Dear President Harper:

close herewith ms. on "Salaries". I regret exceedingly that it should have been delayed beyond the time you expected. The reason for the delay was a very severe and unexpected attack of

The time which the paper has occupied is about 87 hours, which at 40° are about annually.
to $34.80.

I shall expect to be paid
for the work only in case
you find it answers your
purpose; and in case it
is available only in part,
I shall expect pay only for
such part.

I expect to stay here for a
couple of weeks yet, — possibly
rather more than that, —
as I have some unfinished work
for which I wish to use the
library here.

Very kind regards, T. B. Vedder
President W. B. Harrow,

Dear Sir:

In response to your request of the 21st inst. I have to say that I am not registered for any of the courses in the university.

I am occupied, as you know, in conversation last Saturday, with (1) a study of Socialism under Professor Laughton's direction, preparatory to giving a course of instruction in the university the coming quarter; (2) a study of American Farming (just now, the preparation of a paper for the Journal on the probable course of the price of wheat); (3) a translation of Cohen's Finanzwissenschaft; (4) a correspondence course of instruction in the Elements of Political Economy in the University Extension Department.

Respectfully,

T. B. Veblen.
لا يمكنني قراءة النص العربي بشكل طبيعي من الصورة المقدمة.
Stacyville, Iowa, July 18, 1898.

President W. R. Harper,

My Dear Sir:

Your note of July 12th in regard to the terms on which the correspondence course in Pol. Econ. was assigned to me has been forwarded to me here. From what you say, I am not quite sure that Mr. Butler has conveyed my exact understanding of the matter, and I will therefore burden you with a repetition of it. I understand, when I conferred with your last winter, that the University would pay $2.00 for the copyright of
THE
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Please see the enclosed letter from the Department of Economics of the University of Chicago, regarding the appointment of a new professor of economics. The letter includes a list of qualifications and experience required for the position, as well as a brief biography of the candidate.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
each lesson paper (making $80.00 in all for a major of 40 lessons), this fee being the where the papers were handed in to the extension office, and without regard to the number of students who actually take the course. In addition to this payment for the lesson papers, there was to be paid about $10. or $12. for each student who completed the course. In case the course were taken by few students this scheme of compensation would be more expensive to the University than the scheme which Mr.
Butler explained to me the other day, though there would hardly be this difference in case of a course taken by a large number of students.

Fully appreciating that the number of students taking the correspondence course in Pol. Econ. would necessarily be small, I was unwilling to take upon myself the burden of correspondence to some extent independent of the number of students, and I accepted your proposition on the understanding that your scheme met my wishes in this respect. It seems to me that I accepted the work
on a misunderstanding, and, such being the case, I now wish to lay it down. It happens that the course, as I have planned it, falls into two nearly equal portions, the first of which may be taken by the student as an independent course. The break occurs at the end of the 19th lesson, at which point a new book is taken up. I have now nearly completed this first half of the course, and shall discontinue the work when that point is reached.

Yours very truly,
T. B. Veblen.
Stevensville, Iowa, July 27, 1893.

President William R. Harper,
The University of Chicago,

Dear Sir:

Your favor of July 22nd has reached me with very sorry to have caused any disappointment by my action with respect to the correspondence work, but the compensation offered, according to the scheme as I now understand it, is so inadequate that I can not see any propriety in my continuing it. Permit me to say that, according to my recollection, when you spoke to me about the matter you stated, in answer to a question from me, that no exceptional or special arrangement could be made for any particular course. My impression has not been that any exception or departure from the general rule was made in my case, but that the general rule was different from what I now understand it to have been.

I must also beg to burden you with a slight further explanation of my position. In the interview with Professor Laughlin which resulted in my acceptance of the Readership, when he mentioned the
me in detail what work would be expected of me on account of the Readership, the correspondence work was not mentioned. Such at least, is my recollection. Professor Laughton had on an earlier occasion, before anything was said about the Readership, remarked that if I stayed the coming year I should probably have charge of the correspondence work in Political Economy, but I did not understand that my connection with the correspondence work would not be entirely optional and independent of any other work or position in the University to which I might be assigned.

I have taken the liberty to make this statement because I should very much regret to have you think that I am not entirely justified in laying down the correspondence work now on account of the misunderstanding under which I took it up.

Very truly yours,

P. B. Clark.
Chicago, Ill.
Jan. 9, 1884.

Dear President Harper:

I have written to Mr. Pratt to find out the nature of the work he wants done. If it turns out to be out of my line of work I shall return his note to you as soon as may be.

I thank you much for your kindness in thinking of me in connection with the matter.

Very sincerely yours,

T.B. Veblen
22 Nov. 1895

My dear President Harper,

After having made an unsuccessful attempt to consult with you in person, I trust that you will not find it indecent in me to intrude upon you in this manner with the request that you will kindly keep me in mind in the appropriation of salaries for the coming year.

Your repeated expression of goodwill and appreciation have encouraged me to look with some confidence for an advance, and in this connection I beg to remind you, perhaps unnecessarily, that I am now at work for the third year with the grade and pay of instructor.

I trust that you will let my necessity serve as excuse for this importunity. I

Yours very truly,

Thorstein B. Veblen
My dear Mr. Harper,

With regard to your kind note of the sixteenth January, I beg to call attention to the statement that my "term of appointment will expire July 1st, 1899." In that connection it is to be said that my work (as well as the payment of my salary) in the University began with the month of October, and that according to my term expires with June, the payment of my salary will at that time be in arrears to the amount of three thousand dollars.

I have taken the liberty to call your attention to this detail simply in order to avoid a possible misunderstanding.

As regards my reappointment, the terms which you are kind enough to offer are, of course, somewhat disappointing, in view of the expressions of cordial appreciation of my work which have from time to time been vocalized to me both by Professor Laughlin and by you; but I am content to leave the whole question of promotion in your discretion, believing as I do that what claimed
may have will not be overlooked
when circumstances shall favor
their consideration.

I shall accordingly expect to
continue work with the grade
and pay indicated in your note;
and I try to assure you that
I fully appreciate your kindness
and courtesy in the matter.

Yours very truly
Th. B. Veblen

Mr. W. R. Harper,
President, The University of Chicago.
January 13, 1905.

President William R. Harper,
The University of Chicago.

Dear Dr. Harper:--

Enclosed herewith please find two letters which Mr. Capps and I have prepared closing the Veblen-Scribner incident. We have thought that the matter should not be allowed to pass by without a strong expression of our feeling in connection with the same. To be sure, the amount involved does not run into the thousands, but a principle is nevertheless involved which we believe both Scribners and Mr. Veblen should recognize in the future.

Trusting that the letters will meet with your approval, I remain,

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
My dear Mr. Miller:

I do not wish to send the letter to Charles Scribner's Sons which you have prepared in reference to the Veblen matter. It is not such a letter as I can send them, because I do not think that they are, in all probability, to blame. Let me talk it over with you the next time.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Newman Miller.
January 18, 1906

My dear Mr. Miller:

I do not wish to send the letter to Charles Grinnell's name which you have prepared in reference to the Vesper matter. It is not such a letter as I can send them, because I do not think that they see in all propitiatory to please. Let me talk it over with you the next time.

Very truly yours,

Mr. Newman Miller.
Confidential.

Oct. 6, 1909
DAVID STARR JORDAN
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA

my dear President Jordan:

I have been able to interview Mr. Veblen, to find out the truth as detailed as to Professor Veblen's relations.

He appears unable to resist the "femme méconduite." It is fair to pay the chat on my face! Let well with him; he earned humanity in many fashion, with no attempt at denial or evasiveness. He has tendered his resignation to take effect.at my discretion. This will probably mean with half of next year. For the
Myself cannot condone their methods, much as its officials may feel compassion for the individual.

Very truly yours,

David Blaine Jordan.
March 24, 1919

President Harry Pratt Judson
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Judson:

You may be interested in a somewhat scathing review by Brander Matthews of Veblen's book.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

AF/ESB
Dear Professor [Name],

I am interested in a position at the Department of [Department Name] at The University of Chicago. I recently learned about the [type of position] position advertised on your website and I believe my qualifications make me a strong candidate.

Enclosed are my resume and transcripts for your review. I am eager to discuss how my experiences align with the requirements of the position.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

[Your Name]
Chicago, April 1, 1919

Dear Mr. Flexner:

Thanks for your copy of Mr. Brander Matthews' review. It is on the whole very moderate, and also very accurate. Mr. Veblen was once a member of our faculty, and we were quite willing to accept his resignation when he tendered it.

Very truly yours,

H.P.J. - L.

Mr. Abraham Flexner
61 Broadway
New York City
Dear Mr. President:

Thank you for your work on the budget. It is on the whole very welcome. I have seen once a newspaper article to the effect that my vote was decisive for your nomination. I am more than willing to accept the nomination again for Congress if it is practical and if it works. Very truly yours,

[Signature]