government to accept the Instrument of the United States and the Western powers, to which the Japanese Government was requested to cooperate.
privileges of "the most favored nation." I enclose a copy of the rules of the library, from which you can see the precise situation. I call special attention to Rule 220 and to Rule 40. Respecting the latter, I may assure you that the requirement of the fee would in all cases be waived.

2. The public lectures of the University, which are numerous and increasingly important, would be open to your faculty and students, as to other citizens of Chicago, and as in the case of the library, juxtaposition would make the privilege a real and valuable one.

3. Your location in the immediate vicinity of the University will make membership in the Quadrangle Club impracticable for the members of your faculty, and though members of the University have precedence in eligibility, the constitution providing that 65 per cent of the members of the Club must always be from the members of the University, yet residents of the neighborhood, when elected, have the same privileges in the Club as members of the University Faculty. I am confident that those of you who have spent a summer at Chicago will agree with me in that the opportunities of association with scholarly men
practically of the most theoretical problems. I know you can save the problem of the ladder of the hypothesis. I know you can see the problem of the ladder of the hypothesis, I don't actually mention I want to write an essay on how to use the ladder of the hypothesis. I want you to use the ladder of the hypothesis. I want you to use the ladder of the hypothesis.

In any case, be merry.

To the problem of the universality, which we suppose and which is in the case of the problem of the universality, it is necessary to have the ladder of the universality. A very important one.

If you're interested in the universality, you might be interested in the universality.

I want to thank the people of the universality, and I want to thank the people of the universality.
of the quadrangle Club is one of the largest advantages of association with the University.

4. Students of the Meadville Divinity School would be admitted to the University on the same terms as other applicants. But again your location in the immediate vicinity of the University would make admission to the University and the use of University facilities much more practicable than if the school were in another city or in a distant part of the city.

Students of the Meadville Divinity School desiring to take a degree from the University would receive credit for work done in the Meadville School on the same terms on which credit is given to students coming to us, as they frequently do, from other institutions.

It will be, of course, evident to you from the preceding statement that what the University has in mind is not formal affiliation or a contractual relation, but a friendly relationship between the two institutions - especially between the Faculty of the Divinity School of the University and the Faculty of the Meadville Theological School. Such an arrangement by which each institution would maintain its own corporate independence and gain the advantage of juxtaposition and the sixteenth and the seventeenth and as I am a member of the Committee to receive him, it will perhaps be better to avoid these two days for your visit.
and friendly relationship, seems to us, after our experience of thirty years, to be better adapted to achieve the purposes originally in President Harper's mind when he suggested a plan of affiliation, than anything more formal and contractual in character.

I am assuming that if your removal to Chicago should take place, the present arrangement between the Divinity School and the Meadville School would automatically terminate, the presupposition of it being the location of the Meadville School in another city.

I should be glad to correspond with you further about this matter, or to discuss it with you and the representatives of your School in Chicago on the fifteenth or the sixteenth, as you may desire.

Very sincerely yours,

F. C. Southworth
Meadville Theological Seminary
Meadville, Pennsylvania

P.S. Inasmuch as Mr. Lloyd George is to be in Chicago on the sixteenth and the seventeenth and as I am a member of the Committee to receive him, it will perhaps be better to avoid these two days for your visit.
October 6, 1923.

F. C. Southworth,
Meadville, Pa.

October 15th or 16th agreeable to us
for conference in Chicago. Letter will
follow soon.

ERNEST D. BURTON
Oct. 5, 1923.

President Ernest D. Burton,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:

I am sending you a copy of a letter I addressed to you a week ago in case it may by some mischance have failed to reach you. I take the precaution of writing again, not in order to hurry you regarding a matter which perhaps requires deliberation but in order that I may ascertain from you as early as possible whether it seems practicable for you to meet our committee at the time I mentioned or perhaps a day later, Oct. 16th. One of the committee lives in Chicago and another in Milwaukee and as we are all busy I have to plan for such a meeting some time in advance. It will be a favor to me, therefore, if you will wire me at my expense on receipt of this in regard to the time of meeting.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

FCS/ER
Encl.
I am sending you a copy of a letter I addressed to you a week ago in case it may come too late for you to reply to me. I take the liberty of mentioning a matter which has come to my attention in connection with the matter which has been brought to the attention of the committee to which you were referred. I am unable to attend to the matter personally at the time I mentioned to you, but I may be able to do so if you will meet me at the office of the committee in Chicago on Saturday morning. I will be there at 10 o'clock, and we will discuss the matter at the time of meeting.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Sept. 27, 1923.

President Ernest D. Burton,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.

Dear Dr. Burton:

It was learned during the summer from Dean Mathews that it is now uncertain whether the Divinity School of the University of Chicago would welcome Meadville into its group of affiliated seminaries in case the trustees of the School were to decide to make application for admission, and this uncertainty was communicated by Professor Foote to the committee appointed by our Board to investigate the relocation of the School. At the request of the committee Mr. Reese and Dr. Slaten have conferred with you about the matter and brought to the Board meeting yesterday the information that a form of cooperation was in your judgment possible between our School and the University of Chicago, which might bring to Meadville the advantages of affiliation in case it were to remove to Chicago. I was made chairman of a committee to take up with you the possibility of effecting such a relationship.

I am, therefore, taking this first opportunity to ask you, first, whether you would be good enough to indicate in a general way,—in case Mr. Reese and Dr. Slaten have understood you correctly,—what general forms such a proposed relationship might take, and, secondly, if in your judgment such a relationship is possible whether the committee could see and confer with you at an early date. It will be possible for me to be in Chicago about Oct. 15th. Could we meet you at that time and would that date allow you sufficient opportunity to discuss the matter with the Divinity Faculty? It might be of advantage to me before making the trip to Chicago to be able to take up the matter with our Faculty here after receiving from you an outline of the proposed plan of cooperation as it lies in your mind.

It is necessary for me to make a definite report to an adjourned meeting of our Board to be held in November.

Sincerely yours,

F. C. Southworth
Dee. Dr. Button:

President, Trustees & Faculty,

University of Iowa:

Office, II.

Dear Dee. Button:

It was a ponded privilege to your attention at the University of Chicago and to welcome you to this university. I was pleased, therefore, to receive your appointment to the committee on the granting of the degree of doctor of letters at the University of Chicago. At the request of the committee, the matter of the granting of the degree to you, John, is now under consideration. It is my belief that you are the best qualified for the degree of doctor of letters at the University of Chicago, with which merit and to which I am confident the committee of the University of Chicago will accord you the degree of doctor of letters.

I am, therefore, taking this opportunity to ask you, John, whether you would be willing to have your name placed in the hands of the committee on the granting of the degree of doctor of letters. If you agree, I will be pleased to forward to you the necessary form to be filled in and returned to me.

Yours;

[Signature]

Secretary, Comm.
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INTERVIEW WITH YOU BY REPRESENTATIVE OF MEADVILLE'SCHOOL URGENTLY

DESIRED WITHIN NEXT FEW DAYS CAN APPOINTMENT WITH YOU BE MADE

EITHER IN CHICAGO OR SOME PLACE IN THE EAST NEAR NEW YORK OR BOSTON

PLEASE ANSWER COLLECT HOTEL TAFT NEWHAVEN

MORTON D HULG.
Telegram

Norton D. Hull

Taft House, New Haven

Glad to represent in Meridale her school, preferably after October first, when Dean Matthews returns, but can be earlier if preferred any day before Sept. 24th.

Ernest D. Burton
September 20th, 1971.

Dear Shailer Matthews Day Letter

Mormon, Maine.

Norton D. Hull wire interviewing with representation in Methodist School urgently desired within next few days. Replying see him here his convenience but preferably after next, at first. Please write any suggestion.

STF -- Emmanuel Church matter --
progressing Trustees, authorized.
Great Committee. Write me your general attitude and any suggestion.

Ernest V. Burton
The University of Chicago
Office of the President

Carbon of memorandum

gone to Dean Harris

Matthews, 9-21-23.

on the south east corner of Woodlawn a
MEMORANDUM OF INTERVIEW

September 20, 1923

With Mr. Curtis W. Reese, representing the Meadville Theological School, and Dr. A. W. Slaten, who evidently came as a friend at court.

Mr. Reese stated that the Board of Trustees at Meadville was to meet on Tuesday and would undoubtedly vote to remove their school to Chicago and proceed to erect a building on their property on the south east corner of Woodlawn and 57th; that they had a $1,000,000 endowment, and that they had a tentative offer of $100,000 toward the erection of a new building; provided the University of Chicago was prepared to enter into a contract of affiliation with the School. He added that they had been surprised to learn from Mr. Foote, as a result of his conversation with Dr. Mathews, that there was some hesitation on the part of the University in making such a contract.

I expressed great regret at the absence of Dr. Mathews, saying that he was much more familiar with the situation than I but that there were obstacles to affiliation, as they had learned from Mr. Foote. I emphasized the fact that the University now has more applicants for admission as students than it can receive, and more applicants from schools for affiliation than it can accept; that the University now recognized its function to be to maintain a faculty of very high rank and a student body of similarly high quality; that we were constantly dismissing students who a few years ago we should have retained without question, and refusing admission to students of the same class; that it was our purpose to make admission to our faculty more difficult and withdrawal from it easier, with a view to having a faculty of men of
exceptional ability.

I then stated the obstacles to affiliation with Meadville were: (a) the fear on our part that the class of students which the school would wish us to accept under terms of affiliation would be below the grade of those we would be willing to take; (b) that the consequence of this would be, that inasmuch as they have few students now the application of our restrictions would still further reduce the number to a point where affiliation would be, from the sheer size of the school, inadvisable. I pointed out what had happened in the case of the Ryder Divinity School in which there were now no professors and no students. I said that we did not wish to repeat this experience. (c) I indicated that this very situation would tend to create administrative difficulties, and stated that that had actually been the case already. When asked specifically as to what I meant by this, I said that there was constant pressure upon us to receive students whom we could not receive under our standards.

I did not specifically mention our unwillingness to receive members of their faculty into ours as one of the obstacles to affiliation, but it was made perfectly clear that they would not be so received.

When asked whether there was some other form of arrangement which might be entered into, I suggested an arrangement similar to that made by the Y.M.C.A. College. Mr. Reese evidently regarded this as not a particularly happy example, and asked if some other school might be named. I mentioned McCormick and Garrett.
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More specifically, I suggested that there was no obstacle to their proceeding to remove their school to Chicago and erecting it in the vicinity of the University; that the very contiguity of location would carry with it certain advantages. As citizens of Chicago and members of an adjacent school their faculties, students would have the use of our libraries and easy attendance upon our public lectures; that their faculty would stand on their own footing as individuals—conceivably they might, as individuals, become members of our faculty, but not by virtue of membership in their school; that their students, in applying for credit with us would be treated as students from any other school, namely would be given credit if the school maintained sufficiently high standards. It would therefore be up to them to determine what credit they would give our students, and indirectly what credit we would give theirs.

In reply to the question whether there professors would feel themselves outclassed, I said I thought there was no reason for that, but made it clear that they could not expect faculty standing with us, and that their students would get no credits with us except as individuals. He asked if there could be some sort of contract of affiliation. I said, "Not of affiliation." But suggested that there might of course be a memorandum of the privileges which their school would enjoy.

In reply to the question whether their students would have free tuition with us, I said that I thought not, but that that might be considered.
We elasticity! I encourage you to consider the importance of the University at which we are located.

In the view of the importance of higher education and training, we must always be aware of the need for quality and excellence. It is crucial to ensure that our institutions of higher learning provide students with the best possible education.

Furthermore, it is essential to foster a culture of innovation and creativity. This can be achieved by encouraging students to think critically and to explore new ideas. By doing so, we can help to prepare them for the challenges of the future.

In summary, I believe that our institutions of higher learning have a critical role to play in shaping the future of society. It is our responsibility to ensure that they are equipped to meet the needs of our students and our society.

Thank you.
Mr. Slaten then asked the question whether there would be any other obstacles than these, and I said, "No." And Mr. Reese asked in particular whether the theological character of the school was an objection, and I said that that might operate to aggravate the other elements of the situation, but that was not the ground on which we were dealing with the case.
The effect upon us of the decision we have just taken.

For the time being, we will focus on the immediate impact of the decision. As the situation evolves, we will reassess the priorities and strategies accordingly. For now, we need to address the immediate concerns and anticipate any potential issues that may arise.
May 22, 1913

My dear Burton,

I have just written you to the annual officers that I had given them the Emmanuel direction, but did not favor the Medville.

I had a long talk over this matter with Firth. Rev. Ellis and H. Babcock. I also talked with Firth. You will recall that I consulted you with the idea that it was not advisable and you agreed with me that it was not advisable to enter into any full affiliation. Furthermore, I wrote a careful letter to Firth and to others about the matter saying that no action could be taken until the Faculty of the Div. Sch. could consider it after Oct. 1. Firth wrote Davis to see his reaction. He agreed with us.

There is no reason for their trying to hurry matters now. I can see no reason for changing our decision. After fully explaining matters to Rev. Ellis, he gave the impression that he was not kind to me not theological but admin.
1. There is no question of teaching methods. Some of our Faculty are definitely more radical than theirs. So was there any question as to the quality of their teachers or of the existing arrangement. (That, however, must be put in.) Smithwick said we could no longer be the students sick at pleasure from non-Divinity courses. He acceded.

2. We felt that no school should be affiliated that was not on a graduate basis. Their, Health, Smithwick agreed. But they said Nashville would not do on a grad. basis. I said this was a mere how they could ever have more than 20 students. Smithwick agreed.

3. We did not want to multiply small theologies. We did need schools in affiliation with us. Elliot secured the importance of this, especially when I insisted on his own policy.

4. I drew the distinction between the intimacy of affiliation, such as we have with Chicago, Notre Dame, and cooperation such as we have with Mc Cormick, Garrett & especially YMCA College. I compared the situation to the relation between Harvard Div. Ed. & Andover.
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I am pleased unexpectedly now exists between Mr. E. Garrett.

If I have to undertake Oct 1, but if they can't wait till then, I suppose I could cut my vacation short — except I have to teach as well as I can. But I have tonight carried that, I suppose. But I don't think it won't be necessary! But even dearest hope it won't be necessary! But even apples and Wellsvile are less important than the Dr. &c. and a certain President whom I love as well as they.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

How I wish you could be here the next two weeks. Can't you slip over by the C.P.R. to Greenville? I'll see that they take you up!
The University of Chicago
The Divinity School

The one hand and with Cambridge (etc.) in concert with the
other. Elliot wrote.

5. I said we should welcome Meadville students
as individuals (subject to our regulations).

6. I also talked rather freely with Fort & Elliot
as to the dangers of psychological inhibitions and
so & possible friction (in their at least) between
Mead & us in the intimate cooperation which
we share so admirably with C.T.S. Does this come
in view too easily it would be for friction to arise
to any harm indeed less pronounced. And
the common kuhon less pronounced, but
not the real consideration. Eq. Southworth does not
like our Doxology. Should we change it?

7. The main Inst he situation the more I am
convinced that we shall have one school of
theology and that in the related as a mixture of
faculties and different institutions. In other
words, I am very cautious about further
affiliation with theological schools. The Cotton
& Meadville would be different, for it would be
an addition for a special field.

8. I hold Foot's view that if Meadville came in contact
in the same position as any independent, unaffiliated
school (like those mentioned above). To be understood
is.

And now as man to man, I am inclined to think it wise
to help us to have Meadville affiliated. They will
explain the relation and it will show our connec-
tions, just as this critical time with the evangelicals
for us. A modernist is one thing. A Unitarian
force. A denominational - even sectarian - existence.
ness and (in some cases) exomism. This is no future
for them. They can't get first rate men except
from disaffected evangelicals. They have hardly a
college graduate in their number. To add them
to our long list of co-working youth will be a
real injury. And this is dusted on the Davis
who thinks such affiliation would injure his
honors while raising money. The future lies
with our type of evangelicals not with an
President Ernest W. Butter
University of Chicago
Chicago

Dear Sir: Please permit me to
make this belated acknowledgment of
your telegram addressed to me
on November 3. The matter
calling for discussion on behalf
of the Riverville School is of
some urgency. I have asked
Mr. Curtis W. Page of Chicago
to call on you in regard to it.
Perhaps he will have time
so before this letter reaches you.
Thanking you for your courtesy
in this matter. I am

Yours very truly

Morton D. Hull
I am pleased to hear from you. It seems that a lot has happened in the past year. I am very interested in the development of the field of mathematics and I am sure that your work will be of great importance. I would be very happy to have the opportunity to meet with you and discuss your research. Please let me know if this is possible.

The work that you have done on mathematical logic is fascinating. I am particularly interested in your recent paper on the consistency of the continuum hypothesis. I believe that this work will have a significant impact on the field of mathematics. I am looking forward to reading your paper and I hope that you will continue to make important contributions to the field.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if there is anything else I can do to help. I am very much looking forward to meeting with you. I am certain that we will have a productive discussion.

Sincerely,

[Signature]