

*Assoc. of American
Medical Colleges* G 32

January 21, 1927

Dear Mr. Zappfe:

I am glad to make the following comment on your letter of January 3, 1927 regarding inspections of medical schools by the Association.

It seems to us doubtful if a systematic program of inspection of medical colleges by the Association is necessary in the next year or two. The reasons for this statement are as follows:

I. Such inspections are being regularly and efficiently made by the Council on Education of the American Medical Association. The reports of these inspections are open freely and fully to the officers and members of the Association of American Medical Colleges. These reports are accepted by all state boards as their guide in the recognition given by them to medical colleges. The advantages of co-operation with this Council on Education are obviously very great from considerations both of expense and efficiency and in my judgment should be utilized fully and the co-operation encouraged and developed. I think, therefore, that inspection by the Association of Medical Colleges should be made at present only after the receipt of the report of inspection by the Council when, if further inspection seems to the Association desirable, it might well be made in co-operation with the inspectors of the Council on Education. The latter are ready at any time to take with them a representative of the Association.

II. The Association of Medical Colleges in co-operation with the Rockefeller Foundation and other agencies are making a thorough survey of the needs of medical practice and medical education in the United States. For this survey about \$150,000 has been provided, partly by this University. This survey will entail inspection of the medical colleges now existing. If the report of this survey, which

Handwritten: *Approved by Council G 32*
January 21, 1937

will continue for three or four years, should provide
a reliable basis for the development of an educational
program. Inspections were also made in 1936 and 1937
and it was noted that the

Dear Mr. Kappeler:
and various inspections of medical

I am glad to make the following comment on your
letter of January 2, 1937 regarding inspections of medical
schools by the Association.

It seems to me doubtful if a systematic program of
inspection of medical colleges by the Association is necessary
in the next year or two. The reasons for this statement are
as follows:

I. Such inspections are being regularly and
efficiently made by the Council on Education of the
American Medical Association. The reports of these
inspections are open freely and fully to the officers
and members of the Association of American Medical
Colleges. These reports are accepted by all state
boards as their guide in the recognition given by
them to medical colleges. The advantages of co-operation
with this Council on Education are obviously very
great from considerations both of expense and efficiency
and in my judgment should be utilized fully and
the co-operation encouraged and developed. I think,
therefore, that inspection by the Association of
Medical Colleges should be made at present only after
the receipt of the report of inspection by the Council
when, if further inspection seems to the Association
desirable, it might well be made in co-operation
with the inspectors of the Council on Education. The
latter are ready at any time to take with them a
representative of the Association.

II. The Association of Medical Colleges in
co-operation with the Rockefeller Foundation and
other agencies are making a thorough survey of the
needs of medical practice and medical education in
the United States. For this survey about \$150,000
has been provided, partly by this University. This
survey will entail inspection of the medical colleges
now existing. If the report of this survey, which

will continue for three or four years yet, should provide a valuable basis for the development of an educational program, inspections made after the report is submitted should be more worth while than they can be without it.

III. Two state boards, namely New York and Pennsylvania, had undertaken inspections of medical colleges independently. The New York State Board has given up the practice during the past four or five years. I think it quite likely that the Pennsylvania Board may also decide that independent inspections do not add enough to the reports of inspection by the Council on Education to justify the continuation of the effort.

Yours sincerely,

President.

Mr. Fred C. Zappfe, Secretary,
Association of American Medical Colleges,
25 East Washington Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

MM.C

*Assoc. of American
Med. Colleges G 32*

will continue for three or four years yet, should provide a valuable basis for the development of an educational program, inspections made after the report is submitted should be more worth while than they can be without it.

III. Two state boards, namely New York and Pennsylvania, had undertaken inspections of medical colleges independently. The New York State Board has given up the practice during the past four or five years. I think it quite likely that the Pennsylvania Board may also decide that independent inspections do not add enough to the reports of inspection by the Council on Education to justify the continuation of the effort.

Inspection of medical colleges by the Association is necessary in the next year or two. Yours sincerely,
as follows

I. Both inspections are being regularly and efficiently made by **President** on Education of the American Medical Association. The reports of these Mr. Fred C. Zappie, Secretary, and fully to the officers Association of American Medical Colleges, 25 East Washington Street, Chicago, Illinois. The advantages of co-operation with this Council on Education are obviously very great from considerations both of expense and efficiency and in my judgment should be utilized fully and the co-operation encouraged and developed. I think, therefore, that inspection by the Association of Medical Colleges should be made at present only after the receipt of the report of inspection by the Council when, if further inspection seems to the Association desirable, it might well be made in co-operation with the inspectors of the Council on Education. The latter are ready at any time to take with them a representative of the Association.

II. The Association of Medical Colleges in co-operation with the Rockefeller Foundation and other agencies are making a thorough survey of the needs of medical practice and medical education in the United States. For this survey about \$100,000 has been provided, partly by this University. This survey will entail inspection of the medical colleges now existing. If the report of this survey, which

The University of Chicago

The Graduate School of Medicine
OF THE
Ogden Graduate School of Science

OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF
MEDICAL STUDENTS

January 15, 1927

President Max Mason
University of Chicago
Faculty Exchange

My dear President Mason:

In reference to the inclosed letter to you from the Secretary of the Association of American Medical Colleges, I think the following comment is apposite.

A systematic program of inspection of medical colleges by the Association in the next year or two is not necessary or even advisable for the following reasons:

(1) Such inspections are being regularly and efficiently made by the Council on Education of the American Medical Association. The reports of these inspections are open freely and fully to the officers and members of the Association of American Medical Colleges. These reports are accepted by all* state boards as their guide in the recognition given by them to medical colleges. The advantages of co-operation with this Council on Education are obviously very great from considerations both of expense and efficiency and in my judgment should be utilized fully and the co-operation encouraged and developed. I think therefore that inspection by the Association of Medical Colleges should be made at present only after the receipt of the report of inspection by the Council when, if further inspection seems to the Association desirable, it might well be made in co-operation with the inspectors of the Council on Education. The latter are ready at any time to take with them a representative of the Association.

(2) The Association of Medical Colleges in co-operation with the Rockefeller Foundation and other agencies are making a thorough survey of the needs of medical practice and medical education in the United States. For this survey about \$150,000 has been provided, partly by this University. This survey will entail inspection of the medical colleges now existing. If the report of this survey, which will continue for three or four years yet, should provide a valuable basis for the development of an educational program, inspections made after the report is submitted should be more worth while than they can be without it.

(3) Two state boards, namely New York and Pennsylvania, had undertaken inspections of medical colleges independently. The New York State Board has given up the practice during the past four or

* Pennsylvania, only, supplements them sometimes by independent inspections.

B.C.H. Harvey to Pres. Mason
Jan. 15, 1927

Page Two

five years. I think it quite likely that the Pennsylvania Board may also decide that independent inspections do not add enough to the reports of inspection by the Council on Education to justify the continuation of the effort.

I hope that these comments may be of some help in consideration of Dr. Zapffe's letter.

Yours sincerely,

B. C. H. Harvey

B. C. H. Harvey
Dean of Medical Students

BCHH:EB
Inc.

Page Two

H. P. Harvey to Fred. Brown
Jan. 12, 1917

Dear Sir, I have to advise that the Board of Trustees of the University of Wisconsin has received the report of the Commission on the University of Wisconsin and the Commission on the University of California.

I hope that these reports will be of some help in your work.

Yours sincerely,

H. P. Harvey
H. P. Harvey
Dean of College of Letters

1917

Office of the President

Referred to Dean Harvey

1-13-27 ~~1924~~

Please

1. Dispose of as you think best.
2. Answer and retain in your files.
3. Answer and return with carbon of reply for our files.
4. Return with answer on President's stationery for him to sign.
- ✓ 5. Return ✓
 - a) With information called for in writing.
 - b) With suggestion of answer in writing.
 - c) Comment in writing. ✓
6. Return and arrange for personal interview.
7. Follow through—and report.
8. Initial and return (sent for information only).
9. Accept _____ Decline.
10. Send to _____ with covering letter.
11. File under _____
12. Make _____ copies.
Send to _____
13. Remarks.

cf. v. 1. ✓

1. Dispose of as you wish.
2. Answer and return in your file.
3. Answer and return with carbon or reply for our file.
4. Return with answer on President's stationery for him to sign.
5. Return:
 - a) With instructions carbon & the original.
 - b) With suggestion of answer in writing.
 - c) Content in writing.
6. Return and arrange for personal answer.
7. Follow through - and report.
8. Initial and return (sent for information only).
9. Accept. *Define.*
10. Send to _____ with _____
11. File under _____
12. Make _____ copies.
13. Send to _____
14. Return.

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGES

PRESIDENT, CHARLES F. MARTIN

MONTREAL

VICE-PRESIDENT, GUY L. NOYES

COLUMBIA, MO.

SECRETARY-TREASURER,

FRED. C. ZAPFFE, CHICAGO

25 EAST WASHINGTON STREET

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

WALTER L. NILES, CHAIRMAN, NEW YORK

RAY LYMAN WILBUR,
STANFORD UNIVERSITY, CALIF.

IRVING S. CUTTER, CHICAGO

CHARLES F. MARTIN, MONTREAL

CHARLES F. EMERSON,
INDIANAPOLIS

HUGH CABOT, ANN ARBOR, MICH.

FRED. C. ZAPFFE, CHICAGO

NEXT MEETING:
MONTREAL, OCTOBER 24 AND 25, 1927
January 3, 1927

Mr. Max Mason,
President, University of Chicago,
Chicago, Illinois

My dear Sir:

Since 1903 this Association has inspected medical colleges: first, its member colleges; second, colleges applying for membership. College authorities are of the opinion that these inspections are of great value constructively. Unfortunately, however, the financial resources of the Association have always been limited, the only source of income being the annual dues. Therefore, it has not been possible to make as many inspections, or as frequent inspections as really should be made to do the greatest good.

The conviction that more inspections should be made, and requests coming from many of the best medical schools in membership in the Association, led to the unanimous adoption of a resolution calling for more frequent inspections at the annual meeting of the Association, held last October. The Executive Council is now compelled to devise ways and means to secure financial support for this work from other agencies interested in medical education outside of this Association.

It has been suggested by a university executive that this question be brought specifically to the attention of the executives of all universities that have a medical school for the purpose of securing from them an expression of opinion as to whether they are in accord with the idea that more inspections should be made and to express any personal views they may have in the matter. Further, that they give permission that use may be made of supporting opinions to secure financial assistance without in any way obligating the university or the medical school.

Your early reply will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Fred. C. Zapffe
Secretary

cf. U.C.L.

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGES

EXECUTIVE BOARD

WALTER L. HARRIS, PRESIDENT, NEW YORK
WALTER W. WATSON, VICE PRESIDENT, CHICAGO
WALTER W. WATSON, SECRETARY, CHICAGO
WALTER W. WATSON, TREASURER, CHICAGO
WALTER W. WATSON, CHAIRMAN, CHICAGO
WALTER W. WATSON, CHAIRMAN, CHICAGO

MEETING HELD AT
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, ON DECEMBER 28 AND 29, 1927
January 3, 1928

RESOLUTIONS
ADOPTED AT THE MEETING
HELD AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS,
ON DECEMBER 28 AND 29, 1927

TO THE MEMBERS
OF THE ASSOCIATION

Dear Sir:

Since 1900 this Association has reported medical colleges listed in order of their standing in the United States. College authorities are of the opinion that these listings are of great value collectively. However, the financial resources of the Association have been limited. The only source of income being the annual dues. Therefore, it has not been possible to make as many listings as it would like to make. It is suggested that you suggest to your college authorities that some inspection should be made.

The committee that some inspection should be made and reports coming from many of the best medical schools in the Association, led to the unanimous adoption of a resolution at the annual meeting at the University of Chicago, held last October. The resolution was to have reports made and come to some list of colleges for the year 1927-28. Other persons interested in the Association.

It has been suggested by a university executive that the question be brought specifically to the attention of the executives of all universities that have a medical school for the purpose of securing from them an expression of opinion as to whether they are in accord with the idea that some inspection should be made and so advise our personnel that they may be requested. Further, that they give permission that we may be able to reporting opinions to secure financial assistance without in any way obligating the university or the medical school.

Your early reply will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Walter W. Watson
Secretary

The University of Chicago

Department of Medicine

G 32

JANUARY TWELFTH

1 9 2 7

President Max Mason
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President Mason:

I am returning herewith the attached
letter from the Association of American Medical Colleges.

I am not in favor of requesting additional inspections. Before replying to this letter, however, I would suggest that you obtain the opinions of Dean Harvey and Dean Irons.

Sincerely yours,

Franklin C. McLean

FCMcL:NG

Franklin C. McLean

The University of Chicago

Department of Medicine

C 37

JANUARY TWENTY

1907

President's Room
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois

Dear President: Please

I am returning herewith the enclosed
letter from the Association of American Medical Colleges.

I am not in favor of receiving any
funds in question. Before replying to this letter,
however, I would suggest that you obtain the opinion
of both Harvey and Dean Loomis.

Sincerely yours,

Franklin C. Johnson

Franklin C. Johnson

RECORDED