ORCHESTRA SEEKS NEW HOME

Thomas Organization May Get Quar-
ters in Tremont House Building.

Negotiations between the directors of the Chicago Orchestra and the trustees of Northwestern University are under way which, if consummated, will provide a permanent home for the musical organization in the Tremont House property at the southeast corner of Dearborn and Lake streets. At the last meeting of the university trustees the executive committee was instructed to arrange in the building for a fireproof auditorium to seat about 2,500 persons, provided the work could be done within the cost limit of $200,000. Investigation since that time shows that to provide such an auditorium and to afford sufficient room at the same time for the various university departments would cost $450,000. This estimate was submitted to the executive committee of the board at a meeting held Wednesday night and the whole matter was referred to the board, which will meet next Tuesday. In the event the auditorium project is carried out additional stories will be added to at least a part of the building. When it became known that the auditorium project had been considered favorably Central Church, Rev. Frank Gunsaulus pastor, made an offer for the use of the auditorium on Sundays, and it was tentatively accepted. The Thomas Orchestra directors then opened negotiations for use of the auditorium at such times as they desired. It is estimated by the trustees who favor the construction of the auditorium that an annual income of $40,000 could be derived from it.
Hereford Released Under Supersedeas.
PEORIA, Ill., Jan. 16.—Edward L. Hereford, the druggist convicted of perjury a month ago and who has since been confined in the Peoria jail, was released on $5,000 bail to-day by a supersedeas from the Supreme Court, pending a hearing of the case next month. Hereford perjured himself in attempting to obtain a divorce from his wife that he might marry Cora Strubel. The Strubel woman was also indicted for perjury, but has not yet been tried.

Carlsbad

For five centuries the Carlsbad Springs have been acknowledged by the medical faculty to be the best and most effective specific for all ailments of the liver, catarrhal affections of the stomach, bile, bile pigment, gallstones, temporary and habitual constipation, etc.

Millions of people all over the world have been cured by the use of this celebrated spring. It acts mildly without pain, and regulates the secretions of the stomach. The

Carlsbad Sprudel Salt
Chicago, Jan. 19, 1902.

My dear Mr. President:-

What a pity our dream of a University building down town is not ready to invite the Orchestra to come to us.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
number of things to be considered carefully; and I should be glad to learn what your understanding is on the point I have mentioned.

With great regard,

Sincerely yours,

J. H. Wimmen

Northwestern University Law School.

Dear Dr. Harper,

Thinking over our conversation of yesterday, two things occur to me which I find I ought to have mentioned.

In contemplating any change, I should want to be certain that I could keep my subject of Torts to this branch of the law I have given my best time and thought to. Evidence. I have a large collection of materials (the result of twelve years' work) and have published half a dozen articles of research.
in it. The profession I think, has given some recognition to them; they are respected and relied on, for instance, in Blackstone and Matthew's authoritative History of the English Law.

I should not care to break off my work in this line, and lose both the benefit of my past labours and experience and the satisfaction of going deeper into the subject. I have no reason to suppose that any of my professional brethren whom you named to me would care to claim that field, as one of them, as far as I know, has ever taught in it. But, to avoid any risk, I should want to have this much understood beforehand, and it seems to me nothing more than fair, for the remaining courses, I should of course have certain preferences but I should be quite willing in those respects to trust to mutual concession and courtesy for the chance of returning the other subjects in which I have made some headway.

The other matter is the date of the beginning of the engagement proposed by you. My present appointment (as is customary here) dates from Sept. 1. You named Oct. 1 as the date of the opening of the new School, but I believe you did not specify the date from which the salary of the appointee would begin. I should naturally wish not to suffer an interruption of salary.

In attempting to reach a decision, I find that there are a
March 31st, 1902.

Professor John H. Wigmore,

1624 Hinman Avenue, Evanston, Illinois.

My dear Mr. Wigmore:

Your letter of the thirtieth instant has been received. The only statement that I have ever made out with reference to the distribution of work arranged for you to take both Evidence and Torts, and I see no reason why this should be changed. We may therefore call this matter settled.

It would of course be entirely feasible to have your salary begin December first. It is customary to begin one's salary at the termination of one's engagement with the former institution.

Hoping that with these points settled everything is settled, and that I may have a telephone message from you to that effect, I remain

Very truly yours,

W. R. Harper
Professor John H. Minto,

1234 Hingham Avenue, Hamburton, Illionia.

My dear Mr. Minto:

Your letter of the 14th inst. has been received. The only statement I am able to make at this time is that I have been unable to obtain release to return to my native city. Even I see no reason why this statement be published. We may therefore call this letter electric.

It would be of course be annoying to you to have your services perturbed during this period. I am therefore prepared to perform one's service at the same time with the other public duties.
Northwestern University Law School.

April 24, 1902.

Professor John H. Wigmore,
Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.

My dear Professor Wigmore:

Your letter of April first was received this afternoon at four o'clock. I certainly appreciate the embarrassment of your position. It ought to be said, however, that others have occupied a similar position before you, and not very long ago one of these was your own present self.

I appreciate the fact that you desire a longer time for decision, at the same time you will appreciate the fact that it is necessary for us to reach conclusions within the immediate future.

I note your suggestion with reference to salary. I regret to say, however, that the salary has been fixed by the Board of Trustees, and that I have no power to change it. I have no expectation, as I said to you in my former conversation, that it will be changed at present. I am hoping that perhaps you may see your way to give me your personal decision to-morrow if possible, before the meeting of our committee, but if it is necessary to hold the matter over a little longer, I cannot reasonably interpose an objection.

Everything is moving forward very pleasantly for us, and I am hoping very soon to be able to have a meeting of the new faculty. Mr. Beale is planning to be with us week after next.

W. R. Harper
April 8th, 1903

My dear Professor Wilson:

I received your letter of April 7th and now writing
in attestation of your letter. I certainly appreciate the expression of your position. It only to be said that the offer have occurred to me of a similar position before you and not until now ago one of those was your own pleasure. I appreciate the fact that you gave a longer time for decision.

I wrote your suggestion within the immediate future. I regret to say,
however, that it may not be possible for the Board of Trustees and that I have no power to change if. I have no expectation that it will be changed at present. I am hoping that perhaps you may see your way to give me your permission to continue in the position of Chairman of the committee, and if it be necessary to hold the matter open a little longer, I cannot reasonably introduce an objection.

Thanking you in advance for your kindness to me, and I am
looking forward with pleasure to your meeting of the new faculty. With
Best wishes

W.R. Harper
Northwestern University Law School.

1824 Hinman Avenue
Chicago, Ill.
April 1, 1902

My dear Professor:

This afternoon I received your announcement of your position of theehicle with me on my return from the city; and I am glad to learn that your understanding is in accord with my own on the points I inquired about.

Today President James had appointed a meeting with me in regard to some matters of school administration, and I felt that I could not, in courtesy to my chief, remain silent in regard to everything hoping very soon to be able to have a meeting of the Council week after next.

W. R. Harper
your proposition; accordingly I notified him of it. He requested me to refrain for a few days from making a decision; on the ground that our Trustees, in view of the crisis which would be precipitated by the disruption of our Faculty, might definitely bring themselves to undertake immediately on the school’s behalf certain larger plans which would be easily feasible if a sum of money were raised, and would give the strongest inducements to the Faculty as a whole to remain intact. Some days would probably be required for consulting the Trustees, and I could not fairly refuse Dr. James’ request, particularly as the plans in question would affect not my personal interest merely, but the general future of the school.

Just what time would elapse before I should hear from this consultation, I cannot tell. I realize, however, that this delay may not be consistent with your convenience; and I hardly know what course to take. Perhaps it might make my decision easier, if it is necessary to reasonably interpose an objection.

Everything is moving forward very pleasantly for us, and I am hoping very soon to be able to have a meeting of the new faculty. Mr. Beale is planning to be with us week after next.

W. R. Harper
At 174, 10th St.

April 25, 1805

If I knew whether you would feel at liberty to accept the salary ($6000) which I named to you.

There are many ties that bind me to this School; and this must be my excuse for hesitation.

With great regard,

P.S. I am yours,

[Signature]

I am sending a copy of the letter to the Trustees, and I wish to make it known that I am ready to resign if necessary, for the good of the School.

I have been asked by the Board of Trustees to remain, and I have given my consent, but I cannot promise to do so if the matter is not settled by the next meeting of the Board.

I am writing to you with a view to a meeting of the faculty.

Mr. Harper
Dear Dr. Harper,

Your reply of April 2 has just come to my hands. I am going to take advantage of your permission, and delay my decision. President James has the Pharmacy School's Commencement to engage his time today, and I could not even obtain an interview with him.
I owe to him, and to my good and loyal friends on the Board, the courtesy of an opportunity for consultation.

Certainly, at the earliest possible moment, I shall communicate with you. I appreciate your kind consideration in all that concerns this offer, and I regret to be the cause of this delay.

With much regard,

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

John H. Wiggins
Dear Dr. Harper,

At four o'clock today I accepted the offer of President James, and immediately telegraphed you to that effect. I may add that my decision involves (as I have already mentioned to you) a serious pecuniary sacrifice; and that the chief motives on the other side of the balance were a sense of duty to the school and my friends and the prospect of immediate consecration in an ideal home of my own.

I want to assure you how...
deeply I appreciate the compliment you have paid me, and how much I respect the enterprise in which I so nearly had the honor of sharing. It has been a privilege to come within the influence of your compelling genius and personal power, and I shall always treasure the memory. Perhaps some day, when present conditions have altered, I might not feel so strongly the restraining presence of duty.

With every good wish for the new institution, and with the deepest appreciation of your courtesies,

Believe me,

With great regard,

Sincerely yours,

John H. Wigmore
President William R. Harper,
Chicago, Illinois.

My dear Dr. Harper:—

I am obliged to you for letting me read the enclosed letter from Mr. Heckman. It sets things in a new light and gives me considerable information.

I knew nothing whatever about the matter until the incident was practically closed. I need not say to you that I do not sympathize with the view which my friend, Professor Wigmore, took. It is, of course, a great testimony to your eminence in this community. It is one of the penalties of greatness that some people fear to associate with it because they may be cast in the background. Such is life!

Faithfully yours,

[Signature]
October 16, 1960

President William A. Harbert
Chicago, Illinois

My dear Mr. Harbert:

I am happy to have you testified before the

entire Board of Trustees of the University

to express my opinion about the matter until the

incident was procedurally cleared. I need not say to you that I

agree with you in principle, but I am not sympathetic with the view

that it is in the best interest of the university to

discharge a faculty member because of his presence

in a community.
COMMITTEE OF ORGANIZATION
OF A
National Conference on Criminal Law and Criminology
In Celebration of the Fiftieth Anniversary of
Northwestern University School of Law

Northwestern University Building
87 East Lake Street
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
April 13, 1909.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

Gov. Charles S. Deneen
President A. W. Harris
Charles B. Alling, Esq.
Professor J. R. Angell
James J. Barbour, Esq.
Professor Harry A. Bigelow
Dr. Sanger Brown
William H. Cruzen
Jacob M. Dickinson, Esq.
Herbert L. Friedman, Esq.
Judge Stephen A. Foster
Professor Ernst Freund
Dr. Rudolph Holmes
Professor W. E. Hofkhlas
Thomas M. Hoyne, Esq.
Dr. Joseph G. Kriener
Judge George Kersten

President Harry Pratt Judson,
University of Chicago,
Chicago, Ill.,

Dear Mr. Judson:—

I write on behalf of a Committee here to ask if there is any likelihood that your University would be willing to cooperate with us in arranging a series of addresses in January-February, 1910, by Hon. Enrico Ferri, the eminent Italian advocate and criminologist. The Matter comes up in this way:

Next June will be held here in Chicago, a National Conference on Criminal Law and Criminology; the enclosed preliminary prospectus will show how wide a circle of scientific and practical interests are involved, and how strongly the bench and bar in particular are represented. In September, 1910, the same subject will be further brought into prominence by the Eighth International Prison Congress, which meets (for the first time in America) at Washington. Now the organizing committee here are deeply concerned to help the Science of Criminology and the revision of our Criminal Law and Procedure; and, as lawyers, we see well enough that a vital step is the arousing of active interest in our own profession at large. For this purpose we plan a third measure, which in the interim between the National Conference next June and the International Congress in September, 1910, will increase the interest, especially among the students of law, sociology, and psychology at Universities.
Committee of Organization

National Conference on Criminal Law and Criminology

Humboldt Park Armory

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

April 18, 1893

Dear Sir: Assume...

I write to recall a Committee meeting to take place at 10 A.M., and to express my regret that you have not already received the committee's report on the subject of the conference. The report will be submitted to the conference on March 15th, and I trust that you will be able to attend.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

International Union of Scientific Workers for the Prevention of Crime

Further proposals for the prevention of crime and for the international union of scientific workers for the prevention of crime, will be discussed by the committee on Monday, March 15th. The committee will also consider the report of the committee on the prevention of crime and for the international union of scientific workers for the prevention of crime.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

The Executive Committee

International Union of Scientific Workers for the Prevention of Crime

[Address]
We have secured the consent of Enrico Ferri to come to Chicago to give half a dozen lectures in English on Criminology at this University. But in order to extend the just influence of this movement over as wide a field as possible, we desire to arrange for him a tour between here and the Atlantic Coast, so that he can give one (or more) addresses at each important University.

The strong points about Ferri are: (1) He is an advocate of nearly thirty years’ standing at the bar of Rome. His practice has been chiefly in criminal cases. He is the author of standard treatises on Homicide and on Suicide. He would speak, not only as a scientist, but also as a practicing lawyer to lawyers. Only such a man would ever get a full hearing from our profession or would really influence them. (2) He is professor of criminal law in the Royal University at Rome. I attended one of his lectures there last Spring, and can testify to his interest and success as a lecturer. (3) He has been a member of the Italian Parliament for twenty years past. His reputation as a magnetic orator has been confirmed to us from several different quarters. (4) He is today the most eminent criminologist in Europe, all things considered. We have made elaborate inquiries about this, in connection with the projected Conference; and there can be no doubt. He has published numerous scientific works on Criminal Sociology and lectured at several Universities, notably at Paris. He occupies a middle position, between the extremists of the Lombroso School on one hand and the German extremists on the other. Last year, when I was in Italy, having this errand in mind, I consulted Lombroso, and he unqualifiedly named Ferri as the best man. I believe that those who know would unite in saying that the best European to bring to American lawyers and scientists a message of what is being done in Europe on these lines is Ferri.

This being so, we have just exchanged informal arrangements with him; and I seek now to perfect the rest of the plan. Our University will pay him a substantial honorarium, enough in itself to cover all his expenses and repay his interruption to practice during the absence necessary to give his lectures in this city, i.e. about six weeks. But we can hardly expect him to stay on in this country for the purpose of these other addresses without making some arrangements to cover amply his and his
As expenses. We believe that the fairest and simplest way (as customary, I believe in such cases) is to fix some arbitrary honorarium which will average a fair sum on the safe side. We have taken $100 as such a sum.

What we therefore desire to ask is whether your University would desire to arrange for a public address, under these circumstances, some time next January or February. We ought to know before May 1st if possible. The precise form of the occasion is not now material, a lecture, a public address, a set speech after a formal dinner, or the like. We are simply anxious to arrange for the widest possible hearing for this eminent scientists and lawyer, and for the greatest possible interest in the important subject which he represents.

After replies are received from the various quarters, we could arrange the details as to dates, etc. We would also supply a photograph, biographical sketch, etc., for use in the press, and in fact negotiate all details if desired. We should however not receive the honorarium, which would be paid to the visitor himself, after arrival at the place.

We trust that you will appreciate the practical and valuable service which can be rendered to this great subject by this undertaking, and will be enabled to respond favorably.

Very truly yours,

John W. [Signature]
Dear Mr. Wigmore:

Your favor of the 13th of April should have been answered long since. The delay was owing to my absence from Chicago, Ill.

Last year your University would have completed the city for a part of the time. Our plans for lectures for next year are pretty well completed, and on the whole we are hardly ready to add to the list, especially in the light of some partial obligations to which we are already committed, by Hon. Enrico Ferri, the eminent Italian advocate and criminologist.

Wishing all success to your Conference, I am in this way:

Very truly yours,

Chicago, a National Conference on Criminal Law and Criminology; the enclosed preliminary prospectus will show how wide a circle of scientific and practical interests are involved, and how strongly the bench and bar in particular are represented. In September, 1910, the same subject will be further brought into prominence by the Eighth International Prison Congress, which meets (for the first time in America) at Washington. Now the organizing committee seek new honorarium for help the science of Criminology and the revision of our Criminal Law and Procedure; and, as lawyers, we see well enough that a vital step practice development is the acquiring of active interest in our own profession at large. For this purpose we plan a third measure, which in the interim between the National Conference next June and the International Congress in September, 1910, will increase the interest, especially among the students of law, sociology, and psychology at Universities.
May 6, 1939

Dear Mr. Wygnot:

Your letter of the 17th of April monthly

soon arrived in good time. I am sorry we were not able to answer

the city for a part of the time. Our plans for the summer for the

year are entirely with cooperation and on the hope we may partly reach

the city to the extent especially to the right of some part of an article

I am to help to write the fresh committee.

Aiding all success to your conference, I am

Yours truly yours,

[Signature]

Professor John H. Wygnot
Of the State of California
August 7, 1909

Dear Dean Wigmore:

Your favor of the 29th of July was duly received, and the copy to which you refer has come since. I am much indebted for your courtesy.

With sincere regards, I am,

Very truly yours,

H.P.J.

Dean John H. Wigmore,
Northwestern University Law School, Chicago.
August 4, 1929

Dear Dean Willmore:

Your memo of the 25th of July was duly re-
ceived, and the copy to which you refer has come since. I see no
objection for your comment.

I beg to thank you for your kindness.

With sincere regards, I am,

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Note: The name is partly visible and appears to be signed, but the full name is not legible.]
9 October 1915

My dear Mr. Chritton:

In response to your request made in your letter of October 25, I will send you a copy of the catalogue of Northwestern University which contains information on the College of Liberal Arts, the School of Law, as well as all other Schools. I have also asked Dean Holgate of the College of Liberal Arts and Dean Wigmore of the Law School, to write you and send any other documents they think likely to interest you. We will be very happy to furnish you with information on particular points, and I suggest that there is no better way to know the work of an institution than to visit it. Dean Wigmore, you doubtless know. He will be glad to show you what the Law School has in the way of equipment. He can also give you his impressions of the College of Liberal Arts, based upon the best of information.

It is fortunate for me that I believe thoroughly and enthusiastically in Northwestern, its Law School, and its College of Liberal Arts which tends to cling somewhat more strongly than many institutions of our section to the more conservative ideals of general training. It is well equipped and has a faculty made up of scholars who are gentlemen, and I am confident that no one can make any serious mistake in putting his boy into our charge. Nevertheless, I think you will see that I cannot with good taste enter upon any comparisons with any other college, particularly with the University of Chicago, for which I have the greatest admiration and which is under the administration of President Judson, who is my near and good friend. We count ourselves not
competitors but colleagues. If you decide to send the boy to Chicago, that will be no mistake either. But I think President Judson won't begrudge my saying I would like to have you send him to us.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) M. Harris

To

Mr. George A. Chritton

Chicago, Illinois
(EXTRACT FROM A LETTER FROM MR. GEORGE A. CHRITTON)

"My son Ernest expects to finish at the Oak Park High School this year and I would like him to either attend the Northwestern or the Chicago University. Will you be kind enough to have the requirements for admission sent to me and I should be glad to have any suggestion you care to make to me, as to any reasons why your institution is preferable to the other.

I may say that Ernest plans to study law and that is the reason why I prefer him to attend school right here."
EXTRACT FROM A LETTER FROM MYM. A. CORNELL

"My dear Cornell: 

I have been asked to send my views on the General Assembly Meeting. I would like to express my opinions on the proposed changes in the Charter of the University. Will you look into the matter and report back to me. 

I am working closely with the administration to ensure that the changes are implemented in a way that will benefit the students. I would like to hear your thoughts on this matter. 

I look forward to your response and any comments you may have."

The letter concludes with a request for action on the matter.
Chicago, October 25, 1915

My dear Mr. Harris:

Thank you very much for your kind letter of October 25. I will send you a copy of the catalog of Northwestern University, which contains information on the School of Law, as well as all other schools, and also a copy of correspondence with Mr. Chris. I favor the 9th of October, the College of Liberal Arts and Dean Holgate of the School, to write you and any other documents that might be requested by you. We will be very happy to furnish you with information on any points, and I suggest that there is no better way to know a place than to visit it. Dean Figmore, you doubtless know, is well disposed to show you what the Law School has in the way of equipment. He has also given you his impressions of the College of Liberal Arts, based on the best of information.

It is fortunate for me that I have thoroughly and enthusiastically in Northwestern, its Law School, and its College. Very truly yours.

President A. W. Harris.

President Judson, who is my near and good friend, we count ourselves not